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Abstract

Chapter One serves as an introduction to the Thesis. Chapter Two describes the synthesis and characterisation of a series of 3-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole ligands and the corresponding rhenium(I) tricarbonyl. The structure of the complexes have been determined using NMR and IR spectroscopy. The complexes underwent [Re→π*(pyridyl-triazole)] transitions in the 295 – 360 nm region of the absorption spectrum. Emission was observed from all the complexes at 298 K and at 77 K. The absorption and emission properties of the pyridyl-triazole complexes were found to be pH dependent. The complexes underwent an irreversible Re(I)/Re(II) oxidation. The σ-donor nature of the triazole ligand results in a blue shift of both the absorption and emission spectra when compared to [Re(CO)3(bpy)Cl].

Chapter Three is discusses the synthesis of the rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes of 3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazoles, analogous to those described in Chapter Two. The complexes were characterised by NMR and IR spectroscopy. The carbonyl bands are observed at higher frequency compared to the corresponding pyridyl-triazole complexes. The UV/vis spectra exhibit [Re→π*(pyrazyl-triazole)] transitions between 390 and 410 nm. The protonated pyrazyl-triazole complexes are weak emitters at 298 K while emission was detected at room temperature from all of the deprotonated pyrazyl-triazole complexes. Emission was detected from all complexes at 77 K. The strongly π-accepting pyrazine results in a red shift of both the absorption and emission spectra when compared to the corresponding pyridyl-triazole complexes. Again, spectroscopic and electrochemical studies display a strong pH dependency.

Chapter Four describes the synthesis and characterisation of the homo-nuclear rhenium(I) dimer and the hetero-nuclear ruthenium(II)-rhenium(I) dinuclear complexes bridged by the triazole ligand Hbpt (3,5-bis(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole). The complexes have been studied using UV-vis and emission spectroscopy, lifetime studies and electrochemical measurements. For the ruthenium(II)-rhenium(I) complex, the absorption and emission properties indicate intercomponent energy transfer from the rhenium(I) metal centre to the ruthenium(II) metal centre.

Chapter Five discusses the methylation of the ruthenium(II) bipyridyl complex [Ru(bpy)2phpzt]⁺, (phpzt = 3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-5-phenyl-1,2,4-triazole). Selective deuteriation of the bipyridyl ligands and the triazole ligand was also employed. The photophysical and electrochemical properties of all complexes were also examined. Methylation of the pyrazyl-triazole ligand was found to quench emission from [Ru(bpy)2phpzt]⁺. Wavelength dependent ground-state resonance Raman measurements were also carried out in order to elucidate the electronic transitions in the absorption spectra. Chapter Six gives an overview of the experimental conditions used. Chapter Seven summaries results of the work undertaken with suggestions on further possible research directions.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

Chapter 1 serves as an introduction to many of the subjects that are discussed in this thesis. Firstly, supramolecular chemistry is introduced. The development of this area of chemistry is outlined and its relevance to this thesis is highlighted. In order to understand the processes involved in a supramolecular species, it is important to first understand the fundamental properties of the simpler subunits of the supramolecular species. Hence an introduction to coordination chemistry and the photophysical pathways in transition metal complexes is outlined. The “reference” complex of many of the complexes discussed in this thesis, [Re(CO)\(_3\)(bpy)Cl], and its importance to the area of inorganic chemistry is highlighted. Finally, a summary of various polypyridyl bridging ligands is given by discussing their structural and electronic properties and their influence on metal-metal interactions in hetero-nuclear ruthenium(II)-rhenium(I) dinuclear complexes
1.1 **Introduction to supramolecular chemistry**

Photosynthesis is an efficient biological process that converts solar energy into chemical energy. Photosynthesis is the link between the sun and the energy needs of the living world. Over the last few decades much attention has been focused on artificial photosynthesis. The aim of artificial photosynthesis is to synthesise complexes that follow nature’s principle for water oxidation and to exploit the properties of these complexes to generate energy (e.g. \( \text{H}_2 \)) from a sustainable and renewable source such as water.\(^1\text{,}\)\(^2\) These complexes are known as supramolecular complexes.

The most widely accepted definition of supramolecular chemistry is "the chemistry beyond the molecule, bearing on the organised entities of higher complexity that result from the association of two or more chemical species held together by intermolecular forces."\(^3\text{,}\)\(^4\) A chemical species can be described as a single unit (large molecule) or as made of distinct components (supramolecule). The difference between these two classes of compounds is based on the degree of interaction between the component units. When the interaction between subunits is small, the system can be viewed as a supramolecular system. The system is described as a large molecule if the interaction is strong.\(^5\) Supramolecular systems are composed of individual components linked together through covalent bonds or through intermolecular forces such as Coulombic interactions or hydrogen bonds.\(^6\) There are three types of supramolecular systems.\(^7\) The first group involves second-sphere coordination compounds, i.e. complexes associated to other species by electrostatic interactions, hydrogen bonds or other intermolecular forces. The second group are cage-type coordination compounds, i.e. complexes in which a metal is encapsulated in a polydentate ligand. The third group involves molecular building blocks linked via bridging units by covalent or coordination bonds. The distinction between a large and a supramolecular species is based on the degree of interaction of the electronic
subsystems of the component units, regardless of the nature of intercomponent bonding.²

$$\begin{align*}
\text{Supramolecular} & \quad \text{Compound} & \quad \text{Large} \\
\text{System} & \quad \text{A}\text{~B}^* & \quad \text{A}\text{~B} & \quad \text{hv} & \quad \text{hv} & \quad (\text{A}\text{~B})^* \\
& \downarrow & \quad \text{A}^+\text{~B}^- & \quad \text{hv} & \quad \text{hv} & \quad (\text{A}\text{~B})^+ \\
& \quad \text{~A}^+\text{~B}^- & \quad \text{hv} & \quad \text{hv} & \quad \text{hv} & \quad \text{hv} & \quad \text{hv} & \quad (\text{A}\text{~B})^- \\
\end{align*}$$

**Figure 1.1** Schematic representation of the difference between a supramolecular system and a large molecule based on the effects caused by a photon or an electron input. The symbol "~" represents any type of bond that keeps the units A and B together i.e. coulombic or covalent.

The above diagram (Figure 1.1) aids in the definition of a supramolecular system. When A~B is irradiated and the excited states are delocalised on both A and B, the species is better considered as a large molecule. If the absorption of a photon by A~B leads to one of its subunits (A or B) forming an excited state rather than the whole molecule, this system can be classed as a supramolecular system. For the system A~B regardless of whether A or B is excited, the supramolecular system has two options. It may relax back to the ground state (with the release of energy as light or heat) or charge transfer may occur forming A~B^−. Charge recombination usually
occurs unless a quenching molecule is present to oxidise/reduce the charge separated species A^+~-B^-.

Each component of a supramolecular species has its own individual properties, but when coupled together produce new photophysical and photochemical processes. In principle the properties of the molecular components of a supramolecular species can be obtained from the study of the isolated components.

1.2 Intercomponent processes in supramolecular complexes

The most important processes of supramolecular systems are those taking place between components. Of particular importance are the two processes that follow light excitation of a component. For example in a supramolecular species A~B, light excitation of A can be followed by energy or electron transfer processes as in Equations 1.1 to 1.3.

\[ A~B + h\nu \rightarrow *A~B \quad \text{photoexcitation} \quad 1.1 \]
\[ *A~B \rightarrow A~B^* \quad \text{energy transfer} \quad 1.2 \]
\[ *A~B \rightarrow A^+~B^- \quad \text{electron transfer} \quad 1.3 \]

The fundamental principles which govern photoinduced energy- and electron-transfer processes will be discussed in this section.
1.2.1 Energy transfer

Electronic energy transfer can occur via two mechanisms: the Förster-type mechanism\(^9\) and the Dexter-type mechanism.\(^{10}\)

![Förster and Dexter Mechanisms](image)

**Figure 1.2** Schematic representation of energy transfer with a Förster-type or Dexter-type mechanism. \(A^*\) is a component of supramolecular system \((A\sim B)\) in the excited state.\(^{11}\)

The Förster-type mechanism takes place through a dipole-dipole interaction. In this mechanism (see Figure 1.2) the excited state of a donor molecule \((A^*)\) behaves as an oscillating dipole, generating an electric field. When the other component \(B\) is in the collisional space of \(A^*\) it will start to resonate, resulting in the formation of \(B^*\) and \(A\). No exchange of electrons occurs. The Förster-type mechanism is most effective when singlet excited states are involved i.e between large aromatic molecules. Since the only long-lived excited states in transition metal complexes are triplet excited states and transitions to the corresponding singlet ground-states are spin forbidden, this mechanism is not common among transition metal complexes. The dipole-dipole coulombic interaction maximises when the transition moments of the donor and acceptor are parallel and vanish when they are orthogonal.
The second energy transfer mechanism is known as a Dexter-type mechanism. The Dexter mechanism is a short-range mechanism that requires physical contact between the donor and acceptor components of a supramolecular system. The exchange interaction (Figure 1.2) can be seen as the simultaneous exchange of two electrons between the donor (A) and the acceptor (B). For the Dexter contact exchange interaction, the donor and acceptor must be able to approach each other without being sterically hindered so as to allow spatial overlap of their molecular orbitals. Transfer of energy may only occur between states of similar multiplicity. When the donor and acceptor are covalently linked in supramolecular system, the presence of the bridging group is extremely important in determining donor acceptor interaction. The major factors influencing the rates of energy transfer in the Dexter mechanism are (i) energy gradient, (ii) distance, (iii) orientation of molecular components, (iv) electronic structure of the bridge and the number of intervening bonds.

### 1.2.2 Electron transfer

As illustrated in Figure 1.3, absorption of a photon of light by component “A” in the supramolecular species A~B, generates the excited state *A~B*. It is well known that because of the higher energy content, an excited state is both a stronger reductant and stronger oxidant than the corresponding ground-state.\(^8\)\(^{12}\) Hence an electron may now be transferred to the second component “B”, generating the charge separated species \(A^+\sim B^-\). This process is termed electron transfer. Note the charge separated species \(A^+\sim B^-\) may revert back to the ground-state by back electron transfer or may be used to carry out a desired function.
The parameters that govern the extent of electron transfer between two components in a supramolecular system are orbital overlap and the energy gap between the units. Hence an understanding of bonding and excited state dynamics is essential in the design of useful supramolecular systems. This will be discussed in the following section.

1.3 Principles of molecular photophysics

Knowledge of the paths of energy degradation in inorganic systems is essential in the understanding of the spectroscopy and photochemistry of inorganic complexes as well as in the design of useful supramolecular systems. This knowledge requires understanding the dynamics, the pathways and the efficiencies of interconversion between different excited states and between excited states and the ground state.
1.3.1 The nature of light

The term "light" usually refers to electromagnetic radiation in the visible, near ultraviolet and near infrared spectral range. There are two models used to describe the nature of light. In the wave model, electromagnetic radiation is characterised by a wavelength (\( \lambda \)), a frequency (\( v \)) and a velocity (\( c \)). The three quantities are related by the following relationship:

\[ c = v \lambda \]  

The velocity value is a constant (2.988 \( \times 10^8 \) ms\(^{-1} \) in a vacuum) while the wavelength and frequency may vary.

In the quantum model a beam of radiation is described as a stream of photons or quanta. A photon has no mass but it has a specific energy (\( E \)) directionally proportional to the frequency (\( v \)) of the radiation. This relationship is depicted in the following equation:

\[ E = h v \]  

The term \( h \) is termed Planck's constant (6.63 \( \times 10^{-34} \) Js). This description of light as a stream of photons is essential to photochemistry. The interaction of light with molecular systems is generally an interaction between one molecule and one photon of light.
1.3.2 Electronic structures and transitions

It is necessary to understand some basic chemistry surrounding transition metal complexes before discussing the spectroscopic and photochemical properties of rhenium complexes. The following diagram (Figure 1.4) shows a basic ligand field model for a transition metal octahedral complex. This simplified diagram illustrates the transitions responsible for the electronic absorption spectra of transition metal complexes. The various molecular orbitals (MO) can be classified according to their predominant atomic orbital contribution:

i) Strongly bonding, ligand-centred $\sigma_L$ orbitals.

ii) Bonding, ligand-centred $\pi_L$ orbitals.

iii) Essentially non-bonding $\pi_M(t_{2g})$, metal-centred $d$ orbitals.

iv) Anti-bonding $\sigma_M^*(e_g)$, metal-centred $d$ orbitals.

v) Ligand-centred, antibonding $\pi_L^*$ orbitals.

vi) Strongly anti-bonding, metal-centred $\sigma_M^*$ orbitals.

In the ground state configuration of the metal complex, the $\sigma_L$ and $\pi_L$ molecular orbitals are completely filled. The $\pi_M$ molecular orbitals are either partially or completely filled depending on the number of $d$ electrons in the transition metal ion. The higher orbitals ($\pi_L^*$, $\sigma_M^*$) are generally empty. There are many different allowed transitions between different molecular orbitals. These transitions are responsible for the various bands, which appear in absorption spectra.
There are three basic types of electronic transitions:

i) Transitions localised on the central metal ion are termed metal-centred (MC) transitions. These types of transitions are also known as ligand-field or d-d transitions.

ii) Ligand-centred (LC) or intraligand transitions are transitions between molecular orbitals localised on the ligands.

iii) Charge-transfer (CT) transitions are between molecular orbitals of different localisation resulting in displacement of charge from the
ligands to the metal or vice-versa. These transitions can be divided into ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) and metal-to-ligand charge transfer transitions (MLCT).

There are two other less frequently encountered transitions that are not described in the above diagram. These transitions are ligand-to-ligand (LLCT) and metal-to-metal charge transfer (MMCT).  

1.3.3 Photophysical pathways in metal complexes

A molecule can be excited from the ground electronic state to an electronically excited state by the absorption of a quantum of light. In such a situation the photon energy \( (h\nu) \) corresponds to the energy gap between the ground and the excited state. When a molecule (A) absorbs light, an excited state A* is formed. The excited state is a high-energy unstable species with a number of different channels of deactivation open to it (Figure 1.5). The deactivation pathways are:

i) Photochemical reaction, which results in the disappearance of the original molecule and the generation of new products.

ii) Radiative deactivation is termed luminescence.

iii) Radiationless deactivation causes the degradation of the excess energy into heat and a regeneration of the starting molecule A.

iv) The quenching process occurs when the excited state species interacts with other species present in solution.
Introduction

Chapter I

Photochemical Reaction Products

\[ A + hv' \rightarrow \text{luminescence} \]

\[ A + \text{heat} \rightarrow \text{radiationless deactivation} \]

A and / or products - quenching process

Figure 1.5  Schematic representation of excited state deactivation processes.\(^{15}\)

The above diagram (Figure 1.5) summarizes the deactivation processes. Photochemical reaction, luminescence and radiationless deactivation are unimolecular processes. When a quencher (B) is added, a bimolecular process occurs.

Radiative deactivation is the reverse process of light absorption. In such a process electronically excited molecules return to the ground state by emitting a quantum of light. This observation will be explained with the aid of a Jablonski diagram (see Figure 1.6). The Jablonski diagram illustrates the photophysical relaxation pathways for the decay of excited states. Singlet states are represented by S, while triplet states are described by T. The ground state is denoted by \( S_0 \) and excited states by \( S_1 \) and \( T_1 \).
Figure 1.6 Schematic Jablonski Diagram showing the various deactivation processes. \( k_{ic} \), \( k_{isc} \), \( k_p \) and \( k'_{isc} \) are the unimolecular rate constants for fluorescence, \textit{internal conversion}, \( S_1 \rightarrow T_1 \) \textit{intersystem crossing}, \textit{phosphorescence} and \( T_1 \rightarrow S_0 \) \textit{intersystem crossing}, respectively.\(^{16}\)

In most transition metal complexes, the lowest excited state is a triplet state, which cannot be populated by light absorption. The triplet excited-state is obtained by deactivation of upper excited states. A nonradiative relaxation between two states of the same multiplicity (e.g. \( S_1 \rightarrow S_0 \)) is termed internal conversion (IC). Relaxation between states of different multiplicity (e.g. \( S_1 \rightarrow T_1 \)) is termed intersystem crossing (ISC). Intersystem crossing and internal conversion are examples of radiationless transitions (see ). An emissive transition (luminescence) between states of the same multiplicities (e.g. \( S_1 \rightarrow S_0 \)) is called fluorescence. Phosphorescence refers to an emissive transition involving a change of multiplicity (e.g. \( T_1 \rightarrow S_0 \)).
In the absence of other processes, an excited state ($\Lambda^*$) will decay according to first-order kinetics, with a lifetime ($\tau_{\Lambda^*}$). This is represented by the following equation (Equation 1.6):

$$\tau_{\Lambda^*} = \frac{1}{\sum k_i}$$

Where $k_i$ is the first order rate constant for a unimolecular process that causes the disappearance of the excited state. By taking into account the processes described in the Jablonski diagram, this equation may be rewritten in the following form:

$$\tau = \frac{1}{k_r + k_{nr} + k_p}$$

For each process one can define the quantum yield. The quantum yield of a particular process is the number of photons that would be emitted per photon absorbed by the system. The quantum yield of emission (phosphorescence quantum yield, $\phi_p$) from the lowest $^3\text{MLCT}$ is of particular interest in metal complexes. This can be expressed by the following equation:

$$\phi_p = \eta_{isc} k_p \tau_{\Lambda^*}$$

The term $\eta_{isc}$ is the efficiency of intersystem crossing. This is expressed in the following equation:

$$\eta_{isc} = \frac{k_{isc}}{k_{isc} + k_r + k_{ic}}$$
Many inorganic complexes have unitary efficiency because of heavy atom (metal) induced spin orbit coupling. The term $\tau_r$ in Equation 1.10 is the lifetime of the emitting state and is defined by the following equation:

$$\tau_r = 1/(k_p + k_{isc})$$ \hspace{1cm} 1.10

So far only unimolecular processes have been discussed. If the lifetime of a particular complex is sufficiently long, the excited molecule may have a chance to encounter another species, B. In this situation an interaction between the two species may occur. This is called a bimolecular process. \(^{15}\) The most important bimolecular processes are energy-transfer and electron-transfer (see Section 1.2.1 and Section 1.2.2). Electron-transfer may involve either oxidation or reduction of the excited state. Bimolecular energy and electron transfer process are essential components of supramolecular systems (Section 1.1). The kinetic aspects of bimolecular processes are beyond the scope of this thesis.

### 1.3.4 Non radiative transitions

Excited state deactivation of metal complexes may also occur via nonradiative decay paths. The nature and energy of these deactivation paths will be discussed in this section. It has been found that, in general, the non-radiative behaviour of most metal systems can be accommodated by the "energy gap law". \(^{17,18,19}\) The energy gap law (EGL) shows that the rate of nonradiative decay ($k_{nr}$) is exponentially dependent on the energy difference between the ground state and the emissive excited state i.e. the energy gap. The form of the energy gap law adopted for this discussion is:

$$k_{nr} \propto \exp\left(-\frac{E_0 \gamma}{\hbar \omega_m}\right) \quad \gamma = \ln\left(\frac{E_0}{S_m \hbar \omega_m}\right)$$ \hspace{1cm} 1.11
In Equation 1.11, $k_{nr}$ is the rate constant for nonradiative decay, $E_0$ is the energy difference between the excited and ground states in their lowest energy vibrational levels. The parameter $\omega_M$ is the angular frequency of the acceptor vibration in the ground state. A measure of the distortion of $\omega_M$ in the excited state is gauged by the Huang-Rhys factor ($S_M$):

$$S_M = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{M\omega_M}{\hbar}\right)(\Delta Q_e)^2 \tag{1.12}$$

In Equation 1.12, $M$ is the reduced mass of the oscillator and $Q_e$ represents the difference between the ground and the excited state geometries with respect to the specified nuclear coordinate. The effect changes in both $E_0$ and $\Delta Q_e$ have on vibrational overlap (and therefore $k_{nr}$) is shown in Figure 1.7.

**Figure 1.7** Illustration of the factors influencing vibrational overlap for nonradiative decay.\(^{20}\)
Starting with the central potential energy curve (B) in Figure 1.7, a decrease in the energy gap ($E_0$) at constant $\Delta Q_e$ (C) results in an increase in the overlap between the lowest vibrational state of the excited state energy curve and the isoenergetic vibrational state of the ground state. Hence $k_{nr}$ will increase. Note, increasing the energy gap has the opposite effect. An increase in the energy gap reduces the vibrational overlap, thus reducing $k_{nr}$. Changes in $\Delta Q_e$ at constant $E_0$ also influence $k_{nr}$. Large values of $\Delta Q_e$ (A) favour vibrational overlap. Consequently $k_{nr}$ increases for C when compared to B.

1.4 Electronic and structural properties of mononuclear rhenium(I) complexes

The spectroscopy, photochemistry and photophysics of rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes of the general form $\text{fac-}[\text{Re(CO)}_3(L)X]$ (where L is a polypyridyl ligand and X is a chloride/nitrogen donor) continue to attract much attention ever since their interesting excited state properties were first recognised in the 1970's. Such rhenium(I) complexes are ideally suited to the design of luminescent sensors and materials for supramolecular devices. Their excited states have also demonstrated the potential to act as sensitisers in the photo and electrocatalytic reduction of $\text{CO}_2$ to $\text{CO}$. The photochemical and photophysical properties of these complexes can be fine-tuned by adjusting the ligands coordinated to the rhenium(I) tricarbonyl moiety. This attribute of rhenium(I) complexes will be discussed in this section.

1.4.1 Syntheses

Rhenium(I) complexes of the form $\text{fac-}[\text{Re(CO)}_3(L)\text{Cl}]$ where L is a polypyridine type ligand, can be readily synthesised by the reaction of the appropriate ligand with an equimolar amount of $\text{Re(CO)}_5\text{Cl}$ in a non-polar solvent (typically hexane or
The synthesis of [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl] is outlined in . The desired product often precipitates from solution and can be isolated and purified easily.

\[
\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl} + 2,2'-\text{bpy} \xrightarrow{\text{toluene}/\text{Ar}} \text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl} + 2\text{CO}
\]

Two methods have been employed to substitute the chloride with a nitrogen donating ligand in complexes of the form [Re(CO)₃(L)Cl] (see Figure 1.8).³³,³⁴,³⁵

![Synthetic scheme for the synthesis of [Re(CO)₃(bpy)py]CF₃SO₃ (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine, py = pyridine).³³](image)

When substituting the chloride ligand with a nitrogen donor, the electron donating abilities of the coordinated ligand influence the reactivity with either triflic acid or silver triflate.³³ This was evident in the synthesis of a series of [Re(CO)₃(4,4'-X₂-bpy)Cl] complexes (X = NEt₂, CH₃, OCH₃, CH₃, H, Cl, Ph, CO₂CH₃ and NO₂).³³ When the coordinated ligand contained electron donating groups such as NEt₂, CH₃, OCH₃, CH₃ and H in the 4,4'-positions, the desired [Re(CO)₃(4,4'-X₂-bpy)Et-py]⁺ (Et-py = 4-ethylpyridine) complex is formed in good yields. Electron accepting groups such as Cl, CO₂CH₃ and NO₂ result in low yields.
1.4.2 Infra-red spectroscopy

Rhenium tricarbonyl complexes with the following general configuration [Re(CO)₃(L)X] posses Cₛ symmetry, with three infra-red (IR) active modes [A'(1) + A'(2) + A'']. The IR spectrum of [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl] (Figure 1.9) is well understood. Three carbonyl bands are found at 2020, 1918 and 1893 cm⁻¹. This pattern corresponds to three carbonyls in a facial (fac) isomer arrangement (Figure 1.10). The ν(CO) bands are assigned to the A'(1), A'' and A'(2) vibrations respectively. Note, there is no evidence in the literature for the formation of rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes in a meridional (mer-) isomeric form. A characteristic property of mer- isomers is the very low IR intensity of the highest frequency band with respect to the other two bands.

![Infra-red spectrum of [Re(CO)₃Cl(bpy)] in THF at 298 K.](image)

**Figure 1.9** Infra-red spectrum of [Re(CO)₃Cl(bpy)] in THF at 298 K.
In the IR spectra of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})(4-\text{Etppy})]^+\) (4-Etppy = 4-ethylpyridine) and \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})]^+\) only two carbonyl bands are observed (see Table 1.1).\(^{39,40}\) This in contrast to the IR spectra of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})(\text{Cl})]^+\) and \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})(\text{PPh}_3)]^+\) (PPh\(_3\) = triphenylphosphine) where three resolved carbonyl bands are observed.\(^40\) In complexes of the form \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{L})\text{X}]\), where the ancillary ligand X is pyridine based (i.e. when X is an N-bound pyridyl ligand) the local coordination around the metal centre is pseudo-C\(_{3v}\) symmetry, and only two bands appear in the ground-state IR spectrum. The higher energy band corresponds to an \(A_1\) mode, while the broad lower energy band (\(E\)) is a convolution of the \(A'(2)\) and \(A''\) bands of \(C_3\) symmetry. In \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{L})\text{X}]\) type complexes, the more dissimilar the bonding properties of the bidentate ligand (L) and X, the greater the splitting between the two low energy bands. For example in \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})]\), only two \(\nu(\text{CO})\) bands are observed at 2042 and 1938 cm\(^{-1}\), whereas with \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})(\text{Cl})]\) and \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})(\text{PPh}_3)]^+\) (PPh\(_3\) = triphenylphosphine), three resolved CO stretches are observed (Table 1.1).
The positions of carbonyl bands in IR spectra are sensitive to the d_e electron density of the rhenium centre. This trend may be attributed to back-bonding between the rhenium metal centres and the carbonyl ligands. The bonding interaction of a carbonyl ligand with a transition metal has two major components (Figure 1.11). Electron density is transferred from the carbon lone pair into a vacant metal orbital (d or p orbital) when a σ-bond is formed between the metal and the carbonyl. The metal is now “electron” rich. In order to compensate for the increased electron density, a filled metal d-orbital may interact with an empty π* orbital on the carbonyl ligand to relieve itself of the added electron density. This process is termed π-back bonding. The greater the σ donation by the carbonyl (or the other σ-donor ligands on the metal), the stronger the π-back bonding interaction. Back bonding causes the carbonyl bond to lengthen and weaken. IR spectra are consistent with the concept of π-back bonding. The better the σ donating capability (or the worse the π-acceptor ability) of the other ligands on the metal, the lower the \( \nu_{CO} \) frequency.
Figure 1.11 Bonding interaction of CO with transition metals.

There are many examples of the π-acceptor capabilities of various ligands influencing the $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ on the tricarbonyl metal fragment. For instance, there is about a 10 cm$^{-1}$ shift in carbonyl frequencies to higher energy for [Re(CO)$_3$(bpm)Cl] (bpm = 2,2'-bipyrimidine) complexes compared to [Re(CO)$_3$(Mebpy-Mebpy)Cl] (Mebpy-Mebpy = 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine).$^{41}$ This due to the weaker π-acceptor ability of 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine compared to 2,2'-bipyridine. [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] exhibits three carbonyls bands at 2020, 1918 and 1893 cm$^{-1}$ whereas [Re(CO)$_3$(bpz)Cl] (bpz = 2,2'-bipyrazine) exhibits three carbonyl bands at 2033, 1932 and 1909 cm$^{-1}$. $^{39,42}$ Pyrazine type ligands have much lower lower π* energy levels than 2,2'-bipyridine.$^{39,42}$ This leads to a more favourable back-bonding interaction between the d$_{z^2}$ orbitals of rhenium(I) and the 2,2'-bipyrazine π* orbitals compared to [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl]. This interaction leaves less electron density available for back-bonding between the rhenium d$_e$ orbitals and the CO π* orbitals. As a result the carbonyl bonds in [Re(CO)$_3$(bpz)Cl] are shorter compared to the carbonyl bonds in [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl]. This explains the observed increase in CO stretching frequencies for the [Re(CO)$_3$(bpz)Cl] compared to [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl].
There is also an effect on the carbonyl frequencies when the chloride is exchanged with another halide. Kaim and co-workers have synthesised a series of rhenium tricarbonyl complexes of the form \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{abpy})X]\) (\(X = \text{F, Cl, Br and I, abpy = 2,2’-azobispyridine}\)).\(^{43,44}\) All of these complexes exhibited three CO bands, but the bands were at lower values by about 20 cm\(^{-1}\) for \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{abpy})\text{F}]\). This was due to the effect of the highly electronegative fluoride on rhenium carbonyl back bonding.

### 1.4.3 Absorption spectroscopy

The photochemical and photophysical properties of rhenium(I) complexes can be fine-tuned by adjusting the ligands coordinated to the rhenium(I) tricarbonyl moiety. It is therefore worth examining the ground state absorption properties of such complexes. The absorption spectra of rhenium tricarbonyl complexes display a number of different absorption bands as shown in the absorption spectrum of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]\) (see Figure 1.12). The higher energy feature at 295 nm in the absorption spectrum of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]\) is associated with a bipyridyl based \((n-n^*)\) transition.\(^{21,22,23}\) This ligand centred transition in the free ligand is found at similar energy and shows very little change on complexation to the rhenium metal. The broad band observed at 390 nm is assigned to a \([\text{Re} \rightarrow \pi^*(\text{bpy})]\) metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT) transition. The exact electronic structure of this absorption band will be discussed at a later stage. The MLCT absorption band for rhenium tricarbonyl complexes shifts to lower energy with decreasing solvent polarity due to a characteristic solvatochromism.\(^{45}\)
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Figure 1.12 UV-vis absorption spectrum of [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl] in dichloromethane at 298 K.

MLCT transitions in absorption spectra of rhenium tricarbonyl complexes exhibit band shifts that correlate with the electron-donating/withdrawing nature of the ligand substituents. This is reflected in Table 1.2. The electron-donating substituents on bipyridyl increase the energy of the π* acceptor to a greater degree than they do the dπ (Re) orbitals. For example, the presence of an electron-donating NH₂ group on the bipyridyl ligand substantially shifts the λ_max from 387 nm to 360 nm. Conversely, the presence of an electron-withdrawing group (Cl) stabilises the π* level of the bipyridyl ring causing the λ_max to shift to 410 nm.
Table 1.2  Absorption maxima data for the complexes [Re(CO)$_3$(4,4'-X$_2$-bpy)Cl] at 298 K, (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine). For [Re(CO)$_3$(4,4'-(COOCH$_3$)$_2$-bpy)Cl], data was only available in dichloromethane.

Recent theoretical calculations have afforded a thorough insight into the electronic structure of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] and related complexes. In these contributions, density functional theory (DFT) was applied to [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] and related complexes containing the electron-donating ligands such as 4,4'-dimethyl-bpy along with the electron-withdrawing ligand 5,5'-dibromo-bpy. These studies found that the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) is mainly composed of chloride $p_z$ orbitals that form antibonding interactions with metal $d_{xz}$ orbitals. The lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) and two subsequent unoccupied orbitals are $\pi^*$ orbitals localised on the bipyridyl moieties. The higher unoccupied orbitals show considerable carbonyl character. In these complexes the low energy part of the absorption spectra originates in an electronic transition that corresponds to excitation from the mixed orbitals of rhenium and chloride character into a $\pi^*$ orbital localised on the bipyridyl. Since the HOMO is composed of chloride $p_z$ and metal $d_{xz}$ orbitals, the lowest excited has mixed [Re$\rightarrow\pi^*(bpy)$] MLCT and [Cl$\rightarrow\pi^*(bpy)$] LLCT
character.\textsuperscript{50} The intense bands at higher energy in the absorption spectra were found to correspond to $\pi-\pi^*$ excitations. Feng and co-workers found that the band character is identical for each of the complexes but $|\Delta E (\text{HOMO} - \text{LUMO})|$ decreases with increasing electron withdrawing character of the substituents on the 2,2'-bipyridyl. These results complement earlier studies by Stufkens and co-workers.\textsuperscript{51}

There has been extensive work carried out in synthesising rhenium tricarbonyl complexes of the form $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(L)X]$ where $L = 2,2'$-bipyridine, 1,10-phenanthroline, or 2,3-di(2-pyridyl)pyrazine and $X = \text{substituted pyridine or quinoline}$.\textsuperscript{64,19} The replacement of a chloride with a pyridine or substituted pyridine had a small effect on the absorption maxima. For the series of complexes $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(L)X]$ where $L = 2,3$-di(2-pyridyl)quinoxaline and $X = \text{Cl, pyridine or substituted pyridine}$, the absorption maximum shifted from 449 nm ($X = \text{Cl}$) to 380 nm ($X = \text{pyridine or methyl-pyridine}$).\textsuperscript{52} This shift was attributed to the replacement of the weak field Cl' with a stronger ligand field pyridine.

1.4.4 Excited state behaviour of rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes

1.4.4.1 Rhenium(I) complexes exhibiting charge transfer excited states

Rhenium tricarbonyl complexes are one of the few classes of metal carbonyl complexes that emit in fluid solution. Hence they are candidates for effecting energy and or electron transfer. As previously discussed in Section 1.4.1, the presence of a halide (chloride, bromide or fluoride) in the axial position of complexes of the general configuration $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(L)X]$ (where $L$ is a polypyridyl ligand and $X$ is a halide) allows great synthetic freedom in the synthesis of rhenium tricarbonyl complexes. The ability to synthetically manipulate rhenium tricarbonyl complexes gives rise to interesting excited state behaviour. In the mid 1970s $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]$
was found to emit at room temperature with a $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ at 610 nm.\textsuperscript{22} An example of the broad structureless emission observed by Wrighton and co-workers is shown in Figure 1. 9.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.7\textwidth]{emission_spectra.png}
\caption{Emission spectra of [Re(CO)$_3$Cl(hpy)] at 298 K and 77 K.}
\end{figure}

Emission from [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] has been found to originate from a $^3$MLCT. The emission $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] shifts from 610 nm to 535 nm on going from 298 K to 77 K (Figure 1. 13). This rigidochromic shift is characteristic of emission originating from a $^3$MLCT state. In the case of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] emission from the $^3$MLCT at 298 K decays with a life time of 51 ns and increases to 2.68 $\mu$s on cooling to 77 K.\textsuperscript{53} In the alcoholic glass formed at 77 K, the solvent dipoles are immobile on the time scale of the excited state and consequently they cannot respond to the change in electronic configuration between the ground and excited state that accompanies an excitation. The result is an increase in the emission energy, i.e. a blue shift in the emission spectrum of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] from 610 nm to 535 nm. Another
observation at low temperature is the increase in emission intensity and longer lifetime of the excited state of [Re(CO)3(bpy)Cl] i.e. the lifetime is in the μs range. At low temperature, the complex and its environment are rigid, making it less susceptible to vibronic coupling to low frequency, high amplitude Re-N vibrations, which contribute to radiationless decay.54 Solvent interactions, which may contribute to radiationless decay are also considerably reduced in the frozen matrix, as too is quenching of the excited state by the presence of oxygen, since diffusion of oxygen is restricted. These factors contribute to the increase in emission lifetime and blue shift in the emission maximum of [Re(CO)3(bpy)Cl] on cooling to 77 K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand (L)</th>
<th>298 K</th>
<th>77 K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2,2-bipyridyl (bpy)</td>
<td>610^a</td>
<td>535^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4’-(CH3)2-bpy</td>
<td>601^c</td>
<td>510^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4’-(phenyl)2-bpy</td>
<td>647^d</td>
<td>560^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4’-(COOCH3)2-bpy</td>
<td>600^c</td>
<td>555^f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4’-(Cl)2-bpy</td>
<td>700^d</td>
<td>580^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4’-(NCH3)2-bpy</td>
<td>532^e</td>
<td>472^f</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.3  Emission maxima data for the complexes [Re(CO)3(4,4’-X2-bpy)Cl] at 298 K and 77K. a dichloromethane. b ethanol:methanol (4:1) v/v. c Acetonitrile. d Methyl THF. ^e DMF. ^f DMF/CH2Cl2 (9:1) v/v

There are many examples of rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes displaying ^3MLCT based emission at room temperature and 77 K. The emission maxima are sensitive to the nature of the polypyridine ligand at 298 K and 77 K (see Table 1.3). For example, at 77 K [Re(CO)3(bpy)Cl], [Re(CO)3(4,4’-dimethyl-bpy)Cl] and [Re(CO)3(4,4’-dichloro-bpy)Cl] exhibit emission maxima at 535, 510 and 580 nm.
respectively. The emission maxima (compared to [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)Cl]) are blue shifted when in the presence of an electron donating group (-CH\textsubscript{3}) and are red shifted in the presence of an electron withdrawing group (-Cl). An electron donating group leads to a blue shift in the emission maximum due to the increased energy of the LUMO which results in an increased the \(t_{2g} - \text{3MLCT}\) energy gap. On the other hand, an electron withdrawing group results in a red shift in the emission maximum due to the decreased energy of the LUMO, which decreases the \(t_{2g} - \text{3MLCT}\) energy gap. More recently theoretical calculations have led to a similar conclusion. Yang and co-workers have applied DFT studies to analyse the excited-state properties of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)Cl], [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(5,5'\text{-dibromo-bpy})Cl] and [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(4,4'\text{-dimethyl-bpy})Cl].\textsuperscript{48} For these complexes, the excited state responsible for the luminescence was of \(\text{3MLCT}\) nature. The \(t_{2g} - \text{3MLCT}\) energy gap increased in the order [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(4,4'\text{-dimethyl-bpy})Cl] > [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)Cl] > [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(5,5'\text{-dibromo-bpy})Cl] i.e. the emission maxima are blue shifted when substituted by an electron donating group and red shifted when substituted by an electron withdrawing group.

Radiative decay from rhenium tricarbonyl complexes provides the first indication of the character of the lowest lying excited state. The factors influencing the rate of non-radiative decay with respect to rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes containing polypyridine type ligands will now be discussed. As previously discussed, variations in the structure of the coordinated ligand to the rhenium metal centre have a profound effect on the absorption and emission properties of the metal complexes. Similarly variations in the ligand structure also have an effect on the rate of non-radiative deactivation. A positive linear correlation between \(S_{M}\) and \(E_{0}\) has been observed for a series of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(4,4'\text{-X}_{2}\text{-bpy})Cl] complexes (X = NE\textsubscript{t}\textsubscript{2}, NH\textsubscript{2}, NHC\textsubscript{O}CH\textsubscript{3}, OCH\textsubscript{3}, CH\textsubscript{3}, H, Cl, Ph, CO\textsubscript{2}Et and NO\textsubscript{2}) whose ligands are structurally very similar.\textsuperscript{47} The lowest energy MLCT transition observed in these complexes involves the filled d\textsubscript{π} and the empty \(\pi^*\) orbitals, which are mixed by a back-bonding interaction. When the energy gap between these orbitals is small, there is significant back-bonding between
them, resulting in increased orbital mixing and a reduced amount of charge being exchanged during the MLCT transition i.e. reduced excited state distortion. Hence $k_{nr}$ increases. Alternatively, when the energy gap between the $d_x$ and the $\pi^*$ orbitals is large, back-bonding and orbital mixing are reduced, thus increasing the amount of charge exchanged during the MLCT. As the amount of charge exchanged increases with the energy gap, $E_0$, so does the distortion $S_M$, as the bipyridyl ligand must accept more charge in its $\pi^*$ orbitals. Consequently $k_{nr}$ decreases. A similar EGL correlation has also been determined for $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(4,4'-X_2\text{-bpy})(4\text{-Et-py})]^{-}$ ($X = \text{OCH}_3, \text{CH}_3, \text{CO}_{\text{Et}_2}$ and $\text{CO}_2\text{Et}$).56

Baiano and coworkers have examined the effect of delocalisation and rigidity in the acceptor ligand on MLCT excited state decay in two series of complexes of the type $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{BL})(\text{L})]^{+}$ (BL = 2,3-bis-(2-pyridyl)-pyrazine (dpp) and 2,3-bis-(2-pyridyl)-quinoxaline (dpq); L = 7$N$-methylimidazole, trimethylphosphine, acetonitrile and substituted pyridines).19,52 Their results showed that non radiative decay was the dominant mode of excited state relaxation for the two series of complexes. The complexes also obey the energy gap law since a linear relationship was obtained for the In $k_{nr}$ versus $E_{em}$ plots. The authors concluded that delocalisation of the $\pi^*$ orbital over a ligand reduces the excited state distortion. This is manifested by a decrease in $k_{nr}$ on going from dpq to dpp. The effect of the delocalisation of the $\pi^*$ orbital on the MLCT excited state is also reflected on the drop of the $S_M$ value from 1.19 to 0.10 on going from $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{dpp})(\text{py})]^{+}$ to $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{dpq})(\text{py})]^{+}$. To summarise, the structure of the ligand affects the properties of the MLCT state of rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes through the energy of the accepting $\pi^*$ orbital, its delocalisation over the ligand framework and by the extent of its interaction with the rhenium(I) $d_x$ orbitals.
1.4.4.2 Rhenium(I) complexes exhibiting both charge transfer and ligand localised excited states

There are a large number of rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes that exhibit ligand localised $^3(\pi-\pi^*)^3\text{IL}$ and $^3\text{MLCT}$ excited states. Emission from both $^3\text{MLCT}$ and $^3\text{IL}$ states was reported by Wrighton and co-workers for the series of complexes $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(3\text{-bopy})_2X]$ and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(4\text{-bopy})_2X]$ (3-bopy = 3-benzoylpyridine, 4-bopy = 4-benzoylpyridine; $X = \text{Cl, Br or I}$).$^{57,58}$ Emission at room temperature was broad and structureless and was classed as originating from the $^3\text{MLCT}$ state. A red shift in the emission maxima of the 4-benzoylpyridine complexes compared to the analogous 3-benzoylpyridine complexes was also observed at room temperature. For example $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(3\text{-bopy})_2\text{Cl}]$ has a $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ at 546 nm whereas $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(4\text{-bopy})_2\text{Cl}]$ has a $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ at 600 nm. On lowering the temperature to 77 K, a blue shift in the emission maxima, an increase in emission intensity and longer lifetimes were observed for the 4-benzoylpyridine complexes. At 77 K the authors assigned the emitting level as $^3\text{MLCT}$ in character for $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(4\text{-bopy})_2\text{Cl}]$, $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(4\text{-bopy})_2\text{Br}]$ and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(4\text{-bopy})_2\text{I}]$. For the 3-benzoylpyridine complexes at 77 K, the emission band was structured and was resolved into two components, one with a lifetime of $\sim 18$ $\mu$s and another with a lifetime of $\sim 1400$ $\mu$s. The short-lived component was assigned to a $^3\text{MLCT}$ state while the long-lived component was attributed to a $^3\text{IL}$ state of the 3-benzoylpyridine.

More recently Busby and coworkers examined the excited state properties of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(4\text{-bopy})_2\text{Cl}]$ and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(bpy)(4\text{-bopy})]^+$. The assignment of the lowest excited-state of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(4\text{-bopy})_2\text{Cl}]$ as $[\text{Re} \rightarrow \pi^*(4\text{-bopy})]$ $^3\text{MLCT}$ in character was supported by time-resolved visible absorption (TA) and time-resolved resonance Raman (TR$^3$) studies. The TA spectrum of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(4\text{-bopy})_2\text{Cl}]$ using 393 nm excitation showed a broad absorption with a maximum at 575 nm. This absorption closely resembled the UV-vis spectrum of $[\text{Re}^{II}(\text{CO})_3(4\text{-bopy})(4\text{-bopy}^*)\text{Cl}]$. The
TR\textsuperscript{3} spectrum of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(4-bopy)\textsubscript{2}Cl] was consistent with reduction of one 4-bopy ligand upon excitation. The new bands observed at 1586, 1470, 1330, 1187 and 996 cm\textsuperscript{-1} were attributed to the C-Ph/py stretching and C-H bending modes of the 4-bopy\textsuperscript{*} radical-anionic ligand.\textsuperscript{61}

In contrast, the lowest-lying excited state of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)(4-bopy)]\textsuperscript{+} was identified as [Re→π\textsuperscript{*}(bpy)] \textsuperscript{3}MLCT in character with no involvement of the 4-bopy ligand. This complex represents an unusual case as the lowest unoccupied redox orbital i.e. π\textsuperscript{*}(4-bopy) is different from the lowest unoccupied optical orbital i.e. π\textsuperscript{*}(bpy). [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)(4-bopy)]\textsuperscript{+} was reduced in two reversible one electron steps at \(E_{1/2} = -1.44\) and \(-1.66\) V. These values were close to the first reduction potentials of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(4-bopy)\textsubscript{2}Cl] \((-1.53\) V) and [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)(4-Etpy)]\textsuperscript{+} (4-Etpy = 4-ethylpyridine) \((-1.56\) V). Hence IR spectroelectrochemistry at 223 K of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)(4-bopy)]\textsuperscript{+} was undertaken in order to decide whether the first reduction is localised on the bpy or the 4-bopy ligand. The shift of the ketone CO band from 1670 cm\textsuperscript{-1} to 1624 cm\textsuperscript{-1} indicated that the first reduction is localised on the 4-benzoylpyridine ligand, yielding [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)(4-bopy\textsuperscript{*})]\textsuperscript{+}. The TA spectrum of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)(4-bopy)]\textsuperscript{+} comprises of a broad shoulder between 500 and 600 nm. The TA spectrum of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)(4-bopy)]\textsuperscript{+} was similar to that of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)Cl]. Hence the lowest excited-state was assigned to a [Re→π\textsuperscript{*}(bpy)] \textsuperscript{3}MLCT state. The assignment of the lowest excited-state as \textsuperscript{3}MLCT(bpy) was also supported by time resolved Infrared spectroscopy as no changes were observed in the region of ketone CO vibrations between 1600 and 1700 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. The authors concluded that the excited electron density is localised on the bpy ligand rather than the 4-bopy ligand.

Phenanthroline type complexes with the generic formula [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(s-phen)Cl] (s-phen represents a 1,10-phenanthroline ligand with methyl groups at various positions on the rings) are another example of emissive rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes.\textsuperscript{62}
Emission from these complexes at room temperature originates from a $^3$MLCT state. However in glasses at 77 K, some of these complexes exhibit emission from a single configuration while others show dual luminescence. Where dual luminescence occurs the two emitting states were very close in energy and show extensive vibrational structure. The relative amount of structure in the spectrum at 77 K depends on the phenanthroline ligand in the complex: 5,6-Me$_2$phen $>$ Me$_4$phen $>$ 4,7-Me$_2$phen. The energy of the first band maximum was found to decrease along the series: 5,6-Me$_2$phen (464 nm) $>$ Me$_4$phen (473 nm) $>$ 5,6-Me$_2$phen (484 nm). The lifetimes for these phenanthroline complexes were double exponential with a short-lived $^3$MLCT component of around 10 μs and a long-lived $^3$IL component greater than 135 μs. A more recent example of dual luminescence from $^3$MLCT and $^3$IL states is from a series of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(L)\text{Cl}]$ (L = imidazole derivatives) synthesised by Knör and co-workers.

For rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes having substituted bpy and phenanthroline ligands, Demas and Degraff illustrated that subtle variations in the rhenium(I) coordination environment resulted in significant effects on the nature of the emitting excited state. The emission properties of a series of complexes of the form $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(L-L)X]^+$ where L = 2,2'-bipyridine, 1,10-phenanthroline or 5-phenyl-1,10-phenanthroline and X = substituted pyridine or quinoline were studied. All of the complexes were found to exhibit $^3$MLCT based emission at room temperature. At 77 K, the bpy/pyridine and phenanthroline/pyridine complexes showed broad structureless emission bands with lifetimes between 4.5 and 10.5 μs. These complexes were all classed as $^3$MLCT emitters. However two complexes $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(bpy)(quin)]^+$ and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(5\text{-Phphen})(py)]^+$ (quin = quinoline, 5-Phphen = 5-phenyl-1,10-phenanthroline and py = pyridine ) exhibited highly structured emission bands at 77 K with lifetimes of 1.2 ms and 0.32 ms respectively. The authors concluded the lifetimes were too long for pure $^3$MLCT emitters. The emission spectrum of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(bpy)(quin)]^+$ was structurally similar to that of
protonated quinoline while the emission spectrum of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(5-\text{Phphen})(\text{py})]^+\) was a good match to that of the protonated ligand 5-phenyl-1,10-phenanthroline. At 77 K, emission from \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(5-\text{Phphen})(\text{py})]^+\) was assigned to a \([\pi-\pi^*(5-\text{Phphen})]\) 3IL state whereas \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})(\text{quin})]^+\) gives rise to \([\pi-\pi^*(\text{quin})]\) 3IL based emission. Further studies on a number of complexes of the type \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(L-L)(\text{py})]^+\) where L-L is 1,10-phenanthroline, 5,6-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline, 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline, 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline or 5-phenyl-1,10-phenanthroline indicated the presence of two unequilibrated emitting states, a 3MLCT and a 3IL at 77 K. Note at room temperature, the complexes displayed broad emission spectra which were all assigned as 3MLCT emitters. At 77 K, the emission spectra showed structure comparable to that of the corresponding free ligands. In the glass formed at 77 K, the solvent dipoles are immobile on the time scale of the excited state and consequently they cannot respond to the change in electronic configuration between the ground and excited state that accompanies an excitation. This results in an increase in the emission energy of the 3MLCT state on going from room temperature to 77 K. Emissions arising from 3IL states are insensitive to solvent and temperature effects, as these transitions involve minimal redistribution of electron density with respect to the solvent sphere. The authors concluded at 77 K, the 3MLCT has been shifted to higher energy so that it lies at similar at similar energy or above the energy of the 3IL state. Hence emission occurs from a state that has substantial ligand-centred character.

The photophysical properties of complexes of the general type \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(L-L)(\text{CNR})]^+\) (L = 2,2'-bipyridine, or substituted phenanthroline; R = t-Bu, n-alkyl, or 2,6-dimethylphenyl) have also been examined. For the series of complexes \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(L)(\text{CN-t-Bu})]^+\) (L = bpy, 1,10-phenanthroline, 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline or 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline) the lowest excited state was controlled by varying L or temperature. At room temperature the emission spectra show a clear progression from broad structureless 3MLCT to highly structured
3IL emission on going from bpy, to 1,10-phenanthroline to 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline to 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline.67,68 The trend in 3IL character revealed in the emission spectra was paralleled by increases in the lifetimes. Lifetimes of 1.97, 10.6, 68.9 and 120.7 μs were obtained for the bpy, 1,10-phenanthroline, 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline and 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline complexes respectively. All of the complexes showed increased 3IL character at 77 K as evidenced by the increase in structure of emission spectra. Most notably at 77 K, [Re(CO)3(bpy)(CN-/-Bu)]⁺ emits from both 3IL and 3MLCT states whereas at room temperature only 3MLCT based emission was observed. Similar trends were also observed for the series of complexes [Re(CO)3(s-phen)(CNR)]⁺ where s-phen = 1,10-phenanthroline, 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline or 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline and CNR = 2,6-dimethylphenylisocyanide.71 The 1,10-phenanthroline complex was classed as a 3MLCT emitter at room temperature whereas both 3MLCT and 3IL based emission were observed from the 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline and 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline complexes at room temperature.

Experimentally, the 3IL character of the emissive excited states of the rhenium(I) complexes discussed in this section are manifested by a sharp, structured, emission band which closely resembles that of the free ligand. However, it should be noted that the observation of a typical broad MLCT emission from a complex with close-lying MLCT and IL states does not necessarily prove that the MLCT is the lowest excited state. This is the case of the complex [Re(CO)3(dppz)Cl] where dppz is dipyrido[3,2-a:2',3'-c]phenazine (see Figure 1.14).73 Note the room temperature emission spectrum is broad and structureless. Excited state resonance Raman spectra of [Re(CO)3(dppz)Cl] in dichloromethane at room temperature were consistent with a dppz-centred 3IL state. Instead of decaying directly to the ground-state, the 3IL state of [Re(CO)3(dppz)Cl] is deactivated through a thermally populated higher lying emissive 3MLCT state.
Both the emission and time-resolved Infrared spectra of an analogous complex
\([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{dppz})(\text{PPh}_3)]^+\) (\(\text{PPh}_3 = \text{triphenylphosphine}\)) point to an \(^3\text{IL}\) character of its
lowest excited state.\(^{73,74}\) The emission spectrum has the characteristic, resolved
vibronic structure and long lifetime (\(\tau = 42 \, \mu\text{s}\)) of a \(^3\text{IL}\) excited state. Small shifts to
lower energy of carbonyl bands were observed in the excited-state IR spectrum when
compared to the ground-state spectrum. Each carbonyl band in the excited state is
shifted by \(\sim 8 \, \text{cm}^{-1}\). These shifts in the IR spectra indicate that, in the excited-state,
rhenium(I) is a better electron donor to the carbonyl ligand than in the ground-state.
Hence \(^3\text{IL(dppz)}\) is a better electron donor to the rhenium(I) metal centre than
ground-state dppz. The authors concluded that the \(^3\text{MLCT}\) state of
\([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{dppz})(\text{PPh}_3)]\)\(^+\) is too high in energy compared to the \(^3\text{IL}\) state to provide an
efficient deactivation pathway.

The room temperature emission spectrum of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{dppz})(\text{py})]^+\) is structured with
two vibronic components at \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 556\) and 559 nm, with lifetimes of 1.08 \(\mu\text{s}\) and 1.57
\(\mu\text{s}\).\(^{75,76}\) The photophysical properties of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{dppz})(\text{py})]^+\) (\(\text{py} = \text{pyridine}\)) in
acetonitrile were also studied using excited-state IR, transient absorption and
resonance Raman spectroscopy on picosecond and nanosecond timescales.\(^75\) The
results confirm that the lowest lying emissive state is a \(^3\text{IL}\) state localised on the dppz
ligand. The studies have also provided detailed information on the dynamics of the complex upon photoexcitation. The dppz ligand was viewed in terms of a phenanthroline (phen) and a phenazine (phz) moiety. The emissive state of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{(dppz)(py)}]^+\) is more accurately described as an \(3\text{IL(phz)}\) state. The picosecond studies show that \(3\text{IL(phz)}\) state is formed within 30 ps of excitation from the \(3\text{IL(phen)}\) state. In addition, the shift to higher wavenumber of the carbonyl bands in the excited state IR spectrum over a 30 ps timescale is consistent with conversion from \(3\text{IL(phen)}\) state to a \(3\text{IL(phz)}\) state. Gordon and co workers have investigated the excited states formed upon photoexcitation of Cu(I) and Re(I) complexes of dppz and a number of substituted analogues of this ligand.\(^77,78\) Using a combination of resonance Raman specterelectrochemistry, transient resonance Raman and excited state absorption techniques, it was shown that the lowest excited state was dppz-centred \(3\pi\pi^*\), except where an electron-withdrawing substituent was present on the dppz.

As previously discussed, the rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes of 2,2'-bipyridine or 1,10-phenanthroline have long lived, emissive \(3\text{MLCT}\) excited states.\(^54\) Introduction of a NO\(_2\) group to a bipyridine or phenanthroline ligand in a rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complex can strongly influence their photophysical properties. For example, the complexes \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(4,4'-(\text{NO}_2)\text{2-bpy})\text{Cl}]\), \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(4,4'-(\text{NO}_2)\text{2-bpy})\text{Etpy}]^+\) (Etpy = 4-ethylpyridine) and \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(5-\text{NO}_2\text{-phen})\text{Cl}]\) are nonemissive at room temperature, compared to the emissive bipyridine and phenanthroline analogues.\(^21,33,55\) The lack of emission was interpreted as evidence for fast nonradiative decay of the \(3\text{MLCT}\) state resulting in very short excited state \(3\text{MLCT}\) lifetimes. For instance, the \(3\text{MLCT}\) lifetimes of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(5-\text{NO}_2\text{-phen})\text{Cl}]\) and \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(5-\text{NO}_2\text{-phen})\text{Etpy}]^+\) are 7.6 ± 1.8 and 170 ± 20 ps respectively.\(^79\) Time-resolved IR spectroscopic (TRIR) studies were conducted by Gabrielsson and coworkers on \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(5-\text{NO}_2\text{-phen})\text{Cl}]\) in order to ascertain the decay path of the \(3\text{MLCT}\) state.\(^80\) The ground-state IR spectrum of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(5-\text{NO}_2\text{-phen})\text{Cl}]\) shows three carbonyl bands at 1904, 1921 and 2026 cm\(^{-1}\).
The spectrum recorded at 2ps after excitation shows negative bands at 1904, 1921 and 2026 cm\(^{-1}\) and transient bands at 1972, 2010 and 2095 cm\(^{-1}\). These bands were attributed to formation of a \(^3\)MLCT excited-state. The \(^3\)MLCT spectral features decay with a lifetime of 10.4 ± 0.4 ps. Decay of the \(^3\)MLCT spectral features is accompanied by a growth of two bands at 1886 and 2015 cm\(^{-1}\). These bands decay with a lifetime of 30.5 ± 2 ps. This secondary transient in the TRIR spectra was assigned to a \(^3\)IL state of an \(n\pi^*\) origin. Note, the proposed \(^3\)n\(\pi^*\) intraligand character of the secondary transient is consistent with the a downward shift of the carbonyl bands as observed for other rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes.\(^{81,82}\) The presence of the \(^3\)n\(\pi^*\) state provides a deactivation pathway for the \(^3\)MLCT excited-state in \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(5-\text{NO}_2-\text{phen})\text{Cl}]\). Conversion to the \(^3\)n\(\pi^*\) state is much faster than the usual decay to the ground state of \(^3\)MLCT. Hence the \(^3\)MLCT lifetime is shorter than the analogous phenanthroline complex that does not contain a nitro group.

The nature and dynamics of the lowest excited states of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(5-\text{NO}_2-\text{phen})\text{L}]^+\) (where \(\text{L} = 4\)-ethylpyridine (4-Etpy), imidazole (imH)) were investigated using picosecond visible and IR transient absorption spectroscopy.\(^{79}\) The transient absorption spectra of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(5-\text{NO}_2-\text{phen})(4-\text{EtPy})]^+\) and \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(5-\text{NO}_2-\text{phen})(\text{imH})]^+\) show bands at ~ 460 nm and 600 nm that closely resemble spectra of reduced nitro-aromatic radical anions. In addition, the carbonyl bands observed in the picosecond TRIR spectra of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(5-\text{NO}_2-\text{phen})(4-\text{EtPy})]^+\) and \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(5-\text{NO}_2-\text{phen})(\text{imH})]^+\) are shifted to higher wavenumbers. These features are characteristic of a \(^3\)MLCT \([\text{Re}^{II}(\text{CO})_3(5-\text{NO}_2-\text{phen}^*)(\text{L})]^+\) state. For \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(5-\text{NO}_2-\text{phen})(\text{L})]^+\), the \(^3\)MLCT state undergoes deactivation through the same \(^3\)IL \(n\pi^*\) state as proposed for \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(5-\text{NO}_2-\text{phen})\text{Cl}]\).\(^{80}\) The \(^3\)MLCT state of \([\text{Re}^{II}(\text{CO})_3(5-\text{NO}_2-\text{phen}^*)(\text{Him})]^+\) also undergoes imidazole→Re(II) electron transfer. Imidazole→Re(II) electron transfer leads to the formation of a \(^3\)LLCT excited state formulated as \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(5-\text{NO}_2-\text{phen}^*)(\text{Him}^*)]^+\). The nonemissive \(^3\)LLCT state decays to the ground state with a lifetime of 19 ps in D\(_2\)O and 50 ps in methanol.
1.4.4.3 Photoinduced electron transfer in rhenium(I) carbonyl complexes

As previously discussed in Section 1.1, transition metal chemistry is focused towards the design of suitable supramolecular systems for potential use in artificial photosynthesis. Rhenium(I) complexes have been extensively studied because of their potential application in photochemically driven molecular devices. In most of the systems that have been previously synthesised, an organic spacer separates the metal donor/acceptor units. The mononuclear rhenium complexes that will be discussed in this section represent an interesting case where charge separation is achieved in two organic units linked by a rhenium metal centre.

**Figure 1.15** Structures of the ligands 4,4'-bis[p-(diethyl-amino)-α-styryl]-2,2'-bipyridine (DEAS-bpy) and 1,10-bis(4-pyridyl)-3,8-dimethyl-1,3,5,7,9-decapentaene (BPP).
Long-lived charge separation in the mononuclear complex \([(DEAS-bpy)Re(CO)_3(BPP)]^+\) (where \(DEAS-bpy = 4,4\text{'-bis}[(\text{diethyl-amino})-\alpha\text{-styryl}]\text{-2,2\text{'-bipyridine, } BPP = 1,10\text{-bis}(4\text{-pyridyl})\text{-3,8-dimethyl-1,3,5,7,9-decapentaene; see Figure 1.15}\) at room temperature has been observed by Ziessel and coworkers.\(^{84,85}\) Weak ligand-centred emission at 298 K was initially observed in the tricarbonyl complex \([Re(CO)_3(DEAS-bpy)Cl]\).\(^{55}\) Replacement of the chloride with BPP was found to quench the emission at 298 K. Following detailed ground and excited-state absorption studies, it was proposed that optical excitation of \([Re(CO)_3(DAES-bpy)(BPP)]^+\) produces a charge-separated \([Re(CO)_3(DAES-bpy^*)(BPP^*)]^+\) state which has a lifetime of 4.3 \(\mu\)s. This process is formally viewed as a ligand-ligand charge transfer (LLCT) excited state.

Another case of interesting intramolecular photoreactivity occurs in \([Re(CO)_3(dmb)(MQ^+)]^{2+}\) (\(dmb = 4,4\text{'-Me}_2\text{-2,2\text{'-bipyridyl; } MQ^+ = N-Me-4,4\text{'-bipyridinium}\) complex.\(^{86}\) Absorption of light in the corresponding \([Re(CO)_3(dmb)Cl]\) complex results in the population of a \(Re\rightarrow dmb\) \(^3\)MLCT which decays with a lifetime of 10 ns.\(^{87}\) Optical excitation (355 or 400 nm) of \([Re(CO)_3(dmb)(MQ^+)]^{2+}\) populates a \(Re\rightarrow dmb\) \(^3\)MLCT excited state. The \(Re\rightarrow dmb\) \(^3\)MLCT excited state \([Re^{II}(CO)_3(dmb^*)(MQ^+)]^{2+}\) undergoes an ultrafast intramolecular electron transfer \(dmb^*\rightarrow MQ^+\) with a lifetime of 8 ps in acetonitrile or 14 ps in ethylene glycol.\(^{88,89}\) This process is summarised in Figure 1.16. Picosecond time-resolved resonance Raman and IR studies have revealed that intramolecular electron transfer is accompanied by structural reorganisation of the \(MQ^+\) and rhenium(I) tricarbonyl units.\(^{90}\) The MQ ligand in the \([Re^{II}(CO)_3(dmb)(MQ^*)]^2+\) excited state has a planar quinoidal structure. Positive shifts of the carbonyl bands in the TRIR spectra indicate shortening of the carbonyl bands due to a decrease of electron density on the rhenium(I) metal centre upon electron transfer.
Further studies into solvent-dependent dynamics of excited-state electron transfer in $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{dmb})(\text{MQ}^+)])^{2+}$ were also carried out.\textsuperscript{91} $\text{MQ}^+\rightarrow\text{Re}^{\text{II}}$ back electron transfer
was found to be moderately dependent on the molecular nature of the solvent. The observed solvent dependence on the electron transfer rate was explained by interactions between the positively charged MQ$^+$ and solvent molecules in the electron-transfer product $[\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(\text{dmb})(\text{MQ}^+)]^{2+}$. Slower rates were observed for axial ligands in which the acceptor group is separated from the coordinating pyridine fragment by a spacer.$^92$

![Figure 1.17 Structure of the py-PTZ ligand and the mechanism for the population of the py-PTZ$^+\rightarrow\text{bpy}$ LLCT state for $[\text{Re}(\text{py-PTZ})(\text{CO})_3(\text{bpy})]^+$.](image)

The ligand py-PTZ (pyridine-phenothiazine) is an oxidisable ligand. This property of the py-PTZ ligand plays an interesting role in the excited-state dynamics of $[\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(\text{bpy})(\text{py-PTZ})]^+$.$^93,94$ Excitation of $[\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(\text{bpy})(\text{py-PTZ})]^+$ results in the formation of $[\text{Re}^{II}(\text{CO})_3(\text{bpy}^*)(\text{py-PTZ})]^+$. An electron is transferred from py-PTZ to Re(II) ($<10$ ns) forming $[\text{Re}^{I}(\text{CO})_3(\text{bpy}^*)(\text{py-PTZ}^{*+})]^+$. This is viewed as a py-PTZ$^+\rightarrow\text{bpy}$ ligand to ligand charge transfer, LLCT, excited state. The LLCT excited state decays to the ground-state by intramolecular charge-transfer, bpy$^*\rightarrow$py-PTZ$^{*+}$. Figure 1.17 summarises this process. Note the quenching of the $[\text{Re}^{II}(\text{CO})_3(\text{bpy}^*)(\text{py-PTZ})]^+$ excited state by py-PTZ is inhibited in rigid media as the required folding of the py-PTZ to reach a conformation suitable for electron transfer is prevented.$^93$
1.4.4.4 Photoreactivity

Rhenium(I) polypyridine tricarbonyl complexes with a chloride or a nitrogen donor in the axial position do not undergo any ligand photodissociation under visible irradiation. Photodissociation of a CO ligand has been observed in rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes with a phosphorus ligand in the axial position. Rhenium tricarbonyl complexes of the type \([\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(X_2\text{-bpy})(\text{PR}_3)]^+\) \((X_2\text{-bpy} = 4,4'-X_2\text{-2,2'-bipyridine})\) were photoactive at ambient temperature. Excitation of these complexes in acetonitrile solution gave the biscarbonyl complexes \(\text{cis,trans-}[\text{Re}(\text{CO})_2(X_2\text{-bpy})(\text{PR}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})]^+\). These reactions were the first reported examples of photochemical ligand substitution reactions of rhenium diimine tricarbonyl complexes via their \(^3\text{LF}\) excited states. Koike and coworkers have since looked at the photostability of complexes of the form \([\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(X_2\text{-bpy})\text{Cl}]^+\) and \([\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(X_2\text{-bpy})(\text{py})]^+\) \((\text{py} = \text{pyridine})\) compared to their equivalent phosphorous containing complexes \([\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(X_2\text{-bpy})(\text{PR}_3)]^+\). The following theories were used to explain the photoreactivity of the phosphorous complexes compared to the chloride and pyridine derivatives:

i) \(^3\text{LF}\) excited states of rhenium diimine tricarbonyl complexes with a phosphorous ligand can be populated from the \(^3\text{MLCT}\) states at ambient temperature.

ii) Large band gaps between the \(^3\text{LF}\) and \(^3\text{MLCT}\) states in the chloride and pyridine complexes.

iii) The strong \(\pi\)- acidity of \(\text{PR}_3\) compared to the \(\pi\)- base \(\text{Cl}^-\) and the weaker \(\pi\)- acid pyridine causes a much weaker Re-C bond between the metal and the CO ligand trans to the phosphorous ligand compared to the Re-C bonds of the chloride and pyridine complexes.
When population of the $^3$LF state occurs, the bonds between the rhenium and the ligands are weakened. The weakest bonds, i.e. Re-CO (axial) bonds of the phosphorous containing complexes are broken while the Re-CO bonds in the chloro and pyridine complexes are not weak enough to be broken.

**Figure 1.18 Photoinduced cyclisation of diarylethene-1,10-phenanthroline.**

There are a few examples of photochemistry originating from ligands coordinated to a rhenium tricarbonyl. Wing-Wah Yam and co-workers recently reported photochemically induced cyclisation of a diarylethene-containing 1,10-phenanthroline ligand coordinated to a [Re(CO)$_3$Cl] metal fragment (see Figure 1.18). Upon UV excitation of the complex at $\lambda = 313$ nm, three new absorption bands at 390 nm, 546 nm and 580 nm were observed in the absorption spectrum. By comparison with the photocyclised form of the free ligand, these new absorptions were attributed to absorptions of the closed form, generated by photocyclisation of the open form. The nature of the excited state involved the photocyclisation is not yet fully understood.

Wrighton and coworkers have studied the photochemical properties of [Re(CO)$_3$(trans-4-styrylpyridine)$_2$Cl]. Absorption studies showed the lowest lying transition at 366 nm is a spin allowed singlet IL ($\pi-\pi^*$) transition. Irradiation of
[Re(CO)₃(trans-4-styrylpyridine)₂Cl] leads to efficient trans → cis isomerisation of the styrylpyridine ligands (Figure 1.19).

The similarity between the isomerisation of [Re(CO)₃(trans-4-styrylpyridine)₂Cl] and the free styrylpyridine ligand led the authors to propose that the isomerisation occurs form a 3IL state. Femtosecond and picosecond time-resolved visible absorption, resonance Raman and IR studies of [Re(CO)₃(trans-4-styrylpyridine)₂Cl] have concluded that ligand photoisomerisation occurs from a trans-4-styrylpyridine localised 3IL state of 3ππ character. TRIR spectra measured 2 ps after 400 nm excitation of [Re(CO)₃(trans-4-styrylpyridine)₂Cl], show the A' (1) carbonyl band of the 3IL state shifts to lower energy by ~10 cm⁻¹ compared to the corresponding band in the ground state IR spectrum. This 3IL state then undergoes a 12 ps conversion to another 3IL state. Note the the A' (1) carbonyl band of this 3IL state is downshifted by ~ 5 cm⁻¹. This switching of 3IL states was interpreted as rotation of the C=C bond. Isomerisation to the cis form and return to the ground state follows.
Photoisomerisation also occurs in the bipyridyl complex \([\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(\text{bpy})(\text{trans-4-styrylpyridine})]^+\) via a \(\text{Re} \rightarrow \text{bpy} \text{MLCT}\) state.\(^{100,101,102}\) Optical excitation (400 nm) of \([\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(\text{bpy})(\text{trans-4-styrylpyridine})]^+\) populates a \(\text{Re} \rightarrow \text{bpy} \text{1MLCT}\) excited state. This rapidly (~0.23 ps) undergoes \(\text{1MLCT} \rightarrow \text{3MLCT}\) intersystem crossing. The ground-state IR spectrum of \([\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(\text{bpy})(\text{trans-4-styrylpyridine})]^+\) shows two carbonyl bands at 1932 and 2034 cm\(^{-1}\). The TRIR spectrum recorded measured at 1ps after excitation shows the ground state bleach bands at 1932 and 2034 cm\(^{-1}\) and transient bands at 1963, 1995 and 2061 cm\(^{-1}\). These bands were attributed to formation of a \(\text{Re} \rightarrow \text{bpy} \text{3MLCT}\) excited-state. The \(\text{Re} \rightarrow \text{bpy} \text{3MLCT}\) spectral features disappear after 10 ps. The decay of the \(\text{3MLCT}\) bands is accompanied by growth of a band at 2027 cm\(^{-1}\) and a broad band between 1910 and 1960 cm\(^{-1}\). This transient resembled the TRIR spectral pattern observed for \([\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(\text{trans-4-styrylpyridine})_2\text{Cl}]\). Consequently it was attributed to a \(\text{trans-4-styrylpyridine}\) localised \(\text{3IL}\) state. The 2027 cm\(^{-1}\) band decays after 12 ps while another band grows at 2031 cm\(^{-1}\). This band was attributed to rotation of the C=C bond resulting in perpendicular orientation of the phenyl and pyridine rings of the styrylpyridine ligand. This band then decays with isomerisation to the \textit{cis} form and a return to the ground state.

1.5 Dinuclear systems containing rhenium(1)

1.5.1 Electronic and structural properties of bridging ligands

Polypyridine type ligands have been extensively used to build binuclear systems. In such systems the metal units are linked by bridging ligands. Ligands capable of linking two metal centres are termed bridging ligands. The choice of suitable bridging ligands is crucial in determining the properties of bimetallic complexes, because:
i) Their coordinating sites influence the spectroscopic and redox properties of the metal centres.

ii) Their structure and orientation of their coordinating sites determine the architecture of the complex.

iii) Their chemical nature controls electronic communication between the metal centres.

\[2,2':3',2'':6'',2''':quaterpyridine^{103,104}\]
\[2,3\text{-bis-(2-pyridyl)-pyrazine}^{105,106}\]
\[2,5\text{-bis-(2-pyridyl)-pyrazine}^{107}\]
\[3,6\text{-bis-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4,5-tetrazine}^{108}\]

\[\text{Figure 1. 20 Examples of } \pi\text{-accepting bridging ligands.}\]

Bridging ligands are generally classed in terms of their \(\sigma\)-donating and \(\pi\)-accepting abilities. The \(\pi\)-accepting ligands form the majority of bridging ligands. There is a wide range of bidentate-bridging ligands available but the most widely studied are
those based on neutral derivatives of pyridine and pyrazine. Some examples are shown in Figure 1.20. Such ligands mediate intermetallic communication via low-lying $\pi^*$ orbital. Due to the low-lying $\pi^*$-orbitals of these bridging ligands, the lowest MLCT transition is usually bridged based. When luminescence is observed, it originates from the bridging ligand. Likewise the first reduction wave of these complexes is found on the bridging ligand. Upon coordination of a second metal centre to a mononuclear complex with a $\pi$-accepting bridging ligand, the following trends are observed:

i) The bridging ligand reduction potential becomes much less negative.
ii) The first metal-centred oxidation potential becomes slightly more positive.
iii) The absorption and emission maxima shift to lower energy.

The above trends are attributed to further stabilisation of the $\pi^*$-levels of the bridging ligand upon coordination of a second metal centre.

Examples of $\sigma$-donating ligands are shown in Figure 1.21. The strong $\sigma$-donating abilities of these ligands arise from the formation of their anionic analogues when complexed to a metal centre. Bimolecular systems containing $\sigma$-donor bridging ligands have very different properties to complexes containing strong $\pi$-acceptor bridging ligands. These properties are best illustrated using $[M(bpy)_2(bpt)]^+$ where $M = \text{Ru(II)}$ or $\text{Os(II)}$.109,110 The lowest $\pi^*$ level of $bpt^-$ is at higher energy than the $\pi^*$ levels of the bpy ligands. Hence the lowest energy absorption and emission bands are bpy-based.
Furthermore, the absorption and emission bands of the dinuclear complexes are observed at higher energy than those of the mononuclear compounds. The negative charge of the bpt$^{-}$ anion is shared upon coordination of a second metal centre. This effect causes a decrease in electron density on the metal centres. The energy of the d* metal orbital is stabilised, thus increasing the energy separation between the d* level and the bpy-based $\pi^*$ orbital. This is reflected in the blue shift of the absorption and emission bands. This is in sharp contrast to the previously described $\pi$-accepting bridges.

**Figure 1.21** Examples of $\sigma$-donating bridging ligands.
1.5.2 Previous complexes of ruthenium(II) and rhenium(I)

There has been considerable interest in the study of photoinduced electron and energy transfer between components in supramolecular systems due to the potential development of devices capable of performing light-induced functions.\textsuperscript{4,13,114} Interest has focused on the low spin d\textsuperscript{6} metal complexes $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_3]^{2+}$, $[\text{Os(bpy)}_3]^{2+}$ and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]$ due to their favourable redox excited-state properties. Studies on various Ru(II)/Os(II) polypyridine systems have shown that excitation of the Ru(II) centre results in emission from the Os(II) centre following intercomponent energy transfer.\textsuperscript{115,116,117} Previous examples of Ru(II)/Re(I) systems, which are less common are discussed in this section.

Shown in Figure 1.22 are examples of previously studied heteronuclear Ru(II)/Re(I) complexes. In each complex, the bridging ligand is a conjugated system with a relatively small intermetallic distance between the metal centres. The photophysical and electrochemical properties of the heteronuclear complexes shown in Figure 1.22 have been studied.\textsuperscript{118,119,120} For each of these complexes, intercomponent energy transfer occurs from the rhenium centre to the ruthenium centre, i.e. only ruthenium emission is observed when the complex is excited at the wavelength of the ruthenium or rhenium absorption maximum.
Ward and coworkers have synthesised a number of Ru(II)/Re(I) complexes containing the asymmetric ligand 2,2':3',2'':6'',2''''-quaterpyridine (AB). This quaterpyridine ligand is a binucleating ligand with two bpy-type binding sites, A and B. The interesting feature of this ligand is that these sites, A and B, are both sterically and electronically inequivalent. Chelating site B is more sterically hindered when compared to site A. The structures of two of the complexes synthesised by Ward are shown in Figure 1.23. In both cases the first metal in the formula is...
coordinated to the sterically less hindered site (labelled A). Interestingly, when one equivalent of the metal was reacted with the ligand, the metal only bound to site A. Only under more extreme conditions does the second metal co-ordinate at site B.

\[ \text{Ru-AB-Re} \]

\[ \text{Re-AB-Ru} \]

**Figure 1.23** Heterodinuclear Ru(II)/Re(I) complexes of the asymmetric quaterpyridine ligand, AB.

In both Ru-AB-Re and Re-AB-Ru, the metal fragments are photochemically and redox active. Both complexes exhibit site-dependent absorption, luminescence and electrochemical properties. The positional isomers Ru-AB-Re and Re-AB-Ru exhibit either Ru-based or Re-based emission, depending on the coordination sites of the metal. This was attributed to the direction of energy transfer. The luminescence state of the Ru-AB-Re complex is centred on the Ru-based moiety i.e. Re → Ru energy transfer. In the Re-AB-Ru complex, luminescence is centred on the Re moiety Ru → Re energy transfer. This is in contrast to other Ru(II)/Re(II) polypyridyl complexes in which the direction of energy transfer was always Re → Ru (Figure 1.22).
Figure 1. 24 shows the mixed-metal complex \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{bpyen})\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{py})]^{3+}\). When excited at 355 nm in dichloromethane, the complex was found to emit at both 610 nm (Ru) and 540 nm (Re).\(^{122}\) The emissions were assigned as \(^3\)MLCT in origin, and were based on each of the metal centres. Communication via the bridging ligand bpyen was poor, but was sufficient to allow Ru \(\rightarrow\) Re energy transfer between the metal centres. The dual emission observed in \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{bpyen})\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{py})]^{3+}\) is different to the other examples of heteronuclear Ru(II)/Re(I) complexes shown in Figure 1. 22 and Figure 1. 23, as these complexes all exhibited emission originating from a single metal centre.

![Figure 1.24](image)

**Figure 1.24** The mixed-metal complex \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{bpyen})\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{py})]^{3+}\) where bpyen = 1,2-bis(4'-methyl-2,2'-bipyridyl-4-yl)ethane.

Encinas and coworkers have reported dual emission from the Ru(II)/Re(I) complex, \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{dstyb})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^{2+}\) (see Figure 1. 25).\(^{123}\) The luminescence spectrum of \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{dstyb})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^{2+}\) at room temperature showed two distinct emission features at 621 nm and 695 nm. The band maximum at 621 nm was attributed to emission from the \(^3\)Ru \(\rightarrow\) dstyb CT excited state, while the band at 695 nm assigned to an intra-ligand transition (\(^3\)IL) from the bridging ligand dstyb.
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Figure 1.25 The heteronuclear $\text{Ru(II)/Re(I)}$ complex $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{dstyb})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^{2+}$, where dstyb is the bridging ligand 1,4-bis[2-(4'-methyl-2,2'-bipyridyl-4-yl)ethenyl]benzene.

By comparing the excitation spectrum of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{dstyb})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^{2+}$ with the absorption spectra of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{dstyb})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^{2+}$ and the mononuclear ruthenium complex $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{dstyb})]^{2+}$, the authors established the relaxation pathways for the excitation energy in the heteronuclear complex. These results indicated that emission from the $^3\text{IL(dstyb)}$ state is sensitised by the rhenium based absorption, whereas the $^3\text{Ru} \rightarrow \text{dstyb CT}$ emission is not directly sensitised by the rhenium based absorption. They also concluded that some of the light absorbed by the rhenium based chromophore of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{dstyb})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^{2+}$ is firstly transferred to the bridging ligand and then redistributed between the $^3\text{IL(dstyb)}$ and $^3\text{Ru} \rightarrow \text{dstyb CT}$ levels (Figure 1.26).
The overall excitation process of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{dstyb})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^{2+}\) involves an indirect Re \(\rightarrow\) Ru energy transfer process mediated by the bridging ligand. This is in contrast to the other Ru(II)/Re(I) complexes illustrated in Figure 1.22, where Re \(\rightarrow\) Ru energy transfer is mediated by direct excitation of the Re-based chromophore.

1.6 Scope of thesis

Chapter 2 describes the synthesis, purification and characterisation of a series of mononuclear rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes containing substituted 3-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole ligands. The electronic properties of the pyridyl-triazole complexes
are compared to [Re(CO)\(_3\)(bpy)Cl], where bpy is 2,2'-bipyridyl. The substitution of groups on the triazole ligand and the effect of these substituents on the ground-state and excited-state properties of the complexes is also examined. Chapter 3 introduces a series of 3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole ligands and the corresponding rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes of these ligands. The pyrazyl-triazole ligands are analogous to those prepared in Chapter 2. The pyrazyl-triazole complexes have also been prepared and studied in the same systematic method as their pyridyl-triazole analogues.

Chapter 4 deals with the ligand 3,5-bis(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole (Hbpt). Unlike the triazole ligands discussed in Chapters 2 and 3, the Hbpt ligand provides the opportunity to coordinate two metal centres. Chapter 4 will firstly discuss the synthesis and characterisation of the rhenium(I) and ruthenium(II) complexes containing the Hbpt ligand. The mononuclear complexes discussed will include [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hbpt)Cl], [Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(bpt)]\(^+\) and [Ru(d\(_8\)-bpy)\(_2\)(bpt)]\(^+\). The synthesis and characterisation of the dinuclear complexes [\{Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl\}_2(Hbpt)], [Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(bpt)Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl]\(^-\) and [Ru(d\(_8\)-bpy)\(_2\)(bpt)Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl]\(^+\) are also discussed in Chapter 4. The photophysical and electrochemical properties of both the mononuclear and dinuclear complexes will also be examined. The deuteriated complex [Ru(d\(_8\)-bpy)\(_2\)(bpt)Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl]\(^+\), whereby the bipyridine rings are deuteriated aids the elucidation of the \(^1\)H NMR spectra. Particular attention will also be paid to the photophysics of [Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(bpt)Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl]\(^+\) and its deuteriated analogue [Ru(d\(_8\)-bpy)\(_2\)(bpt)Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl]\(^+\) with the use of partial deuteriation as a probe in the location of the excited state.

Chapter 5 discusses the methylation of the ruthenium(II) bipyridyl complex containing the pyrazyl-triazole ligand 3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-5-phenyl-1,2,4-triazole. In addition selective deuteration of the bipyridyl ligands and the triazole ligand is also employed. A comparison of the \(^1\)H NMR spectra of the deuteriated and undeuteriated complexes aids structural characterisation of the complexes. The photophysical and electrochemical properties of all complexes are also examined. Wavelength
dependent ground-state resonance Raman measurements are also discussed in order to elucidate the electronic transitions in the absorption spectra of the methylated complexes.

In Chapter 6 the instrumentation and techniques used to characterise the compounds are listed and the use of each will be described in the course of the work. Some final remarks on the work and suggestions for future work will be considered in chapter 7. Finally two appendices are supplemented to the thesis. The first discusses the attempted synthesis of a heteronuclear complex $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{LM(CO)}_4]^{2+}$ (where $L = 2,3$-bis-(2-pyridyl)-pyrazine; $M = \text{Cr, Mo or W}$). The second appendix refers to the poster presentations made during the course of this research.
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Chapter 2

Rhenium(I) complexes containing substituted pyridyl-triazole ligands.

Chapter 2 introduces a series of pyridyl-triazole ligands and the corresponding rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes of these ligands. All the complexes were characterised and examined for their photophysical and electrochemical properties. The introduction and the discussion of the properties of the complexes in Chapter 2 are relevant also for the pyrazyl-triazole complexes discussed in Chapter 3 and the dinuclear complexes discussed in Chapter 4.
2.1 Introduction

Polypyridyl complexes with d^6 metal centres have generated widespread interest in recent years due to their photophysical and photochemical properties. These complexes have the ability to play the role of light absorption and/or light emission sensitisers in systems that mimic energy or electron transfer processes in naturally occurring photosynthetic systems. Complexes with d^6 metal centres such as Ru(II), Os(II) and Rh(III) have been extensively studied whilst complexes containing Re(I) have been researched to a lesser degree. The properties of these complexes can be controlled by the σ-donor and π-acceptor properties of the ligands in the coordination sphere. Strong π-acceptor ligands such as 2,2'-bipyrazine, 2,2'-biquinoline and 2,2'-bipyridine stabilise the filled metal orbitals causing higher oxidation and lower reduction potentials. Strong σ-donor ligands (pyrazoles and imidazoles) donate electron density to the metal centre giving rise to lower oxidation potentials and more negative reduction potentials. By changing the nature of the ligands, not only are the redox potentials altered, but also the energies of the absorption and emission bands. Hence the σ-donor and π-acceptor properties of a ligand enable the fine tuning of the ground and excited-state properties of a complex.

1,2,4-triazole ligands are an interesting category of ligand as they are strong σ-donors and weak π-acceptors. Since the first report of a ruthenium complex containing a 1,2,4-triazole in 1983, complexes containing 1,2,4-triazoles and their derivatives have generated a significant amount of interest. These asymmetric bidentate ligands combine a σ-donor triazole fragment with a π-acceptor unit (see Figure 2.1). Previous work on a number of metal complexes containing 1,2,4-triazole ligands has revealed that coordination is possible via N_2 or N_4 (Figure 2.1). Furthermore it has been widely accepted that the N_2 coordination site is a better σ-donor than the N_4 site. Another interesting property of these ligands that cannot be overlooked is the presence of a proton on the 1,2,4-triazole. This proton can be removed under basic conditions resulting in
a negative charge which resides on the triazole. The presence of this negative charge on the triazole has given rise to ruthenium(II) complexes with unusual photophysical properties.\textsuperscript{13,14}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{pyridyl-triazole.png}
\caption{General structure of a substituted 3-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole.}
\end{figure}

In this chapter the synthesis and properties of a series of new mononuclear rhenium tricarbonyl complexes containing various 3-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole ligands are described. The structure of the complexes has been determined using NMR and infra-red (IR) spectroscopy. The electronic properties of the pyridyl-triazole complexes are compared to [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)Cl] (bpy = 2,2'-'bipyridyl). The substitution of groups on the triazole ligand and the effect of these substituents on the ground-state and excited-state properties of the complexes is also examined. Previous studies have shown that ruthenium bipyridyl complexes containing a 1,2,4-triazole ligand exhibit interesting acid-base properties.\textsuperscript{13,15} Thus, the effect of deprotonation of the ligand on the physical properties of the complexes has also been investigated. The structures and abbreviations for the ligands cited in this chapter are shown in Figure 2.2.
Figure 2.2 Structures of the ligands and their abbreviations as cited throughout this chapter.
2.2 Results and discussion

2.2.1 Syntheses

The syntheses of the 1,2,4-triazole ligands were carried out following literature methods. Procedures for the synthesis of 1,2,4-triazole ligands are well understood, hence other synthetic routes were not explored. The general synthetic route used in the preparation of the 1,2,4-triazole is outlined in Scheme 2.1. The appropriate acid chloride was used in order to obtain the desired substituent in the "5" position.

Scheme 2.1 Synthetic scheme employed in the preparation of the ligands.
The Mephpytr has a methyl group on the N1 in the 1,2,4 triazole. This was achieved by using methyl hydrazine instead of hydrazine. HBrpytr was synthesised by bromination of Hpytr according to a previously published procedure.\textsuperscript{15}

![Reaction of rhenium pentacarbonyl with a substituted 3-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole ligand.](image)

\textbf{Scheme 2.2} Reaction of rhenium pentacarbonyl with a substituted 3-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole ligand.

The complexes were prepared from the free ligands and Re(CO)\textsubscript{5}Cl via a thermal substitution reaction (Scheme 2.1). The triazole ligand was dissolved in toluene. In the past coordination of a ruthenium metal centre to a triazole ligand has resulted in the formation of a negatively charged triazole ligand.\textsuperscript{16,17} However, the added precaution of addition of a few drops of trifluoroacetic acid was taken to ensure that the protonated rhenium triazole complexes were isolated. Re(CO)\textsubscript{5}Cl in toluene was slowly added to the acidified toluene solution. This solution was refluxed under an argon atmosphere. The reactions were monitored using infra-red spectroscopy. The reaction was completed when there was no evidence of any Re(CO)\textsubscript{5}Cl in the IR spectrum. The complexes were isolated as yellow solids.

\textbf{2.2.2 Elemental analysis}

As previously discussed, 1,2,4-triazoles contain a proton on the triazole unit. The theoretical CHN percentages were calculated with the assumption that the proton remains on the triazole on complexation to the rhenium tricarbonyl fragment. The
CHN analysis confirms the presence of a proton on the triazole ring as the theoretical percentages are within a similar range to the actual CHN percentages found. If there were a negative charge residing on the triazole in the complexes, the measured CHN percentages would be hugely different from the theoretical percentages as a counter ion would be required to isolate the complexes. Although the CHN percentages of the complexes were confirmed by CHN analysis, no information regarding the coordination mode of the triazole may be obtained using this technique.

### 2.2.3 Infra red spectroscopy

IR spectra of all the complexes were recorded in the CO stretching region (2200 – 1700 cm\(^{-1}\)) of the IR spectrum. The IR spectral data of the complexes in THF is presented in Table 2.1. All complexes have spectra which are dominated by three metal-carbonyl bands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>(\nu_{(CO)}) cm(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)(_3)(Hpytr)Cl]</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)(_3)(pytr)Cl]^-</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)(_3)(Hphpytr)Cl]</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)(_3)(phpytr)Cl]^-</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)(_3)(Mephpytr)Cl]</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)(_3)(Hthpytr)Cl]</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)(_3)(thpytr)Cl]^-</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)(_3)(HBrpytr)Cl]</td>
<td>2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)(_3)(Brpytr)Cl]^-</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)(_3)(bpy)Cl]</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1892</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 2.1 IR spectral data for rhenium (I) complexes in THF solution in the carbonyl region. Complexes were deprotonated using triethylamine.*
The IR spectrum of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]$ is well understood.\textsuperscript{18,19} $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]$ possess $C_3$ symmetry with three IR active modes [$A'(1) + A'(2) + A''$]. $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]$ exists in a facial isomeric form with two carbonyls trans to the 2,2'-bipyridyl and one CO trans to the chloride.\textsuperscript{20} The CO bands are observed at 2018, 1917 and 1892 cm$^{-1}$ for $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]$ (Table 2.1 and Figure 2.3).

![Figure 2.3 IR (CO-stretching region) of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]$ in THF at 298 K.](image)

![Figure 2.4 Coordination environment for the facial structure of a rhenium tricarbonyl complex.](image)
Figure 2.5 shows the IR spectra of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hphpyr)Cl] and [Re(CO)$_3$(phpyr)Cl]. The CO bands in these spectra are typical of the pyridyl-triazole complexes synthesised in this chapter. By comparison with the spectrum of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl], this pattern corresponds to 3 CO ligands in a facial isomer arrangement (Figure 2.4). As a point of interest the corresponding meridional isomers would give rise to a very weak signal of the highest frequency carbonyl vibration.$^{21}$

![IR spectra of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hphpyr)Cl] and [Re(CO)$_3$(phpyr)Cl]](image)

**Figure 2.5** IR spectra (CO-stretching region) of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hphpyr)Cl] and [Re(CO)$_3$(phpyr)Cl] in THF at 298 K.

As previously discussed, the elemental analysis of the [Re(CO)$_3$(triazole)Cl] complexes indicates the presence of a proton on the triazole fragment of the ligands. IR spectra were recorded in triethylamine so as to observe the spectral shifts on deprotonation of the triazole (Table 2.1 and Figure 2.5). No spectral changes were observed for [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] and [Re(CO)$_3$(Mephpyr)Cl] in the presence of triethylamine. The CO bands were generally found to shift to lower frequency on deprotonation.
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The shift of the metal-carbonyl absorptions to lower frequency for the deprotonated triazole complexes compared to their protonated analogues is most likely due to competition by the pyridyl-triazole and carbonyl ligands for π-electron density from the d_n orbitals of the rhenium(I). Figure 2. 6 illustrates this bonding interaction which is known as back-bonding. The presence of a negative charge on the triazole increases the σ-donor ability of the triazole ligand. This increases the electron density on the rhenium, which enhances the back bonding between the rhenium d_n orbitals and the CO π* orbitals. With increased back-bonding, metal-carbonyl stretches shift to lower frequency in the IR spectrum. Hence the metal-carbonyl stretches for the deprotonated complexes were observed at lower frequency compared to the analogous protonated complexes. Similar trends were observed in the IR spectra of protonated/deprotonated derivatives of [Mo(CO)_4(bpz)] where bpz is 2,2'-bipyrazine.22

Figure 2. 6 Bonding interaction of the CO ligand with the rhenium(I) metal centre.
2.2.4 $^1$H NMR spectroscopy

The rhenium(I) complexes are diamagnetic low spin $d^6$ species. NMR spectroscopy has been widely used for the structural characterisation of rhenium tricarbonyl complexes.\textsuperscript{23,24} The numbering system employed in the assignment of $^1$H NMR peaks is shown in Figure 2.7. The relevant $^1$H-NMR data of all the protonated complexes are presented in Table 2.2. The coordination-induced shifts (c.i.s. = $\delta_{\text{complex}} - \delta_{\text{ligand}}$) are in parentheses. Data for [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] is also shown for comparative purposes.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Hpytr} & \quad \text{HBrpytr (H$_a$ = Br)} \\
\text{Hphpytr} & \quad \text{Hthpytr}
\end{align*}
\]

\textbf{Figure 2.7} Structures of the 1,2,4-triazole ligands discussed in this section. The numbers on the protons describe the numbering system employed in the assignment of the $^1$H NMR peaks.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(Hpytr)Cl]</td>
<td>8.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(Hphpytr)Cl]</td>
<td>8.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(Mephpytr)Cl]</td>
<td>8.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(Hthpytr)Cl]</td>
<td>8.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(HBrpytr)Cl]</td>
<td>8.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl]</td>
<td>8.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2 $^1$H NMR data for the rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes. All spectra were obtained in d$_6$-acetone. Values in parenthesis are the coordinated induced shifts relative to the free ligands in d$_6$-acetone.

The asymmetric 1,2,4-triazole ligands complexed to the rhenium tricarbonyl fragment display signals between 7.0 and 9.15 ppm. [Re(CO)$_3$(Mephpytr)Cl] is the only complex that displays a signal below 7.0 ppm. The singlet resonance with an integration of 3 observed at 4.15 ppm is assigned to the methyl group present on the 1,2,4-triazole. Assignment of proton resonances were made by comparison with the model [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] complex and with the aid of two dimensional (COSY) spectra. A sample COSY spectrum of the aromatic region of [Re(CO)$_3$(Mephpytr)Cl] in d$_6$-acetone is displayed in Figure 2.8. Figure 2.9 shows sample $^1$H-NMR spectra of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hpytr)Cl], [Re(CO)$_3$(Hphpytr)Cl] and [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] obtained in d$_6$-acetone.
Figure 2.8  COSY NMR spectrum of [Re(CO)₃(Meppytr)Cl] in d₆-acetone.
Figure 2.9 $^1H$ NMR spectra of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(Hpytr)\text{Cl}]$ (a), $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(11phpytr)\text{Cl}]$ (b) and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]$ (c) in $d_6$-acetone.
Previous publications of bipyridine complexes place the resonance of the H$_6$ proton adjacent to the coordinated nitrogen fartherest downfield.$^{24,25,26}$ Hence the peak positions of the coordinated pyridine ring were identified as follows: the H$_6$ (doublet) and H$_5$ (multiplet) protons were located in the range of 9.06 and 7.80 ppm. The H$_3$ and H$_4$ protons were generally found to overlap at around 8.35 ppm. The chemical shifts of the H$_4$, H$_5$ and H$_6$ protons are in the same range as the equivalent protons in [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl]. The H$_1$ proton in the pyridyl-triazole complexes was generally found slightly upfield relative to the corresponding proton in [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] (Figure 2. 9 and Table 2. 2). In the past there have been reports of electron delocalisation from the triazole to an adjacent pyrazine or pyridine ring.$^{17}$ There may be a similar interaction occurring in these pyridyl-triazole complexes. Hence the H$_3$ proton experiences an increase in electron density and is found upfield relative to the H$_3$ proton in [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl]. The introduction of the various substituents onto the triazole ring induces only small changes in the shifts of the pyridine protons.

The c.i.s values of the pyridyl-triazole complexes listed in Table 2. 2 are all positive. In the past positive c.i.s values have been attributed to ligand-to-metal σ-donation when a ligand coordinates to a metal centre.$^{7,27}$ Clearly, the influence of the rhenium(I) metal shifts the proton resonances of the coordinated pyridine but leaves the proton resonances of the uncoordinated triazole substituents relatively unaffected. The only exceptions to this trend were H$_4$ in [Re(CO)$_3$(Hpytr)Cl] which experienced a c.i.s. shift of 1.35. This is possibly due to a reduction in electron density caused by σ-donation to the rhenium and electron delocalisation between the triazole and the pyridine. Note H$_4$ in [Re(CO)$_3$(Hpytr)Cl] is located on the 1,2,4-triazole while the protons in the other substituents are a greater distance from the triazole. Hence these protons do not experience coordination induced shifts to the same extent as H$_4$ in [Re(CO)$_3$(Hpytr)Cl].

The $^1$H NMR spectra of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hpytr)Cl], [Re(CO)$_3$(Hpytr)Cl] and [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] are shown Figure 2. 9. The $^1$H-NMR spectra indicate the
formation of only one isomer. Coordination of a metal centre to the Hpytr ligand may occur at either of the two non-equivalent nitrogens on the triazole ring (Figure 2.10).16 These coordination isomers are referred to as the “N$_2$” isomer and the “N$_4$” isomer. The N$_2$ isomer and N$_4$ isomer in Ru(II) complexes incorporating 1,2,4-triazole ligands have exhibited different redox potentials and absorption and emission maxima.12,13 Hence identification of the coordination mode in the rhenium tricarbonyl complexes is particularly important.

![Diagram of coordination modes](image)

**Figure 2.10** The two possible modes of coordination of a metal centre to a substituted 3-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole.

The extent of N$_2$/N$_4$ coordination in Ru(II) complexes is governed by the size of the substituents on the triazole ring.28 Bulky triazole substituents such as a phenyl or a thiol group favour the formation of the N$_2$ isomer in Ru(II) complexes.29,30 Both [Re(CO)$_3$(Hphpytr)Cl] and [Re(CO)$_3$(Hthpytr)Cl] contain a bulky phenyl or thiol substituent. Therefore from a steric viewpoint, formation of the N$_2$ isomer is favoured. Previous studies have found that Ru(II) complexes containing the Hpytr ligand formed both N$_2$ and N$_4$ isomers in a 1:1 ratio.16 Therefore the formation of both linkage isomers is anticipated in [Re(CO)$_3$(Hpytr)Cl], however evidence for the formation of only a single isomer was observed in the $^1$H NMR spectrum. The resonances of the pyridine rings in [Re(CO)$_3$(Hpytr)Cl] are similar to those in [Re(CO)$_3$(Hphpytr)Cl] where N$_2$ coordination is proposed. Formation of only the N$_2$ isomer in [Ru(CO)$_2$(Hpytr)Cl$_2$] and [Rh(L)$_2$(Hpytr)]$^{3+}$ (L = 2,2'-...
bipyridine/1,10-phenanthroline) has been observed. In the complex \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{NH}_2\text{bpt})\text{Cl}]\) (\(\text{NH}_2\text{bpt} = 4\text{-amino}-3,5\text{-bis(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole}\)) the authors proposed the large radius of rhenium(I) leads to the formation of just the \(N_2\) coordination isomer. Therefore it is not unreasonable to deduce that the \(N_2\) isomer was also formed in \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hpytr})\text{Cl}]\). No crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained. In the absence of such crystals, the coordination mode of the ligands cannot be determined with certainty, however as discussed above coordination via the \(N_2\) position seems most likely.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>ppm</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(H_3)</td>
<td>(H_4)</td>
<td>(H_5)</td>
<td>(H_6)</td>
<td>(H_a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{pytr})\text{Cl}]^-)</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.28</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>8.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.09)</td>
<td>(-0.15)</td>
<td>(-0.28)</td>
<td>(-0.13)</td>
<td>(-1.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{phpytr})\text{Cl}]^-)</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>8.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.34)</td>
<td>(-0.28)</td>
<td>(-0.36)</td>
<td>(-0.22)</td>
<td>(-0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{thpytr})\text{Cl}]^-)</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>7.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.24)</td>
<td>(-0.23)</td>
<td>(-0.31)</td>
<td>(-0.19)</td>
<td>(-0.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Brpytr})\text{Cl}]^-)</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.31)</td>
<td>(-0.26)</td>
<td>(-0.35)</td>
<td>(-0.22)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textbf{Table 2.3} \(^1\text{H NMR data for the deprotonated rhenium tricarbonyl complexes.}\) 
\textit{All spectra were obtained in d}_6\text{acetone. The complexes were deprotonated by addition of triethylamine. Values in parenthesis are the coordination induced shifts relative to the free ligands.}\n
Spectra were also recorded in basic solution resulting in deprotonation of the triazole unit. A basic solution was obtained by addition of triethylamine to the \(^1\text{H NMR sample in d}_6\text{acetone. The spectral data of the pyridyl-triazole complexes obtained in basic solution is summarised in Table 2.3. The coordination induced shifts (}\delta\text{complex} - \delta\text{ligand}) are also shown in parentheses. The deprotonated
complexes were found upfield relative to the corresponding protonated complexes. No spectral shifts were observed for [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] or [Re(CO)$_3$(Mephpytr)Cl] in basic solution. Figure 2.11 shows the spectral shifts due to deprotonation of the triazole ring for the [Re(CO)$_3$(Hpytr)] complex. Deprotonation of the coordinated 1,2,4-triazole has a strong influence on the resonance frequency of the H$_a$ triazole proton of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hpytr)].

Figure 2.11 $^1$H NMR spectra of (a) [Re(CO)$_3$(Hpytr)Cl] and (b) [Re(CO)$_3$(pytr)Cl]. All spectra were obtained in $d_6$-acetone. The complexes were deprotonated by addition of triethylamine.
The shift in the resonance positions of the pyridyl-triazole protons can be explained by the increased electron density in the triazole ring, which probably also affects the electron density in the pyridyl ring and the triazole substituents. Similar shifts were also observed on deprotonation of the triazole ligand in [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Hpytr)](PF$_6$)$_2$.28

### 2.2.5 Absorption and emission properties

The UV-vis absorption spectra of all complexes synthesised in this chapter were recorded in dichloromethane at room temperature. Figure 2. 12 shows the absorption spectra of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hpytr)Cl], [Re(CO)$_3$(pytr)Cl] and [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] at room temperature. These spectra illustrate the considerable effect that protonation/deprotonation of the triazole ligand has on the spectral properties of the complexes compared to [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl]. An understanding of the absorption spectrum of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] is essential before interpretation of the absorption spectra of the pyridyl-triazole complexes. [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] has an absorption band at 390 nm which is assigned to an MLCT [Re→π*(bpy)] transition.34 The intense band at 292 nm is of intraligand [π→π*(bpy)] origin. [Re→π*(CO)] transitions have been reported for [Re(CO)$_3$Cl] at 280 nm.35 Such transitions in [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] are weak in intensity and are masked by the intense π→π* transitions associated with the bipyridyl ligand.

The absorption spectra of the pyridyl-triazole complexes are similar to that of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl]. Hence the absorption bands between 290 and 400 nm are classed as MLCT transitions i.e. [Re→Lπ*] where L is a pyridyl-triazole ligand. A summary of the MLCT transitions is presented in Table 2. 4. Note, the absorption maxima of the pyridyl-triazole complexes are blue shifted relative to [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl]. For example the absorption maximum of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hpytr)Cl] is observed at 350 nm, while the absorption maximum of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] is observed at 390 nm. More intense bands (not listed in Table 2. 4) of ligand based [π→π*(L)] origin occur at higher energy in the UV region of the absorption spectra. The positions the MLCT bands are governed by the σ-
donor and π-acceptor properties of the triazole ligands. Pyridyl-triazole ligands are better σ-donor’s relative to 2,2'-bipyridyl. As a result the π* level of the triazole ligand is at higher energy compared to 2,2'-bipyridyl. This increases the energy gap between the HOMO and the LUMO, causing a blue shift in the MLCT of the pyridyl-triazole complexes compared to [Re(CO)\(_3\)(bpy)Cl].

**Figure 2.12** Absorption spectra of [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hpytr)Cl], [Re(CO)\(_3\)(pytr)Cl] and [Re(CO)\(_3\)(bpy)Cl] in dichloromethane at room temperature. [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hpytr)Cl] was deprotonated using triethylamine.
Table 2.4 Absorption maxima and luminescence properties of the rhenium(I) pyridyl-triazole complexes. \( \tau \) refers to the emission lifetime while \( \phi \) denotes the radiative quantum yield. \( ^a \) Data in dichloromethane, \( ^b \) in deoxygenated dichloromethane, and \( ^c \) in ethanol/methanol (4:1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>298 K</th>
<th></th>
<th>77 K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \lambda_{\text{abs}} ) (nm)(^a)</td>
<td>( \lambda_{\text{em}} ) (nm)(^a)</td>
<td>( \tau ) (ns)(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hpytr})\text{Cl}]\</td>
<td>330 (3.4)</td>
<td>580 (51)</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{pytr})\text{Cl}]^+</td>
<td>300 (2.8)</td>
<td>530 (65)</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hphpytr})\text{Cl}] \</td>
<td>350 (3.5)</td>
<td>552 (101)</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{phpytr})\text{Cl}]^-</td>
<td>305 (2.6)</td>
<td>516 (159)</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Mephytr})\text{Cl}] \</td>
<td>338 (3.6)</td>
<td>537 (735)</td>
<td>0.0117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hthpytr})\text{Cl}] \</td>
<td>350 (3.4)</td>
<td>542 (274)</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{phpyr})\text{Cl}]^-</td>
<td>310 (2.9)</td>
<td>518 (315)</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{HBpytr})\text{Cl}] \</td>
<td>330 (3.1)</td>
<td>550 (55)</td>
<td>0.0085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Bpytr})\text{Cl}]^-</td>
<td>295 (2.4)</td>
<td>528 (78)</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}] \</td>
<td>390 (3.7)</td>
<td>600 (54)</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The rhenium(I) complex \[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpyCOOH})\text{Cl}]\ where \text{bpyCOOH} is 2,2'-bipyridine-5-carboxylic acid, has a \[\text{Re} \rightarrow \pi^*(\text{bpyCOOH})]\ MLCT absorption maximum at 390 nm.\(^{36}\) At pH 8, the carboxylate derivative \[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpyCOO})\text{Cl}]\ is formed. This deprotonated complex has an \[\text{Re} \rightarrow \pi^*(\text{bpyCOO})]\ absorption maximum at 375 nm. This blue shift in the absorption maximum was attributed to an increase in the energy necessary to transfer an electron from the rhenium(I) metal centre to the bpy ligand when a negative charge resides on the latter fragment. This behaviour is clearly observed.
for the presently reported rhenium(I) complexes (see Figure 2.12 and Table 2.4). For example [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hpytr)Cl] has an absorption maximum at 330 nm, whereas the deprotonated complex [Re(CO)\(_3\)(pytr)Cl]\(^-\) exhibits an absorption maximum at 300 nm. Deprotonation of the pyridyl-triazole complexes generates a negatively charged triazole ligand, which is a much better \(\sigma\)-donor. This increases the energy necessary to transfer an electron from the Re(I) metal centre to the pyridyl-triazole ligand when a negative charge resides on the triazole. This results in a blue shift in the absorption maxima of the deprotonated complexes compared to their protonated derivatives.

All the pyridyl-triazole complexes emit at 298 K (Table 2.4). The emission spectra were broad and featureless. Figure 2.13 displays the emission spectra for [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hphpytr)Cl], [Re(CO)\(_3\)(phpytr)Cl]\(^-\) and [Re(CO)\(_3\)(bpy)Cl] in dichloromethane. The emission maxima of the pyridyl-triazole complexes were generally found at higher energy relative to [Re(CO)\(_3\)(bpy)Cl]. The \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) of the deprotonated complexes are blue shifted when compared to the protonated complexes. The emission spectra are broad and structureless at 77 K except for [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hthpytr)Cl]. The structured spectra of [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hthpytr)Cl] and [Re(CO)\(_3\)(thpytr)Cl]\(^-\) at 77 K are shown in Figure 2.15.

\[\text{Figure 2.13 Emission spectra of } [\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hphpytr})\text{Cl}], [\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{phpytr})\text{Cl}]^- \text{ and } [\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}] \text{ in dichloromethane at 298 K.}\]
Rhenium (I) complexes containing pyridyl-triazole ligands

Figure 2.14 Emission spectra of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hphpyr)Cl], [Re(CO)$_3$(phpytr)Cl] and [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] in ethanol:methanol (4:1) at 77 K. The emission spectrum of [Re(CO)$_3$(phpytr)Cl] was recorded in the presence of triethylamine.

Figure 2.15 Emission spectra of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hphpyr)Cl] and [Re(CO)$_3$(thpytr)Cl] at 77 K in ethanol:methanol (4:1). The spectrum of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] is also shown for comparative purposes.
The emission properties of the free ligand Hthpytr compared to the complex [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(Hthpytr)Cl] were also examined at 77 K. Upon excitation at 325 nm the ligand Hthpytr emits with an emission maximum of 425 nm at 77 K (see Figure 2. 16). The emission maximum of Hthpytr is blue shifted by approximately 50 nm compared to the high energy band in the emission spectrum of the complex [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(Hthpytr)Cl] at 77 K.

![Emission spectra at 77 K in ethanol:methanol (4:1) of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(Hthpytr)Cl] and Hthpytr.](image)

**Figure 2.16 Emission spectra at 77 K in ethanol:methanol (4:1) of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(Hthpytr)Cl] and Hthpytr.**

Excited state lifetimes of the pyridyl-triazole complexes were measured at room temperature (298 K) and 77 K. The data are presented in Table 2. 4. In addition, the radiative quantum yields obtained in deoxygenated dichloromethane at 298 K are also presented in Table 2. 4. The lifetimes at 298 K and 77 K along with the radiative quantum yield were also determined for [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)Cl]. The lifetime of 54 ns and the quantum yield of 0.005 obtained for [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)Cl] at 298 K in deoxygenated dichloromethane are comparable with the previous values of 51 ns and 0.005 obtained by Caspar and Meyer.\textsuperscript{37} Lifetimes in the range of 51 to 274
ns were obtained for \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hpytr})\text{Cl}]\), \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hphpytr})\text{Cl}]\), \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hthpytr})\text{Cl}]\) and \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{HBrpytr})\text{Cl}]\) at 298 K (see Table 2.4). \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Mephpytr})\text{Cl}]\) was found to have a particularly long lifetime of 735 ns at 298 K compared to the other complexes. Deprotonation of the triazole ligand was found to increase the lifetime and quantum yield compared to the corresponding protonated complex. For example a lifetime of 101 ns and a quantum yield of 0.0034 was obtained for \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hphpytr})\text{Cl}]\) compared to the lifetime of 159 ns and quantum yield of 0.0081 observed for \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{phpytr})\text{Cl}]\)

No clear pattern can be seen regarding the influence of the triazole substituent on the lifetime of the excited state.

For all of the emitting complexes listed in Table 2.4 with the exception of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hthpytr})\text{Cl}]\) at 77 K, the emitting level can be labelled as MLCT in character according to the following considerations:

(i) The position and shape of the emission band is consistent with that previously reported for similar complexes assigned as MLCT emitters.\(^{38,39,40}\)

(ii) There is a blue shift in the emission band on cooling from 298 K to 77 K.\(^{41}\)

(iii) The time range (microseconds) of the 77 K emission is typical of MLCT states.\(^{42,43,44}\)

The position of the emission is blue shifted with respect to \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]\) (600 nm) when the pyridyl-triazole ligand is both protonated and deprotonated (i.e. 580 nm vs. 530 nm in the case of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hpytr})\text{Cl}]\) compared to \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{pytr})\text{Cl}]\)\(^{-}\). Yang and co-workers used Denisty Functional Theory (DFT) to analyse the ground and excited state properties of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]\), \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(5,5'-\text{dibromo-bpy})\text{Cl}]\) and \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(4,4'-\text{dimethyl-bpy})\text{Cl}]\).\(^{45}\) For these complexes, the excited state responsible for the luminescence was of \(^3\)MLCT in nature. The emission maxima (compared to \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]\) were blue shifted when substituted by an electron donating group (CH\(_3\)) and were red shifted when substituted by an
electron withdrawing group (Br). The authors concluded the electron donating CH₃ group leads to blue shifts in the emission maxima due to the increased energy of the LUMO which results in an increase in energy between the emissive and ground electronic states. On the other hand, the electron withdrawing Br group causes a red shift in the emission maximum due to the decreased energy of the LUMO, which leads to a reduction in the energy gap between ground and the ³MLCT states. Hence the blue shift in the emission maxima of the pyridyl-triazole complexes compared to [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl] most likely arises from the increased energy of the LUMO of the electron donating pyridyl-triazole ligand compared to 2,2-bipyridyl which leads to an increased energy gap between the ground and the ³MLCT states. Deprotonation of the triazole enchainces the electron donating ability of the ligand.¹⁰,¹¹ Hence the energy gap between ground and the ³MLCT states increases. Consequently the emission maxima of the deprotonated complexes are blue shifted relative to their protonated analogues and [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl].

A decrease in luminescence lifetimes from 142 ns to 2 ns upon protonation of the pyridyl-triazole ligand in [Ru(bpy)₂(pytr)]⁺ has been attributed to population of a non-radiative ³MC decay path arising from the decrease in the MLCT/MC gap on protonation.⁴⁶ It is possible the protonated pyridyl-triazole complexes decay via a ³MC excited state while the deprotonated complexes do not efficiently populate this state at 298 or 77 K. Therefore the deprotonated complexes exhibit longer lifetimes and higher quantum yields compared to their protonated analogues.

[Re(CO)₃(Hthpytr)Cl] has luminescence properties that are not understandable within the simple scheme of MLCT emission outlined above. The 77 K emission properties of rhenium tricarbonyl complexes can usually grouped into two main classes:

i) The emission band is featureless and has a lifetime in the range 1-50 μs; In this instance, the luminescence is considered to have “pure” MLCT character.⁴⁵,⁴⁷
ii) The emission band is structured but can be resolved into two components, one with a lifetime of about 10 μs ($^3\text{MLCT}$) and another long-lived lifetime between 50-1000 μs ($^3\text{ππ*}$).\(^{48,49}\)

As previously discussed all of the complexes discussed above with the exception of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hthpytr})\text{Cl}]\) fall into class (i). The structured emission of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hthpytr})\text{Cl}]\) at 77 K, with one short-lived component of 7.06 μs and a long-lived component of 76.31 μs indicates that the emitting level has MLCT and LC character i.e. class (ii). Usually the energy of the LC emission in rhenium tricarbonyl polypyridine complexes occurs at similar energy to the free ligand. It is somewhat puzzling that the energy of the LC phosphorescence in the free ligand at 77 K is about 50 nm higher in energy than the proposed LC emission in \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hthpytr})\text{Cl}]\). The SPC and laser systems used in the lifetime measurements have excitation wavelengths of 337 and 355 nm respectively. Unfortunately the Hthpytr ligand does not absorb at either of these wavelengths, hence the phosphorescence lifetime of the free ligand could not be measured. The lifetime and structure of the emission band of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hthpytr})\text{Cl}]\) at 298 K indicates this complex is an MLCT emitter in fluid solution. This is not surprising as the position of MLCT states are subject to medium rigidity, so that with an increase in temperature the MLCT states are shifted to lower energy and become the lowest emitting levels.

Previous photophysical investigations of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(s\text{-phen})\text{Cl}]\) type complexes (where $s$-phen = 1,10-phenanthroline, 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline, 5,6-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline, 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline) have revealed the $^3\text{MLCT}$ manifold is relatively constant in all of the complexes and lies at $\sim$ 475 nm (21,000 cm$^{-1}$) with a lifetime of $\sim$ 12 μs at 77 K.\(^{50}\) The methyl substituted complexes also displayed $^3\pi\pi^*$ emission. Various temperature dependent studies led to the following conclusions: (i) When the $^3\text{MLCT}$ and $^3\pi\pi^*$ states are separated by more than 1,000 cm$^{-1}$, only the lowest triplet state is emissive at 77 K. (ii) A separation of less than 1,000 cm$^{-1}$ between the $^3\text{MLCT}$
and $^3\pi\pi^*$ states results in dual emission. Like the phenanthroline type complexes, it is possible there is a very small energy separation between the $^3\text{MLCT}$ and $^3\pi\pi^*$ states in $[\text{Re}($CO$)_3($Hthyptr$)\text{Cl}]$ and $[\text{Re}($CO$)_3($thyptr$')\text{Cl}]^-$ compared to the other pyridyl-triazole complexes. Hence emission is detected from both the $^3\text{MLCT}$ and $^3\pi\pi^*$ states. When the asymmetric Hthpytr ligand is coordinated to the rhenium metal centre, it is possible electron density is delocalised in varying degrees throughout the coordinated ligand. As a result, location of the $^3\pi\pi^*$ state in the coordinated ligand is different to that of the free ligand. Consequently emission from the free ligand is not observed at the same energy as the complex. Temperature dependent studies should be carried out in order to confirm the exact nature of the dual emission observed in $[\text{Re}($CO$)_3($Hthyptr$)\text{Cl}]$ and $[\text{Re}($CO$)_3($thyptr$')\text{Cl}]$. 
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2.2.6 Ground-state and excited-state pKₐ measurements

As observed in Section 2.2.5, the absorption and emission spectra of the rhenium complexes synthesised in this chapter are pH dependent. The possibility of protonation of the coordinated triazole opens up the whole area of acid-base photochemistry. By measurement of the ground and excited state pKₐ’s of the triazole one can confirm if the excited state is located on the pyridyl-triazole ligand. If the excited state is located on the triazole ligand, it will become more basic in the excited state due to the excited electron. This will reduce its ability to deprotonate, resulting in a higher pKₐ in the excited state (i.e. a higher pKₐ* value than that of the pKₐ). Conversely location of the excited state electron on any other ligand in the complex would cause a higher acidity in the triazole unit when the complex is excited. This would result in a lower pKₐ* compared to the pKₐ.

The pH dependence of the absorption and emission spectra were monitored in Britton-Robinson buffer. pH adjustments were made by adding 75 µl of 2 M NaOH or 2 M H₂SO₄ to a 100 cm³ volume of the dissolved complex. The complexes [Re(CO)₃(Hphpytr)Cl] and [Re(CO)₃(Hthpytr)Cl] were found to be insoluble in Britton-Robinson buffer. Hence no acid-base data is available for these complexes.

The ground-state acid-base chemistry of the complexes was investigated using UV/Vis absorption spectroscopy. At pH 1.00 in Britton-Robinson buffer [Re(CO)₃(Hpytr)Cl] exhibits a λ_max at 315 nm. This gradually blue shifts on increasing pH. The effect of pH on the absorption spectrum of [Re(CO)₃(Hpytr)Cl] is shown in Figure 2.17. All pH changes were reversible and were found to be independent of the direction of pH change. A plot of change in absorbance (monitored at 315 nm) against pH results in a curve with only one inflection point (Figure 2.17). The pH inflection point (pHᵢ) is also the pKₐ of the complex. Similar spectral changes were observed for [Re(CO)₃(Hpytr)Cl]. The pKₐ values of [Re(CO)₃(Hpytr)Cl] and [Re(CO)₃(HBrpytr)Cl] are summarised in Table 2.5.
**Figure 2.17** Ground state pKa titration (pH 1 — pH 10) of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hpytr)Cl] in Britton Robinson buffer. Inset shows a plot of absorbance at 315 nm versus increasing pH, with fitted curve.

**Figure 2.18** Excited state pKa titration (pH 1 — pH 12) of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hpytr)Cl] in Britton Robinson buffer, excited at 293 nm. Inset, a plot of intensity versus increasing pH, with fitted curve.
Figure 2.18 shows the pH dependence of the emission spectra of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(Hpytr)Cl]. The spectra were obtained by exciting the complex at 293 nm, which is an isobestic point in the ground state pK\textsubscript{a} titration (see Figure 2.17). Exciting the complex at an isobestic point ensures that, both [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(Hpytr)Cl] and [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(pytr)Cl\textsuperscript{−}] absorb light to the same extent. Thus, any difference in luminescence behaviour is determined solely by the pH of the solution. At pH 1, the \(\lambda\text{max}\) is at 532 nm. The \(\lambda\text{max}\) shifts to 518 nm with increasing pH while the emission intensity was found to decrease with increasing pH. A plot of emission (monitored at 532 nm) against pH results in a curve (inset Figure 2.18) which allows the pH\textsubscript{i*} to be determined. Unlike the ground-state titrations, pH\textsubscript{i*} does not equal pK\textsubscript{a*}. This is due to the protonated and deprotonated species having different luminescence lifetimes. The pK\textsubscript{a*} can be calculated from pH\textsubscript{i*} and the lifetimes of the protonated (\(\tau\text{a}\)) and deprotonated (\(\tau\text{b}\)) species using Equation 2.1.

\[
pK_{a}^{*} = pH_{i}^{*} + \log(\tau_{a}/\tau_{b})
\]

Another method of calculating pK\textsubscript{a*} is with Försters equation, as given in Equation 2.2. Försters equation relates pK\textsubscript{a*} to the ground-state pK\textsubscript{a} and the emission maxima (in wavenumbers) of the protonated (\(v_{a}\)) and deprotonated (\(v_{b}\)) species for a given temperature, T.

\[
pK_{a}^{*} = pK_{a} + \{0.625(v_{b}-v_{a})/T\}
\]

The values of pK\textsubscript{a} and pK\textsubscript{a*} are presented in Table 2.5. Similar pK\textsubscript{a*} values were obtained using Equation 2.1 and Equation 2.2.
There are few literature reports of acid-base studies of rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes. Costa and coworkers synthesised a series of ester and carboxylic acid-derivatives of the form \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(2,2'-\text{bipyridine})\text{Cl}]\) and \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(2,2'-\text{bipyridine})(\text{pyridine})\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3]\), in which the carboxylic acid or ester function resided on the bipyridyl or pyridine fragment.\(^{54}\) The following conclusions were reached regarding this series of complexes: if the ligand that contains the protonated/deprotonated site participates in the MLCT transition, the absorption and emission spectra were pH dependent. For example the complex \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy-\text{COOCH}_3})(\text{py-\text{COOH}})\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3]\) showed no changes in the absorption spectra in the pH range 2-10. In this case deprotonation of the pyridine fragment was possible. Therefore it was concluded that the MLCT transition is \(\text{Re} \rightarrow \pi^*\text{bpy-\text{COOCH}_3}\) based. Since both \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hpytr})\text{Cl}]\) and \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{HBrpytr})\text{Cl}]\) exhibited spectral changes in the pH range 1-12, it is reasonable to conclude that absorption and emission in the triazole complexes involves the pyridyl-triazole ligand. Kunkely and coworkers deduced, when the low energy absorption band is IL based in a rhenium tricarbonyl complex, the absorption maximum red shifts on deprotonation of the coordinated ligand, while a blue shift in the absorption maximum is observed when the absorption band is MLCT based.\(^{55}\) The absorption maxima of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hpytr})\text{Cl}]\) and \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{HBrpytr})\text{Cl}]\) are blue shifted on deprotonation of the coordinated pyridyl-triazole ligand, thus confirming the absorption process is MLCT based.

### Table 2.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>(pK_a)</th>
<th>(pH^*_1)</th>
<th>(pK_a^*(1))</th>
<th>(pK_a^*(2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hpytr})\text{Cl}])</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{HBrpytr})\text{Cl}])</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*\(pK_a\) values were obtained using Equation 2.1 and the \(pK_a^*\) values were calculated using Equation 2.2.*
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The acidity of a coordinated triazole ring is strongly dependent on the nature of the substituent in the C₅ position of the triazole. For example, pKₐ values of 4.1 and 1.3 were obtained for the ruthenium(II) complexes [Ru(bpy)₂(Hpytr)]²⁺ and [Ru(bpy)₂(HBrpytr)]²⁺. This is caused by the electron withdrawing bromine group lowering the electron density on the triazole ring and therefore causing the triazole ring to become more acidic. A similar trend was observed for the rhenium(I) complexes [Re(CO)₃(Hpytr)Cl] and [Re(CO)₃(HBrpytr)Cl]. pKₐ values of 5.1 and 2.5 were obtained for [Re(CO)₃(Hpytr)Cl] and [Re(CO)₃(HBrpytr)Cl] respectively. The electron withdrawing properties of the bromine atom result in an electron deficient triazole ligand and therefore a lower pKₐ value is observed for [Re(CO)₃(HBrpytr)Cl] compared to [Re(CO)₃(Hpytr)Cl].

Scheme 2.3  Acid-base process for the complex [Re(CO)₃(Hpytr)Cl].

The results obtained show the co-ordinated pyridyl-triazole is more acidic in the excited state than in the ground state i.e. both complexes have a higher pKₐ* compared to the pKₐ (Table 2.5). This is an important observation; since it shows the triazole ligands actively participate in the emission processes i.e. the electron resides in the pyridyl-triazole after excitation of the complex. After excitation, the electron rich pyridine ring can bind a proton much easier than in the ground state. This behaviour is quite different to the analogous ruthenium(II) complexes [Ru(bpy)₂(Hpytr)]²⁺ and [Ru(bpy)₂(HBrpytr)]²⁺ where the excited-state pKₐ values
are lower than the ground-state pKₐ values. For example [Ru(bpy)₂(Hpytr)]²⁺ has a pKₐ value of 4.1 compared to a pKₐ* value of 2.1. The authors concluded that the observed difference between the pKₐ and pKₐ* values was a result of the pyridyl-triazole ligands acting as “spectator” ligands not actively participating in the emission process. After excitation from the ruthenium(II) metal centre to the bipyridyl ligand, the ruthenium ion has a charge of 3+. This increase in charge is offset by an increase in donation from the triazole ligand to the ruthenium metal centre. This effect causes a higher acidity of the pyridyl-triazole ligand when the complex is excited. The ability to deprotonate the coordinated pyridyl-triazole ring results in the rhenium(I) complexes exhibiting acid-base photochemistry. Scheme 2. 3 outlines the acid-base processes in the triazole complexes synthesised in this chapter. Note the above scheme also represents the acid base process for [Re(CO)₃(HBrpytr)Cl].

2.2.7 Electrochemistry

Electrochemistry is another important technique which may be employed in the study of the electronic properties of these complexes. Triazoles are σ-donors, so they increase electron density on a metal centre. Therefore, complexes containing triazoles should have lower metal based oxidation potentials than those of the corresponding bipyridine based complexes. Pyridine and related compounds are strong π-acceptors, so they reduce the electron density on a metal centre and thus result in increased oxidation potentials compared to halide based complexes. The effect of combining a σ-donor triazole fragment with a π-accepting pyridine unit on the oxidation potentials of a rhenium metal centre compared to [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl] are explored in this section.

Cyclic voltammetry was carried out in dry acetonitrile with 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium tetrafluoroborate (TBABF₄). The measurements are all reported versus the Fc/Fc⁺ couple. Rhenium pyridyl-triazole complexes have an interesting acid base chemistry, as already shown by the pKₐ titrations. Under normal conditions, the triazole is protonated. However, upon deprotonation of the
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triazole with one drop of 0.1M triethylamine in acetonitrile, poor quality voltamograms were obtained. Hence only the electrochemistry of the protonated complexes will be discussed. An understanding of the electrochemical processes of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] is essential before interpretation of the cyclic voltammetry of the pyridyl-triazole complexes. Electrochemical studies of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] have been previously carried out in acetonitrile with 0.1 M tetra-$n$-butylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAH) as electrolyte.$^{57}$ The electrochemical processes are summarised in Scheme 2.4.

The one electron oxidation of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] is followed by rapid loss of the chlorine to form [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)(CH$_3$CN)]$^+$. Hence an irreversible oxidation is observed. In the case of reduction of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl], the one-electron bipyridyl based reduction ($E_{\text{red 1}}$) is reversible. The second (irreversible) reduction at much more negative potential is assigned to an electrochemical process involving chloride dissociation. This is followed either by solvation or dimerisation. Similar trends were observed when voltammograms of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] were recorded in acetonitrile and 0.1 M (TBAHBF$_4$) (Figure 2.19). An irreversible oxidation was observed at 1.51 V which can be attributed to the formation of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)(CH$_3$CN)]$^+$. The reversible bipyridyl based

**Scheme 2.4  Scheme of redox processes for [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl].**

![Scheme 2.4](image-url)
reduction is observed at -1.29 V. The irreversible reduction at -1.73 V is a result of chlorine loss.

Figure 2.19 Cyclic voltammogram of the oxidation of [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl] in 0.1M TBABF₄ in acetonitrile with a scan rate of 100 mV/s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Re (I)/(II)</th>
<th>E (V)</th>
<th>Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)₃(Hpyt)Cl]</td>
<td>1.44a</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>-2.07b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)₃(Hphpyt)Cl]</td>
<td>1.45a</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>-1.87b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)₃(Mephtpyt)Cl]</td>
<td>1.48a</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>-1.81b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)₃(Hthpyt)Cl]</td>
<td>1.43a</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>-1.92b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)₃(HBrpyt)Cl]</td>
<td>1.40a</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>-1.99b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl]</td>
<td>1.55b</td>
<td>-1.29</td>
<td>-1.73b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.6 CV electrochemical results (v’s Fc/Fc⁺) in acetonitrile with 0.1 M TBABF₄. aAnodic peak potential corresponding to an irreversible step. bCathodic peak potential corresponding to an irreversible step.
Table 2.6 summarises the redox data for the pyridyl-triazole complexes. All values have been corrected using the redox potential of ferrocene under the same experimental conditions as a secondary reference. Figure 2.20 shows a CV of [Re(CO)₃(Hphpytr)Cl]. The CV of [Re(CO)₃(Hphpytr)Cl] is typical of the pyridyl-triazole complexes. By comparison with [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl] and other rhenium(I) complexes, the redox processes are relatively straightforward to assign.⁵⁸,⁵⁹ An electrochemically irreversible oxidation was observed near 1.40 V for the pyridyl-triazole complexes. This has been assigned to oxidation of the rhenium(I) centre. The similar potentials for oxidation of the triazole complexes suggest that the dₓ energy levels remain relatively constant in these complexes. The oxidation potential of the rhenium(I) metal is sensitive to the ligands in the coordination sphere. Triazole ligands are better σ-donors compared to 2,2'-bipyridyl,¹² therefore the rhenium(I) metal centre is easier to oxidise when coordinated to a pyridyl-triazole. Hence the oxidation potentials of the triazole complexes are observed at lower potential when compared to [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl] (Table 2.6).

**Figure 2.20** Cyclic voltammogram of [Re(CO)₃(Hphpytr)Cl] in acetonitrile with 0.1M TBABF₄.
An irreversible reduction is observed at \(-1.90 \text{ V}\) (Table 2.6). This is assigned to reduction of the coordinated pyridyl-triazole ligand (Equation 2.3).

\[
\text{[Re(CO)₃(pyridyl-triazole)Cl] + e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{[Re(CO)₃(pyridyl-triazole*)Cl]}
\]

The reduction potential of the pyridyl-triazole ligands are much more negative than the reduction potential of the 2,2'-bipyridyl ligand measured for [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl]$. This also indicates the triazole ligands are weaker π acceptors than 2,2'-bipyridyl. Reduction of the coordinated triazole ligand in the ruthenium complex [Ru(bpy)₂(Hpytr)]²⁺ was also observed at a very negative potential (\(-2.25 \text{ V}\)) compared to reduction of the two bipyridyl ligands (-1.49 V, -1.73 V). ¹² It is highly unlikely the irreversible reduction observed for the pyridyl-triazole complexes is due to the electrochemical process involving chloride dissociation as observed for [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl] and other rhenium polypyridine type complexes (see Scheme 2.4). ⁶⁰,⁶¹ Note this process only occurs following reduction of the coordinated ligand.

### 2.3 Conclusions

A range of pyridyl-triazole ligands have been prepared and characterised. These ligands were subsequently reacted with Re(CO)₅Cl. The mononuclear complexes thus formed were characterised by IR spectroscopy, ¹¹ NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis. The elemental analysis confirms the presence of a proton on the triazole. The formation of a single coordination isomer was observed for each pyridyl-triazole complex. The exact coordination mode of the rhenium metal centre to the triazole ligand is still unclear. Crystal structures of the complexes should be obtained in order to confirm the coordination mode.

Spectroscopic studies show that the σ-donor nature of the triazole results in a blue shift of both the absorption and emission spectra when compared to [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl]. This has been explained as being due to the increased electron density on the rhenium metal centre, which in turn increases the energy of the
MLCT transition. The oxidation potential of the rhenium centre in each of the complexes has been reduced significantly with respect to [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)Cl]. This is again due to the \( \sigma \)-donor properties of the triazole ligands. Luminescent lifetimes, \( pK_a \) titrations and electrochemical studies all point towards the fact that the excited state of the complexes lies on the pyridyl-triazole ligand at room temperature. All of the pyridyl-triazole complexes with the exception of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(Hthpytr)Cl] may also be considered to have "pure" MLCT character at 77 K. The structured emission of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(Hthpytr)Cl] at 77 K, with one short-lived lifetime component and a long lived lifetime component indicates that there are two different emitting levels i.e. \( ^3 \text{MLCT} \) and \( ^3 \pi\pi^* \). Temperature dependent studies are necessary in aprotic and protic media in order to confirm the nature of the emissive states for [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(Hthpytr)Cl] at 77 K. These complexes may be seen as model complexes, which should aid in the synthesis, purification and characterisation of the pyrazine type complexes in Chapter 3.

2.4 Experimental

2.4.1 Synthesis of the Ligands

3-(pyridin-2-yI)-1,2,4-triazole (Hpytr)

Hydrazine hydrate (4.80 g, 0.150 mol) was slowly added to a solution of 2-cyanopyridine (10.00 g, 0.111 mol) in 100 cm\textsuperscript{3} of ethanol. The reaction mixture was gently heated to 40°C. After the formation of a pale yellow solution the heat was turned off and the reaction was left stirring overnight. The yellow precipitate (2-pyridylamidrazone) was filtered and washed with cold ethanol. The amidrazone was slowly added to 50 cm\textsuperscript{3} of formic acid at 0 °C. After stirring for 3 hours, the excess formic acid was removed. The remaining oil was refluxed in 30 cm\textsuperscript{3} of ethylene glycol for 1 hour. The triazole precipitated on cooling of the ethylene glycol and was collected under vacuum. The triazole was recrystallised twice from acetone to yield a fine white powder. Yield: 5.95 g, 0.041 mol, 43 \%.
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$^1$H NMR ($d_6$-acetone), $\delta$ in ppm: H$_3$: 8.09 (d); H$_4$: 7.98 (t); H$_5$: 7.51 (t); H$_6$: 8.70 (d); H$_a$: 8.27 (s).

3-(pyridin-2-yl)-5-phenyl-1,2,4-triazole (Hphpytr) 29

Hydrazine hydrate (1.92 g, 0.060 mol) was slowly added to a solution of 2-cyanopyridine (5.00 g, 0.056 mol) in 20 cm$^3$ of ethanol. The reaction mixture was gently heated to 40°C. After the formation of a yellow solution the heat was turned off and the reaction was left stirring overnight. The 2-pyridyl amidrazone (yellow precipitate) was filtered under vacuum and washed with ethanol. Benzoyl chloride (6.60 g, 47 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 4 cm$^3$ of triethylamine and 2-pyridyl amidrazone in 20 cm$^3$ of dry THF. The yellow suspension was stirred for three hours at room temperature. The yellow crystals were filtered under vacuum and left drying overnight. The crystals were dissolved in ethylene glycol and refluxed for three hours. The ethylene glycol solution was allowed cool to room temperature. 10 cm$^3$ of cold water was added to the solution to aid precipitation. The white triazole ligand precipitated overnight and was collected under vacuum. The triazole was recrystallised twice from ethanol to yield a fine white powder. Yield: 5.92 g, (56%, 0.027 mol). $^1$H NMR ($d_6$-acetone), $\delta$ in ppm: H$_3$: 8.28 (d); H$_4$: 8.02 (t); H$_5$: 7.48 (m); H$_6$: 8.70 (d); H$_a$: 8.22 (d); H$_b$: 7.51 (m); H$_c$: 7.51 (m).

1-methyl-3-(pyridin-2-yl)-5-phenyl-1,2,4-triazole (Mephpytr)

This ligand was prepared in a similar manner to that described previously for Hphpytr, only in this case methylhydrazine was used instead of hydrazine to react with 2-cyanopyridine. Yield 5.66 g, 0.024 mol, 43%. Elemental analysis for C$_{14}$H$_{12}$N$_4$: Calculated C, 71.19; H, 5.08; N, 23.73. Found C, 71.75; H, 5.19; N, 22.61. $^1$H NMR ($d_6$-Acetone), $\delta$ in ppm: H$_3$: 8.18 (d); H$_4$: 7.89 (m); H$_5$: 7.42 (m); H$_6$: 8.68 (d); H$_a$: 7.89 (m); H$_b$: 7.58 (m); Me: 3.58 (s).
3-(pyridin-2-yl)-5-(thiophen-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole (Hthpytr)\textsuperscript{29}

3.63 g (0.027 mol) of 2-pyridyl amidrazone was dissolved in 50 cm\textsuperscript{3} of dry THF and 5 cm\textsuperscript{3} of triethylamine. 4 cm\textsuperscript{3} (0.037 mol) of 2-thiophene-acid chloride was slowly added to this solution. The yellow suspension was stirred for 2 hours at room temperature. The yellow precipitate that formed was collected under vacuum. The precipitate was refluxed in ethylene glycol for 1 hour. The triazole ligand precipitated and was collected under vacuum. The ligand was recrystallised in ethanol, yielding a white compound. Yield 2.83 g, 0.012 mol, 44 %.

\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (d\textsubscript{6}-acetone), δ in ppm: H\textsubscript{3}: 8.21 (d); H\textsubscript{4}: 8.01 (m); H\textsubscript{5}: 7.52 (m); H\textsubscript{6}: 8.69 (d), H\textsubscript{a}: 7.55 (m); H\textsubscript{b}: 7.18 (m); IL: 7.75 (d).

3-(pyridin-2-yl)-5-Bromo-1,2,4-triazole (HBrpytr)\textsuperscript{15}

0.840 g (0.006 mol) of 3-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole (Hpytr) was added to 15 cm\textsuperscript{3} of water. The ligand was fully dissolved by slow addition of 10 M NaOH (pH 12). 0.6 cm\textsuperscript{3} of Br\textsubscript{2} (99 %, d = 3.199 g/cm\textsuperscript{3}) was slowly added while maintaining a pH of 12 by addition of concentrated NaOH. The solution was stirred for 3 hours. The solution was acidified to pH 3 with concentrated HCl. The brominated ligand precipitated and was collected by vacuum filtration. Yield 1.03 g, 4.6 mmol, 77 %. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (d\textsubscript{6}-acetone), δ in ppm: H\textsubscript{3}: 8.28 (d); H\textsubscript{4}: 8.02 (t); H\textsubscript{5}: 7.48 (m); H\textsubscript{6}: 8.70 (d).

2.4.2 Preparation of the rhenium(I) complexes

[Re(CO)\textsubscript{5}Cl] (100 mg) and an equimolar amount of the appropriate ligand were refluxed in toluene (50 cm\textsuperscript{3}) under an argon atmosphere for 2.5 hours to give a dark yellow solution. The reaction mixture was refrigerated overnight. The resulting yellow precipitate was collected by filtration yielding the desired product.
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[Re(CO)₃(Hpytr)Cl]

Yield 0.086 g, 0.19 mmol, 72 %. Elemental analysis for ReC₁₀H₁₀N₆O₃Cl:
Calculated C, 26.58; H, 1.34; N, 12.40; Found C, 26.70; H, 1.02; N, 11.82;
¹H NMR (d₆-acetone), δ in ppm: H₃: 8.37 (m); H₄: 8.34 (m); H₅: 7.79 (m); H₆: 9.07 (d); H₇: 9.45 (s). ν₀ο (cm⁻¹) in THF: 2020, 1915, 1890.

[Re(CO)₃(Hphpytr)Cl]

Yield 0.123 g, 0.23 mmol, 83 %. Elemental analysis for ReC₁₆H₁₀N₄O₃Cl:
Calculated C, 36.40; H, 1.90; N, 10.61; Found C, 36.99; H, 2.17; N, 10.29;
¹H NMR (d₆-acetone), δ in ppm: H₃: 8.45 (d); H₄: 8.39 (m); H₅: 7.81 (m); H₆: 9.06 (d); H₇: 8.39 (m); H₈: 7.51 (m); H₉: 7.51 (m). ν₀ο (cm⁻¹) in THF: 2023, 1921, 1895.

[Re(CO)₃(Mephpytr)Cl]

Yield 0.115 g, 0.21 mmol, 79 %. Elemental analysis for ReC₁₇H₁₂N₄O₃Cl:
Calculated C, 37.68; H, 2.23; N, 10.34; Found C, 37.44; H, 2.23; N, 10.34;
¹H NMR (d₆-acetone), δ in ppm: H₃: 8.38 (m); H₄: 8.36 (m); H₅: 7.80 (m); H₆: 9.06 (d); H₇: 7.94 (m); H₈: 7.73 (m); H₉: 7.73 (m); Me: 4.15 (s). ν₀ο (cm⁻¹) in THF: 2023, 1918, 1890.

[Re(CO)₃(Hthpytr)Cl]

Yield 0.098 g, 0.18 mmol, 68 %. Elemental analysis for ReC₁₄H₈N₄O₂ClS:
Calculated C, 31.49; H, 1.51; N, 10.49; Found C, 31.90; H, 1.42; N, 10.09;
¹H NMR (d₆-Acetone), δ in ppm: H₃: 8.36 (d); H₄: 8.34 (m); H₅: 7.78 (m); H₆: 9.07 (d); H₇: 7.88 (d); H₈: 7.28 (m); H₉: 7.77 (m). ν₀ο (cm⁻¹) in THF: 2023, 1922, 1895.
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[Re(CO)$_3$(HBpytr)Cl]

Yield 0.117 g, 0.22 mmol, 80%. Elemental analysis for ReC$_{10}$H$_3$N$_4$O$_2$ClBr:
Calculated C, 22.60; H, 1.51; N, 10.55; Found C, 22.35; H, 1.13; N, 10.23; $^1$H NMR (d$_6$-Acetone), δ in ppm: H$_3$: 8.38 (m); H$_4$: 8.37 (m); H$_5$: 7.83 (m); H$_6$: 9.09 (d). $\nu_{CO}$ (cm$^{-1}$) in THF: 2025, 1921, 1895.

[Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl]

Yield 0.111 g, 0.24 mmol, 91%. $^1$H NMR (d$_6$-Acetone), δ in ppm: H$_3$: 8.72 (d); H$_4$: 8.37 (m); H$_5$: 7.82 (m); H$_6$: 9.12 (d). $\nu_{CO}$ (cm$^{-1}$) in THF: 2018, 1917, 1892.
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Chapter 3

Rhenium(I) complexes containing substituted pyrazyl-triazole ligands.

Chapter 3 introduces a series of pyrazyl-triazole ligands and the corresponding rhenium(I) complexes of these ligands. This chapter begins with the structural characterisation of the complexes using IR and NMR spectroscopy. An investigation of the photophysical and electrochemical properties of the complexes with respect to \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]\) is also presented in this chapter. In these complexes, the photophysical properties were found to be strongly dependent upon the protonation state of the pyrazyl-triazole ligand.
3.1 Introduction

The ground state and excited state properties of rhenium complexes can be tuned by changing the ligand coordinated to the metal centre. So far, two types of ligands have been employed. The first class of ligands have stronger π-acceptor and weaker σ-donor properties than 2,2'-bipyridine (bpy), causing low reduction potentials and high oxidation potentials for their rhenium complexes. The absorption and emission maxima of such complexes are red shifted compared to [Re(CO)_3(bpy)Cl]. Examples of these rhenium(I) complexes include those containing the following ligands: 2,2'-bipyrazine, 2,2'-bipyrimidine, 2,3-bis-(2-pyridyl)-pyrazine and pyridine-2-carbaldehyde-N-isopropylimine. Ligands of the second class have stronger σ-donor and weaker π-acceptor properties than 2,2'-bipyridine. The oxidation potential of the complexes are now lower than that of [Re(CO)_3(bpy)Cl], while the absorption and emission maxima are blue shifted. Examples are rhenium complexes with imidazole derivatives as ligands. It is worth noting, there are few example of rhenium complexes with strong σ-donor ligands.

As discussed in Chapter 2, a range of pyridyl-triazole ligands and their corresponding rhenium(I) complexes have been prepared and characterised. During the characterisation of these complexes it was noted that the oxidation potential of these complexes were lower than [Re(CO)_3(bpy)Cl], while the absorption and emission maxima were blue shifted relative to [Re(CO)_3(bpy)Cl]. Due to their advantageous properties, it was decided to retain the strong σ-donor triazole unit and to replace the pyridine of the pyridyl-triazole with pyrazine, a well-known strong π-acceptor. It is hoped that by studying the effect of the stronger π-accepting pyrazine ring on the photophysical properties, this might yield a better understanding of the influence of 1,2,4-triazole ligand systems on the properties of rhenium complexes. In Figure 3.1, the structures of the pyrazyl-triazole ligands prepared are given. These are analogous to those prepared in
Chapter 2. As before, their rhenium(I) complexes have also been prepared and studied in the same systematic method as their pyridyl-triazole analogues.

Figure 3.1 Structures of the ligands and their abbreviations as cited throughout this chapter.
3.2 Results and discussion

3.2.1 Synthesis

The synthesis of the pyrazyl-triazole ligands were carried out by the same method as was used for the synthesis of the analogous pyridyl-triazole ligands (see Chapter 3, Scheme 1), starting from cyanopyrazine instead of cyanopyridine. The rhenium complexes of the pyrazyl-triazole ligands were prepared in a similar manner to the complexes of the corresponding pyridyl-triazole ligands. The synthesis of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes were carried out in acidified-toluene. The pyrazyl-triazole ligand was firstly dissolved in toluene. As has been observed for ruthenium complexes containing pyrazyl-triazole ligands, the ligands deprotonate upon coordination of the metal centre.\textsuperscript{6,7} However the added precaution of addition of a few drops of trifluoroacetic acid was taken to ensure that the protonated complexes were isolated. The [Re(CO)\textsubscript{5}Cl] in toluene was slowly added to the acidified toluene solution. Again, the standard procedure of heating this solution at reflux under nitrogen was undertaken. As the reactions proceeded, the colour changed from an off white colour to a dark orange solution upon complexation. Reaction times were typically in the order of 3 hours. By following the reactions with infra-red spectroscopy, as before, it was possible to monitor the progress of the complexation. As previously explained (Section 2.4.4), the formation of coordination isomers comes about due to the different binding sites at N\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{4} of the triazole. For the complexes prepared in this section, the formation of only one isomer was observed (see Section 3.2.3). Like the analogous pyridyl-triazole complexes, CHN analysis of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes confirms the complexes were isolated with a protonated 1,2,4-triazole unit.
3.2.2 Infra-red spectroscopy

A comparison of the carbonyl stretching frequencies of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes with literature data can be used to confirm their geometry as well as to provide an insight into the electronic properties of the ligands. The infra-red (IR) spectra of the complexes in THF show three strong absorptions in the carbonyl stretching region (2200–1700 cm\(^{-1}\), Table 3.1). Figure 3.2 shows the IR spectra of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hthpztr})\text{Cl}]\) and \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{thpztr})\text{Cl}]\), which are typical of the complexes discussed in this section. In the protonated complexes one band is centred at ~2025 cm\(^{-1}\) with two resolved bands between 1925 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1895 cm\(^{-1}\). Three strong carbonyl absorption bands were also observed for the deprotonated complexes (Table 3.1 and Figure 3.2). The three carbonyl bands of the deprotonated complexes were all observed at lower frequency compared to their protonated analogues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>(\nu(\text{CO})\text{ cm}^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hpztr})\text{Cl}])</td>
<td>2027 1925 1897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{pztr})\text{Cl}])</td>
<td>2018 1914 1889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hphpztr})\text{Cl}])</td>
<td>2026 1927 1901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{phpztr})\text{Cl}])</td>
<td>2017 1916 1890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Mephpztr})\text{Cl}])</td>
<td>2028 1926 1894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hthpztr})\text{Cl}])</td>
<td>2027 1926 1897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{thpztr})\text{Cl}])</td>
<td>2018 1917 1886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{HBrpztr})\text{Cl}])</td>
<td>2025 1928 1899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Brpztr})\text{Cl}])</td>
<td>2016 1915 1887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}])</td>
<td>2018 1917 1892</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 3.1* IR data for the carbonyl stretching of the rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes. *All measurements are in THF and complexes were deprotonated using triethylamine.*
Like the IR spectrum of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]\), the CO pattern of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes also corresponds to three CO ligands in a facial isomer arrangement.\(^{8,9}\) Pyrazine type ligands have lower \(\pi^*\) energy levels than 2,2'-bipyridine.\(^{1,2}\) This leads to a more favourable back-bonding interaction between the \(d_x\) orbitals of rhenium(I) and the pyrazyl-triazole \(\pi^*\) orbitals compared to \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]\). This interaction leaves less electron density available for back-bonding between the rhenium \(d_x\) orbitals and the CO \(\pi^*\) orbitals. As a result the carbonyl bonds in the pyrazyl-triazole complexes are shorter than the carbonyl bonds in
[Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl]. This explains the observed increase in CO stretching frequencies for the pyrazyl-triazole complexes (see Table 3.1).

The three CO bands of the deprotonated pyrazyl-triazole complexes were all observed at lower frequency compared to their protonated analogues. For example the CO bands of [Re(CO)₃(Hthpztr)Cl] were observed at 2027, 1926 and 1897 cm⁻¹ while the CO bands of [Re(CO)₃(thpztr)Cl] shifted to 2018, 1917, and 1886 cm⁻¹ (see Table 3.1 and Figure 3.2). Deprotonation of a triazole ligand enhances the σ-donor abilities of the ligand.¹⁰ The presence of this negative charge increases the electron density on the rhenium metal centre. This enhances the back-bonding interaction between the rhenium dₓ orbitals and the CO π* orbitals, while reducing the back-bonding between the rhenium dₓ orbitals and the pyrazyl-triazole π* orbitals. The CO bond lengthens, and as a result the CO vibrations occur at lower wavenumber when compared to the analogous protonated complexes. These trends were previously observed in the IR spectra of protonated/deprotonated bipyrazine molybdenum and tungsten tetracarbonyl complexes.¹¹

Both the pyridyl- and the pyrazyl-triazole complexes show three CO bands in the CO stretching region (2200 – 1700 cm⁻¹) of the IR spectrum. In the case of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes the CO bands are observed at higher frequency compared to the corresponding pyridyl-triazole complexes. For example the CO bands of the pyridyl-triazole complex [Re(CO)₃(Hpytr)Cl] were observed at 2020, 1915 and 1890 cm⁻¹ while the CO bands of the pyrazyl-triazole complex [Re(CO)₃(Hpztr)Cl] shifted to 2027, 1925 and 1897 cm⁻¹ (see Tables 2.1 and 3.1). Pyrazyl-triazole ligands have lower π* energy levels than pyridyl-triazole ligands.¹⁰,¹² This leads to a more favourable back-bonding interaction between the dₓ orbitals of the rhenium(I) and the pyrazyl-triazole π* orbitals compared to the pyridyl-triazole complexes. This interaction leaves less electron density available for back-bonding between the rhenium dₓ orbitals and the CO π* orbitals. As a result the carbonyl bonds of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes are
shorter than the carbonyl bonds of the pyridyl-triazole. This explains the observed increase in CO stretching frequencies for the pyrazyl-triazole complexes.

### 3.2.3 NMR spectroscopy

NMR spectroscopy has been used for the structural characterisation of rhenium tricarbonyl complexes.\(^3,13,14\) The numbering system employed in the assignment of \(^1\)H NMR peaks is shown in Figure 3.3.

![Diagram of ligands discussed in this section with numbering system](image)

*Figure 3.3* Structures of ligands discussed in this section. The numbers on the protons describe the numbering system employed in the assignment of \(^1\)H NMR peaks.
Having previously synthesised the series of pyridyl-triazole ligands and complexes discussed in Chapter 2, the synthesis and characterisation of the pyrazine analogues was not expected to provide any major complications. In fact, the spectra of the pyrazyl-triazole compounds were actually more straightforward to assign since they have one proton less. The relevant $^1$H-NMR data of all the protonated complexes are presented in Table 3.2. The coordination-induced shifts (c.i.s. = $\delta_{\text{complex}} - \delta_{\text{ligand}}$) are in parentheses. It is seen that the shift upon coordination is similar in all complexes i.e. positive c.i.s. values. Assignments were made with the aid of two dimensional (COSY) spectra. Data for [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] is also included for comparative purposes. A sample COSY spectrum of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hthpztr)Cl] in $d_6$-acetone is displayed in Figure 3.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>$H_3$</th>
<th>$H_4$</th>
<th>$H_5$</th>
<th>$H_6$</th>
<th>$H_a$</th>
<th>$H_b$</th>
<th>$H_c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(Hpztr)Cl]</td>
<td>9.59</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>9.53</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.27)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(0.45)</td>
<td>(0.35)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(Hphpztr)Cl]</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>7.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(Mephpztr)Cl]</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>7.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.28)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(0.45)</td>
<td>(1.12)</td>
<td>(0.05)</td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(Hthpztr)Cl]</td>
<td>9.63</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>9.01</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>7.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.26)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(0.40)</td>
<td>(0.26)</td>
<td>(0.29)</td>
<td>(0.40)</td>
<td>(0.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.27)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(0.31)</td>
<td>(0.36)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl]</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2 $^1$H NMR data for the rhenium tricarbonyl complexes. All data was obtained in $d_6$-acetone. Values in parenthesis are the coordinated induced shifts relative to the free ligands.
Figure 3. 4  COSY NMR spectrum of [Re(CO)_3(Hthpztr)Cl] in d_6-acetone.

Figure 3. 5 displays the ^1H NMR spectra of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes [Re(CO)_3(HBrpztr)Cl] and [Re(CO)_3(Ithpztr)Cl]. The spectrum of [Re(CO)_3(bpy)Cl] is also included in Figure 3. 5 for comparative purposes. The formation of only one isomer was observed for all of the triazole complexes. The assignment of the pyrazyl-triazole protons was relatively straightforward, as the chemical shifts and coupling constants were compared to those of other pyrazine type complexes. The H_3 of the pyrazine ring is weakly coupled to the H_5 proton (J = 1.6 Hz) and is observed at very low field (9.60 — 9.65 ppm). The H_6 proton is more strongly coupled to the H_5 proton (J = 3.2 Hz) and is observed in the range of 9.01 — 9.05 ppm. The splitting pattern of the H_5 is more complicated, as it is coupled with the H_6 proton (J = 3.2 Hz) and with the H_3 proton (J = 1.6 Hz).
H\textsubscript{2}). The H\textsubscript{3}, H\textsubscript{5} and H\textsubscript{6} protons are highlighted in Figure 3. 5. Note the absence of a H\textsubscript{4} proton in the pyrazyltriazole complexes compared to [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)Cl].

Figure 3. 5 \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(HBpztr)Cl] (a), [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(Hhpztr)Cl] (b) and [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)Cl] in d\textsubscript{6}-acetone.
The second nitrogen atom in the pyrazine ring clearly influences the resonances of the $H_5$ and $H_3$ protons in the pyrazyl-triazole complexes compared to $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]$ (Figure 3.5). This nitrogen deshields the $H_3$ and $H_5$ protons, hence these protons are shifted downfield relative to $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]$. This deshielding effect is not experienced as much by $H_6$. This is a possible explanation for the $H_6$ protons in the pyrazyl-triazole complexes occurring at similar chemical shift to the $H_6$ proton in $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]$.

![Isomers](image)

**Figure 3.6** The two possible modes of coordination of a metal centre to $\text{HRpztr}$.

Ruthenium polypyridyl complexes coordinated to pyrazyl-triazole ligands can form both $N_2$ and $N_4$ coordination isomers (Figure 3.6). Like the rhenium(I) complexes containing a pyridyl-triazole ligand (Chapter 2), the formation of only one isomer was observed for the pyrazyl-triazole complexes. No suitable crystals were obtained for X-ray structure determination. Unfortunately it is impossible to deduce the exact coordination mode using just $^1H$-NMR studies. As outlined for the pyridyl-triazole complexes, it seems most likely coordination of the rhenium tricarbonyl unit occurs via the $N_2$ in the analogous pyrazyl-triazole complexes.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>H₃</th>
<th>H₄</th>
<th>H₅</th>
<th>H₆</th>
<th>H₇</th>
<th>H₈</th>
<th>H₉</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)₃(pztr)Cl]⁻</td>
<td>9.41</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.09)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(0.19)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(-0.16)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)₃(phpztr)Cl]⁻</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>7.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.06)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(-0.06)</td>
<td>(-0.11)</td>
<td>(0.12)</td>
<td>(-0.13)</td>
<td>(-0.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)₃(thpztr)Cl]⁻</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>7.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.09)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(-0.06)</td>
<td>(0.15)</td>
<td>(-0.22)</td>
<td>(-0.39)</td>
<td>(+0.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)₃(Br pztr)Cl]⁻</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8.84</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.27)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.3 ¹H NMR data for the deprotonated rhenium tricarbonyl complexes.
All spectra were obtained in d₆-Acetone. The complexes were deprotonated by addition of triethylamine. Values in parenthesis are the coordinated induced shifts relative to the free ligands.

Spectra were also recorded in basic solution, resulting in deprotonation of the triazole unit. A basic solution was obtained by addition of triethylamine to the ¹H NMR sample in d₆-acetone. The spectral data of the pyrazyl-triazole unit obtained in basic solution are summarised in Table 3.3. No spectral shifts were observed for [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl] or [Re(CO)₃(Mephpztr)Cl] in basic solution. Figure 3.7 shows the ¹H NMR spectrum of [Re(CO)₃(Hphpztr)] compared to [Re(CO)₃(phpztr)]. The deprotonated complexes were generally found upfield relative to the corresponding protonated complexes.
Figure 3.7 $^1H$ NMR spectra of (a) $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hphpztr})\text{Cl}]$ and (b) $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{phpztr})\text{Cl}]$.

In this case the upfield shift in the resonance positions of the pyrazyl-triazole protons (Table 3.3 and Figure 3.7) is most likely a consequence of deprotonation of the triazole and resulting transfer of electron density from the negatively charged triazole ring to the $\pi$-accepting pyrazine ring. Similar trends were also observed on deprotonation of the triazole ligand in the ruthenium complex $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Hpztr})](\text{PF}_6)_2$.7
3.2.4 Absorption and emission properties

The absorption spectra of the complexes synthesised in this chapter were recorded in dichloromethane at room temperature. Absorption spectra of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hphpztr)Cl] and [Re(CO)$_3$(phpztr)Cl]$^+$ compared to [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] are shown in Figure 3.8. The absorption spectra of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hphpztr)Cl] and [Re(CO)$_3$(phpztr)Cl]$^+$ are typical of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes discussed in this section. The absorption maxima are summarised in (Table 3.4). The low energy absorption bands of the protonated complexes are similar in position and shape to that of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] (Figure 3.8) and other rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes.$^{1,17,18,19,20}$ Hence the low energy absorption bands between 390 and 410 nm can be assigned to metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT) transitions from the rhenium (d$^6$) metal orbitals to the unoccupied ($\pi^*$) orbitals of the pyrazyl-triazole.

![Absorption spectra of complexes](image)

*Figure 3.8 Absorption spectra of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hphpztr)Cl], [Re(CO)$_3$(phpztr)Cl]$^+$ and [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] in dichloromethane at 298 K.*
The rhenium(I) complex \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpz})\text{Cl}]\) (bpz = 2,2'-bipyrazine) has an absorption maximum at 450 nm.\(^1\) As the pyrazyl-triazole ligand contains a pyrazine unit, the absorption maxima of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes are anticipated to occur at similar wavelengths to that of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpz})\text{Cl}]\). This is not the case as the complexes \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hpztr})\text{Cl}], [\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hphpztr})\text{Cl}], [\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hthpztr})\text{Cl}], [\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Mephpztr})\text{Cl}],\) and \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{IBrpztr})\text{Cl}]\) have absorption maxima at 395 nm, 390 nm, 400 nm, 390 nm, and 410 nm respectively i.e. the absorption maxima are blue shifted relative to \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpz})\text{Cl}]\). The position of MLCT transitions are governed by both the σ-donor and π-acceptor properties of the ligands coordinated to the metal centre. In the case of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes, the strong σ-donor properties of the triazole unit most likely increase the ligand field separation. This results in an increased energy separation between the HOMO and the LUMO of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes compared to \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpz})\text{Cl}]\). Hence the absorption maxima of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes are blue shifted relative to \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpz})\text{Cl}]\).

The MLCT absorption maxima of the deprotonated pyrazyl-triazole complexes are blue shifted compared to \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]\) and their protonated analogues. For example \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{phpztr})\text{Cl}]\) has an absorption maximum at 337 nm whereas the absorption maxima of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hphpztr})\text{Cl}]\) and \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]\) are both observed at 390 nm (see Figure 3.8). The presence of a negative charge on the triazole raises the energy of the π* (LUMO) level of the pyrazyl-triazole ligand. Therefore the gap between the HOMO and the LUMO increases, thus resulting in a blue shift of the MLCT band compared to the protonated complex. A similar shift in the absorption maxima was observed with protonation/deprotonation of the uncoordinated nitrogen in \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(4,4'-\text{bpy})_2\text{Cl}](4,4'-\text{bpy} = 4,4'-\text{bipyridyl})\).\(^{21}\) The MLCT absorption maxima of the protonated/deprotonated complexes are summarised in Table 3.4. Note there was no change in the absorption spectrum of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Mephpztr})\text{Cl}]\) in basic solution, as an unlabile methyl group rather than a proton is present on the triazole.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>298 K</th>
<th>77 K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{abs}}$ (nm)$^a$/</td>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{em}}$ (nm)$^b$/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(Hpztr)Cl]</td>
<td>395 (4.1)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(pztr)Cl]$^f$</td>
<td>330 (3.5)</td>
<td>612 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(Hphpztr)Cl]</td>
<td>390 (4.0)</td>
<td>630 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(phpztr)Cl]$^f$</td>
<td>337 (3.3)</td>
<td>565 (76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(Mephpztr)Cl]</td>
<td>390 (4.2)</td>
<td>625 (89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(Hthpztr)Cl]</td>
<td>400 (3.9)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(thpztr)Cl]$^f$</td>
<td>350 (3.1)</td>
<td>610 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(HBrpztr)Cl]</td>
<td>410 (4.4)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(Brpztr)Cl]$^f$</td>
<td>330 (3.4)</td>
<td>610 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl]</td>
<td>390 (3.7)</td>
<td>600 (54)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.4  Absorption maxima and luminescence properties of the rhenium complexes. $\tau$ refers to the emission lifetime while $\phi$ denotes the radiative quantum yield. $^a$Data in dichloromethane, $^b$in deoxygenated dichloromethane, $^c$in ethanol/methanol (4:1).

The absorption maxima of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes (see Table 3.4) are red shifted relative to the analogous pyridyl-triazole complexes (see Table 2.4). For instance, [Re(CO)$_3$(Hpztr)Cl] has an MLCT band maximum at 395 nm whereas [Re(CO)$_3$(Hpytr)Cl] has an MLCT band maximum at 330 nm. This difference in wavelength is due to the weak $\pi$-acceptor properties of the pyridyl-triazole ligands compared to the pyrazyl-triazole ligands. Hence the $\pi^*$ levels of the pyridyl-triazole complexes are higher in energy compared to the analogous pyrazyl-triazole complexes. This is a factor in the red shift of the MLCT bands of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes compared to the analogous pyridyl-triazole complexes.
[Re(CO)_3(Hphpztr)Cl] was the only protonated complex which emitted in dichloromethane at room temperature. However, upon addition of triethylamine to a dichloromethane solution of the complexes, all of the complexes were found to emit (see Table 3.4). Note the complex [Re(CO)_3(Mephpztr)Cl] which contains an unlabile methyl group was also found to emit at room temperature. Figure 3.9 shows the emission spectra of [Re(CO)_3(Hphpztr)Cl], [Re(CO)_3(phpztr)Cl] and [Re(CO)_3(bpy)Cl] in dichloromethane at room temperature. Like the absorption spectra of the complexes, protonation/deprotonation of the triazole has a significant effect on the emission properties of the complexes (Figure 3.9 and Table 3.4). The emission maximum of [Re(CO)_3(phpztr)Cl] was blue shifted compared to [Re(CO)_3(Hphpztr)Cl]. There were no changes in the emission spectra of [Re(CO)_3(Mephpztr)Cl] and [Re(CO)_3(bpy)Cl] in the presence of triethylamine.

![Emission spectra of complexes](image)

**Figure 3.9** Emission spectra of [Re(CO)_3(Hphpztr)Cl], [Re(CO)_3(phpztr)Cl] and [Re(CO)_3(bpy)Cl] in dichloromethane at 298 K.
The emission spectra observed for the pyrazyl-triazole complexes were found to be temperature dependent (Table 3.4). All of the complexes emitted at 77 K yielding broad, structureless emission spectra. As the temperature was lowered from 298 K to 77 K, a significant blue shift of the spectrum was observed. This is associated with a phenomenon known as "rigidochromism". In the alcoholic glass formed at 77 K, the solvent dipoles are immobile on the time scale of the excited state and consequently they cannot respond to the change in electronic configuration between the ground and excited state that accompanies an excitation. The result is an increase in the emission energy, which is confirmed by a blue shift in the emission spectra. Another observation at low temperature is the increase in emission intensity and a longer lifetime of the excited state of the complex i.e. lifetimes in the μs range. At 77 K, the complex and its environment are rigid, making it less susceptible to vibronic coupling to low frequency, high amplitude Re-N vibrations, which contribute to radiationless decay. Solvent interactions, which may contribute to radiationless decay are also considerably reduced in the frozen matrix. The emission spectra of [Re(CO)₃(Hphpztr)Cl], [Re(CO)₃(phpztr)Cl] and [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl] at 77 K are shown in Figure 3.10. Emission maxima of the deprotonated complexes were found to blue shift by ~ 5 nm compared to their protonated derivatives. The emission spectra of both the protonated and deprotonated complexes show a red shift in the emission maxima compared to [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl] at 77 K.

For all of the emitting complexes listed in Table 3.4, the emitting level can be labelled as $^3\text{MLCT}$ in character according to the following considerations:

i) The position and shape of the emission band is consistent with that previously reported for similar complexes assigned as $^3\text{MLCT}$ emitters.⁵,²³

ii) There is a blue shift in the emission band on cooling from 298 K to 77 K.²⁴
Lifetimes and quantum yields were also obtained at 298 K in deoxygenated dichloromethane. The results are collated in Table 3.4. The lifetime of 54 ns and the quantum yield of 0.005 obtained at 298 K for [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)Cl] is comparable with the previous values of 51 ns and 0.005 obtained by Caspar and Meyer\textsuperscript{23}. The lifetimes of the emissive pyrazyl-triazole complexes are similar to that of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)Cl] at 298 K (see Table 3.4). In addition, lower quantum yield values were obtained for the pyrazyl-triazole complexes compared to [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)Cl], indicating that the emission efficiencies of these complexes are less than that of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)Cl]. The lifetime of MLCT excited states of rhenium(I) polypyridyl complexes in fluid solution are expected to be dominated by non-radiative processes\textsuperscript{25,26,27}. The energy gap law predicts that the non-
radiative decay rate for rhenium(I) polypyridyl complexes should decay exponentially with the increasing difference in energy between the emitting and ground-state levels.\textsuperscript{23,28,29} The energy gap law may be applied to explain the low quantum yields and short lifetimes of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes.

No emission was detected from [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hpztr)Cl], [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hthpztr)Cl] and [Re(CO)\(_3\)(HBrpztr)Cl] at 298 K, whereas the deprotonated derivatives of these complexes were found to emit. The lack of emission from [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hpztr)Cl], [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hthpztr)Cl] and [Re(CO)\(_3\)(HBrpztr)Cl] at 298 K is most likely a result of population of non-radiative decay paths. Previous studies by Worl and coworkers for a series of [Re(CO)\(_3\)(4,4'\text{-}X\text{-}2\text{-}bpy)Cl] complexes (X = NEt\(_2\), NH\(_2\), NHCOCH\(_3\), OCH\(_3\), CH\(_3\), H, Cl, Ph, CO\(_2\)Et, and NO\(_2\)) found the lowest energy MLCT transition for these complexes involves the filled d\(_x^*\) orbitals and the empty bpy \(\pi^*\) orbitals, which are mixed by a back-bonding interaction.\textsuperscript{30} When the energy gap between these orbitals was small, there was significant back-bonding between them, resulting in enhanced orbital mixing and increased non-radiative decay paths i.e. longer non-radiative decay constants. The IR spectra of the protonated pyrazyl-triazole complexes (see Section 3.2.2) indicated a stronger back-bonding interaction between the d\(_x\) orbitals of rhenium and the pyrazyl-triazole \(\pi^*\) orbitals compared to [Re(CO)\(_3\)(bpy)Cl]. This enhanced coupling between the d\(_x\) and \(\pi^*\) orbitals most likely increases the non-radiative decay paths of the protonated pyrazyl-triazole complexes compared to [Re(CO)\(_3\)(bpy)Cl]. Hence [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hphpztr)Cl] and [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Mephpztr)Cl] are weakly emissive while no emission was detected from [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hpztr)Cl], [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hthpztr)Cl] or [Re(CO)\(_3\)(HBrpztr)Cl]. On the other hand, deprotonation of the triazole ligand was found to reduce the back-bonding interaction between the rhenium metal centre and the triazole ligand (Section 3.2.2). This reduction in the Re\(\rightarrow\pi^*\) back bonding most likely reduces the electronic coupling between the excited and ground states, thus reducing the non-radiative decay paths. Hence emission was detected from all of the deprotonated complexes. This reduced coupling between the excited and ground-state can also explain the longer lifetime observed for [Re(CO)\(_3\)(phpztr)Cl] compared to [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hphpztr)Cl].
At 77 K, the lifetimes of all of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes were longer compared to [Re(CO)\_3(bpy)Cl]. For example lifetimes of 4.85 \mu s, 5.91 \mu s and 3.60 \mu s were obtained for [Re(CO)\_3(Hphpztr)Cl], [Re(CO)\_3(phpztr)Cl\^+] and [Re(CO)\_3(bpy)Cl] at 77 K. Since the emission maxima of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes are red shifted compared to [Re(CO)\_3(bpy)Cl], the rate of non radiative decay for the pyrazyl-triazole is expected to increase according to the energy gap law i.e. shorter lifetimes compared to [Re(CO)\_3(bpy)Cl] are anticipated.

Differences were observed in the emission properties of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes (see Table 3.4) compared to the corresponding pyridyl-triazole complexes (see Table 2.4). For example [Re(CO)\_3(Hphpztr)Cl] was the only emissive protonated pyrazyl-triazole complex at room temperature, whereas emission was detected from all of the protonated pyridyl-triazole complexes at room temperature. The room temperature emission spectra showed a red shift from 552 nm for [Re(CO)\_3(Hphpytr)Cl] to 625 nm for [Re(CO)\_3(Hphpztr)Cl]. A decrease in the emission lifetimes from 101 ns in [Re(CO)\_3(Hphpytr)Cl] to 50 ns in [Re(CO)\_3(Hphpztr)Cl] was also observed. In addition the emission maximum of [Re(CO)\_3(Mephpztr)Cl] is red shifted by 88 nm relative to [Re(CO)\_3(Mephpytr)Cl]. The red shift in the emission maximum of [Re(CO)\_3(Mephpztr)Cl] compared to [Re(CO)\_3(Mephpytr)Cl] is also accompanied by a decrease in the emission lifetimes from 735 ns to 89 ns. A red shift in the emission maxima of the deprotonated pyrazyl-triazole complexes compared to the analogous deprotonated pyridyl-triazole complexes was also observed. For instance, the pyridyl-triazole complex [Re(CO)\_3(pytr)Cl\^+] has an emission maximum at 516 nm whereas the pyrazyl-triazole complex [Re(CO)\_3(pztr)Cl\^+] has an emission maximum at 612 nm. A decrease in the emission lifetimes of the deprotonated pyrazyl-triazole complexes compared to the corresponding pyridyl-triazole complexes was also observed. For example a decrease in the emission lifetime from 159 ns to 76 ns was observed for [Re(CO)\_3(phpytr)Cl\^+] compared to [Re(CO)\_3(phpztr)Cl\^+]. At 77 K, emission was detected from all of the pyrazyl- and pyridyl-triazole complexes. Similarly at 77 K, a red shift in the emission maximum along with a decrease in the emission
lifetime was observed for the pyrazyl-triazole complexes compared to the corresponding pyridyl-triazole complexes. At 77 K, \([\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{(Hpztr)Cl}]\) has a emission maximum of 535 nm and decays with a lifetime of 6.93 \(\mu\)s while the pyridyl-triazole complex \([\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{(Hpytr)Cl}]\) has an emission maximum of 493 nm and a lifetime of 8.97 \(\mu\)s.

The red shift in the emission maxima of both the protonated and deprotonated pyrazyl-triazole complexes relative to the analogous pyridyl-triazole complexes can be attributed to the strong \(\pi\)-acceptor properties of pyrazine relative to pyridine. As a consequence the energy gap between the \(3\text{MLCT}\) and ground electronic state of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes is smaller compared to the pyridyl-triazole complexes. Hence the emission maxima of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes are red shifted relative to the analogous pyridyl-triazole complexes. A similar red shift in the emission maximum was observed for \([\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{(bpz)Cl}]\) compared to \([\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{(bpy)Cl}]\).\(^1\) Note, \([\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{(bpy)Cl}]\) has an emission maximum of 600 nm while \([\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{(bpz)Cl}]\) has an emission maximum of 750 nm. The red shift in the emission maximum of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{(bpz)Cl}]\) compared to \([\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{(bpy)Cl}]\) was attributed to the stronger \(\pi\) acceptor properties of 2,2'-bipyrazine compared to 2,2'-bipyridine which subsequently results in a smaller energy gap between the \(3\text{MLCT}\) state and the ground electronic state.

As previously discussed, the lifetimes of \(3\text{MLCT}\) states are dependent on the “energy gap law” and the energy gap between the \(3\text{MLCT}\) and \(3\text{MC}\) states. The red shift in the emission maxima of pyrazyl-triazole compared to the corresponding pyridyl-triazole complexes indicates that there is a smaller energy gap between the ground and the emissive electronic states. This decrease in the energy gap may result in increased coupling between the ground- and the excited-state levels of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes i.e. increasing the nonradiative decay pathways. In addition, pyrazyl-triazole ligands are weaker \(\sigma\)-donors than pyridyl-triazole ligands. The effect of a weaker \(\sigma\)-donor ligand is to reduce the ligand field splitting in a metal complex; this results in a decrease in the energy gap between the \(3\text{MLCT}\) and \(3\text{MC}\) states. Hence the \(3\text{MC}\) states are populated
more efficiently i.e. a decrease in the luminescence lifetime is observed for the pyrazyl-triazole complexes. Decreases in the energy gap between $^3$MLCT and $^3$MC states have accounted for shorter lifetimes in ruthenium(II) terpyridine complexes. Duati and co-workers postulated that the increase in the luminescence lifetime of $[\text{Ru(tpy)}(L_1N_2N_2)]$ (where tpy = 2,2';6',2''-terpyridine and $L_1N_2N_2 = 2,6$-bis[1,2,4]triazol-3-yl]pyridine) compared to $[\text{Ru(tpy)}_2]^{2+}$ is rationalised by an increase in the $^3$MLCT-$^3$MC gap and a subsequent decrease in the nonradiative relaxation rate due to the enhanced $\sigma$-donor properties of 2,2';6',2''-terpyridine compared to 2,6-bis[1,2,4]triazol-3-yl]pyridine. As previously discussed, the lack of emission from $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(Hpztr)\text{Cl}]$, $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hthpztr})\text{Cl}]$ and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{HBrpztr})\text{Cl}]$ at 298 K is most likely a result of population of nonradiative decay paths. The red shift in the emission maxima of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes relative to the corresponding pyridyl-triazole complexes at 77 K indicates that the ground and the emissive electronic states energy gap is smaller for the pyrazyl-triazole complexes. The smaller energy gap increases the non-radiative decay paths. Pyrazyl-triazole ligands are considered weaker $\sigma$-donor ligands than pyridyl-triazole ligands. Hence the $^3$MLCT-$^3$MC gap is smaller for the pyrazyl-triazole complexes i.e. the $^3$MC non-radiative decay states are populated more efficiently. The smaller energy gap between the ground and the emissive electronic states along with the reduced $^3$MLCT — $^3$MC energy gaps enhance the non-radiative decay paths in $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(Hpztr)\text{Cl}]$, $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hthpztr})\text{Cl}]$ and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{HBrpztr})\text{Cl}]$ compared to the corresponding pyridyl-triazole complexes. Hence no emission was detected from $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(Hpztr)\text{Cl}]$, $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hthpztr})\text{Cl}]$ and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{HBrpztr})\text{Cl}]$ at 298 K.
3.2.5 Ground-state and excited state $pK_a$ measurements

The protonation and deprotonation of the pyrazyl-triazole ligand alters its $\sigma$ donor and $\pi$ acceptor properties. This is evident from the absorption and emission maxima (see Table 3.4). By investigating the acid-base behaviour of this type of rhenium(I) complex, important information about its electronic state properties can be obtained. The ground state behaviour tends to be a measure of the amount of electron donation from the ligand to the metal, while the excited state measurements can give information about the nature of the emitting state. Upon coordination to the rhenium metal centre, the acidity of the triazole proton in the ground state increases considerably, which is generally explained by $\sigma$ donation from the ligand to the metal centre.

Figure 3.11 is a typical example of the acid-base chemistry of the rhenium(I) complexes containing a pyrazyl-triazole ligand. All the spectroscopic changes of the complexes are reversible in the pH range 1-10. The absorption titrations are performed by adjusting the pH of a solution of the complex in Britton Robinson buffer. pH adjustments were made by adding 75 µl of 2 M NaOH or 2 M H$_2$SO$_4$ to a 100 ml volume of the dissolved complex. By monitoring the spectral changes, at a wavelength where there is considerable change as a function of pH, a graphical analysis was carried out by plotting absorbance against pH. The $pK_a$ was determined from the point of inflection of the curve. The $pK_a$ obtained represents the $pK_a$ of the triazole ring. In all titrations there is a blue shift of the MLCT band as the pH is increased due to the resultant increase in the HOMO-LUMO gap.
Figure 3.11  pH dependence of the absorption spectra of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hpztr)Cl] in Britton-Robinson buffer. Inset, shows a plot of absorbance at 350 nm versus increasing pH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>$pK_a$</th>
<th>$pH_1^*$</th>
<th>$pK_a^*$(1)</th>
<th>$pK_a^*$(2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(Hpztr)Cl]</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(Hphpztr)Cl]</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(Hthpztr)Cl]</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(HBrpztr)Cl]</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.5  Ground-state and excited-state $pK_a$ values for the rhenium tricarbonyl complexes. The $pK_a^*$ (1) values were obtained using Equation 3.2 and the $pK_a^*$ (2) values were calculated using Equation 3.3.
The pKₐ values for the complexes are summarised in *Table 3. 5*. In every case the acid/base behaviour observed can be explained by protonation/deprotonation of the triazole moiety as indicated in Equation 3.1.

\[
[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Htr})\text{Cl}] \leftrightarrow [\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{tr})\text{Cl}]^+ + \text{H}^+ \quad 3. 1
\]

By examination of Table 3. 5, it can be seen that the acidity of the coordinated triazole ring is strongly dependent on the nature of the non-coordinated substituent in the C₅ position of the 1,2,4-triazole. The effect of the introduction of an electron withdrawing bromine group or thiophene ring is particularly relevant. A comparison of the pKₐ value of [Re(CO)_3(Hpztr)Cl] with those of [Re(CO)_3(HBrpztr)Cl] and [Re(CO)_3(Hthpztr)Cl] shows that the pKₐ value of the triazole ring increases by 1.1 pH units in the presence of a thiophene ring, while an increase of 2.8 pH units is observed with a bromine substituent. This observed increase in acidity in the presence of a thiophene or bromine substituent can be attributed to a reduction in the electron density on the 1,2,4-triazole in the presence of an electron withdrawing substituent. A pKₐ value of 3.7 was obtained for [Ru(bpy)_2(Hpztr)]^{2+}, while a pKₐ value of 1.4 was obtained for [Ru(bpy)_2(HBrpztr)]^{2+}.¹⁰,³² The observed difference in pKₐ values for these ruthenium(II) bipyridyl complexes was also attributed to a reduction in electron density on the triazole ring in the presence of an electron withdrawing bromine substituent. Analysis of the pKₐ values observed for [Re(CO)_3(Hpztr)Cl] and [Re(CO)_3(Hphpztr)Cl], shows that the effect of the introduction of a phenyl ring is far less dramatic and does not result in any change in the pKₐ value.

The emission of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes was weak in aqueous solution. [Re(CO)_3(Hpztr)Cl] did not emit in neutral, acidic or basic conditions while [Re(CO)_3(Hthpztr)Cl] only emitted when deprotonated. Figure 3. 12 shows the pH dependence of the emission spectra of [Re(CO)_3(HBrpztr)Cl], which is a typical example of the the rhenium(I) complexes containing a pyrazyl-triazole ligand.. The spectra were obtained by exciting the complex at 325 nm, which is an isosbestic point in the pH dependent absorbance spectrum. At pH 1, λ_{max} is at
642 nm. The \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) shifts to 623 nm with increasing pH while the emission intensity was found to increase with increasing pH. A plot of emission (monitored at 642 nm) against pH results in a curve (inset of Figure 3.12) which allows pH\(_{\text{I}}^*\) to be determined. The excited-state pK\(_a\) values (pH\(_{\text{I}}^*\)) obtained from the emission titration plots are not reliable, as they need to be corrected for the lifetimes of the protonated and deprotonated species using Equation 3.2:

\[
pK_a^* = \text{pH}_{\text{I}}^* + \log(\tau_a/\tau_b)
\]

The pK\(_a^*\) values for [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hphpztr)Cl\] and [Re(CO)\(_3\)(HBrpztr)] were also calculated using Förster’s equation, as given in Equation 3.3:

\[
pK_a^* = pK_a + \{0.625(v_b - v_a)/T\}
\]

**Figure 3.12** Excited state pK\(_a\) titration (pH\(_{10} - \text{pH}1.0\)) of [Re(CO)\(_3\)(HBrpztr)Cl] in Britton Robinson buffer. Inset – Plot of emission intensity at 642 nm versus increasing pH.
The $pK_{a*}$ values are presented in Table 3.5. Although there are differences between the calculated excited-state $pK_a$ values of the complexes using Equation 3.2 and Equation 3.3, the general trend for the coordinated pyrazyl-triazole complexes is that they are more basic in the excited state than in the ground-state (i.e. $pK_{a*} > pK_a$). This is an interesting result as it shows the electron resides on the pyrazyl-triazole ligand after excitation of the complex. After excitation, the electron-rich pyrazyl-triazole (i.e an electron resides on the pyrazine) can bind a proton much easier than in the ground-state, and is therefore more basic. Similar trends have been observed for ruthenium bipyridyl complexes containing pyrazyl-triazole ligands.$^{10,33}$
3.2.6 Electrochemistry

Cyclic voltammetry was used to study the redox properties of the rhenium complexes. Just as the change from a pyridine to a pyrazine was evident from spectroscopic studies, so too were the effects of the pyrazine visible from electrochemical studies on the series of complexes presented here. The cyclic voltammetry of the complexes in acetonitrile presents one oxidation and two reduction processes, which are all irreversible. Table 3.6 summarises the redox potentials for the pyrazyl-triazole complexes. All values have been corrected using the redox potential of ferrocene under the same experimental conditions as a secondary reference. Figure 3.13 shows a CV of [Re(CO)₃(Hphpztr)Cl]. The CV of [Re(CO)₃(Hphpztr)Cl] is typical of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>E (V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Re (I)/(II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)₃(Hpztr)Cl]</td>
<td>1.64ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)₃(Hphpztr)Cl]</td>
<td>1.60ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)₃(Mephpztr)Cl]</td>
<td>1.60ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)₃(Hthpztr)Cl]</td>
<td>1.70ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)₃(HBrpztr)Cl]</td>
<td>1.69ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl]</td>
<td>1.55ᵃ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.6 Electrochemical data (v's Fc/Fc⁺) in acetonitrile with 0.1 M TBABF₄ at 100 mV/s scan rate. ᵃAnodic peak potential corresponding to an irreversible step. ᵇCathodic peak potential corresponding to an irreversible step.
Figure 3.13  Cyclic voltammogram of the oxidation of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(Hphpztr)Cl] in 0.1 M TBABF\textsubscript{4} in acetonitrile with a scan rate of 100 mV/s.

An electrochemically irreversible oxidation was observed near 1.65 V for the pyrazyl-triazole complexes. This has been assigned to oxidation of the rhenium(I) centre. Typically an increase of approximately 100-150 mV in the oxidation potential is observed on going from [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(bpy)Cl] to the pyrazyl-triazole complexes. The higher oxidation potentials are an indication that the metal ion has less electron density, which is most likely caused by the strong π-accepting pyrazyl-triazole ligands. The pyrazyl-triazole ligands exhibit a more positive Re(I)/(II) oxidation potential than their pyridine analogues (see Table 2.6). For example, oxidation of the rhenium(I) metal centre is observed at 1.44 V for [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(Hpzt)Cl] whereas oxidation of the rhenium(I) metal centre is observed at 1.64 V for [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}(Hpzt)Cl].
donor/stronger π-acceptor properties of the pyrazine over the pyridine ligand, which reduces the relative electron density on the rhenium(I) metal centre. A similar trend was observed for ruthenium bipyridyl complexes containing triazole ligands. For example, oxidation of the ruthenium metal centre in \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Hpztr})]^2+\) was observed at 1.30 V compared to \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Hpytr})]^2+\) where oxidation of the ruthenium metal centre was observed at 1.20 V.

The first reduction of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes is irreversible with \(i_c/i_a > 1\). The reduction is assigned to the coordinated pyrazyl-triazole ligand (Equation 3.4) and is comparable with \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Hpztr})]^2+\) and other ruthenium complexes containing pyrazyl-triazole ligands. The reduction potentials of the pyrazyl-triazole ligands are observed at less negative potential than that of 2,2'-bipyridyl (see Table 3.6). For example reduction of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hpztr})\text{Cl}]\) at \(-0.87\) V compared to reduction of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]\) at \(-1.29\) V is consistent with the stronger π-accepting ability of the pyrazyl-triazole ligands compared to 2,2'-bipyridyl.

\[
[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{pyrazyl-triazole})\text{Cl}] + e^- \rightarrow [\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{pyrazyl-triazole}^*)\text{Cl}] \quad 3.4
\]

The second reduction at more negative potential is also irreversible. It is assigned to an electrochemical process involving chloride dissociation and follows the trends observed for other rhenium(I) polypyridyl complexes.

### 3.3 Conclusions

A range of pyrazyl-triazole ligands and their rhenium complexes have been successfully prepared and characterised. Yields were comparable to those obtained for the pyridyl-triazole analogues. The complexes were characterised by a variety of means: elemental analysis, IR spectroscopy and \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectroscopy. \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectroscopy again illustrated the change in chemical shift caused by complexation with the metal. Typical pyrazine signals were obtained, with long range coupling evident.
UV/Vis spectra displayed all the typical elements of rhenium polypyridyl complexes. MLCT bands in the region of 400 nm are observed in the spectra. These are red shifted with respect to the pyridine complexes due to the increase in the π-acceptor nature of the pyrazine. This was also apparent in the emission spectra of the complexes. As before, deprotonation of the triazole resulted in these values shifting as a direct consequence of the increase in the triazole’s σ-donor nature. Ground and excited state pKₐ measurements indicated that the excited state is based on the pyrazine ligand in all cases.

The lack of emission detected from [Re(CO)₃(Hpztr)Cl], [Re(CO)₃(Hthpztr)Cl] and [Re(CO)₃(HBrpztr)Cl] at 298 K was most likely a result of population of non-radiative decay paths due to the strong back bonding interaction between the dₚ orbitals of rhenium and the pyrazyl-triazole π* orbitals. The observed emission from the deprotonated derivatives of the pyrazyl-triazole complexes was explained in terms of the reduction in the Re→π* back bonding which most likely reduces the electronic coupling between the excited and ground states, thus reducing the non-radiative decay paths. At 77 K, the deprotonated complexes showed longer lifetimes for the 3MLCT when compared to [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl] and their protonated analogues.

As for the electrochemical behaviour of the complexes, it is important to emphasise the following points:

(i) The metal centred oxidation potential increased moving from bpy to the pyrazyl-triazole ligand, which is expected because pyrazine is a better π acceptor than bpy.

(ii) The pyrazyl-triazole ligands exhibit a more positive Re(I)/(II) oxidation potential than their pyridine analogues which is due mainly to the weaker σ-donor/stronger π-acceptor properties of pyrazine over pyridine.
(iii) The first reduction potentials of the complexes correlate well with the first of \([\text{Ru}(bpy)_2\text{pztr}]^{2+}\) and they can be assigned to reduction of coordinated pyrazyl-triazole ligands.

A more complete discussion of the electrochemical properties of the pyrazyl-triazole ligands cannot be done because the reduction waves are irreversible under the experimental conditions.

3.4 Experimental

The ligands were prepared according to literature methods.\(^{10,38,39}\)

3.4.1 Preparation of the Ligands

3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole (Hpztr)\(^{10}\)

20 cm\(^3\) of ethanol was added to a mixture of (5.0 g; 48 mmol) of molten 2-cyanopyrazine and an equimolar amount of hydrazine hydrate (2.40 g, 48 mmol). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. Yellow crystals of 2-pyrazylamidrazone were collected by filtration. The pyrazylamidrazone (14 g; 100 mmol) was dissolved in a 10 fold excess of cold formic acid at temperatures below 10 °C. The mixture was stirred for 3 hours at room temperature. After subsequent heating to dryness at 120 °C the ligand precipitated. The ligand was recrystallised from hot ethanol. Yield: 3.38 g, 43 mmol, 48 %.

\(^{1}\)H NMR (d\(_6\)-DMSO), \(\delta\) in ppm: H\(_3\): 9.46 ppm (d); H\(_5\): 8.70 ppm (dd; H\(_6\): 8.66 ppm (d); H\(_a\): 8.25 ppm (s).

3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-5-phenyl-1,2,4-triazole (Hphpztr)\(^{39}\)
Hydrazine hydrate (2.37g, 47 mmol) was slowly added to a solution of 2-cyanopyrazine (5 g, 47 mmol) in 20 cm³ of ethanol. The reaction mixture was gently heated to 40 °C. After the formation of a yellow solution the heat was turned off and the reaction was left stirring overnight. The pyrazylamidrazone (yellow precipitate) was filtered under vacuum and washed with ethanol. Benzoyl chloride (6.60g, 47 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 4 cm³ of triethylamine and an equimolar amount of the pyrazylamidrazone in 20 cm³ of dry THF. The yellow suspension was stirred for three hours at room temperature. The yellow crystals were filtered under vacuum and left to dry overnight. The crystals were dissolved in ethylene glycol and refluxed for three hours. The ethylene glycol solution was allowed cool to room temperature. 10 cm³ of cold water was added to the solution to aid precipitation. The white triazole ligand precipitated overnight and was collected under vacuum. The product was recrystallised ethanol to yield a fine white powder. Yield: 7.14 g, (68 %, 32 mmol). 

\[ ^1H \text{NMR (d}_6\text{-acetone), } \delta \text{ in ppm: } H_3: 9.35 \text{ (d); } H_5: 8.80 \text{ (dd); } H_6: 8.77 \text{ (d); } H_7: 8.11 \text{ (d); } H_8: 7.51 \text{ (dd); } H_9: 7.49 \text{ (dd).} \]

**1-methyl-3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-5-phenyl-1,2,4-triazole (Mephpztr)**

This ligand was prepared in a similar manner to that described previously for Hphpztr, only in this case methylhydrazine was used instead of hydrazine to react with 2-cyanopyrazine. Yield 4.74 g, 20 mmol, 31 %. Elemental analysis for C\(_{14}\)H\(_{12}\)N\(_4\): Calculated C, 71.19; H, 5.08; N, 23.73. Found C, 71.48; H, 4.99; N, 23.55. 

\[ ^1H \text{NMR (d}_6\text{-acetone), } \delta \text{ in ppm: } H_3: 9.18 \text{ (d); } H_5: 8.18 \text{ (d); } H_6: 7.42 \text{ (m); } H_7: 8.68 \text{ (d); } H_8: 7.58 \text{ (dd); } H_9: 7.58 \text{ (dd) Me: 3.58 (s).} \]

**3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-5-thiophen-2-yl-1,2,4-triazole (Hthpztr)**

As for Hphpztr except: 6.89 g (47 mmol) of 2-thiophene-acid chloride was used in place of the benzoyl chloride. Yield 6.67 g (29 mmol, 62 %). 

\[ ^1H \text{NMR (d}_6\text{-DMSO), } \delta \text{ in ppm: } H_3: 9.29 \text{ (d); } H_5: 8.77 \text{ (m); } H_6: 8.76 \text{ (dd); } H_7: 7.74 \text{ (d); } H_8: 7.69 \text{ (d); } H_9: 7.21 \text{ (dd).} \]
3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-5-Bromo-1,2,4-triazole (HBrpztr)\textsuperscript{32}

0.84 g (6 mmol) of 3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole (Hpztr) was added to 15 cm\textsuperscript{3} of water. The ligand was fully dissolved by slow addition of 10 M NaOH (pH 12). 0.6 ml of Br\textsubscript{2} (99 %, \(d = 3.199\) g/cm\textsuperscript{3}) was slowly added while maintaining a pH of 12 by addition of concentrated NaOH. The solution was stirred for 3 hours. The solution was acidified to pH 3 with concentrated HCl. The brominated ligand precipitated and was collected by vacuum filtration. Yield 1.65 g, 4.98 mmol, 83 %. \(\text{^1H NMR (d}_6\text{-DMSO), } \delta \text{ in ppm: H}_3: 9.40 \text{ (s); H}_5: 8.72 \text{ (d); H}_6: 8.65 \text{ (d).}\)

3.4.2 Preparation of the Complexes

0.277 mmol of the appropriate ligand was dissolved in 50 cm\textsuperscript{3} of toluene. A few drops of trifluoroacetic acid were added to this solution. [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}Cl] (100 mg, 0.277 mmol) was added to the acidified toluene solution. This solution was refluxed under an argon atmosphere for 4 hours yielding an orange coloured solution. The reaction mixture was refrigerated overnight. The resulting orange precipitate was collected under vacuum filtration yielding the desired product.

\([\text{Re(CO)}\textsubscript{3}(\text{Hpztr})\text{Cl}])

Yield 0.104 g, 0.231 mmol, 82 %. Elemental analysis for ReC\textsubscript{9}H\textsubscript{5}N\textsubscript{5}O\textsubscript{3}Cl: Calculated C, 23.87; H, 1.10; N, 15.47; Found C, 24.05; H, 1.05; N, 15.82; \(\text{^1H NMR (d}_6\text{-Acetone), } \delta \text{ in ppm: H}_3: 9.59 \text{ (d); H}_5: 9.16 \text{ (dd); H}_6: 9.05 \text{ (d); H}_6: 9.53 \text{ (s). } \nu_{\text{CO}} \text{ (cm}^{-1}) \text{ in THF: 2027, 1925, 1897.}\)

\([\text{Re(CO)}\textsubscript{3}(\text{Hphpztr})\text{Cl}])
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Yield 0.113 g, 0.213 mmol, 77 %. Elemental analysis for ReC₃H₆N₃O₃Cl:
Calculated C, 34.06; H, 1.70; N, 13.24; Found C, 34.77; H, 1.65; N, 13.82; ¹H
NMR (d₆-acetone), δ in ppm: H₃: 9.65 (d); H₅: 9.16 (dd); H₆: 9.05 (d); H₄: 8.23
(d); H₅: 7.66 (s); H₆: 9.66 (dd); ν CO (cm⁻¹) in THF: 2026, 1927, 1901.

[Re(CO)₃(Mephztr)Cl]

Yield 0.110 g, 0.202 mmol, 73 %. Elemental analysis for ReC₆H₁₁N₃O₃Cl:
Calculated C, 35.39; H, 2.03; N, 12.90; Found C, 35.99; H, 2.08; N, 12.75; ¹H
NMR (d₆-acetone), δ in ppm: H₃: 9.60 (d); H₅: 9.11 (dd); H₆: 9.02 (d); H₄: 7.94
(d); H₅: 7.75 (m); H₆: 7.75 (m); Me 4.23. ν CO (cm⁻¹) in THF: 2028, 1926, 1894.

[Re(CO)₃(Hthpztr)Cl]

Yield 0.113 g, 0.216 mmol, 78 %. Elemental analysis for ReC₃H₇N₃O₃SCl:
Calculated C, 29.18; H, 1.32; N, 13.09; Found C, 29.13; H, 1.09; N, 12.70; ¹H
NMR (d₆-acetone), δ in ppm: H₃: 9.63 (d); H₅: 9.15 (dd); H₆: 9.01 (d); H₄: 8.23
(d); H₅: 7.66 (m); H₆: 9.66 (d). ν CO (cm⁻¹) in THF: 2027, 1926, 1897.

[Re(CO)₃(HBrpztr)Cl]

Yield 0.118 g, 0.222 mmol, 80 %. Elemental analysis for ReC₅H₄N₃O₃BrCl:
Calculated C, 20.33; H, 0.76; N, 13.17; Found C, 20.40; H, 0.74; N, 12.85; ¹H
NMR (d₆-acetone), δ in ppm: H₃: 9.60 (d); H₅: 9.14 (dd); H₆: 9.04 (d). ν CO (cm⁻¹)
in THF: 2025, 1928, 1899.
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Chapter 4

Rhenium(I) and ruthenium(II) complexes with 3,5-bis(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole.

Chapter 4 introduces the ligand 3,5-bis(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole (Hbpt). Unlike the other triazole ligands discussed in Chapters 2 and 3, the Hbpt ligand provides the opportunity to coordinate two metal centres. The synthesis of the rhenium(I) monomer, the homo-nuclear rhenium(I) dinuclear complex and the hetero-nuclear ruthenium(II)-rhenium(I) dinuclear complex bridged by the Hbpt ligand are described in this chapter. The complexes have been characterised by IR spectroscopy, $^1$H NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis. The photophysical and electrochemical properties of all complexes have also been examined. In addition selective deuteriation together with spectroscopic measurements was used to probe the energy transfer process in the dinuclear ruthenium(II)-rhenium(I) complex.
4.1 Introduction

The design and synthesis of polynuclear metal complexes containing electroactive and photoactive units are topics of great interest because of the potential of such complexes to serve as building blocks for supramolecular assemblies and molecular devices. For instance, it is possible to develop photochemical molecular devices (PMDs) that can perform light energy collection, charge separation and electronic energy transfer. Considerable research has focused on rhenium(I), ruthenium(II) and osmium(II) polypyridyl complexes as building blocks in the synthesis of supramolecular assemblies. These assemblies incorporating photoactive units of rhenium(I), ruthenium(II) or osmium(II) have been constructed on the basis of the attractive electrochemical and excited state properties of these metal centres.

Particular attention has been paid to metal-metal interactions in dinuclear metal complexes. Metal-metal interactions alter the photophysical properties of dinuclear systems and the rate of energy and/or electron transfer between two metal centres. The degree of metal-metal interaction permits the distinction between a supramolecular species (made of weakly interacting components which keep their characteristic properties in an assembly) and a large molecule (made of strongly interacting components which lose their characteristic properties in an assembly). Over the last number of years, many dinuclear ruthenium and heteronuclear ruthenium-osmium systems have exhibited communication between the two metal centres. More recently, research has centred on heteronuclear rhenium-ruthenium systems. It is interesting to study whether enhanced communication is observed for these rhenium-ruthenium complexes compared to the analogous ruthenium-ruthenium or ruthenium-osmium systems. The important role played by the bridging ligand in determining the metal-metal communication is well recognised. The bridging ligand separating the metal units has two major functions within a supramolecular structure:
i) A structural role in controlling metal-metal distances and angles

ii) Control of electronic communication between the metal components

The two main types of bridging ligands that have been employed to synthesise dinuclear systems are strong $\pi$-acceptors and strong $\sigma$-donors. In the case of $\pi$-accepting bridging ligands, the bridging ligand is directly involved in the electrochemical reduction and emission processes associated with the binuclear system. Examples of $\pi$-accepting bridging ligands are 2,2'-bipyrimidine (bpm), benzo[1,2-b:3,4-b'5,6-b'']tripyrazine (HAT), 2,3-bis(2-pyridyl)pyrazine (2,3-dpp), 2,3-bis(2-pyridyl)quinoxaline (bpq) and 3,6-bis(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4,5-tetrazine (bptz). In the case of strong $\sigma$-donor bridging ligands, the bridging ligand functions as a building block for the synthesis of the dinuclear system. Strong $\sigma$-donor bridging ligands have less influence on the emission properties compared to $\pi$-accepting bridging ligands. Examples of such systems are Ru(bpy)$_2$ dimers with the strong $\sigma$-donor ligands 2,2'-bisimidazolatoc, 5,5'-bis-(pyridin-2''-yl)-3,3'-bis(1H-1,2,4-triazole) and 1,4-bis(5-(2-pyridyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3-yl)benzene. Note in these cases, emission is bpy based rather than centred on the bridging ligand. For a list of the most common bridging ligands and their electronic and redox properties see reference 12.

In almost all studies of polypyridyl bimetallic rhenium(I) complexes, the bridging ligands have been symmetrical, placing the metal centres in equivalent environments. In order to direct energy or electron transfer in the excited state of a complex, it is necessary to confer asymmetry on the system. This has normally been accomplished by using different metals or metals with different spectator ligands. However, it is possible to develop asymmetry on a ligand-bridged polypyridyl complex by introducing asymmetry into the bridging ligand. This has two major effects:

i) It creates inequivalence in the redox properties of the metal sites
ii) Such ligands should provide a method of conferring directed energy/electron-transfer properties to a complex in which the metal sites are equivalent i.e. both metals are Re(CO)$_3$Cl.

The synthesis and characterisation of mononuclear and dinuclear rhenium tricarbonyl complexes incorporating the asymmetric bridging ligand 3,5-bis(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole (Hbpt) will be discussed in this chapter. This chapter also reports the photophysical and electrochemical properties of the mononuclear and bimetallic rhenium tricarbonyl complexes. Previous work on a number of ruthenium complexes containing 1,2,4-triazole ligands has revealed that coordination is possible via N$_2$ and N$_4$ of the 1,2,4-triazole ligand. Another interesting point is that the N$_2$ and N$_4$ atoms have different σ-donor capacities.$^{15,16}$ The influence of the inequivalent N$_2$ and N$_4$ coordination modes on the dinuclear rhenium(I) complex will also be studied.

The anion of 3,5-bis(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole (bpf), is a particularly interesting bridging ligand. The photophysical and photochemical properties of its ruthenium and osmium homo- and heterometallic complexes have been extensively studied.$^{17,18}$ The interaction between metal centres in the dinuclear complexes is very efficient, thus supporting the conclusion that this ligand is a suitable bridge for binuclear complexes that can exhibit photoinduced electron or energy transfer. As a consequence, this chapter also reports the synthesis and characterisation of a heterometallic rhenium-ruthenium complex incorporating the bpt$^-$ anion as a bridging ligand. The synthesis and characterisation of a deuteriated analogue of the ruthenium-bpt-rhenium complex is also reported. Selective deuteriation of ligands in heteroleptic Ru(II) polypyridyl complexes simplifies complicated $^1$H NMR spectra.$^{19}$ Hence deuteriation of the bipyridyl ligands in this heteronuclear complex will aid the interpretation of the $^1$H NMR spectra.

In Chapter 2 it was concluded that in mononuclear rhenium tricarbonyl complexes containing a pyridyl-triazole ligand, the excited-state is localised on the pyridyl-triazole ligand. It is now of interest to investigate whether the 3,5-bis(pyridin-2-
yl)-1,2,4-triazole ligand acts as an energy transfer trap or is simply a spectator ligand in the emission process of the heteronuclear rhenium-ruthenium complex. Hence the photophysical and electrochemical properties of the rhenium-ruthenium complex will be studied. Deuteriation of one of the ligands in a mixed ligand complex will only increase the emission lifetime if the emitting state is located on that ligand.\textsuperscript{20,21} In addition, selective deuteriation together with spectroscopic measurements will be used to probe the energy transfer process in the dinuclear complex. The structures and abbreviations for the ligands cited in this chapter are shown in Figure 4.1.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{ligands.png}
\caption{Structures of the ligands and their abbreviations as cited throughout this chapter.}
\end{figure}
4.2 Results and Discussion

4.2.1 Syntheses

The Hbpt ligand was synthesised as previously reported. The synthetic route employed in the synthesis is described in Figure 4. 2.

![Synthetic route used to synthesise 3,5-bis(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole](image)

*Figure 4.2  Synthetic route used to synthesise 3,5-bis(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole*
Synthesis of the rhenium complexes was readily achieved by control of the metal:ligand ratio. The synthesis of the mononuclear rhenium complex [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl] was carried out in acidified-toluene. The Hbpt ligand (in excess) was firstly dissolved in toluene. As has been observed for ruthenium complexes containing pyridyl-triazole ligands, the ligands deprotonate upon coordination of the metal centre.\cite{15,23,24} However, the added precaution of addition of a few drops of trifluoroacetic acid was taken to ensure that the protonated complexes were isolated. The Re(CO)$_5$Cl in toluene was slowly added to the acidified toluene solution. This reaction mixture was refluxed for 4 hours. Note it is important when synthesising mononuclear complexes to ensure complete dissolution of the ligand before adding the Re(CO)$_5$Cl so as to minimise the amount of dinuclear complex formed. Previous studies have found that Ru(II) complexes incorporating pyridyl-triazole ligands give rise to the formation of both N$_2$ and N$_4$ isomers (Figure 4.3).\cite{25} $^1$H NMR studies (see Section 4.2.2) show the formation of one [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl] coordination isomer. The dinuclear rhenium complex [{Re(CO)$_3$Cl}$_2$Hbpt] was synthesised by reaction of Re(CO)$_5$Cl:Hbpt in a 2:1 ratio for 6 hours in an acidified toluene solution.\cite{26,27}

![N$_2$ Isomer](image1.png) ![N$_4$ Isomer](image2.png)

**Figure 4.3** The two possible modes of coordination of a metal centre to the Hbpt ligand.

The mononuclear precursor [Ru(bpy)$_2$Hbpt]$^+$ was prepared following literature procedures.\cite{15} Purification was carried with a neutral alumina column. The N$_2$ isomer was eluted first with acetonitrile followed by elution of the N$_4$ isomer with
methanol. The N₂ isomer was subsequently recrystallised from water/acetone (1/1). 2 M NaOH was added to the solution to ensure the triazole was fully deprotonated. This product was then collected and vacuum dried. [Ru(d₈-bpy)₂bpt](PF₆) was synthesised and purified as for [Ru(bpy)₂bpt](PF₆) with one change, [Ru(d₈-bpy)₂Cl₂] was used instead of [Ru(bpy)₂Cl₂]. The heteronuclear [Ru(bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)Cl]⁺ complex was prepared by refluxing molar equivalents of [Ru(bpy)₂bpt](PF₆) and Re(CO)₅Cl in methanol under an argon atmosphere. The reaction was monitored using IR spectroscopy. The reaction was complete when there was no evidence of any Re(CO)₅Cl in the IR spectrum. [Ru(d₈-bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)Cl]⁺ was synthesised using the same procedure as that used to synthesise [Ru(bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)Cl]⁺. [Ru(d₈-bpy)₂bpt](PF₆) was the ruthenium precursor used to synthesise [Ru(d₈-bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)Cl]⁺. Reaction times were typically in the order of 6 hours. The complexity of the ¹H NMR spectrum of [Ru(bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)Cl]⁺ (see Figure 4.8, Section 4.2.2.2) indicates the formation of isomers. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the deuterated complex [Ru(d₈-bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)Cl]⁺ is shown in Figure 4.9. It is immediately clear, that by the partial deuteration of the complex, it becomes possible to distinguish the bpt' protons from those of the bipyridyl ligand. The ¹H NMR spectrum of [Ru(d₈-bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)Cl]⁺ shows the formation of two isomers in a 2:1 ratio. The predominant isomer is termed Isomer I whilst the minor isomer is termed Isomer II. Attempts to isolate the structural isomers of [Ru(bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)Cl]⁺ were unsuccessful. Careful recrystallisation of [Ru(d₈-bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)Cl]⁺ from acetone/ethanol (2/1 v/v) yielded three fractions. Fraction one contained Isomer I; fraction two contained Isomer II while fraction three contained a mixture of both isomers.

4.2.2 NMR spectroscopy

As ruthenium(II) and rhenium(I) complexes are diamagnetic low-spin d⁶ species, NMR spectroscopy has been used in the elucidation of the structure of these complexes.²⁸,²⁹,³⁰,³¹ Two coordination sites are present on the Hbpt ligand (N₂ and
N₄), it is hoped the binding mode of the triazole ligands can be determined using NMR spectroscopy.

4.2.2.1 Mononuclear complexes

The structure and ¹H NMR spectrum of [Ru(bpy)₂bpt]⁺ is well understood. This will be briefly summarised, as both of these properties are important in the interpretation of the NMR spectra of the dinuclear complex [Ru(bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)Cl]⁺. Table 4.1 lists the chemical shifts of the bpt protons of the ruthenium and rhenium mononuclear complexes. The chemical shifts of the bpy ligands in [Ru(bpy)₂bpt]⁺ have not been presented in detail as they fall in the normal range expected for these protons. Figure 4.5 shows the ¹H NMR spectra of [Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl], [Ru(d₈-bpy)₂bpt]⁺ and [Ru(bpy)₂bpt]⁺ in d₃-acetonitrile.

![Structure of [Ru((bpy)₂bpt)]⁺](imageaggio5.png)

Figure 4.4 Structure of [Ru((bpy)₂bpt)]⁺.
Figure 4.5 $^1$H NMR spectra of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl], [Ru(d$_5$-bpy)$_2$bpt]$^+$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$bpt]$^+$ in d$_3$-acetonitrile. A refers to ring A and B refers to ring B as depicted in Figure 4.4.
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Table 4.1 ¹H NMR data for the metal complexes. All spectra were obtained in d₃-acetonitrile. Values in parenthesis are the coordinated induced shifts relative to the free ligand.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ring A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)₂bpt]⁺</td>
<td>8.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bpy resonances</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(d₃-bpy)₂bpt]⁺</td>
<td>8.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl]</td>
<td>8.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ring B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)₂bpt]²⁺</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(d₃-bpy)₂bpt]²⁺</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl]</td>
<td>8.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The X-ray structure of [Ru(bpy)₂bpt]⁺ shows one pyridine ring is bound to the Ru(bpy)₂ moiety (Ring A) and the other ring (Ring B) is free (Figure 4.4). This gives rise to the two distinct sets of pyridine protons in the ¹H NMR spectra of [Ru(bpy)₂bpt]⁺ and [Ru(d₃-bpy)₂bpt]⁺ (Figure 4.5). The ¹H NMR spectrum of [Ru(bpy)₂bpt]⁺ is extremely complex, with a total of 24 aromatic protons. However, the ¹H NMR spectrum of [Ru(d₃-bpy)₂bpt]⁺ aids structural characterisation. With all of the 2,2’-bipyridine signals gone, it is possible to easily identify all of the bpt⁻ ligand signals. Both Table 4.1 and Figure 4.5 show...
the H₆ proton of ring A is present at 7.52 ppm, whilst the H₆ proton of ring B is observed at 8.53 ppm. The H₆ proton of ring A is directed just above a pyridine ring of an adjacent bpy ligand. This diamagnetic anisotropic effect explains the large differences between ring A and ring B for the H₆ resonances. Note since the Ru(bpy)₂ is bound to the bpt⁺ ligand via the N₂, ring B is projected away from any bpy ligand. Hence there is a smaller shift in the bpt⁺ protons of ring B upon coordination to the Ru(bpy)₂ moiety.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of the free Hbpt ligand displays four sets of resonances in the aromatic region. Coordination of the Re(CO)₃Cl unit lowers the symmetry of the Hbpt moiety and splits the degeneracy of each pair of protons. All peaks are shifted downfield upon metal attachment i.e. postitive coordination induced shifts (c.i.s). The ¹H NMR data and the c.i.s values of [Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl] are summarised in Table 4. 1. The ¹H NMR spectra of [Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl], [Ru(bpy)₂bpt]⁺ and [Ru(d₉-bpy)₂bpt]⁺ are shown in Figure 4. 5. Assignment of proton resonances in the [Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl] complex were made by comparison with the pyridyl-triazole complexes synthesised in Chapter 2 and with the aid of two dimensional (COSY) techniques. Previous publications of bipyridine complexes place the resonance of the H₆ proton adjacent to the coordinated nitrogen farthest downfield. Hence the peak positions of the coordinated pyridine ring (ring A) were identified as follows: the H₆ (doublet) and H₅ (multiplet) protons were located at 9.07 and 7.77 ppm. The doublet (8.41 ppm) and multiplet (8.32 ppm) are assigned to H₃ and H₄ respectively. Assignment of the ring B protons is now straightforward (Table 4. 1).

It is possible for 1,2,4-triazole complexes to form N₁ and N₂ linkage isomers (Figure 4. 3), however the formation of only a single [Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl] isomer was observed. In the complex [Re(CO)₃(NH₂bpt)Cl] (NH₂bpt = 4-amino-3,5-bis(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole) the authors proposed the large radius of rhenium(I) leads to the formation of just the N₂ coordination isomer. The extent of N₂/N₄ coordination in Ru(II) complexes is governed by the size of the substituents on the triazole ring. Bulky triazole substituents such as a phenyl or
a pyridine group favour the formation of the N$_2$ isomer in Ru(II) complexes.$^{37}$ In addition, the formation of a single isomer was also reported for a series of ruthenium carbonyl complexes of the form [Ru(L)(CO)$_2$Cl$_2$], where L = 3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole or 3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-5-phenyl-1,2,4-triazole.$^{38}$ The crystal structures of these complexes showed that the ligand was coordinated to the ruthenium metal centre via the N$_2$ of the triazole ring. Therefore it is not unreasonable to deduce that the N$_2$ isomer was also formed in [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl]. No crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained. In the absence of such crystals, the coordination mode of the ligands cannot be determined with certainty, however coordination via the N$_2$ position seems most likely.

### 4.2.2.2 Dinuclear Complexes

The following dinuclear complexes were synthesised: [{Re(CO)$_3$Cl$_2$}$_2$Hbpt], [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ and [Ru(d$_8$-bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$. Table 4.2 lists the chemical shifts of the bpt protons of the dinuclear complexes [{Re(CO)$_3$Cl$_2$}$_2$Hbpt], [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ and [Ru(d$_8$-bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$.

![Proposed structure of [{Re(CO)$_3$Cl$_2$}$_2$Hbpt].](image)
Table 4.2  Chemical shifts (ppm) of the protons of the bis(pyridyl)triazole ligand for \([\{\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}\}_2\text{(Hbpt)}]\) and the two isomers of the heteronuclear complexes \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(bpt)}\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+\) and \([\text{Ru(d}_{5}\text{-bpy)}_2\text{(bpt)}\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+\) in \(d_3\)-acetonitrile. Values in parenthesis are the coordinated induced shifts relative to the free ligands.
Figure 4.7  $^1$H NMR spectra of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl] and [{Re(CO)$_3$Cl}]$_2$Hbpt and Hbpt in d$_3$-acetonitrile. A refers to ring A and B refers to ring B as depicted in Figure 4.6.
The $^1$H NMR spectrum of the dinuclear complex $\{\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}\}_2\text{Hbpt}$ will be discussed first. $^1$H NMR spectra of Hbpt, $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}]$ and $[\{\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}\}_2\text{Hbpt}]$ are presented in Figure 4.7. Attachment of a second rhenium tricarbonyl fragment to $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}]$ results in a down field shift of the ring B protons. Note the resonances of both rings are found to overlap. The $^1$H NMR spectra of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}]$ and the pyridyl-triazole complexes synthesised in Chapter 2 place the resonance of the H$_6$ proton adjacent to the coordinated nitrogen fartherest downfield. Hence the peak positions were identified as follows: the H$_6$ (doublet) and H$_5$ (multiplet) protons were located at 9.03 and 7.60 ppm. The multiplet at 8.32 ppm is assigned to the H$_3$ and H$_4$ protons. A structure similar to that shown in Figure 4.6, where the rhenium tricarbonyl fragments are bound via N$_2$ and N$_4$ of the triazole unit is proposed for the binuclear complex $[\{\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}\}_2\text{Hbpt}]$. Dinuclear rhenium(I) complexes can exhibit cis/trans isomerisation of the chloride ligand. However, no evidence for isomeric mixtures were detected in the $^1$H NMR spectrum of $[\{\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}\}_2\text{Hbpt}]$. The trans isomer is favoured by analogy to structurally characterised dinuclear rhenium(I) complexes.$^{39}$ Note attempts by Kaim and coworkers to synthesise the tetranuclear complex $[\{\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}\}_4(\text{bmtz})]$ ($\text{bmtz} = 3,6$-bis(2-pyrimidyl)-1,2,4,5-tetrazine) were unsuccessful.$^{40}$ The authors concluded steric interference inhibited the formation of the tetranuclear complex. Hence it is unlikely the metal centres are coordinated through N$_1$ and N$_2$ of the triazole.
The $^1$H NMR spectrum of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+$ is presented in Figure 4. 8. The complexity of the $^1$H NMR spectrum of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+$ illustrates the formation of isomers. Hence the spectrum is extremely difficult to interpret. The $^1$H NMR spectrum of the deuterated complex $[\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}\text{Cl}]^+$ is shown in Figure 4. 9. It is immediately clear, that by the partial deuteration of the complex, it becomes possible to distinguish the bpt protons from those of the bipyridyl ligand. The $^1$H NMR spectrum of $[\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}\text{Cl}]^+$ shows the formation of two isomers in a 2:1 ratio. The predominant isomer is termed Isomer I whilst the minor isomer is termed Isomer II. The dinuclear complex $\{[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})]\}_2$ may also exist as two geometrical isomers depending on the relative orientation of the 2,2'-bipyridine ligands (see Figure 4. 10). Furthermore, for each geometrical isomer, an optical isomer is present.
Figure 4.9 $^1$H NMR spectra of $[\text{Ru}(d_5\text{-bpy})_2(bpt)\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]]$: Isomer I and Isomer II. A refers to ring A and B refers to ring B as depicted in Figure 4.11.
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The formation of structural isomers has also been observed in heteronuclear bimetallic complexes containing a Re(CO)$_3$Cl moiety. Previous reports of the heterodinuclear complex \[[(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)ClRh(\mu-bptz)Re(CO)_3Cl](PF_6)\] (bptz = 3,6-bis-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4,5-tetrazine) showed structural isomerism\(^42\). $^1$H NMR spectroscopy showed two isomers present in a 2:1 ratio. It was proposed isomers arose from two different orientations of the chloride ligand bound to the rhodium metal centre. Considering the reasons for isomer formation in \[[(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)ClRh(\mu-bptz)Re(CO)_3Cl](PF_6)\] and the dinuclear complex \[\{\text{Ru(bpy)$_2$Re(CO)$_3$Cl}\}^+\], the observation of two isomers in the $^1$H NMR spectra of \[\text{Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl}^+\] and \[\text{Ru(d$_8$-bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl}^+\] may also be due to the different orientation of the bipyridyl ligands. The possible structural isomers of \[\text{Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl}^+\] and \[\text{Ru(d$_8$-bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl}^+\], are shown in Figure 4. 11. Steric interactions between the Ru(bpy)$_2$ and the Re(CO)$_3$Cl moieties prevents a configuration in which the two metal centres are coordinated via N$_1$ and N$_2$ of the triazole.
The protons of the pyridine fragment coordinated to Re(CO)$_3$Cl were easily identified by comparison with the resonances of coordinated pyridines in [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl] and [{Re(CO)$_3$Cl}$_2$(Hbpt)]. It is noted that in general the resonances of the rhenium(I) bound pyridines (ring B) are found at higher field than those of the ruthenium bound pyridine (ring A). This difference in chemical shift is most likely due to the relatively strong Re(d$_x$)→π*(CO) back donation compared to Ru(d$_x$)→π*(bpy). The $^1$H NMR spectra of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl] and the pyridyl-triazole complexes synthesised in Chapter 2 place the resonance of the H$_6$ proton adjacent to the nitrogen coordinated to the rhenium metal centre. Hence the peak positions of Ring B of Isomer I were identified as follows: the H$_6$ (doublet) and H$_5$ (multiplet) protons were located at 8.95 and 7.49 ppm. The doublet at 7.93 ppm and the multiplet at 8.02 ppm are assigned to H$_3$ and H$_4$. The assignment of ring A protons is now relatively straightforward. The doublet at 8.69 ppm and the multiplet at 8.10 ppm are assigned to H$_3$ and H$_4$. The H$_6$ (doublet) and H$_5$ (multiplet) protons were observed at 7.82 and 7.38 ppm. There is a considerable difference between the
resonances of H₃ (Ring A) in the mononuclear complex [Ru(bpy)₂bpt]⁺ and the heteronuclear complexes [Ru(bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)₃Cl]⁺ and [Ru(d₈-bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)₃Cl]⁺ (see Table 4.1 and Table 4.2). The H₃ proton of [Ru(bpy)₂bpt]⁺ is observed at 8.15 ppm. Coordination of a Re(CO)₃Cl unit to ring B shifts the resonance of the H₃ proton to 8.69 ppm. The observed shift in the resonance of the H₃ proton must be the result of close contact between the H₃ proton and one of the equatorial carbonyl groups coordinated to the rhenium(I) metal centre (see Figure 4.12). This equatorial carbonyl deshields the H₃ (Ring A) hence this proton is shifted downfield relative to [Ru(bpy)₂bpt]⁺. A similar interaction explained the observed differences between the chemical shifts of the H₃ proton in [Mo(CO)₄abpy] and [Mo(CO)₄(abpy)] (abpy = 2,2'-azopyridine). The H₃ protons of [Mo(CO)₄abpy] and [Mo(CO)₄(abpy)] were observed at 8.50 and 9.17 ppm.

Figure 4.12 Interaction between H₃ (ring A) and the equatorial carbonyl in the heteronuclear complexes [Ru(bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)₃Cl]⁺ and [Ru(d₈-bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)₃Cl]⁺. Note ion charges have been omitted for clarity.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of the second isomer is similar to that of the first isomer and the same explanations for the observed chemical shifts as given for the spectrum of Isomer I are valid. Large differences exist between the resonances of the H₆ protons of Ring A for Isomer I and Isomer II (see Table 4.2). The geometrical isomers (i) and (ii) in Figure 4.11 account for the observed differences in the ¹H NMR spectra. The H₆ proton (Ring A) of the geometrical isomer (ii) in Figure 4.11 is directed towards a bpy ligand, whereas no bpy ligand
is in the vicinity of the H₆ proton (Ring A) of the geometrical isomer (i). It is possible the different orientations of the bipyridyl ligands with respect to the H₆ proton give rise to two different resonances (7.82 ppm Isomer I and 7.39 ppm Isomer II). The H₆ proton (ring A) of Isomer II is directed just above a pyridine ring of an adjacent bpy ligand whereas whereas no bpy ligand is in the vicinity of the H₆ proton of Isomer I. This diamagnetic anisotropic effect results in an upfield shift in the resonances of the H₆ proton of Isomer II relative Isomer I. The different orientations of the 2,2'-bipyridyl ligands in the dinuclear complex [{Ru(bpy)₂₂(bpt)}³⁺] accounted for the observed differences in chemical shifts between the two geometrical isomers. Villani and coworkers have successfully separated the stereoisomers of the dinuclear complex [{Ru(bpy)₂₂(bpt)}³⁺] using semipreparative chromatography. The use of a similar chromatographic system to separate the isomers of [Ru(d₈-bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)₃Cl]⁺ and [Ru(bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)₃Cl]⁺ should be explored.

4.2.3 Infra red spectroscopy

IR spectra of the complexes were recorded in the CO stretching region (2200 – 1700 cm⁻¹) of the IR spectrum. All of the complexes have spectra, which are dominated by three metal carbonyl bands. The carbonyl stretching frequencies in THF are summarised in Table 4. 3. Data for [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl] is also included for comparative purposes. A comparison of the stretching frequencies of the mono and dinuclear complexes to those of [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl], reveals that the CO ligands are in a facial isomer arrangement around the rhenium(I) metal centre. Figure 4. 13 shows the IR spectra of [Re(CO)₃(bpt)Cl] and [Ru(bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)₃Cl]⁺.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>$\nu_{CO}$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl]</td>
<td>2018 1917 1892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl]</td>
<td>2022 1913 1889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)$_3$(bpt)Cl]$^-$</td>
<td>2012 1904 1879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[{Re(CO)$_3$Cl}$ _2$(Hbpt)]</td>
<td>2029 1917 1897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$</td>
<td>2021 1912 1889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru($d_8$-bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$</td>
<td>2021 1912 1889</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.3  IR data for the carbonyl stretching of the metal complexes. All measurements are in THF. The spectrum of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpt)Cl]$^-$ was recorded in the presence of triethylamine.

Figure 4.13 IR spectra (CO-stretching region) of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpt)Cl]$^-$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ in THF at 298 K. Note the spectrum of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpt)Cl]$^-$ was recorded in the presence of triethylamine.
The carbonyl stretching frequencies can be used to provide an insight into the electronic properties of the bridging ligands in dinuclear rhenium tricarbonyl complexes. Previous work suggests both the $\sigma$-donating and $\pi$-accepting abilities of the bridging ligand must be considered. Therefore the IR spectra of the mononuclear complexes will be discussed first. The metal carbonyl stretching frequencies of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}]$ in THF are similar to those of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]$ (Table 4.3). Deprotonation of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}]$ yields $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpt})\text{Cl}]^\cdot$, which has a negative charge present on the triazole. The presence of the negative charge on the triazole increases the $\sigma$-donor ability of the triazole ligand. The increased $\sigma$-donor ability of the triazole ligand increases the electron density on the rhenium metal centre, thus enhancing the back-bonding interaction between the rhenium $d_\pi$ orbitals and the CO $\pi^*$ orbitals. As a result the CO bond lengthens and the CO vibrations of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpt})\text{Cl}]^\cdot$ are observed at lower frequency compared to $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}]$ and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]$. Similar trends were observed in the IR spectra of rhenium tricarbonyl chelates of azopyrimidine and azoimidazole on formation of the corresponding anion radicals.

Coordination of a second $\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}$ moiety to $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}]$ results in only minor IR spectral changes. Three CO bands are observed in the IR spectrum of $[(\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl})_2(\text{Hbpt})]$, although the CO bands are observed at higher frequency compared to the mononuclear complex $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}]$. This observation can be explained by a reduction in the electron density on the Hbpt bridging ligand on coordination of a second $\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}$ fragment. This reduces the electron density available for back-bonding between the rhenium $d_\pi$ and the CO $\pi^*$ orbitals, resulting in the observed increase in CO stretching frequencies of $[(\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl})_2(\text{Hbpt})]$ compared to $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}]$.

The IR spectra of the dinuclear complexes $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^\cdot$ and $[\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^\cdot$ exhibit three CO bands at similar frequency (Table 4.3). As expected, deuteriation of the 2,2'-bipyridyl ligands in $[\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^\cdot$ has no effect on the CO stretching frequencies (see Table
4. 3). \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+\) and \([\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+\) both contain the deprotonated bpt ligand. Surprisingly the CO vibrations of these complexes are observed at higher frequency compared to \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpt})\text{Cl}]^+\). It is possible the presence of the Ru(bpy)_2 fragment reduces the electron density on the bpt ligand. Hence the back-bonding interaction between the rhenium metal centre and the carbonyl ligands is reduced. This results in the shift to higher frequency for the CO vibrations in \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+\) and \([\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+\) compared to \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpt})\text{Cl}]^+\). \([\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+\) was isolated as two isomers, Isomer I and Isomer II. No differences were observed in the IR spectra of the two isomers. This is not surprising as both Isomer I and Isomer II have similar bonding properties toward the rhenium(I) metal centre thus keeping the local symmetry close to \(C_s\). Hence three similar bands are observed in the IR spectra of each fraction. The \(^1\text{H NMR}\) spectrum of the heterodinuclear complex \([([\eta^5\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5])\text{ClRh(\mu-bptz)Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]\text{(PF}_6\text{)}\) (bptz = 3,6-bis-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4,5-tetrazine) showed the formation of two isomers.\(^{42}\) Note, only one isomer was detected in the IR spectrum.
4.2.4 Absorption and emission studies

Absorption and emission data for all complexes discussed in this chapter are presented in Table 4.4. The table also contains data for \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]\) and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_3]^{2+}\) and \([\{\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\}_2(\text{bpt})\]^{3+}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>298 K</th>
<th>77 K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\lambda_{\text{abs}}) (nm) (^a)</td>
<td>(\varepsilon \times 10^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}])</td>
<td>390 (3.7)</td>
<td>600 (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}])</td>
<td>360 (3.6)</td>
<td>540 (&lt; 20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpt})\text{Cl}])</td>
<td>300 (2.1)</td>
<td>520 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([{\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}}_2(\text{Hbpt})])</td>
<td>319 (8.0)</td>
<td>533 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([{\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}}_2(\text{bpt})])</td>
<td>314 (6.4)</td>
<td>528 (220)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})])</td>
<td>475 (11.3)</td>
<td>675 (160)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})])</td>
<td>475 (11.3)</td>
<td>675 (207)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}])</td>
<td>440 (16)</td>
<td>635 (267)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}])</td>
<td>440 (16)</td>
<td>635 (430)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isomer I</td>
<td>440 (16)</td>
<td>635 (425)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isomer II</td>
<td>440 (16)</td>
<td>635 (425)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([{\text{Ru(bpy)}_2}_2(\text{bpt})])(^3+)</td>
<td>453 (22.6)</td>
<td>648 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ru(bpy)}_3])(^3+)</td>
<td>452 (12.9)</td>
<td>615 (610)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.4** Absorption maxima and luminescence properties of the complexes containing the 3,5-bis(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole ligand. \(\tau\) refers to the emission lifetime while \(\phi\) denotes the radiative quantum yield. \(^a\)Measured in acetonitrile, \(^b\) in deoxygenated acetonitrile, \(^c\) in ethanol:methanol (4:1).
4.2.4.1 Absorption spectra of the mononuclear complexes

An understanding of the absorption spectra of [Ru(bpy)$_2$]$_2$ and [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl] and [Re(CO)$_3$(bpt)Cl]$^+$ is essential before interpretation of the absorption spectra of the dinuclear complexes (Section 4.2.4.1). The absorption spectrum of [Ru(bpy)$_2$]$_2$ will be discussed firstly. Figure 4.14 displays the absorption spectra of [Ru(bpy)$_2$]$_2$ and [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$. The absorption maximum of [Ru(bpy)$_2$]$_2$ obtained in these studies (Table 4.4 and Figure 4.14) is in agreement with that previously reported by Hage.$^{15,17}$

![Absorption spectra of [Ru(bpy)$_2$]$_2$ and [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$ in acetonitrile at 298 K.](image)

Figure 4.14 Absorption spectra of [Ru(bpy)$_2$]$_2$ and [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$ in acetonitrile at 298 K.
[Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$ has an MLCT (Ru$\rightarrow$$\pi^*$-(bpy)) absorption maximum at 452 nm (Table 4.4 and Figure 4.14).$^{50}$ The absorption maximum of [Ru(bpy)$_2$bpt]$^+$ is red shifted by 23 nm to 475 nm when compared to [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$. Pyridyl-triazole ligands are strong $\sigma$-donors.$^{16}$ As the bpt ligand donates electron density to the metal centre, the energy of the HOMO is increased. Consequently the gap between the HOMO and the LUMO is reduced. Hence a red shift is observed in the absorption maximum of [Ru(bpy)$_2$bpt]$^+$ compared to [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$ (see Figure 4.14). Previous resonance Raman measurements assign the lowest energy absorption band of [Ru(bpy)$_2$bpt]$^+$ as an Ru$\rightarrow$$\pi^*$-(bpy) MLCT transition.$^{18}$ No Ru$\rightarrow$$\pi^*$-(bpt) transitions were observed in this absorption band in the resonance Raman studies. Deuteriation can affect the vibrational fine structure of absorption spectra, however these differences are only observed at very low temperatures ($<$ 10 K) with high resolution spectroscopic techniques.$^{51}$ Hence the absorption maxima of [Ru(d$_8$-bpy)$_2$bpt]$^+$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$bpt]$^+$ were found to be independent of the level of deuteriation (see Table 4.4).

The absorption spectra of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl] and [Re(CO)$_3$(bpt)Cl]$^-$ are shown in Figure 4.15. For [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl] the lowest absorption maximum occurs at 360 nm. In comparison to the absorption spectra of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl], the pyridyl-triazole complexes synthesised in Chapter 2 and other rhenium complexes containing polypyridyl type ligands, the low energy absorption band in [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl] is assigned to a $^1$MLCT transition.$^{45,52,53}$ Like the pyridyl-triazole complexes synthesised in Chapter 2, the absorption maximum of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl] is found at higher energy compared to that of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] (Table 4.4). The Hbpt ligand is a better $\sigma$-donor than 2,2' -bipyridyl.$^{54}$ Hence the $\pi^*$ level of the IIbpt ligand is at higher energy compared to 2,2'-bipyridyl. This results in a larger energy gap between the HOMO and the LUMO of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl] compared to [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl]. Consequently the absorption maximum of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl] is blue shifted compared to [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl].
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Figure 4.15 Absorption spectra of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Hbpt}\text{Cl}]$ and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{bpt}\text{Cl}]^-$ in acetonitrile at 298 K. The spectrum of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{bpt}\text{Cl}]^-$ was recorded in the presence of triethylamine.

Figure 4.3 and Table 4.4 indicate that the absorption maximum in $[\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Hbpt}\text{Cl}]$ depends on whether the triazole ligand is protonated or deprotonated. For the protonated species ($[\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Hbpt}\text{Cl}]$), the absorption maximum is at lower energy than in the deprotonated form ($[\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{bpt}\text{Cl}]^-$). Such a spectral shift is in agreement with the protonation/deprotonation shifts observed for the pyridyl-triazole complexes synthesised in Chapter 2 (see Table 2.4). For example, the pyridyl-triazole complex $[\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Hpytr}\text{Cl}]$ (where $\text{Hpytr} = 3-(\text{pyridin-2-yl})-1,2,4$-triazole) has an absorption maximum of 330 nm, while the deprotonated form $[\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{pytr}\text{Cl}]^-$ has an absorption maximum of 300 nm. Similarly $[\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Hbpt}\text{Cl}]$, has an absorption maximum of 360 nm while $[\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{bpt}\text{Cl}]^-$ has an absorption maximum of 300 nm. Deprotonation
of the Hbpt ligand generates the negatively charged bpt⁻ anion, which is a much better σ-donor.⁵ The increased σ-donor abilities of the ligand shifts the MLCT band to higher energy. It is likely the MLCT band in [Re(CO)₃(bpt)Cl]⁻ is obscured by π→π* transitions associated with the bpt⁻ ligand (Figure 4. 15). Similar trends were observed with rhenium complexes containing strong σ-donating imidazole type ligands.⁵⁵

4.2.4.2 Absorption spectra of the dinuclear complexes

The absorption spectra of [{Re(CO)₃Cl}₂(Hbpt)] and [Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl] are presented in Figure 4. 16. Like the mononuclear analogue, the low energy absorption band of [Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl] is assigned to a ¹MLCT transition.

![Absorption spectra of [{Re(CO)₃Cl}₂(Hbpt)] and [Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl] in acetonitrile at 298 K.](image)

Figure 4. 16 Absorption spectra of [{Re(CO)₃Cl}₂(Hbpt)] and [Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl] in acetonitrile at 298 K.
For the dinuclear complex the lowest MLCT band is found at higher energy than the corresponding transition in the mononuclear analogue [Re(CO)\_3(Hbpt)Cl] (see Figure 4. 16 and Table 4. 1). [Re(CO)\_3(Hbpt)Cl] has an absorption maximum at 360 nm, whereas [{Re(CO)\_3Cl}\_2(Hbpt)] has an absorption maximum at 319 nm. This is in contrast to results reported for other dinuclear systems where the absorption maximum is red shifted when compared with the absorption maxima of the mononuclear analogues. \(^{47,48,56,57}\) In dinuclear complexes containing bridging ligands with low-lying \(\pi^*\) orbitals, attachment of a second Re(CO)\_3Cl moiety to the bridging ligand lowers the \(\pi^*\) orbitals of the bridge and a red shift in the MLCT band is observed.\(^{47,48,56}\) For example the mononuclear complex [Re(CO)\_3(2,3-dpp)Cl] (2,3-dpp = 2,3-bis-(2-pyridyl)-pyrazine) has an absorption maximum at 406 nm while the dinuclear complex [{Re(CO)\_3Cl}\_2(2,3-dpp)] has an absorption maximum at 454 nm.\(^{47}\)

A similar blue shift in the MLCT band has been reported for mononuclear and dinuclear ruthenium complexes containing the bpt ligand.\(^{15,17}\) For example the mononuclear complex [Ru(bpy)\_2bpt]^+ has an absorption maximum of 475 nm whereas the dinuclear complex [{Ru(bpy)\_2}\_2bpt]^3+ has an absorption maximum of 453 nm.\(^{15,17}\) This blue shift has been attributed to the sharing of the negative charge of the triazole upon coordination of the second Ru(bpy)\_2 unit to the bpt ligand. This effect causes a decrease in electron density on the metal centres and a blue shift of the MLCT band. Like the dinuclear complex [{Ru(bpy)\_2}\_2bpt]^3+, coordination of a second Re(CO)\_3Cl fragment to the mononuclear complex [Re(CO)\_3(Hbpt)Cl] also results in a sharing of the electron donating ability of the Hbpt ligand between two rhenium(I) metal centres. This stabilises the HOMO, resulting in a larger energy gap between the HOMO and the LUMO in the binuclear complex [{Re(CO)\_3Cl}\_2(Hbpt)Cl] compared to the mononuclear complex [Re(CO)\_3(Hbpt)Cl]. The larger energy gap between the HOMO and the LUMO manifests itself as a higher energy absorption maximum.
Figure 4.17 Absorption spectra of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+$, $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^+$ and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpt})\text{Cl}]^+$ in acetonitrile at 298 K. The spectrum of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpt})\text{Cl}]^+$ was recorded in the presence of triethylamine.

The absorption spectra of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+$, $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^+$ and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpt})\text{Cl}]^+$ are displayed in Figure 4.17. The absorption maxima of the complexes are summarised in Table 4.4. As expected, there is no difference in the absorption maxima between $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+$ and its deuteriated analogue $[\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+$ (see Table 4.4). The formation of isomers was observed in the $^1\text{H NMR}$ spectra of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+$ and $[\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+$ (Section 4.2.2.2). No differences were observed in the absorption spectra of the two isomers of $[\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+$. The absorption spectrum of the heteronuclear complex $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+$ has an absorption band maximum at 440 nm. In comparison to the absorption spectrum of the mononuclear complex $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^+$, this absorption is classed as a Ru$\rightarrow\pi^*(\text{bpy})$ MLCT transition. An Re$\rightarrow\pi^*(\text{bpt})$ transition can be ruled out.
as such a transition in the mononuclear derivative is observed at much higher energy (Table 4.4 and Figure 4.17). A blue shift of 35 nm was observed in the absorption maximum of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+\) compared to the mononuclear complex \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^+\). Such a large blue shift has not been observed in other dinuclear Ru(II)/Re(I) complexes. For example Ward and coworkers have synthesised a number of Ru(II)/Re(I) dimers containing the asymmetric ligand 2,2':3',2"':6',2""'-quaterpyridine (AB). The heteronuclear complex \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{AB})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^2+\) exhibits a ruthenium-based \(^1\text{MLCT}\) band maximum at 456 nm while the \(^1\text{MLCT}\) band maximum for the mononuclear complex \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{AB})]\) is observed at 457 nm. As previously discussed, for dinuclear ruthenium complexes containing the bpt' bridging ligand, coordination of a second Ru(bpy)_2 unit to the mononuclear complex \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^+\) shifts the absorption maximum from 475 nm to 453 nm. This shift was attributed to a sharing of the negative charge of the triazolate anion upon coordination of the second Ru(bpy)_2 unit to the bpt' ligand. The differences in the absorption maxima of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+\) and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^+\) may also be due to a sharing of the electron density of the bpt anion between the Ru(bpy)_2 and Re(CO)_3Cl units. This causes a decrease in electron density on the metal centres and results in a blue shift in the Ru\(\rightarrow\pi^*(\text{bpy})\) MLCT transition of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+\) compared to \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^+\).

A shoulder is observed in the absorption spectrum of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+\) at ~340 nm (Figure 4.17). By comparison with the absorption spectrum of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpt})\text{Cl}]^+\), it is possible this transition could be Re\(\rightarrow\pi^*(\text{bpt})\) based. However, ground-state resonance Raman measurements should be performed in the future in order to confirm the exact electronic structure of this shoulder. Both the mononuclear complex \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^+\) and the dinuclear complex \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+\) exhibit intense absorptions between 270 and 295 nm. The position and shape of these bands are characteristic of ligand centred (LC) transitions.2a
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4.2.4.3 Emission properties of the mononuclear complexes

To enable an understanding of the emission properties of the dinuclear complexes, it is helpful to firstly analyse the emission spectra of the monometallic precursors. The emission spectra of the mononuclear ruthenium complex \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^+\) at 298 K and 77 K are shown in (Figure 4. 18). The emission properties of this complex are well understood.\(^{15,17,54}\) At 298 K and 77 K, emission from \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^+\) originates from a \(3\text{MLCT}\) state. This \(3\text{MLCT}\) state is bpy-based both at 298 K and 77 K. In ruthenium polypyridyl complexes, deuteriation of one of the ligands in a mixed ligand complex will only affect the emission lifetime if the emitting state is based on that ligand.\(^{16,21,59}\) This is clearly evident for \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^+\) and its deuteriated analogue \([\text{Ru(ds-bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^+\). For \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^+\) emission lifetimes of 160 ns (298 K) and 3.00 \(\mu\text{s}\) (77 K) were observed. Deuteriation of the 2,2'-bipyridine ligands leads to lifetimes of 200 ns (298 K) and 4.49 \(\mu\text{s}\) (77 K). This further supports the conclusion that the emitting state is located on the bpy-ligands.

Note the emission maximum blue shifts on cooling to 77 K (Table 4. 4 and Figure 4. 18). This observed emission temperature dependence is a result of rigidchromism.\(^{54}\) At 77 K, the solvent dipoles are immobile on the timescale of the excited state and so cannot respond to the change in electronic configuration that accompanies an excitation. Hence the emission maximum blue shifts on cooling to 77 K. In addition to the blue shift on cooling to 77 K, the shape of the spectrum changes to one exhibiting more vibrational structure. Similar vibrational fine-structure has been observed for other ruthenium polypyridine complexes and this fine-structure has been attributed to relaxation via bipyridine-based vibrations.\(^{60}\)
Figure 4.18 Emission spectra of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_3\text{bpt}]^+$ at 298 K and 77 K. The spectra were obtained at 298 K in acetonitrile and ethanol:methanol 4:1 at 77 K.

Figure 4.19 Emission spectra of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}]$, $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpt})\text{Cl}]^-$ and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]$ in acetonitrile at 298 K.
Room temperature emission spectra of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl] and [Re(CO)$_3$(bpt)Cl]$^-$ were recorded in acetonitrile. Figure 4.19 displays the emission spectra for [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl], [Re(CO)$_3$(bpt)Cl]$^-$ and [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] at 298 K. Note the emission maxima of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl] and [Re(CO)$_3$(bpt)Cl]$^-$ were blue shifted relative to the reference complex [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl]. The emission maximum of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpt)Cl]$^-$ ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 520$ nm) is blue shifted relative to its protonated analogue [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl] ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 540$ nm). Such a spectral shift is in agreement with the protonation/deprotonation shifts observed for the pyridyl-triazole complexes synthesised in Chapter 2 (see Table 2.4). Note, the pyridyl-triazole complex [Re(CO)$_3$(Hpytr)Cl] has an emission maximum of 580 nm, while the deprotonated form [Re(CO)$_3$(pytr)Cl]$^-$ has an emission maximum of 530 nm. The emission observed for [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl] and [Re(CO)$_3$(bpt)Cl]$^-$ was found to be temperature dependent. The emission maxima of both [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl]
and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(bpt)\text{Cl}]^-$ shift to higher energy when cooled to 77 K (see Table 4.1 and Figure 4.19). It is worth noting the emission spectra of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}]$ and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpt})\text{Cl}]^-$ are broad and structureless at 77 K (Figure 4.20). The protonated/deprotonated complexes $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}]$ and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpt})\text{Cl}]^-$ both exhibit emission maxima of 480 nm at 77 K. The emission maxima and lifetimes obtained at 298 K and 77 K are summarised in Table 4.4.

For both $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}]$ and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpt})\text{Cl}]^-$ the excited state responsible for the luminescence is of MLCT nature. This assignment is based on the following luminescence properties:

i) The position and shape of the emission band at 298 K is consistent with that of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]$ and other rhenium(I) complexes assigned as MLCT emitters$^{45,52}$

ii) The luminescence lifetimes and quantum yields are similar to those of $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(L)\text{Cl}]$ with $L = \text{bipyridine type ligand}^{52,61}$

iii) At 77 K the emission spectra are broad and strongly shifted to higher energy compared to the spectra at room temperature$^{62,63}$

iv) The time range of the 77 K emission is typical of Re-based MLCT emitters$^{64}$

In addition, pK$_a$ studies of the pyridyl-triazole complexes $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hpytr})\text{Cl}]$ and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{HBrpytr})\text{Cl}]$ (where HBrpytr = 3-(pyridin-2-yl)-5-bromo-1,2,4-triazole) in Chapter 2 show the co-ordinated pyridyl-triazole is less acidic in the excited state than in the ground state i.e. both complexes have a higher pK$_a^*$ compared to the pK$_a$ (see Table 2.5). This is an important observation; since it shows the triazole ligands actively participate in the emission processes i.e. the electron resides in the pyridyl-triazole after excitation of the complex. Unfortunately $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}]$ was insoluble in Britton-Robinson buffer (the solvent used to carry out the pK$_a$ titrations in Chapter 2). Hence no pK$_a$ or pK$_a^*$ values are available for this complex. However, $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}]$ exhibited similar protonation/deprotonation shifts to the pyridyl-triazole complexes
[Re(CO)₃(Hpytr)Cl] and [Re(CO)₃(HBrpytr)Cl] at 298 K. Hence it is reasonable to conclude that the Hbpt ligand actively participates in the emission processes i.e. the emission originates from a ³MLCT(Ru → π*(Hbpt)) state.

As observed for the absorption spectra, the position of the emission maximum is blue shifted with respect to [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl] (600 nm) when the Hbpt ligand is both protonated and deprotonated (i.e. 540 nm vs 520 nm in the case of [Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl] compared to [Re(CO)₃(bpt)Cl]). 2,2'-bipyridine is a better π-acceptor than bpt', and hence has a lower π* level.²³,²⁴ This is reflected in the higher Ru(II)/Ru(III) oxidation potential of the mononuclear ruthenium complex [Ru(bpy)₂bpt]⁺ compared to [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺.¹⁵ Furthermore, the first reduction potential of [Ru(bpy)₂bpt]⁺ is 2,2'-bipyridyl based. In addition resonance Raman spectra of [Ru(bpy)₂bpt]⁺ also suggest that the π*-orbital of 2,2'-bipyridyl is of lower energy compared to that of the corresponding bpt' level.¹⁷ Hence it seems reasonable to conclude the blue shift in the emission maxima of [Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl] and [Re(CO)₃(bpt)Cl] compared to [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl] most likely arises from the increased energy of the LUMO of the electron donating triazole ligand compared to 2,2'-bipyridyl. This increases the energy gap between the ground and the ³MLCT states i.e. a blue shift in the emission maxima is observed. Deprotonation of the triazole further enhances the electron donating ability of the Hbpt ligand.²³,²⁴ Hence the energy gap between the ground and ³MLCT states increases. Consequently the emission maxima of the deprotonated complexes are blue shifted relative to their protonated analogues and [Re(CO)₃(bpy)Cl].

Longer luminescence lifetimes are observed for [Re(CO)₃(bpt)Cl]⁻ compared to [Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl] at 77 K and 298 K. The two main factors that control emission lifetimes are:

(i) The energy gap law⁶⁵,⁶⁶
(ii) The gap between the emitting ³MLCT state and the deactivating ³MC state¹⁰,⁶⁷
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The energy gap law states that the coupling between vibrational levels of the ground and excited state increases as the energy gap between these two levels decreases. A weak coupling between the excited- and ground-state vibrational levels will increase the emission lifetime. The increase in emission lifetime from ~20 ns to 48 ns at 298 K upon deprotonation of \([\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}])\) is consistent with the observed increase in the energy gap (as indicated in the blue shift emission maximum from 540 to 520 nm).

4.2.4.4 Emission properties of the dinuclear complexes

The emission spectra for \([\{\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3\text{Cl}\}_2(\text{Hbpt})]\) and \([\{\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3\text{Cl}\}_2(\text{bpt})]\) at 298 K are presented in Figure 4.21. For both \([\{\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3\text{Cl}\}_2(\text{Hbpt})]\) and \([\{\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3\text{Cl}\}_2(\text{bpt})]\), the emitting level can be labelled as \(^3\text{MLCT}\) in character as both the position and shape of the emission band is similar to the mononuclear complexes \([\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}])\) and \([\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(\text{bpt})\text{Cl}])\) and other complexes assigned as \(^3\text{MLCT}\) emitters.\(^{55,68}\) As observed for the absorption spectra, the emission energy of the dinuclear complex \([\{\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3\text{Cl}\}_2(\text{Hbpt})]\) is at higher energy than that observed for the mononuclear complex (see Table 4.4). This can be explained by the sharing of the electron donating ability of the Hbpt ligand between the two \(\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3\text{Cl}\) units. Hence less electron density is present at the metal centre, thus resulting in a stabilisation of the \(t_{2g}\) energy level (ground-state). For this reason the energy separation between the ground-state and the \(^3\text{MLCT}\) state increases and a blue shift in the absorption and emission energies is observed (i.e. 533 nm vs 540 nm in the case of \([\{\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3\text{Cl}\}_2(\text{Hbpt})]\) compared to \([\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}])\). Note this is in contrast to other examples of bimetallic rhenium carbonyl complexes, which contain a strong \(\pi\)-acceptor ligand bridge. In these examples, coordination of a second \(\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3\text{Cl}\) unit stabilises the \(\pi^*\) levels of the bridging ligand resulting in a red shift in the emission maxima of the dinuclear complexes. For example coordination of Re(CO)3Cl to \([\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(2,3\text{-dpp})\text{Cl}])\) (2,3-dpp = 2,3-bis-(2-pyridylpyrazine) shifts the emission maximum from 700 to 790 nm.\(^{47,69}\)
Emission spectra of [{Re(CO)}_3Cl]_2(Hbpt)] and [{Re(CO)}_3Cl]_2(bpt)] were also recorded at 77 K (Figure 4.22). A single blue-shifted maximum is observed at 77 K. This shift and lack of vibrational structure is in accord with assignment of these transitions as MLCT in character.4,52
Figure 4.22 Emission Spectra at 77 K in ethanol:methanol (4:1) of $\{\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}_2(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}\}$ and $\{\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Cl}\}^-$. Luminescence lifetimes at 298 K and 77 K are collected in Table 4.4. The room-temperature luminescence quantum yields are also reported in the table. The lifetimes at 77 K and 298 K of $\{\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}_2(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}\}$ and $\{\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Cl}\}^-$ are longer compared to their mononuclear derivatives. A slight increase in the energy gap is observed on coordination of the second Re(CO)$_3$Cl unit to [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl] i.e. the emission maximum blue-shifts from 540 to 533 nm. According to the energy gap law, an increase in the energy gap will increase the emission lifetime. Hence a longer lifetime is observed for $\{\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}_2(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}\}$ compared to [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl].
Rhenium and ruthenium complexes with 3,5-bis(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole

Chapter 4

Figure 4.23  Emission spectra of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ at 298 K and 77 K. The spectra were obtained at 298 K in acetonitrile and ethanol:methanol 4:1 at 77 K.

The emission data of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ and the two isomers of [Ru(d$_8$-bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ are presented in Table 4.4. No differences were observed in the emission maxima or lifetimes of the two isomers of [Ru(d$_8$-bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ at 298 K or 77 K. Hence the emission properties of Isomer I and Isomer II will be discussed as [Ru(d$_8$-bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$. Figure 4.23 shows the emission spectra of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ obtained at 298 K and 77 K. Similar spectra were obtained for the deuteriated complex [Ru(d$_8$-bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$. 
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The heteronuclear complex \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^{+}\) has an emission maximum of 635 nm while the emission maximum of the mononuclear complex is 675 nm i.e. a blue shift in the emission maximum upon coordination of \(\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}\) to \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^{+}\) is observed. This is in contrast to other ruthenium(I)/rhenium(I) complexes. For example a red shift in the emission maximum from 638 nm to 646 nm observed upon coordination of \(\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}\) to \([\text{Ru(dmb)}_2(\text{mfibpy})]^{2+}\) (\(\text{dmb} = 4,4'\text{-dimethyl-2,2'}\text{-bipyridine}; \text{mfibpy} = 4\text{-methyl-4'}\text{-[1,10]phenanthroline-[5,6-d]imidazol-2-yl]}\)bipyridine).\(^{70}\) This red shift was attributed to a stabilisation of the \(\pi^*\) level of the bridging ligand as a result of coordination of the second metal centre. The blue shift in the emission maximum upon coordination of \(\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}\) to \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^{+}\) can be evaluated by comparing the luminescence properties of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^{+}\) with the dinuclear complex \([\{\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\}_2(\text{bpt})]^{3+}\). Likewise a blue shift from 628 nm to 608 nm was observed on coordination of a second \(\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\) fragment to \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^{+}\).\(^{15}\) This blue shift was attributed to a sharing of the negative charge of the triazole anion between the two ruthenium metal centres. Thus resulting in a decrease in the electron density on the metal centre leading to an increase in the energy gap between the ground and \(^3\text{MLCT}\) states. This behaviour causes a blue shift in the emission maximum of \([\{\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\}_2(\text{bpt})]^{3+}\) compared to \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^{+}\). The blue shift in the emission maximum of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^{+}\) compared to \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^{+}\) may also give rise to an increase in the ground-state and \(^3\text{MLCT}\) energy gap due to the negative charge of the triazole anion being shared between the two metal centres.

In heteroleptic ruthenium polypyridyl complexes of the form \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{LL})]^{n+}\) (\(\text{LL}\) is a bidentate ligand), the excited state may lie on either the bipyridine ligand or \(\text{LL}.\)^{71} Hence the Ru-based lowest excited level in the binuclear complexes \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^{+}\) and \([\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^{+}\) could be of \(\text{Ru} \rightarrow \text{bpy}\) or \(\text{Ru} \rightarrow \text{bpt}\) CT electronic configuration. In \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^{+}\) and its deuteriated analogue \([\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^{+}\), the lowest-lying level is tentatively assigned as a \(^3\text{MLCT}\) (\(\text{Ru} \rightarrow \pi^*(\text{bpy})\)) state. This assignment is based on the following luminescence properties:
The structured emission spectrum at 77 K is similar to that of the bpy-based emitting mononuclear complex [Ru(d₆-bpy)₂bpt]⁺

A significant increase in the lifetime is observed at 298 K and 77 K when the bipyridyl ligands are deuteriated

Note vibrational fine-structure has been previously observed for other ruthenium polypyridine complexes at 77 K. This fine-structure has been attributed to relaxation via bipyridine-based vibrations. In previous studies of ruthenium complexes of the form [Ru(bpy)₂(L)]⁺ (L is a pyridyl-triazole ligand), deuteriation has been used to investigate the location of the excited state. If the excited state is located on the ligand that has been deuteriated then the excited state lifetime is seen to increase. In [Ru(bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)₃Cl]⁺, deuteriation of the bipyridyl ligands leads to an increase in lifetime from 340 to 430 ns (298 K) and 4.88 μs to 6.71 μs (77 K). This strongly suggests that the excited-state of [Ru(bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)₃Cl]⁺ is bpy-based.

Ward and coworkers have synthesised a number of Ru(II)/Re(I) hetronuclear complexes containing the asymmetric ligand 2,2':3',2":6",2"'-quaterpyridine (AB). The positional isomers [Re(CO)₃Cl(AB)Ru(bpy)₂]²⁺ and [Ru(bpy)₂(AB)Re(CO)₃Cl]²⁺ exhibit either Ru-based or Re-based emission, depending on the coordination sites of the metal. For the heteronuclear complex [Re(CO)₃Cl(AB)Ru(bpy)₂]²⁺, the observed emission at room temperature was assigned to the rhenium(I) metal centre on the basis that its emission energy was similar to that of the mononuclear complex [Re(CO)₃Cl(AB)] and the homodinuclear complex [{Re(CO)₃Cl}₂(AB)]. For instance [Re(CO)₃Cl(AB)] and [{Re(CO)₃Cl}₂(AB)] exhibit rhenium-based emission maxima at 626 nm and 622 nm while the emission maximum for the heteronuclear complex [Re(CO)₃Cl(AB)Ru(bpy)₂]²⁺ was observed at 623 nm. This is in contrast to [Ru(bpy)₂(AB)Re(CO)₃Cl]²⁺ where ruthenium based emission was observed at 644 nm. For [Ru(bpy)₂(AB)Re(CO)₃Cl]²⁺, excitation at 395 nm (where a significant portion of light is absorbed by the Re-metal centre) or excitation of the
Ru-based metal centre at 456 nm yielded identical luminescence quantum yields ($\phi = 2.7 \times 10^{-2}$) and emission maximum at 644 nm. Like the heteronuclear complexes containing 2,2':3',2":6",2"'-quaterpyridine, the lowest excited level for [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ and [Ru(d$_8$bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ can be either Re- or Ru-based. The emission is assigned as Ru-centred in both of these complexes by comparison with the emission properties of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpt)Cl]$^-$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$bpt]$^+$ (see Table 4. 4). Emisison maxima of 520 nm, 675 nm and 635 nm were observed for [Re(CO)$_3$(bpt)Cl]$^-$, [Ru(bpy)$_2$bpt]$^+$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$. Note Re-based emission from [Re(CO)$_3$(bpt)Cl]$^-$ occurs at ~115 nm shorter wavelength for [Re(CO)$_3$(bpt)Cl]$^-$ compared to the heteronuclear complex [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$. Like [Re(CO)$_3$Cl(AB)Ru(bpy)$_2$]$^{2+}$, the Re-based emission from [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ would be expected to occur at similar wavelength to the analogous mononuclear rhenium(I) complex [Re(CO)$_3$(bpt)Cl]$^-$. The large energy difference between the emission maxima of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpt)Cl]$^-$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ does not support Re-based emission from [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$. 

Furthermore, selective excitation of either the Ru- or Re-based chromophores in [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ can be achieved through excitation wavelengths of 440 and 340 nm respectively. Note at 340 nm, both the Ru- and Re- metal centres absorb, however a significant portion of light is absorbed by the Re- metal centre. Comparison of the luminescence properties under both excitation wavelengths shows the luminescence profiles overlap and the quantum yields are practically identical ($\phi = 0.0036$ and 0.0031 for $\lambda_{exc} = 330$ nm and 440 nm respectively). Also there is no evidence for a dual exponential decay that would occur if a mixture of Ru- and Re-based emission were occurring. This suggests the lowest lying luminescent centre is Ru-centred in [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$. These results indicate the light absorbed by the Re-bpt$^-$ chromophore of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ is transferred to a $^3$Ru MLCT state i.e. complete Re$\rightarrow$Ru energy transfer takes place. The results also indicate this energy transfer process is temperature independent. The bridging ligand essentialy mediates
energy transfer. Similar Re→Ru energy transfer has been previously reported for other rhenium/rhenium dinuclear complexes.  

4.2.5 Electrochemical studies

The electrochemical properties of the complexes are collected in Table 4. 5, together with data for [Ru(bpy)3]2+ and [Re(CO)3(bpy)Cl] for comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Ru (II)/(III) E (V)</th>
<th>Re (I)/(II) E (V)</th>
<th>Reduction E (V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)3(bpy)Cl]</td>
<td>-1.55b</td>
<td>-1.29e</td>
<td>-1.73f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)3(Hbpt)Cl]</td>
<td>-1.20b</td>
<td>-1.78e</td>
<td>-1.90e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[{Re(CO)3Cl}2(Hbpt)]</td>
<td>-1.40b</td>
<td>-1.78e</td>
<td>-1.90e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRu(bpy)2(bpt)Re(CO)3Cl]+</td>
<td>-1.55b</td>
<td>-1.82e</td>
<td>-2.33e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)2bpt]+</td>
<td>-1.47</td>
<td>-1.70</td>
<td>-2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)3]2+</td>
<td>-1.35</td>
<td>-1.55</td>
<td>-1.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. 5 Electrochemical data in acetonitrile with 0.1 M TBABF4 at 100 mV/s scan rate. aPoorly resolved irreversible sequence of ligand based reductions. bAnodic peak potential corresponding to an irreversible step. cCathodic peak corresponding to an irreversible step.

The electrochemical behaviour of [Ru(bpy)2bpt]2+ has been discussed previously. A reversible Ru(II)/Ru(III) oxidation is observed at 0.85 V. The first and second reduction potentials of [Ru(bpy)2bpt]2+ are assigned to reduction of the coordinated bipyridyl ligands. The two reduction potentials observed at -2.28 V and -2.45 V are associated with the reduction of the bpt' ligand. Note the metal centred oxidation potential of [Ru(bpy)2bpt]2+ is significantly lower than that of [Ru(bpy)3]2+. As explained in Section 4.2.4, the σ-donor ability of the bpt'
ligand is much stronger than that of bpy. This leads to greater electron density surrounding the ruthenium metal centre of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^{2+}\) compared to \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_3]^{2+}\). Hence the ruthenium metal is oxidised at a lower potential in \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^{2+}\) in compared to \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_3]^{2+}\).

![Cyclic voltammogram](image)

**Figure 4.24** Cyclic voltammogram of \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}]\) in 0.1M TBABF₄ in acetonitrile with a scan rate of 100 mV/s.

The complexes \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}]\) and \([\{\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}\}_2\text{Hbpt}\]) were isolated with a protonated triazole ligand. Upon deprotonation of the triazole with one drop of 0.1 M triethylamine in acetonitrile, poor quality voltammograms were obtained. Hence only the electrochemistry of the protonated complexes, \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}]\) and \([\{\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}\}_2\text{Hbpt}\]) will be discussed. An electrochemically irreversible oxidation was observed at 1.20 V for \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hbpt})\text{Cl}]\) (Table 4.5 and Figure 4.3). By comparison with \([\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]\) and other rhenium(I) complexes, this irreversible process is assigned to oxidation of the rhenium metal centre \((\text{Re(I)/Re(II)})\). The Hbpt ligand is a better σ-donor compared to 2,2′-
bipyridyl, therefore the rhenium metal centre is easier to oxidise when coordinated to the Hbpt ligand. Hence the Re(I)/Re(II) oxidation is observed at lower potential for [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl] compared to [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl]. Two irreversible reductions were observed at -1.92 and -2.09 V. It is possible these reduction processes correspond to reduction of the Hbpt ligand followed by chloride dissociation as observed for the pyridyl triazole complexes in Chapter 2 and other [Re(CO)$_3$(L-L)Cl] type complexes where L-L is a bidentate ligand. A more detailed discussion of the reduction processes of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl] cannot be undertaken due to the irreversibility of the reduction waves.

![Cyclic voltammogram](image)

**Figure 4.25** Cyclic voltammogram of [{Re(CO)$_3$Cl}$]_2$(Hbpt)] in 0.1M TBABF$_4$ in acetonitrile with a scan rate of 100 mV/s.

The cyclic voltammogram of the oxidation processes of the rhenium dinuclear complex [{Re(CO)$_3$Cl}$]_2$(Hbpt)] are shown in Figure 4. 25. The first Re(I)/Re(II) couple for the dinuclear complex is observed at 1.33 V. The second Re(I)/Re(II) couple is 250 mV more positive than the first. A number of possibilities can account for this difference in oxidation potentials:
(i) Electrostatic effects: oxidation of the first metal centre increases the charge of the complex and subsequently a higher oxidation potential of the second metal centre will be observed.

(ii) The chemical environments of the two Re(CO)₃Cl moieties are different due to the coordination modes (i.e. N₂ versus N₄).

Previous studies have revealed the N₂ atom of pyridyl-triazole ligands is a better σ-donor site than the N₄ atom.¹⁵ For example, the N₂ bound ruthenium metal centre of [Ru(bpy)₂(pytr)]⁺ (where pytr = 3-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole) oxidises at 0.83 V whereas the N₄ bound ruthenium metal oxidises at 0.90 V. Therefore the N₂/N₄ coordination modes of the two metal centres almost certainly contribute to a difference in the oxidation potentials of the two rhenium centres in [{Re(CO)₃Cl}₂(Hbpt)]. As the N₂ atom has stronger σ-donor properties than the N₄ atom, the Re(CO)₃Cl unit bound at N₂ will most likely be oxidised easier than the N₄ bounded group.

Another important point is that the first Re(I)/Re(II) couple of the dinuclear complex is observed at 120 mV higher than the oxidation potential of the Re(I)/Re(II) couple in the mononuclear complex [Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl]. Upon coordination of a second Re(CO)₃Cl moiety, the electron density of the Hbpt ligand has to be shared between two Re(CO)₃Cl units. As a result there is less electron density on each metal centre. Hence the first metal-based oxidation is observed at higher oxidation potential for the dinuclear complex compared to the mononuclear complex. The same effect has been observed between the mononuclear [Ru(bpy)₂bpt]⁺ and dinuclear [{Ru(bpy)₂}₂bpt]⁴⁺ complexes where the first Ru(II)/Ru(III) oxidation of [{Ru(bpy)₂}₂bpt]⁴⁺ is observed at 1.04 V while oxidation of the ruthenium centre in the mononuclear complex [Ru(bpy)₂bpt]⁺ is observed at 0.85 V.¹⁵,¹⁷ A series of irreversible reduction waves were observed at -1.78 V, -1.90 V and -2.08 V. Like the mononuclear rhenium complex [Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl], these reduction processes most likely are a result of reduction of the Hbpt ligand followed by chloride dissociation.
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Figure 4.26 Cyclic voltammogram of [Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(bpt)Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl]\(^+\) in acetonitrile in 0.1M TBABF\(_4\) in acetonitrile with a scan rate of 100 mV/s.

The cyclic voltammogram of the dinuclear complex [Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(bpt)Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl]\(^+\) is presented in Figure 4.26. For [Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(bpt)Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl]\(^+\) there are clearly identifiable Ru(II)/Ru(III) and Re(I)/Re(II) couples at 1.09 and 1.55 V. The Ru(II)/Ru(III) couple is fully reversible but for the Re(I)/Re(II) couple, the return wave is less intense than the outward wave. The irreversible nature of the Re(I)/Re(II) couple is typical of rhenium tricarbonyl complexes.\(^{40}\) It is interesting to note in the [Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(bpt)Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl]\(^+\) complex, the Ru-centred oxidation potential is shifted 170 mV to higher potential compared to the mononuclear [Ru(bpy)\(_2\)bpt]\(^+\) complex (see Table 4.5). Upon coordination of the Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl unit, the negative charge of the bpt \(^-\) ligand is shared between the Ru(bpy)\(_2\) and Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl moieties. As a result less charge is present on each metal centre. This
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results in the ruthenium centre oxidising at a higher oxidation potential. Such differences in oxidation potentials have been observed between the mononuclear [Ru(bpy)$_2$bpzt]$^+$ and dinuclear [{Ru(bpy)$_2$}$_2$bpzt]$^{3+}$ complexes (where bpzt is 3,5-di(pyraz-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole).\textsuperscript{82,83} The first Ru(II)/Ru(III) oxidation of [{Ru(bpy)$_2$}$_2$bpzt]$^{3+}$ is observed at 1.16 V while oxidation of the ruthenium centre in the mononuclear complex [Ru(bpy)$_2$bpzt]$^+$ is observed at 0.99 V. In the mononuclear complex [Ru(bpy)$_2$bpzt]$^+$, the negative charge of the bpzt anion is delocalised onto the metal centre through the N$_2$ of the triazole ring. Addition of a second metal centre results in a delocalisation over two metal centres thus reducing the electron over both and increasing the first oxidation potential of [{Ru(bpy)$_2$}$_2$bpzt]$^{3+}$ compared to [Ru(bpy)$_2$bpzt]$^+$.

Deprotonated 1,2,4-triazole ligands have enhanced σ-donor abilities compared to their protonated analogues.\textsuperscript{25,36,84} For ruthenium bipyridyl complexes containing 1,2,4-triazole ligands, deprotonation of the triazole ligand causes a significant lowering of the Ru-centred oxidation potentials compared to their protonated derivatives. For example, the metal centred oxidation in [Ru(bpy)$_2$bpt]$^+$ is 130 mV lower than that of [Ru(bpy)$_2$Hbpt]$^{2+}.\textsuperscript{17}$ For the heteronuclear [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ complex, the rhenium centred oxidation wave is at 1.55 V, which is similar to the second rhenium based oxidation in the binuclear [{Re(CO)$_3$Cl}$_2$(Hbpt)] complex. Note [{Re(CO)$_3$Cl}$_2$(Hbpt)] was isolated with a protonated triazole lignad in contrast to the heteronuclear [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ complex which contains a negatively charged triazole unit. As a result of the bpt' anion present in [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$, the Re(I)/(II) couple in this complex is expected to occur at lower potential than that in [{Re(CO)$_3$Cl}$_2$(Hbpt)]. There is also a higher charge on the [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^-$ complex compared to [{Re(CO)$_3$Cl}$_2$(Hbpt)] i.e. +2 compared to 0. Note the overall charge of the complex increases from +1 to +2 on oxidation of the ruthenium metal centre from Ru(II) to Ru(III). This increased charge makes the rhenium(I) centred oxidation more difficult. For dinuclear ruthenium complexes, metal centred oxidation is more difficult than that for the mononuclear complexes due to the higher charge on the dinuclear
The increase in charge on the $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+$ complex compared to $[\{\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}\}_2(\text{Hbpt})]$ probably offsets the proposed deprotonation induced lowering of the Re(I)/Re(II) oxidation potential. Hence the Re(I)/Re(II) couple in $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+$ is observed at similar potential to the second Re(I)/Re(II) couple in the $[\{\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}\}_2(\text{Hbpt})]$ complex.

The reduction processes for $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+$ are complicated (see Figure 4. 26). The mononuclear complex $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^+$ displays a reversible bpy based reduction at $-1.47\text{ V}$. Like $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^+$, the quasi-reversible reduction wave observed at $-1.41$ for the dinuclear complex is mostly likely bpy based. Compared to $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^+$, the first reduction potential of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{bpt})\text{Re(CO)}_3\text{Cl}]^+$ is at a slightly less negative value. This most likely results from the fact that the bpt' ligand has to be shared between two metal centres, thus reducing the electron density on the Ru(bpy)$_2$ fragment. A second bpy based reduction is expected. The second bpy based reduction cannot be clearly identified (see Figure 4. 26) as it is obscured by an irreversible ligand based reduction at $-1.82\text{ V}$. This irreversible ligand based reduction at $-1.82\text{ V}$ is most likely bpt' based. Compared to $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^+$, this reduction wave is at a less negative values. When the Re(CO)$_3$Cl is coordinated to $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{bpt}]^+$, the negative charge residing on the bpt anion is shared between the Re(CO)$_3$Cl and Ru(bpy)$_2$ fragments. This reduction in electron density makes the bpt' ligand easier to reduce.
4.2.6 Relation between spectroscopic data and electrochemistry

The spectroscopic and electrochemical data for the mononuclear complexes [Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl], [Re(CO)₃(bpt)Cl]⁺ and [Ru(bpy)₂(bpt)]⁺ indicate the energy gap i.e. HOMO-LUMO separation is greater for the rhenium metal complexes. This can be substantiated with the following reasons. Firstly, the 1ˢᵗ reduction potential of [Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl] is at a more negative value than the bpy based reduction observed for [Ru(bpy)₂(bpt)]⁺. The irreversible Re(I)/Re(II) based oxidation is observed at a more positive potential than the Ru(II)/(III) oxidation. Thus the energy gap i.e. the positive difference between the potentials of the first oxidation and the first reduction is greater for the rhenium complexes. Similarly the absorption and emission maxima for [Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl] and [Re(CO)₃(bpt)Cl]⁺ are observed at higher energy compared to the mononuclear complex [Ru(bpy)₂(bpt)]⁺. These considerations were used to construct the energy level diagram shown in Scheme 4.1

Scheme 4.1 Comparison of the ¹MLCT absorption maxima and the ³MLCT emission maxima for [Re(CO)₃(Hbpt)Cl], [Re(CO)₃(bpt)Cl], [Ru(bpy)₂(bpt)]⁺ and [Ru(bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)₃Cl]⁺.
The energy gap is greater for [{Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl\(_2\)}(Hbpt)] compared to [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hbpt)Cl]. This is a rare observation, as in bimetallic complexes containing Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl, the \(\pi^*\) level of the bridging ligand is lowered considerably upon dinucleation.\(^{33,34}\) This difference in the energy gap between the dinuclear and mononuclear complexes is most likely caused by the weaker \(\sigma\)-donor properties of the dinucleating Hbpt ligand compared to mononucleating Hbpt. This conclusion was based on a number of observations. Firstly, the 1\(^{\text{st}}\) reduction potential is less negative for [{Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl\(_2\)}(Hbpt)] compared to [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hbpt)Cl]. The difference between the values of first oxidation and the first reduction is greater for [{Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl\(_2\)}(Hbpt)]. The absorption maximum is at higher energy for [{Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl\(_2\)}(Hbpt)] in contrast to [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hbpt)Cl]. Upon coordination of a second Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl moiety to [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hbpt)Cl], the electron density of the Hbpt ligand is shared between the two Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl units. This lowers the energy of the Hbpt \(\pi^*\) level i.e. the Hbpt ligand is reduced at a less negative potential. But the first rhenium based oxidation is observed at a more positive potential for [{Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl\(_2\)}(Hbpt)] compared to [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Hbpt)Cl]. Hence the HOMO of the dinuclear complex is at lower energy. The cumulative effect of the change in energy of the HOMO and the LUMO is an increase in the energy gap for [{Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl\(_2\)}(Hbpt)]. This is illustrated in Scheme 4. 1.

A very important conclusion is that for [Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(bpt)Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl]\(^+\), a bpy-based first reduction potential has been observed. The first reduction process in metal complexes usually corresponds to the lowest \(\pi^*\) level of that complex. Hence it can be concluded that the lowest energy MLCT band is a Ru→\(\pi^*\)(bpy) transition. This also suggests that the emitting state of the heteronuclear complex is bpy-based. Deuteriation studies support the assignment of the emission in [Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(bpt)Re(CO)\(_3\)Cl]\(^+\) as being bpy-based.
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Scheme 4.2  Schematic diagram showing rhenium to ruthenium energy transfer.

As previously discussed, the electrochemical and physical data for [Re(CO)$_3$(Hbpt)Cl], [Re(CO)$_3$(bpt)Cl]$^+$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)]$^+$ indicate the $^1$MLCT and $^3$MLCT levels are at higher energy for the rhenium type complexes. Hence it seems reasonable to conclude, the $^1$MLCT and $^3$MLCT levels for the Re-based chromophore are at higher energy for [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$. The lack of Re-based emission for [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ is ascribed to fast deactivation of the Re-based $^3$MLCT energy levels, populated after light absorption, in favour of lower lying levels centred on the Ru-fragment i.e. Re$\rightarrow$Ru photoinduced energy transfer. This photoinduced energy transfer is depicted in Scheme 4.2. It is also possible, absorption of light leads to population of the Re-based $^1$MLCT energy level. This rapidly relaxes to the lower energy Ru-based $^1$MLCT. This is followed by intersystem crossing the Ru-based $^3$MLCT i.e. route (b) in Scheme 4.2.
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4.3 Conclusions

In this chapter the synthesis, characterisation, photophysical and electrochemical properties of a series of rhenium and ruthenium complexes containing the bridging ligand 3,5-bis(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole are reported. The metal ion in the mononuclear complexes are bound to a pyridine ring and the 1,2,4-triazole. The exact coordination mode to the triazole i.e. N$_2$/N$_4$ can only be determined by growing crystals suitable for X-ray structure determination. IR studies indicate that in all cases the carbonyl ligands have a facial conformation around the rhenium metal centre. The formation of two geometrical isomers has been observed for the heteronuclear ruthenium/rhenium complex. The isomers of the deuteriated complex [Ru(d$_8$-bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$, were successfully isolated. The isomers were studied using NMR spectroscopy. Considerable differences in the $^1$H NMR spectra have been observed. The observation of two isomers in the $^1$H NMR spectra of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ and [Ru(d$_8$-bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ may be due to different orientations of the bipyridyl ligands coordinated to the ruthenium(II) metal centre. The electrochemical and electronic properties are however identical for both isomers.

The photophysical properties of these complexes are interesting. Upon coordination of a second metal fragment i.e. Re(CO)$_3$Cl to the mononuclear complexes, the absorption and emission energies shift to higher energy. This is a rare observation as most other bimetallic complexes containing Re(CO)$_3$Cl, the $\pi^*$ level of the bridging ligand is lowered considerably upon dinucleation. Although the ligand bridge is asymmetric, dual emission was not observed for [{Re(CO)$_3$Cl}$_2$(Hbpt)] or [{Re(CO)$_3$Cl}$_2$(bpt)]. The observed emission form [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ is bpy-based. The heteronuclear [Ru(bpy)$_2$(bpt)Re(CO)$_3$Cl]$^+$ complex exhibits efficient energy transfer from the Re centre to the Ru centre.
4.4 Experimental

4.4.1 Synthesis of 3,5-bis(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole (Hbpt).

2-Pyridinecarboxylic acid (5.20 g, 43 mmol) and concentrated sulphuric acid (2 cm³) were heated at reflux in ethanol for 3 hours. Sodium carbonate was added to neutralise the excess sulphuric acid. Excess hydrazine hydrate (3.00 g, 60 mmol) was added to this solution. The solution was stirred at 0 °C for 3 hours. The resulting precipitate was filtered and washed with cold ethanol. 2-Cyanopyridine was (3.1 g, 30 mmol) was converted to 2-pyridylmethylimidate by heating at reflux with sodium metal in methanol for 3 hours. The hydrazide was added and the solution was heated for 2 hours. The yellow precipitate was collected under vacuum. 3,5-bis(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole was obtained by heating the hydrazide at reflux in ethylene glycol for 1 hour, Yield 5. 12 g, 23 mmol, 54%. 

\[ \text{Hbpt} (0.07 g, 0.332 \text{ mmol}) \] was dissolved in 50 ml of toluene. A few drops of trifluroacetic acid were added to this solution. \[ \text{[Re(CO)\textsubscript{5}Cl]} \] (0.100 g, 0.277 mmol) was added to this acidified toluene solution. This solution was refluxed under an argon atmosphere for 4 hours yielding a yellow solution. The reaction mixture was refrigerated overnight. The resulting yellow precipitate was collected by filtration yielding the desired product. Yield 0.115 g, 0.219 mmol, 79 %. 

Elemental analysis for \[ \text{ReC}_{15}\text{H}_{9}\text{N}_{5}\text{O}_{3}\text{Cl} \]: Calculated C, 34.06; H, 1.70; N, 13.25; Found C, 33.73; H, 1.89; N, 12.66; 

\[ \text{Hbpt} (0.07 g, 0.332 \text{ mmol}) \] was dissolved in 50 ml of toluene. A few drops of trifluroacetic acid were added to this solution. \[ \text{[Re(CO)\textsubscript{5}Cl]} \] (0.100 g, 0.277 mmol) was added to this acidified toluene solution. This solution was refluxed under an argon atmosphere for 4 hours yielding a yellow solution. The reaction mixture was refrigerated overnight. The resulting yellow precipitate was collected by filtration yielding the desired product. Yield 0.115 g, 0.219 mmol, 79 %. 

Elemental analysis for \[ \text{ReC}_{15}\text{H}_{9}\text{N}_{5}\text{O}_{3}\text{Cl} \]: Calculated C, 34.06; H, 1.70; N, 13.25; Found C, 33.73; H, 1.89; N, 12.66; 

\[ \text{Hbpt} (0.07 g, 0.332 \text{ mmol}) \] was dissolved in 50 ml of toluene. A few drops of trifluroacetic acid were added to this solution. \[ \text{[Re(CO)\textsubscript{5}Cl]} \] (0.100 g, 0.277 mmol) was added to this acidified toluene solution. This solution was refluxed under an argon atmosphere for 4 hours yielding a yellow solution. The reaction mixture was refrigerated overnight. The resulting yellow precipitate was collected by filtration yielding the desired product. Yield 0.115 g, 0.219 mmol, 79 %. 
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Rhenium and ruthenium complexes with 3,5-bis(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole
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$$[{\text{Re(CO)₃Cl}}_2(\text{Hbpt})]$$

Hbpt (0.06g, 0.277 mmol) was dissolved in 50 cm$^3$ of toluene. A few drops of trifluoroacetic acid were added to this solution. $[{\text{Re(CO)}_5}\text{Cl}]$ (0.200 g, 0.554 mmol) was added to this acidified toluene solution. This solution was refluxed under an argon atmosphere for 6 hours resulting in a yellow solution. The reaction mixture was refrigerated overnight. The resulting yellow precipitate was collected by filtration yielding the desired product. Yield 0.185 g, 0.223 mmol, 81%. Elemental analysis for Re$_2$C$_{15}$H$_9$N$_5$O$_6$Cl$_2$: Calculated C, 25.90; H, 1.08; N, 8.39; Found C, 26.01; H, 1.11; N, 8.25; $^1$H NMR (CD$_3$CN), $\delta$ in ppm: H$_3$: 8.23 (m); H$_4$: 8.23 (m); H$_5$: 7.60 (m); H$_6$: 9.03 (d); $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ (cm$^{-1}$) in THF: 2029, 1917, 1897.

$[{\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(bpt)}](\text{PF}_6).1/2\text{H}_2\text{O}$

Hbpt (0.220g, 1mmol) was dissolved in 50 cm$^3$ ethanol/water (2/1 v/v). 0.250 g (0.500 mmol) of $[{\text{Ru(bpy)}_2}\text{Cl}_2].2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was added in small portions to the dissolved Hbpt ligand. The purple solution was refluxed for 8 hours. The solvent was removed and the orange residue was dissolved in a small amount of water. A few drops of concentrated NaOH were added to this solution. The complex was precipitated with ammonium hexafluorophosphate. The orange precipitate was collected under vacuum. The complex was dissolved in a minimum volume of acetonitrile and purified by column chromatography on alumina. The first band (N$_2$ isomer) was eluted with acetonitrile. The N$_4$ isomer remained on the top of the column and was eluted with methanol. This present study is only interested in the N$_2$ isomer hence only this isomer was characterised. Yield 0.263 g, 0.175 mmol, 35 %. $^1$H NMR (CD$_3$CN), $\delta$ in ppm: Ring A: H$_3$: 8.15 (d); H$_4$: 7.90 (m); H$_5$: 7.15 (m); H$_6$: 7.52 (d); Ring B: H$_3$: 8.00 (m); H$_4$: 7.75 (m); H$_5$: 7.22 (m); H$_6$: 8.53 (d); bpy: H$_3$: 8.55 (m); H$_4$: 7.98 (m); H$_5$: 7.35 (m); H$_6$: 7.83 (m).
[Ru(d₈-bpy)₂(bpt)](PF₆).1/2H₂O

As for [Ru(bpy)₂(bpt)](PF₆).1/2H₂O except 0.260 g (0.500 mmol) [Ru(d₈-bpy)₂Cl₂].2H₂O was used in place of [Ru(bpy)₂Cl₂].2H₂O. Yield 0.326 g, 0.215 mmol, 43 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN), δ in ppm: Ring A: H₃: 8.15 (d); H₄: 7.90 (m); H₅: 7.15 (m); H₆: 7.52 (d); Ring B: H₃: 8.00 (m); H₄: 7.75 (m); H₅: 7.22 (m); H₆: 8.53 (d).

[Ru(bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)₃Cl](PF₆).3H₂O

0.100 g (0.125 mmol) of [Ru(bpy)₂bpt](PF₆) was reacted with 0.050 g (0.139 mmol) of Re(CO)₃Cl in 50 ml of methanol for 6 hours. The solvent was removed. The complex was recrystallised from acetone/ethanol (2/1 v/v). Yield 0.085 g, 0.071 mmol, 57 %. Elemental analysis forRuReC₃H₂N₆O₆ClPF₆ : Calculated C, 36.86; H, 2.37; N, 11.06; Found C, 36.74; H, 2.50; N, 10.34; νCO (cm⁻¹) in THF: 2021, 1912, 1889.

[Ru(d₈-bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)₃Cl](PF₆).3H₂O

100 mg (0.125 mmol) of [Ru(d₈-bpy)₂bpt](PF₆) was reacted with 0.050 g (0.139 mmol) of Re(CO)₃Cl in 50 cm³ of methanol for 6 hours. Solvent was removed. Careful recrystallisation from acetone/ethanol (2/1 v/v) yielded three different isomers. Yield 0.097 g, 0.081 mmol, 65 %. RuReC₃H₁₁D₁₆N₆O₆ClPF₆ : Calculated C, 36.34; H, 1.21; N, 10.90; Found C, 37.00; H, 1.50; N, 10.54; νCO (cm⁻¹) in THF: 2021, 1912, 1889.
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Chapter 5

Methylation of ruthenium triazole complexes

Chapter 5 discusses the methylation of the ruthenium(II) bipyridyl complex containing the pyrazyl triazole ligand 3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-5-phenyl-1,2,4-triazole. Selective deuteriation of the bipyridyl ligands and the triazole ligand was also employed. Comparison of the $^1$H NMR spectra of the deuterated and undeuterated complexes was found to aid structural characterisation of the complexes. The photophysical and electrochemical properties of all complexes have also been examined. Wavelength dependent ground-state resonance Raman measurements were carried out to elucidate the electronic transitions in the compounds.
5.1 Introduction

Chapter 5 describes the synthesis, methylation and characterisation of a ruthenium(II) pyrazyl-triazole complex and two deuteriated analogues. Earlier work on similar compounds indicates that methylation takes place at the triazole ring, which removes the negative charge on this moiety. This would be advantageous for the preparation of [Ru(bpy)$_2$LM(CO)$_5$] type complexes (where bpy = 2,2'-bipyridyl; L = substituted 3-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole ligand; M = Cr, Mo or W), since they are destabilised by negatively charged ligands.

Ruthenium(II) polypyridyl complexes, because of their suitable photophysical and redox properties have received a great deal of attention as building blocks to synthesise supramolecular assemblies. [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$ is the most extensively studied of the myriad of ruthenium(II) polypyridyl complexes, with the first reported emission from this complex made by Paris and Brandt in 1959. Since then the interest in [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$ has flourished, with numerous reports concerning its photophysical and photochemical properties. [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$ is not the most suitable complex for use as a photosensitiser, as population of the metal centered ($^3$MC) excited state results in photodecomposition of the complex. Van Houten and Watts found that the $^3$MC excited state of [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$ is thermally populated following population of the $^3$MLCT.

For this reason, attempts have been made to enhance the ground and excited state properties of [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$ by varying the ligands coordinated to the ruthenium metal centre. Ligands may be classed on the basis of their $\sigma$-donor and $\pi$-acceptor properties. "Class B" ligands ($\sigma$-donors) donate electron density to the metal centre, causing lower oxidation potentials and more negative reduction potentials, while "Class A" ligands ($\pi$-acceptors) remove electron density from the metal centre of the metal complex giving rise to higher oxidation potentials and low reduction potentials. 2,2'-bipyridine (bpy), 2,2'-bipyrazine (bpz) and 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) are examples of strong $\pi$-acceptor ligands. Imidazoles, pyrazoles and triazoles have all been employed as strong $\sigma$-donor ligands.
Complexes containing ligands which are better \( \pi \) acceptors than 2,2'-bipyridine have lower lying \( ^3 \)MLCT excited states than [Ru(bpy)\(_3\)]\(^{2+}\). These types of ligands are usually weaker \( \sigma \)-donors than bipyridine. Hence the ligand field splitting is reduced in their metal complexes, making the \( ^3 \)MC excited state more accessible which leads to photodissociation. In contrast “Class B” ligands (strong \( \sigma \)-donors, weak \( \pi \)-acceptors) have the reverse effect on the ground and excited state properties. Their strong \( \sigma \)-donor capacities result in larger ligand-field splitting, raising the energy of the \( ^3 \)MC excited state and thus reducing photodecomposition. Due to their strong \( \sigma \)-donor capabilities, the ligands possess \( \pi^* \) levels of much higher energy than bpy and as a result higher energy absorption would be required for MLCT excitation into such ligands. High energy absorption is undesirable for supramolecular assemblies, consequently mixed ligand complexes of these ligands, where they participate as spectator ligands, forms the basis of interest in “Class B” ligands.

![Structure of a substituted 1,2,4-triazole.](image)

**Figure 5.1** Structure of a substituted 1,2,4-triazole.

By combining "Class A" and "Class B" ligands, it is possible to generate a system that has the photostability of \( \sigma \)-donor ligands and the low energy absorptions of \( \pi \)-acceptor ligands. Substituted 1,2,4-triazoles (Figure 5.1) are an example of how this may be achieved. When the triazole is deprotonated, a negative charge resides on the triazole. This negative charge enhances the \( \sigma \)-donor capabilities of the ligand. The presence of a pyridine or a pyrazine ring in the "3" or the "5" position of the triazole results in a bidentate ligand containing strong \( \sigma \)-donor (triazole) and strong \( \pi \)-acceptor (pyrazine/pyridine) components. The first
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reported ruthenium(II) complex containing a 1,2,4-triazole was reported in 1983.\textsuperscript{17} Since then a wide range of 1,2,4-triazoles have been synthesised and studied.\textsuperscript{18,19}

Coordination of a ruthenium(II) metal centre to a 1,2,4-triazole ligand may occur at either of the two non-equivalent nitrogens on the triazole ring (Figure 5. 2).\textsuperscript{20} These coordination isomers are referred to as the “N\textsubscript{2}” isomer and the “N\textsubscript{4}” isomer. The N\textsubscript{2} isomer and N\textsubscript{4} isomer in Ru(II) complexes incorporating 1,2,4-triazole ligands exhibit different redox potentials and absorption and emission maxima. The extent of N\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{4} coordination in ruthenium(II) complexes is governed by the size of the substituents on the C\textsubscript{5} position.\textsuperscript{21} Bulky substituents such as a phenyl, thiol, methyl or Br group favour the formation of the N\textsubscript{2} isomer in ruthenium(II) complexes.\textsuperscript{22}

![N\textsubscript{4} Isomer](image1.png) ![N\textsubscript{2} Isomer](image2.png)

**Figure 5.2** The two possible modes of coordination of a ruthenium(II) metal centre to the pyrazyl-triazole ligand, 3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole (Hpztr).

N-Methylated triazoles are of interest for the comparison between neutral and negatively charged 1,2,4-triazole containing bridges. In the traditional route to these complexes, the N-methylated ligand is prepared first and subsequently used to prepare the appropriate metal complex.\textsuperscript{18,21} When the methyl group is located on the N\textsubscript{1} position of the triazole ring, the N\textsubscript{4} isomer is predominantly formed. In an alternative synthetic approach to such complexes, the direct methylation of the appropriate precursor metal complex with trimethyl oxonium tetrafluoroborate was
investigated (Figure 5.3). Methylation occurred predominantly at the N1 position for both pyrazine and pyridine triazole complexes irrespective of the size of the group in the "5" position.1

![Methylation of Ru(U) 1,2,4-triazole complexes](image)

In this chapter a methylated ruthenium(II) pyrazyl-triazole complex and two deuteriated analogues are synthesised and characterised. The ruthenium complexes were methylated using a similar synthetic route as that used by Fanni and co-workers.1 Selective deuteriation of ligands in heteroleptic Ru(II) polypyridyl complexes simplifies complicated 1H NMR spectra.23 Hence selective deuteriation of the ligands in the methylated complexes will aid interpretation of the 1H NMR spectra. The electrochemical, absorption and emission properties of the methylated complexes have also been investigated.
Furthermore, wavelength dependent ground-state resonance Raman (rR) measurements were carried out in order to elucidate the electronic transitions in the absorption spectra. The deuteriated complexes were employed to aid interpretation of these spectra. The structures and abbreviations of the ligands and complexes cited in this chapter are shown in Figure 5.4.

![Chemical structures](image)

Figure 5.4 Structures of the ligands and complexes and their abbreviations as cited throughout this chapter.
5.2 Results and Discussion

5.2.1 Synthetic methods

The ligand Hphpztr was prepared as previously reported. The mononuclear complex $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$ (see Figure 5.4) was prepared by reacting the Hphpztr ligand in a 1:1 ratio with $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{Cl}_2]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ according to a previously established procedure. The crude complex $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$ contains both N₂ and N₄ isomers (Figure 5.5). Hence the complex was purified by column chromatography on alumina. The N₂ isomer was eluted first with acetonitrile. The N₂ isomer was subsequently recrystallised from water:acetone (1:1). 2 M NaOH was added to this solution to ensure the triazole ligand was fully deprotonated. Since this study is concerned with the N₂ isomer of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$, the purification and characterisation of the N₄ isomer will not be discussed any further. $[\text{Ru(d₈-bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$ was synthesised and purified as for $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$ with one major change; $[\text{Ru(d₈-bpy)}_2\text{Cl}_2]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was used instead of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{Cl}_2]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$. $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{d₃-phpztr})]^+$ was prepared in a similar manner except the ligand d₃-Hphpztr was used in place of Hphpztr.

![Figure 5.5](image)

*Figure 5.5 The two possible coordination isomers of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$.*
The methylation reactions were carried out according to a synthetic route developed by Fanni and co-workers. All reactions were carried out under nitrogen and were protected from light exposure due to the possibility of photodecomposition. Studies by Fanni and co-workers showed that methylation of \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{pztr})]^+\) (where pztr = 3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole) occurs at both of the non-equivalent nitrogens on the triazole ring. \(^1\) \(^1\)H NMR studies showed the formation of the \(N_1\) and \(N_4\) isomers in the ratio of 70:30. However, for the methylated complexes reported in this chapter, \(^1\)H NMR studies (Section 5.2.3) indicate a single isomer was obtained in each case. During the methylation reaction, the solution changed from orange to a dark purple colour. This is a surprising observation as other ruthenium(II) bipyridyl complexes containing a methylated 1,2,4-triazole ligand are generally orange in colour. \(^2\)\(^4\) Fanni and coworkers did not comment upon such a colour change. To determine the nature of the products obtained from the methylation reactions, detailed electrochemical, absorption, emission and wavelength dependent resonance Raman studies were carried out.

### 5.2.2 Mass spectrometry

The complexes were studied using electrospray mass spectrometry. This method involves analysing an ionised aerosol of the particular complex. An aerosol of the complex is formed by passing the complex in solution (acetonitrile) through a fine needle held at 80 eV at 300 °C. Since this is a soft ionisation technique and fragmentation patterns are not observed with this approach, the complex remains intact and its molecular weight may be determined. Table 5.1 lists the observed and theoretical molecular ions for each methylated complex and the unmethylated complex \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\). For all of the ruthenium complexes, the molecular ion appears minus the PF\(_6\) counter ion. Figure 5.6 shows a mass spectrum of \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^{2+}\) which is typical of the spectra obtained for the methylated complexes. Methylation results in the formation of a doubly charged fragment, which occurs at the \(m/z\) value corresponding to half of the value that
would be expected for a +1 fragment. The doubly charged [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$ molecular ion is clearly visible at 325.4 m/z.

[Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$, [Ru(d$_5$-bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(d$_5$-phpztr)]$^+$ have been previously synthesised and characterised.$^{22}$ Mass spectroscopy and elemental analysis confirmed the presence of a negative charge on the triazole ligand. As these complexes have been fully characterised, the mass spectrum of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$ was only obtained. Table 5.1 confirms [Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$ has been synthesised as a singly charged complex, thus indicating the presence of a negative charge on the triazole.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Observed (m/z)</th>
<th>Theoretical (m/z)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$</td>
<td>636.1</td>
<td>636.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$</td>
<td>325.4</td>
<td>325.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(d$_5$-bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$</td>
<td>333.4</td>
<td>333.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)$_2$(d$_5$-Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$</td>
<td>326.8</td>
<td>326.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.1 Observed and theoretical m/z values for the complexes investigated.

Figure 5.6 Mass spectrum of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$ in acetonitrile.
There are three possible methylation sites on \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+, [\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\) and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{d}_3\text{-phpztr})]^+\) (two on the triazole and one on the pyrazine). Methylation of the triazole or the pyrazine forms a ruthenium complex with an overall charge of +2. The mass spectra data of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+, [\text{Ru}(\text{d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+\) and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{d}_3\text{-Mephpztr})]^2+\) listed in Table 5.1 confirm the synthesis of a doubly charged complex. Although the mass spectroscopy data indicate that methylation has taken place, the location of the methyl group is still uncertain. The complexes were therefore further investigated with $^1$H NMR spectroscopy.

5.2.3 NMR spectroscopy

As ruthenium complexes are diamagnetic low-spin d$^6$ metal complexes, NMR spectroscopy has been an important technique in the elucidation of the structures of ruthenium polpyridyl complexes. While the spectrum of the ligand was straightforward to interpret, spectra of the complexes proved to be much more complex, requiring both two dimensional NMR (COSY) experiments and deuteriation studies in order to fully explain the spectra. The numbering system employed in the $^1$H NMR discussion is described in Figure 5.7. The relevant $^1$H NMR data of all complexes are presented in Table 5.2. The coordination-induced shifts (c.i.s. = $\delta_{\text{complex}} - \delta_{\text{ligand}}$) are in parentheses. The chemical shifts of the 2,2'-bipyridyl protons for \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+, [\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{d}_3\text{-phpztr})]^+, [\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+\) and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{d}_3\text{-Mephpztr})]^2+\) have been omitted, because they fall in the normal range for these systems.
Before discussing the $^1$H NMR spectra of the methylated complexes, the $^1$H NMR spectra of the reference complexes $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$, $[\text{Ru}(\text{d}_8\text{-bpy})_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$ and $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{d}_3\text{-phpztr})]^+$ (see Figure 5. 8) will be briefly summarised. Ruthenium (II) bipyridyl complexes incorporating asymmetric 1,2,4-triazole ligands display complicated $^1$H NMR spectra with considerable overlap of the $^1$H NMR signals.$^{18,28}$ This is clearly evident in the $^1$H NMR spectrum of $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$, which has a total of 24 aromatic protons. However, deuteration in $[\text{Ru}(\text{d}_8\text{-bpy})_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$ and $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{d}_3\text{-phpztr})]^+$ greatly simplifies the $^1$H NMR spectra. In the absence of the 2,2'-bipyridine resonances in $[\text{Ru}(\text{d}_8\text{-bpy})_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$ and the pyrazine resonances in $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{d}_3\text{-phpztr})]^+$, it is possible to identify all of the resonances associated with the phpztr ligand. The $\text{H}_3$ proton of the pyrazine ring is observed at 9.29 ppm and is coupled to the $\text{H}_5$ proton ($J = 1.6$ Hz), which is in agreement with the $^1$H NMR spectra of $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpz})_3]^{2+}$ (bpz = 2,2'-bipyrazine) and other pyrazyl-triazole complexes.$^{18,29}$ The $\text{H}_6$ proton is more strongly coupled to the $\text{H}_5$ proton ($J = 3.3$ Hz) and appears as a doublet at 8.25 ppm. The splitting pattern of the $\text{H}_5$ proton is complicated, as it is coupled with the $\text{H}_6$ proton ($J = 3.3$ Hz) and with the $\text{H}_3$ proton ($J = 1.6$ Hz).
### Table 5.2  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>H_3</th>
<th>H_5</th>
<th>H_6</th>
<th>H_4</th>
<th>H_5</th>
<th>H_6</th>
<th>Me</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)_2(phpztr)]^+</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.10)</td>
<td>(-1.06)</td>
<td>(-0.41)</td>
<td>(-0.16)</td>
<td>(-0.18)</td>
<td>(-0.18)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(d_8-bpy)_2(phpztr)]^+</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.10)</td>
<td>(-1.06)</td>
<td>(-0.41)</td>
<td>(-0.16)</td>
<td>(-0.18)</td>
<td>(-0.18)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)_2(d_3-phpztr)]^+</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(-0.16)</td>
<td>(-0.18)</td>
<td>(-0.18)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)_2(Mephpztr)]^{2+}</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.26)</td>
<td>(-0.69)</td>
<td>(-0.34)</td>
<td>(-0.15)</td>
<td>(-0.13)</td>
<td>(-0.11)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(d_8-bpy)_2(MePHPztr)]^{2+}</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.26)</td>
<td>(-0.69)</td>
<td>(-0.34)</td>
<td>(-0.15)</td>
<td>(-0.13)</td>
<td>(-0.11)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)_2(d_3-MePHPztr)]^{2+}</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(-0.15)</td>
<td>(-0.13)</td>
<td>(-0.11)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.2  

{[^1]H NMR data for the ruthenium(II) bipyridyl complexes discussed in this chapter. All data was obtained in d_3-acetonitrile. Values in parenthesis are the coordinated induced shifts relative to the free ligands.}

Three signals corresponding to H_a, H_b and H_c of the monosubstituted phenyl ring of phpztr' are observed at 7.95, 7.36 and 7.31 ppm in the {[^1]H NMR spectra of} [Ru(bpy)_2(phpztr)]^+, [Ru(d_8-bpy)_2(phpztr)]^+ and [Ru(bpy)_2(d_3-phpztr)]^+ (see Table 5.2 and Figure 5.6). The H_a proton is coupled to the H_b proton, hence it is observed as a doublet. As H_b is coupled to both H_a and H_c, it is observed as a doublet of doublets, at 7.36 ppm. H_c is coupled to H_b, therefore H_c is observed as a triplet at 7.31 ppm.
Figure 5.8 $^1H$ NMR spectra of the aromatic region of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$, $[\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$ and $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{d}_3\text{-phpztr})]^+$ in $d_3$-acetonitrile.
Negative c.i.s. values were observed for all of the phpztr protons in $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$, $[\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$ and $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{d}_3\text{-phpztr})]^+$. These negative c.i.s. values are attributed to:

(i) Metal-to-ligand $\pi$-back donation between the ruthenium metal centre and the pyrazine ring.

(ii) Delocalisation of electron density from the negatively charged triazole ring to the strong $\pi$-accepting pyrazine ring.

(iii) Through space anisotropy effects i.e. since the H6 proton is directed above a pyridine ring of an adjacent bpy ligand.

The $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectra of the aromatic region of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+$, $[\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+$ and $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{d}_3\text{-Mephpztr})]^2^+$ are presented in Figure 5.9. The $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectrum of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^-$ is included for comparative purposes. Displayed in Figure 5.10 is the $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectrum of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+$ with an expansion of the methyl region. The spectra show only one set of signals, thus indicating the presence of only one isomer for all complexes. $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{d}_3\text{-Mephpztr})]^2^+$ has 27 inequivalent protons (Figure 5.10) whose spectral signals are observed between 4.20 and 9.20 ppm. Many of the signals in the aromatic region were found to overlap. Complete assignments were made with the aid of two dimensional (COSY) spectra and by comparison with the $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectra of the selectively deuteriated $[\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+$ and $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{d}_3\text{-Mephpztr})]^2^+$ complexes. The chemical shifts of the Mephpztr protons of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+$, $[\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+$ and $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{d}_3\text{-Mephpztr})]^2^+$ are summarised in Table 5.1.
Figure 5. 9 $^1H$ NMR spectra of the aromatic region of $[\text{Ru}(d_5\text{-bpy})_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$ $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+$, $[\text{Ru}(d_5\text{-bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+$ and $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(d_3\text{-Mephpztr})]^2+$ in $d_3$-acetonitrile.
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Figure 5.10 $^1H$ NMR spectrum of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+$ in $d_3$-acetonitrile. The inset shows a close up of the methyl group.

It is immediately clear from the spectra in Figure 5.9, that by introduction of $d_6$-bpy, it is easier to indentify the pyrazyl-triazole protons. Thus, the $H_3$ proton is assigned to the singlet resonance at 9.13 ppm. $J_{3,5}$ and $J_{3,6}$ coupling is observed (see Figure 5.11), and so the $H_5$ proton can be distinguished from the $H_6$ proton. Hence the doublet at 8.32 ppm is assigned to $H_6$, while the multiplet at 7.97 ppm contains the $H_5$ proton. These protons are absent in the $^1H$ NMR spectrum of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(d_3-\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+$, which contains a deuteriated pyrazine ring. $H_6$ on the phenyl ring is coupled to both $H_5$ and $H_6$. Hence the multiplet with an integration...
of 3 observed at 7.41 ppm is assigned to H$_6$ (see Figure 5.9 and Figure 5.11). H$_a$ is observed at 7.96 ppm.

**Figure 5.11** $^1$H COSY of the aromatic region of $[\text{Ru}(d_8\text{-bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^{2+}$ in $d_3$-acetonitrile.

As pointed out above, methylation of $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{pztr})]^+$ (pztr is 3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole) may occur at either of the two non-equivalent nitrogens on the triazole ring (Figure 5.12). These methylated isomers are referred to as the "N$_1$-Me" isomer and the "N$_4$-Me" isomer. The $^1$H NMR spectra for this reaction shows the formation of both the N$_1$-Me and the N$_4$-Me isomers in the ratio of 70:30. The methyl group of N$_1$-Me was observed at 3.17 ppm, whilst that of N$_4$-Me was found at 4.26 ppm.$^1$ The "N$_1$-Me" isomer and the "N$_4$-Me" isomer in Ru(II) complexes incorporating 1,2,4-triazole ligands have exhibited different
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redox potentials and absorption and emission maxima.\textsuperscript{18,30} Hence identification of the methylation site of \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\) is particularly important.

![Diagram of methylation sites](image)

\[ \text{N}_1\text{-Me} \quad \text{N}_4\text{-Me} \]

- N-Me: 3.17 ppm
- N-Me: 4.26 ppm

*Figure 5.12 The two possible products of methylation of \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{pztr})]^+\).*

The formation of these isomers was also anticipated for \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+\) and its deuteriated analogues. However, for these complexes the formation of a single isomer was observed. The singlet associated with the methyl substituent was found at 4.25 ppm for all three complexes. In contrast, the singlet associated with the methyl group of N\(_1\)-Me \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mepztr})]^2+\) was observed at 3.17 ppm.

For this reason, it is unlikely the "N\(_1\)-Me" isomer of \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+\), \([\text{Ru}(\text{d}_8\text{-bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+\) and \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{d}_3\text{-Mephpztr})]^2+\) was formed. The methyl group of the "N\(_4\)-Me" isomer of \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mepztr})]^2+\) was found at 4.26 ppm which is comparable to that of \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+\), \([\text{Ru}(\text{d}_8\text{-bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+\) and \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{d}_3\text{-Mephpztr})]^2+\). This may suggest methylation of the N\(_4\) position of the 1,2,4-triazole has occurred. However, as noted in Section 5.2.1, the three Mephpztr complexes are purple in colour whereas ruthenium complexes containing methylated 1,2,4-triazoles are orange.\textsuperscript{30,31,32}

However, in concentrated sulphuric acid, \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\) and other ruthenium complexes of the form \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{L})]^+\) (L= pyrazyl-triazole ligand) can be protonated on the non-coordinating nitrogen of the pyrazine ring yielding a purple coloured solution with a \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) of \(~535\) nm.\textsuperscript{18,20,31} As \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+\) and
Methylation of ruthenium triazole complexes

Chapter 5

Its deuteriated analogues have $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ at 545 nm (Section 5.2.4), it seems likely that methylation of the nitrogen of the pyrazine ring has occurred.

It is well established that in pyrazyl-triazole ligands, the pyrazine fragment is a poorer $\sigma$-donor and better $\pi$-acceptor than the 1,2,4-triazole.\textsuperscript{16,18} Hence for the methylated complexes, the methyl substituent is expected to resonate significantly further upfield than the methyl group in the "$N_4$-Me" isomer of $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mepztr})]^2+$. As previously discussed, the methyl resonances for both sets of complexes are observed at $\delta \sim 4.25$. Previous studies of ruthenium bipyridyl complexes containing a negatively charged pyrazyl-triazole ligand have suggested a considerable degree of delocalisation of the negative charge on the triazole ring onto the pyrazine, thus increasing the electron density on the pyrazine fragment.\textsuperscript{31} It is likely a similar interaction is occurring between the negatively charged triazole and the methylated pyrazine in $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+$, $[\text{Ru}(\text{d}_5\text{-bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+$ and $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{d}_3\text{-Mephpztr})]^2+$. Hence the methyl group of these complexes resonates at a similar chemical shift to that of the $N_4$-Me isomer of $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mepztr})]^2+$.

$H_3$ of $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+$ is observed at 9.13 ppm whilst $H_3$ of $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$ is observed at 9.29 ppm. Methylation produces a positively charged nitrogen on the pyrazine ring of $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+$. As previously discussed, the electron rich deprotonated triazole ring offloads electron density onto its substituents. This electron delocalisation is felt most by the $H_3$ on the pyrazine. Hence the $H_3$ proton of $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+$ is shifted upfield relative to $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$ (see Table 5.2 and Figure 5.9). Note for the methylated complex $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+$, methylation of the triazole ring results shifts the $H_3$ proton from 9.17 to 9.47 ppm.\textsuperscript{18} A very peculiar observation is that for $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+$, the $H_5$ and $H_6$ protons of the pyrazine have been shifted downfield compared to $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$. As previously discussed, the methyl group and $H_3$ of $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+$ experiences the effect of electron delocalisation between the triazole and the methylated pyrazine. This is
apparently not of importance for the H5 and H6 protons, but it is unclear why a different behaviour is observed for these protons.

The pH dependence of [Ru(d8-bpy)2(Mephpztr)]2+ was also investigated using 1H NMR spectroscopy (Figure 5.13). The pH dependence of the 1H NMR spectra were monitored in D2O. pH adjustments were made by adding 75 µl of 2 M NaOH or 2 M H2SO4 to a 100 cm3 volume of the dissolved complex. In the solvent system used, the methyl group is observed at 4.55 ppm compared to the D2O solvent peak at 4.70 ppm. As the pH increased, the D2O solvent peak eventually masked the singlet due to the methyl group. Consequently, the chemical shift of the H3 singlet was monitored as a function of pH.

The effect of pH on the 1H NMR spectrum of [Ru(d8-bpy)2(Mephpztr)]2+ is shown in Figure 5.13. At pH 1.00, the H3 proton of [Ru(d8-bpy)2(Mephpztr)]2+ resonates at 9.48 ppm. This gradually shifts to lower field with increasing pH. The 1H NMR spectrum at a pH of 9.50 clearly shows the presence of two species. However, at pH 12 there is only one set of signals, thus indicating only one species is present in solution. The 1H NMR spectrum of [Ru(d8-bpy)2(phpztr)]+ was also obtained at pH 12 (see Figure 5.13). The 1H NMR spectra of [Ru(d8-bpy)2(phpztr)]+ and [Ru(d8-bpy)2(Mephpztr)]2+ are similar at pH 12. Hence it is reasonable to deduce that loss of the methyl group is the most reasonable explanation for the observed 1H NMR spectral changes with increasing pH.
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Figure 5.13 pH dependent $^1$H NMR spectral changes of $[\text{Ru}(d_5\text{-bpy})_2\text{(Mephpztr)}]^2^+$. A $^1$H NMR of $[\text{Ru}(d_5\text{-bpy})_2\text{(phpztr)}]^+$ at pH 12 is also included.

Figure 5.14 Chemical shift of the $H_3$ proton versus pH for $[\text{Ru}(d_5\text{-bpy})_2\text{(Mephpztr)}]^2^+$. 
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A plot of the chemical shift of the $H_3$ singlet against pH, results in a curve (see Figure 5.14), which allows the pH inflection point (pH$_i$) to be determined. A pH$_i$ value of 9.80 was obtained. Hence loss of the methyl group from $[\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+$ occurs at a pH of 9.80.

### 5.2.4 Absorption and emission properties

Absorption and emission data for all complexes synthesised in this chapter are presented in Table 5.3. The table also contains data for $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_3]^2^+$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Absorption $\lambda_{\text{max}}^\text{a}(\varepsilon \times 10^4)$</th>
<th>Emission $298$ K$^\text{b}$ (77 K)$^\text{c}$ $\tau_{298}$ K (ns)$^\text{b}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$</td>
<td>450 (1.19)</td>
<td>670 (610) 217$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$</td>
<td>450 (1.18)</td>
<td>670 (610) 270$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(d_3\text{-phpztr})]^+$</td>
<td>450 (1.14)</td>
<td>670 (610) 210$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(H\text{phpztr})]^2^+$</td>
<td>445 (1.31)</td>
<td>655 (600) 570$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(H\text{phpztr})]^2^+$</td>
<td>445 (1.28)</td>
<td>655 (600) 565$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(d_3\text{-Hphpztr})]^2^+$</td>
<td>445 (1.35)</td>
<td>655 (600) 560$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(H_2\text{phpztr})]^3^+$</td>
<td>535 (1.22)</td>
<td>— (—) — (—)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+$</td>
<td>545 (1.05)</td>
<td>— (—) — (—)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Ru(d}_8\text{bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+$</td>
<td>545 (1.00)</td>
<td>— (—) — (—)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(d_3\text{-Mephpztr})]^2^+$</td>
<td>545 (1.07)</td>
<td>— (—) — (—)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(H\text{Mephpztr})]^3^+$</td>
<td>535 (1.15)</td>
<td>— (—) — (—)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(H\text{Mephpztr})]^3^+$</td>
<td>535 (1.18)</td>
<td>— (—) — (—)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(d_3\text{-HMehpztr})]^3^+$</td>
<td>535 (1.16)</td>
<td>— (—) — (—)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2]^3^+$</td>
<td>452 (1.30)</td>
<td>620 (580) 610</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5.3** Absorption and luminescence properties of the ruthenium pyrazyltriazole complexes. $\tau$ refers to the emission lifetime. Data for $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_3]^2^+$ is also included for evaluation purposes. $^a$Data in acetonitrile, $^b$in deoxygenated acetonitrile and $^c$in ethanol/methanol(4:1). $^d$Reference [34].
The UV-Vis absorption and emission spectra of all complexes were found to be independent of the level of deuteration. This is not unexpected as deuteration can affect vibrational fine structure of absorption and emission spectra, these differences are only observed at very low temperatures (< 10 K) with high-resolution spectroscopic techniques. The data obtained for the reference complex [Ru(bpy)₂(phpztr)]⁺ are in agreement with earlier studies. The absorption spectra of [Ru(bpy)₂(phpztr)]⁺, [Ru(bpy)₂(Hphpztr)]²⁺ and [Ru(bpy)₂(H₂phpztr)]³⁺ are shown in Figure 5. 15. In the UV-Vis absorption spectrum of [Ru(bpy)₂(phpztr)]⁺, a broad band is observed in the visible part of the spectrum at 450 nm. Ground-state resonance Raman measurements at 457.9 nm have assigned this low energy absorption band to an Ru→π*(bpy) MLCT transition. No evidence for a Ru→π*(phpztr) transition was observed in the resonance Raman studies at 457.9 nm. The intense absorption band at 285 nm is assigned to a ligand centred (π-π*) transition. The ¹MLCT absorption band of [Ru(bpy)₂(Hphpztr)]²⁺ at 430 nm is blue shifted compared to the corresponding absorption in [Ru(bpy)₂(phpztr)]⁺. This arises form a change in the σ-donor/π-acceptor properties of the pyrazyl-triazole ligand on protonation of the triazole moiety. Since protonation results in a reduction of the electron density on the 1,2,4-triazole ring, the ligand becomes a weaker σ-donor and better π-acceptor than the deprotonated form. This results in a stabilisation of the HOMO of [Ru(bpy)₂(Hphpztr)]²⁺ compared to [Ru(bpy)₂(phpztr)]⁺. A larger energy gap now exists between the HOMO and the LUMO of [Ru(bpy)₂(Hphpztr)]²⁺, which manifests itself as a higher energy λₘₐₓ. A similar trend was observed for the pyrazyl-triazole complexes [Ru(bpy)₂(pztr)]⁺ and [Ru(bpy)₂(Hpztr)]²⁺ (pztr = is 3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole). [Ru(bpy)₂(pztr)]⁺ has an absorption maximum at 456 nm while the protonated complex [Ru(bpy)₂(Hpztr)]²⁺ has an absorption maximum at 440 nm. The blue shift in the absorption maximum of [Ru(bpy)₂(Hpztr)]²⁺ compared to [Ru(bpy)₂(pztr)]⁺ was attributed to a stabilisation of the HOMO on protonation of the complex.
For ruthenium(II) bipyridyl complexes incorporating a pyrazyl-triazole based ligand, the non-coordinating nitrogen of the pyrazine ring can also be protonated.\textsuperscript{18,31} For this second protonation step considerable changes are observed in the UV-Vis absorption spectrum of with the appearance of an absorption band at \( \approx 540 \) nm. This is illustrated in the absorption spectrum of the doubly protonated complex \([\text{Ru}(bpy)\text{2}(\text{H}_2\text{phpztr})]^3+\) which is purple in colour and exhibits a \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) at 535 nm (see Table 5.3 and Figure 5.15). The positive charge on the pyrazine ring of \([\text{Ru}(bpy)\text{2}(\text{H}_2\text{phpztr})]^3+\) increases the \( \pi \)-acceptor character of the pyrazyl-triazole ligand by lowering the \( \pi^* \) energy level. A smaller energy...
gap now exists between the HOMO and the LUMO. Hence the \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) of 
\([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{H}_2\text{phpztr})]^3^+\) is red shifted compared to 
\([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Hphpztr})]^2^+\) and the deprotonated complex 
\([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\).

Figure 5. 16 shows the absorption spectra of 
\([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\) and 
\([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{HMephpztr})]^3^+\). The spectrum of 
\([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{H}_2\text{phpztr})]^3^+\) is also included for comparative purposes. By comparison with the absorption spectra of 
other ruthenium polypyridyl complexes\(^{32,35}\) and that of the unmethylated starting 
material \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\), the absorption band at 545 nm in 
\([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\) is assigned to an \( ^1\text{MLCT} \) transition. This absorption band 
is red shifted by 90 nm when compared to the same band in 
\([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\). Methylation results in the presence of a positive charge on the pyrazine ring, 
which enhances the \(\pi\)-acceptor capabilities of the pyrazine moiety. Hence the 
methylated complexes have lower \(\pi^*\) orbitals compared to their corresponding 
starting materials. As a result the \( ^1\text{MLCT} \) band of 
\([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\) is red shifted when methylated. A similar trend was observed on protonation of the 
pyrazine ring of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\) with concentrated sulphuric acid. In this 
case an absorption band appears at 535 nm.

The absorption spectrum of 
\([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\) was also recorded in the 
presence of trifluoroacetic acid (see Table 5. 3 and Figure 5. 16). The \( ^1\text{MLCT} \) absorption band of 
\([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{HMephpztr})]^3^+\) at 535 nm is blue shifted compared 
to the corresponding absorption in 
\([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\). This blue shift of the 
\( ^1\text{MLCT} \) band in the presence of acid is most likely due to protonation of the 
triazole ring as there are no other vacant protonation sites on the complex. On 
protonation of the triazole ring the \(\sigma\)-donation ability of the triazole ring is 
reduced due to the removal of the negative charge, thus lowering the energy of the 
metal d orbitals (due to the reduction in the electron density on the metal centre). 
Consequently the energy separation between the HOMO and LUMO increases. 
Hence the \( ^1\text{MLCT} \) absorption band is blue shifted.
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Figure 5.16 Absorption spectra of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^3^+\), \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{HMephpztr})]^3^+\) and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Hphpztr})]^3^+\) in acetonitrile. The absorption spectrum of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{HMephpztr})]^3^+\) was obtained in the presence of trifluoroacetic acid, while the absorption spectrum of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Hphpztr})]^3^+\) was obtained in the presence of concentrated sulphuric acid.

Room temperature emission spectra of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^1^+\) and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^2^+\) were recorded in acetonitrile. The emission spectra of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^1^+\) and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^2^+\) are shown in Figure 5.17. At 298 K, the emission from \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^1^+\) originates from a \(^3\text{MLCT}\) state. \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^1^+\) has been studied using excited state resonance Raman spectroscopy.\(^{22}\) The spectra show clearly that the excited state is bpy-based with bands for bpy\(^-\) at 1211 and 1285 cm\(^{-1}\).
In ruthenium polypyridyl complexes, deuteriation of one of the ligands in a mixed ligand complex will only affect the emission lifetime if the emitting state is based on that ligand. In ruthenium triazole complexes

36,37 This is clearly evident for [Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$ and its deuteriated analogues [Ru(d$_5$-bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(d$_3$-phpztr)]$^+$. For [Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$ an emission lifetime of 217 ns was observed at 298 K. Deuteration of the bpy ligand leads to an emission lifetime of 270 ns whilst deuteration of the pyrazine ring has little effect on the emission lifetime (Table 5. 3). These observations confirm the assignment of the lowest 1MLCT state as being bpy based. As observed for the absorption spectra, the emission maximum of the protonated complex [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Hphpztr)]$^{2+}$ is blue shifted compared to [Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$ (see Table 5. 3 and Figure 5. 17). Protonating the triazole ring reduces its σ-donating abilities, thus decreasing electron density on the metal centre. The HOMO level is stabilised, thus increasing the energy gap between the

Figure 5.17 Emission Spectra of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Hphpztr)]$^{2+}$ in acetonitrile at 298 K. The emission spectrum of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Hphpztr)]$^{2+}$ was obtained in the presence of trifluoroacetic acid
ground and the $^3$MLCT states. Hence the emission maximum of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Hpztr})]^2+$ is blue shifted compared to that of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$. The $^3$MLCT emission band of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Hpztr})]^2+$ at 658 nm is blue shifted compared to the corresponding emission in $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{pztr})]^+$ at 668 nm. This blue shift was ascribed to the weaker $\sigma$-donor properties of the Hpztr ligand, leading to reduced electron density on the metal centre, and as a consequence increasing energy gap.

![Emission Spectra](image)

**Figure 5.18** Emission Spectra of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$ and $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Hpztr})]^2+$ in ethanol:methanol (4:1) at 77 K. The emission spectrum of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Hpztr})]^2+$ was obtained in the presence of trifluoroacetic acid.
The emission spectra of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Hphpztr)]$^{2+}$ at 77 K are displayed in Figure 5.18. [Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$ has an emission maximum at 610 nm while the emission maximum of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Hphpztr)]$^{2+}$ is observed at 610 nm. At 77 K, the emission from [Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$ and the protonated complex [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Hphpztr)]$^{2+}$ originates from a $^3$MLCT state. There is a blue shift in the emission maxima of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Hphpztr)]$^{2+}$ at 77 K when compared to the maxima of the complexes at 298 K (Table 5.3). This observed emission temperature dependence is a result of rigidchromism. At 298 K, the solvent dipoles will adopt a configuration that stabilises the $^3$MLCT, whereas at 77 K the solvent dipoles are immobile on the timescale of the excited state and so cannot respond to the change in electronic configuration that accompanies an excitation.

No emission was detected from [Ru(bpy)$_2$(H$_2$phpztr)]$^{3+}$ or the methylated complexes [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(HMephpztr)]$^{3+}$ at 298 K or 77 K (see Table 5.3). This observation can be rationalised by considering the two main factors that control the emission properties of ruthenium(II) polypyridyl complexes:

(i) The energy gap between the ground state and the $^3$MLCT state (the energy gap law).
(ii) The energy gap between the $^3$MLCT state and the deactivating $^3$MC state.

The absorption studies suggest a smaller energy gap exists between the ground and the $^1$MLCT state of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(H$_2$phpztr)]$^{3+}$ and the methylated complex [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$. Previous studies of ruthenium (II) systems have found a decrease in the energy of the $^1$MLCT state is also reflected in the energy of the $^3$MLCT. Hence the energy of the $^3$MLCT is lower for [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Hphpztr)]$^{3+}$ compared to [Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$. As a result the energy separation between the ground and the $^3$MLCT states is reduced for [Ru(bpy)$_2$(H$_2$phpztr)]$^{3+}$ and the methylated complexes [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$. 
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and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{HMePhpzt})]^{3+}\). This has important consequences, as according to the energy gap law the closer the two energy levels are the larger the rate of nonradiative deactivation. Hence \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{H}_2\text{Phpzt})]^{3+}\), \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{MePhpzt})]^{2+}\) and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{HMePhpzt})]^{3+}\) do not emit. The energy gap law has accounted for enhanced rates of nonradiative deactivation in ruthenium polypyridyl complexes.\(^{22,45,46}\) For example the \(^3\)MLCT (\(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 678\) nm) of \([\text{Ru(dmb)}(\text{bpy(COOEt})_2)(\text{dpp})]^{2+}\) (dmb = 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine; dpp = 2,3-bis(2-pyridyl)pyrazine) decays with a lifetime of 788 ns while the \(^3\)MLCT (\(\lambda_{\text{max}} > 850\) nm) of \([\text{Ru(dmb)}(\text{bpy(COOEt})_2)(\text{dpb})]^{2+}\) (dpb = 2,3-bis(2-pyridyl)benzoquinoloxaline) decays with a lifetime of 98 ns.\(^{46}\) The ligand based reduction potentials of \([\text{Ru(dmb)}(\text{bpy(COOEt})_2)(\text{dpp})]^{2+}\) and \([\text{Ru(dmb)}(\text{bpy(COOEt})_2)(\text{dpb})]^{2+}\) are \(-0.96\) V and \(-0.75\) V respectively. The authors concluded that the energy gap for \([\text{Ru(dmb)}(\text{bpy(COOEt})_2)(\text{dpb})]^{2+}\) is smaller relative to the ruthenium complex \([\text{Ru(dmb)}(\text{bpy(COOEt})_2)(\text{dpp})]^{2+}\). Hence the rate of nonradiative deactivation is greater for \([\text{Ru(dmb)}(\text{bpy(COOEt})_2)(\text{dpb})]^{2+}\) compared to \([\text{Ru(dmb)}(\text{bpy(COOEt})_2)(\text{dpp})]^{2+}\).

As well as lowering the \(\pi^*\) energy level, methylation/protonation of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpzt})]^{+}\) decreases the \(\sigma\)-donor capacity of the pyrazyl-triazole ligand. Consequently the ligand field splitting is decreased, which favours thermal population of the nonradiative \(e_g^*\) (\(^1\)MC) state. It is also possible the excited states of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{H}_2\text{phpzt})]^{3+}\), \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{MePhpzt})]^{2+}\) and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{HMePhpzt})]^{3+}\) decay via a \(^1\)MC excited state while \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpzt})]^{1+}\) and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Hphpzt})]^{2+}\) do not efficiently populate this state at 298 K or 77 K. As a result \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpzt})]^{1+}\) and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Hphpzt})]^{2+}\) were found to emit, while no emission was detected from \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{H}_2\text{phpzt})]^{3+}\), \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{MePhpzt})]^{2+}\) or \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{HMePhpzt})]^{3+}\). A decrease in luminescence lifetimes from 142 ns to 2 ns upon protonation of the pyridyl-triazole ligand in \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{pytr})]^{+}\) (pytr = 3-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole) has been attributed to population of a non-radiative \(^3\)MC decay path arising from the decrease in the MLCT/MC gap on protonation.\(^{47}\)
5.2.5 Acid base properties

As observed in Section 5.2.4, the absorption spectra of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\) and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\) are pH dependent. The ground-state acid-base chemistry of the complexes was investigated using UV/Vis absorption spectroscopy. The pH dependence of the absorption spectra was monitored in Britton-Robinson buffer. pH adjustments were made by adding 75 μl of 2 M NaOH or 2 M H₂SO₄ to a 100 cm³ volume of the dissolved complex. The effect of pH on the absorption spectrum of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\) is displayed in Figure 5.19. Figure 5.20 shows the effect of pH on the absorption spectrum of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\) for comparative purposes. The absorption spectra for both complexes show isosbestic points, indicating that only one pH dependent process is occurring. There is clearly a different pH trend for \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\) compared to \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\). For \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\), no pH changes were observed in the pH range 1-7. However, in the pH range 7-12 the absorption spectrum clearly alters (see Figure 5.19). At pH 7.00 in Britton-Robinson buffer \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\) exhibits a λ_max at 550 nm. This blue shifts with increasing pH. In addition the colour of the solution changes from purple to orange with increasing pH. A plot of change in absorbance (monitored at 550 nm) against pH results in curve with only one inflection point (see Figure 5.19).

For \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\), pH changes were only observed in the pH range 1-5. At pH 1.00 in Britton-Robinson buffer, \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\) exhibits a λ_max at 446 nm. This gradually shifts to 455 nm with increasing pH. Note the solution of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\) remains orange throughout the pH titration. A plot of absorbance (monitored at 446 nm) against pH results in a curve with only one inflection point. \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\) was found to have a pH inflection point (pH_i) of 9.9 while a pH_i of 3.0 was observed for \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\). A pH_i of 9.8 for \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\) was also determined using ¹H NMR spectroscopy (see Section 5.2.3).
Figure 5.19 pH dependence of the absorption spectra of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$ in Britton-Robinson buffer. Inset shows a plot of intensity versus increasing pH, with fitted curve.

Figure 5.20 pH dependence of the absorption spectra of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$ in Britton-Robinson buffer. Inset shows a plot of intensity versus increasing pH, with fitted curve.
The graphs of absorbance against pH for the pyrazyl-triazole complexes 

\[ \text{[Ru(bpy)}_{2}(\text{pztr})^{+}] \text{ and [Ru(bpy)}_{2}(\text{bpzt})^{+} \text{ (where pztr = 3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole and bpzt = 3,5-di(pyrazin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole) yield pH inflection points of 3.7 and 2.0 respectively.}^{18,48} \text{ For these complexes, the pH inflection point is also the pK}_{a} \text{ of the complex. The pK}_{a} \text{ is attributed to protonation/deprotonation of the triazole ring. The pH}_{i} \text{ value of 3.0 obtained for [Ru(bpy)}_{2}(\text{phpztr})^{+} \text{ is similar to the previously obtained value of 3.7 for [Ru(bpy)}_{2}(\text{pztr})^{+}. Hence its is reasonable to conclude that the pH}_{i} \text{ is also the pK}_{a} \text{ of [Ru(bpy)}_{2}(\text{phpztr})^{+}. The acid/base behaviour observed for [Ru(bpy)}_{2}(\text{phpztr})^{+} \text{ can be explained by protonation/deprotonation of the triazole moiety as indicated in Equation 5.1.}^{\text{5.1}}\]

\[ \text{[Ru(bpy)}_{2}(\text{Hphpztr})^{2+} \leftrightarrow [\text{Ru(bpy)}_{2}(\text{phpztr})^{+} + \text{H}^{+}] \text{ 5.1} \]

There is one protonation site on the triazole ring of [Ru(bpy)}_{2}(\text{Mephpztr})^{2+}, however, no spectral changes were observed in the pH range 1-7. This is an unusual observation as changes in the absorption spectra of coordinated ruthenium pyrazyl-triazole complexes are observed in the region of pH 1.00 to pH 4.50.\text{31 The pH dependent }^{1} \text{H NMR studies of [Ru(d}_{8}-\text{bpy})_{2}(\text{Mephpztr})^{2+} \text{ (Section 5.2.3), indicate loss of the methyl group at high pH yielding the unmethylated complex [Ru(d}_{8}-\text{bpy})_{2}(\text{phpztr})^{+}. Hence loss of the methyl group is the most reasonable explanation for the observed spectral changes of [Ru(bpy)}_{2}(\text{Mephpztr})^{2+} \text{ in basic solution. This explanation also compliments the observed colour change of [Ru(bpy)}_{2}(\text{Mephpztr})^{2+} \text{ from purple to orange as [Ru(bpy)}_{2}(\text{phpztr})^{+} \text{ is also orange coloured at high pH. To the best of my knowledge there is only one other example pH dependent studies on a methylated ruthenium polypyridyl complex.}^{\text{49}}\text{ Ward and co-workers carried out pH dependent absorption studies on [Ru(bpy)}_{2}(\text{Me-QP})^{2+} \text{ (Me-QP is mono-methylated 2,2'-3',2''-6'',2''' quaterpyridine) in the pH range 0-8. Like [Ru(bpy)}_{2}(\text{Mephpztr})^{2+} \text{ no spectral changes were observed in this pH range.}^{\text{49}} \text{ The pH dependence of [Ru(bpy)}_{2}(\text{Me-QP})^{2+} \text{ was not studied at higher pH.} \]
The acidity of a coordinated 1,2,4-triazole ligand is strongly dependent on substituents of the triazole ring.\textsuperscript{16} For example, an electron donating methyl group increases the pK\textsubscript{a} to 4.2, while the presence of an electron withdrawing bromine group can reduce the pK\textsubscript{a} value to 1.4.\textsuperscript{50,51} In all cases the acid/base behaviour of pyrazyl-triazole complexes can be explained in terms of protonation/deprotonation of the triazole moiety. Deprotonated pyrazyl-triazole complexes have the ability to offload electron density from the 1,2,4-triazole onto the neighbouring pyrazine ring. When the pyrazine ring is methylated, a positive charge exists on the nitrogen, which enhances the \(\pi\)-acceptor abilities of the pyrazine ring. It is possible that the triazole ring offloads more electron density onto the pyrazine ring in \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\) compared to the unmethylated complex \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\). It is possible the triazole ring in the methylated complexes becomes a "better" acid with a much lower pK\textsubscript{a} value. Therefore it is possible that the pK\textsubscript{a} exists below pH 1.

5.2.6 Electrochemistry

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was applied to study the redox properties of the methylated ruthenium complexes. CV measurements were carried out according to procedures in the literature.\textsuperscript{52} The measurements were all obtained in dry acetonitrile containing 0.1 M TEAP (tetraethyl ammonium perchlorate) and are reported versus saturated calomel electrode. The results for all oxidations and reductions are summarised in Table 5.4. Figure 5.21 shows a CV of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\). The CV of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\) is typical of the methylated complexes. The redox processes are quasi-reversible, with peak-to-peak separations of 60-100 mV. Note, the degree of deuteration has no effect on oxidation or reduction potentials (see Table 5.4).
Figure 5.21 Cyclic voltammogram of the oxidation of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^{2+}$ in 0.1 M TEAP in acetonitrile with a scan rate of 100 mV/s.

Assignment of redox processes is relatively straightforward by comparison with previously reported 1,2,4-triazole complexes. The Ru(II)/Ru(III) redox couple for ruthenium bipyridyl complex containing a deprotonated pyrazyl-triazole ligand is found at ~ 0.95 V.\(^{50,53,54}\) \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^{2+}\), \([\text{Ru(d}_{8}-\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^{21}\) and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(d_{3}-\text{Mephpztr})]^{2+}\) exhibit a Ru(II)/(III) based oxidation at 1.12 V, compared to a value of 0.93 V for \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\) (see Table 5.4). The nature of the ligands surrounding the ruthenium metal centre greatly influences the oxidation potential. \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^{2+}\), \([\text{Ru(d}_{8}-\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^{21}\) and
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[Ru(bpy)$_2$(d$_3$-Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$ are better π-acceptors than [Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$. Hence the ruthenium metal centre of these complexes is more difficult to oxidise. This explains the increased Ru(II)/Ru(III) oxidation potential compared to [Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>E$_{1/2}$ (V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$</td>
<td>1.12   -0.47 -1.46 -1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$</td>
<td>1.13   -0.48 -1.46 -1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$</td>
<td>1.12   -0.48 -1.46 -1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$</td>
<td>0.93   —   -1.45 -1.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.4  Electrochemical data in acetonitrile with 0.1 M TEAP at 100 mV/s scan rate.

The redox waves at ~ -1.4 and -1.7 V are typical of bpy-based reductions and therefore are assigned so. The methylated complex [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Me-QP)]$^{2+}$ (where Me-QP is mono-methylated 2,2':3',2'''':6'',2'''' quaterpyridine) showed a weak irreversible reduction at ~1.39 V. By comparison with [bpy-Me]$^+$, this was assigned to reduction of the methylated site. It is possible that the bipyridyl-based reduction at ~1.48 V obscures the methyl based reduction in this series of methylated complexes.

A third reduction peak is observed at ~0.48 V for the methylated complexes. This was not observed for the unmethylated [Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$ complex. This is most likely due to reduction of the electron poor methylated pyrazine moiety. Other Ru(II) pyrazyl triazole complexes with a methyl group on the triazole exhibit a pyrazine-based reduction at ~1.26 V. The more positive reduction peak observed for the methylated complexes further confirms that the pyrazine rather than the triazole ring is methylated.
5.2.7 Raman spectroscopy

In a resonance Raman (rR) experiment, a sample is irradiated with laser light at various wavelengths. If excitation takes place near an allowed electronic transition, the symmetrical stretching modes of the ligand show enhanced Raman intensities.\textsuperscript{55,56} Resonance Raman spectroscopy has been useful in assigning MLCT transitions of mixed-ligand ruthenium complexes.\textsuperscript{54,57,58} Excitation into an allowed [Ru $\rightarrow \pi^\ast$] transition in mixed ligand complexes gives rise to enhancement of the symmetrical stretching modes of the ligand involved in the transition.\textsuperscript{59,60} Hence it is possible to characterise which ligand is involved in the lowest energy MLCT band by using rR spectroscopy. Different electronic transitions within one absorption band can be detected and identified by studying the wavelength dependence of the rR spectra.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{absorption_spectra.png}
\caption{Absorption spectra of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$, [Ru(bpy)$_2$(1Mephpztr)]$^{3+}$, [Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]$^+$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Hphpztr)]$^{2+}$ in acetonitrile. The arrows indicate the rR excitation wavelengths.}
\end{figure}
Ground-state rR studies of [Ru(bpy)2(Mephpztr)]2+ and [Ru(bpy)2(HMephpztr)]3+ were carried in CD3CN at excitation wavelengths of 457.9, 514.5 and 532 nm. Note rR spectra of [Ru(bpy)2(HMephpztr)]3+ were obtained in the presence of hydrochloric acid. Selected deuteriation of ligands in ruthenium(II) polypyridyl complexes assists in the assignment of rR spectra on the basis of the isotopic shifts. Hence the ground state rR spectra of [Ru(d8-bpy)2(MeHphpztr)]2+ and [Ru(bpy)2(d5-Mephpztr)]2+ and their protonated analogues were also studied. The three excitation wavelengths are shown in Figure 5.22 in relation to the absorption spectra of the complexes.

Ground-state rR studies of [Ru(bpy)2(phpztr)]+ and [Ru(bpy)2(Hphpztr)]2+ have been examined in CD3CN at excitation wavelengths of 457.9 nm and 514.5 nm.22,34 These results will be briefly summarised. Following excitation of [Ru(bpy)2(Hphpztr)]2+ at 457.9 nm, features attributable to bpy based vibrations are observed 1610, 1565, 1494, 1429, 1320, 1277 and 1175 cm\(^{-1}\). Some weak pyrazine based features at 1534 and 1193 cm\(^{-1}\) were observed. The assignment of these bands was made from the isotopic shifts observed in the spectra of [Ru(d8-bpy)2(Hphpztr)]2+ and [Ru(bpy)2(d3-Hphpztr)]2+ and by comparison with the rR spectra of [Ru(bpy)3]2+.63'64,65 Following excitation of [Ru(bpy)2(phpztr)]+ at the same wavelength, the spectral features observed are bpy in nature. Excitation of [Ru(bpy)2(phpztr)]2+ and [Ru(bpy)2(Hphpztr)]+ at 514.5 nm led to bpy based excitation features at 1610, 1565, 1494, 1429, 1320, 1277 and 1175 cm\(^{-1}\). Upon excitation of [Ru(bpy)2(H2phpztr)]3+ at 532 nm pyrazine based features were detected at 1633, 1472, 1171, 1140 cm\(^{-1}\). These results indicate that the low energy bands in the absorption spectra of [Ru(bpy)2(phpztr)]+ and [Ru(bpy)2(Hphpztr)]2+ originate from [Ru→π*(bpy)] transitions whilst the low energy absorption band of [Ru(bpy)2(H2phpztr)]3+ is due to an [Ru→π*(pyrazyl-triazole)] transition.
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Figure 5.23  Ground state resonance Raman spectra of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$, [Ru(d$_8$-bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(d$_3$-Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$ in CD$_3$CN at 457.9 nm.

Figure 5.23 shows the three spectra from samples of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$, [Ru(d$_8$-bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(d$_3$-Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$ following excitation at 457.9 nm in CD$_3$CN. Excitation features attributable to bpy modes are observed at 1608, 1561, 1490 and 1318 cm$^{-1}$ in the spectra of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(d$_3$-Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$. These peaks are shifted to 1573, 1524, 1424, 1250 cm$^{-1}$ in the spectrum of [Ru(d$_8$-bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$. There are additional features present at 1630, 1468, 1368, 1218, and 1148 cm$^{-1}$ in the spectra of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$ and [Ru(d$_8$-bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$ which are attributed to the vibrational modes of the pyrazine.$^{59,67,68}$ These vibrations were not observed in the rR spectrum of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(d$_3$-Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$ which contains a deuterated pyrazine. Excitation of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(HMephpztr)]$^{3+}$, [Ru(d$_8$-bpy)$_2$(HMephpztr)]$^{3+}$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(d$_3$-HMephpztr)]$^{3+}$ at 457.9 nm yielded poor quality spectra, thus
making a detailed analysis of the spectra impossible. Modes associated with both the bipyridyl and pyrazyl-triazole ligands were enhanced using an excitation wavelength of 457.9 nm. This suggests that the feature at 425 nm is a mixture of both [Ru → π*(bpy)] and [Ru → π*(pyrazyl-triazole)] transitions.

Figure 5.24  Ground state resonance Raman spectra of [Ru(bpy)_2(Mephpztr)]^{2+}, [Ru(d_8-bpy)_2(Mephpztr)]^{2+} and [Ru(bpy)_2(d_3-Mephpztr)]^{2+} in H_2O at 514.5 nm.
Figure 5.25 Ground state resonance Raman spectra of \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{HMephpztr})]^3^+\), \([\text{Ru}(\text{d}_8\text{-bpy})_2(\text{HMephpztr})]^3^+\) and \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{d}_3\text{-HMephpztr})]^3^+\) in HCl at 514.5 nm.

Ground-state rR spectra obtained for \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\) and \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{d}_3\text{-Mephpztr})]^2^+\) (Figure 5.24) recorded at 514.5 nm show bands at 1607, 1562, 1489 and 1320 cm\(^{-1}\), characteristic of the bpy ligands. These bands were shifted to 1573, 1524, 1424 and 1250 cm\(^{-1}\) in the spectrum of \([\text{Ru}(\text{d}_8\text{-bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\), which contains deuterated bpy ligands. Two additional bands at 1634 and 1220 cm\(^{-1}\) present in the spectra of \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\) and \([\text{Ru}(\text{d}_8\text{-bpy})_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\) are assigned to a pyrazine-associated vibration. These bands are shifted to 1620 and 1191 cm\(^{-1}\) when the pyrazine ring is deuterated (\([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{d}_3\text{-Mephpztr})]^2^+\)). Following excitation of \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{HMephpztr})]^3^+\) and \([\text{Ru}(\text{d}_8\text{-bpy})_2(\text{HMephpztr})]^3^+\) (Figure 5.25) at 514.5 nm, features attributable to pyrazine based vibrations are observed at 1641, 1520, 1240, and 1219 cm\(^{-1}\).
These features are absent when the pyrazine ring is deuterated (\([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{d}_3\text{-HMephpztr})]^3^+\)). No evidence for any bpy modes are present in the spectra. From the ground-state rR studies at 514.5 nm, it can be concluded that the MLCT transition of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\) consists of an overlap between \([\text{Ru} \rightarrow \pi^*(\text{pyrazyl-triazole})]\) and \([\text{Ru} \rightarrow \pi^*(\text{bpy})]\) transitions while the\([\text{Ru} \rightarrow \pi^*(\text{pyrazyl-triazole})]\) transitions become more apparent for \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{HMephpztr})]^3^+\).

![Ground state resonance Raman spectra of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$, [Ru(d$_6$-bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(d$_3$-Mephpztr)]$^{2+}$ in H$_2$O at 532 nm.](image)

**Figure 5.26** Ground state resonance Raman spectra of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\), \([\text{Ru(d}_6\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\) and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{d}_3\text{-Mephpztr})]^2^+\) in H$_2$O at 532 nm.
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Figure 5.27 Ground state resonance Raman spectra of [Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(HMephpztr)]\(^{3+}\), [Ru(d\(_8\)-bpy)\(_2\)(HMephpztr)]\(^{3+}\) and [Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(d\(_3\)-HMephpztr)]\(^{3+}\) in HCl at 532 nm.

Displayed in Figure 5.26 are the rR spectra of [Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(Mephpztr)]\(^{2+}\), [Ru(d\(_8\)-bpy)\(_2\)(Mephpztr)]\(^{2+}\) and [Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(d\(_3\)-Mephpztr)]\(^{2+}\) following 532 nm. Bands assigned to the pyrazyl-triazole ligand are observed at 1641, 1523, 1221, 1028, and 743 cm\(^{-1}\). These bands were sensitive to deuteriation of the pyrazine in the pyrazyl-triazole i.e. the bands are shifted to 1412, 1192, 907 and 731 cm\(^{-1}\) in the rR spectrum of [Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(d\(_3\)-Mephpztr)]\(^{2+}\) following excitation at 532 nm. For [Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(HMephpztr)]\(^{3+}\), [Ru(d\(_8\)-bpy)\(_2\)(HMephpztr)]\(^{3+}\) and [Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(d\(_3\)-HMephpztr)]\(^{3+}\) an similar spectral features were observed (see Figure 5.27). Analysis of the ground-state rR spectra of [Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(Mephpztr)]\(^{2+}\) and [Ru(bpy)\(_2\)(HMephpztr)]\(^{3+}\) reveals that the enhanced bands are pyrazyl-triazole based vibrations.

257
The three excitation wavelengths used to acquire rR data span the absorption spectrum of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\) (Figure 5.22). With excitation at 532 nm, a wavelength nearly coincident with the maximum of the low energy electronic transition of the complex, modes associated with the coordinated pyrazyl-triazole ligand are selectively enhanced. Such behaviour provides convincing evidence for assignment of this lower energy electronic transition to that associated with an \([\text{Ru} \rightarrow \pi^*(\text{pyrazyl-triazole})]\) transition. Although there is a general increase in the intensities of bpy associated modes in the spectra acquired with progressively higher energy excitations (i.e. 514.5 nm and 457.9 nm), it is clear that several of the pyrazyl-triazole modes are also enhanced with these excitation wavelengths. This behaviour differs from that observed for the unmethylated complex \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\), where the low energy electronic transition is predominantly bpy based. The most reasonable interpretation of the behaviour observed here is that methylation of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\) has lowered the \(\pi^*\) level compared to that of the bpy ring. Hence the low energy electronic transition of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\) is pyrazyl-triazole based.

5.3 Conclusions

Monomethylation of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+,\ [\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\) and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{d}_3\text{-phpztr})]^+\) was confirmed by \(^1\text{H} \text{NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis and mass spectroscopy. Methylation could in principle occur at the pyrazine ring or either of the nitrogens on the triazole ring but it is clear from the \(^1\text{H} \text{NMR spectra that only one isomer is present. Methylation of}\ [\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+,\ [\text{Ru(d}_8\text{-bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\) and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{d}_3\text{-phpztr})]^+\) has occurred on the pyrazine ring. This can be substantiated for by number of reasons: Firstly, the absorption maximum of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2^+\) and its protonated analogue \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{HMephpztr})]^3^+\) are at similar energy to that of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{H}_2\text{phpztr})]^4^+\), for which it was already concluded that a protonated pyrazine is present. Secondly, ground-state resonance Raman studies provide convincing evidence for assignment of the lower energy electronic transition of
the methylated complexes to that associated with an \([\text{Ru} \rightarrow \pi^*(\text{pyrazyl-triazole})]\) transition. In addition, the first reduction potential of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+\) is less negative than the first reduction potential of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\) Note the first potential of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^2+\) is assigned to reduction of the coordinated bipyridyl ligand. This further confirms methylation has lowered the \(\pi^*\) level of the complex. The oxidation potential of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+\) complexes is 190 mV higher than that found in the corresponding unmethylated complex \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+\), thus indicating that the methylated product \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^2+\) contains a weaker \(\sigma\)-donor ligand.

5.4 Experimental

5.4.1 Ligand Synthesis

3-(pyrazin-2'-yl)-5-phenyl-1,2,4-triazole (Hphpztr)

Hydrazine hydrate (2.37g, 47 mmol) was slowly added to a solution of 2-cyanopyrazine (5 g, 47 mmol) in 20 cm\(^3\) of ethanol. The reaction mixture was gently heated to 40 °C. After the formation of a yellow solution the heat was turned off and the reaction was left stirring overnight. The yellow precipitate was filtered under vacuum and washed with ethanol. Benzoyl chloride (6.60g, 47 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 4 cm\(^3\) of triethylamine and the pyrazin-2-yl amidrazone in 20 cm\(^3\) of dry THF. The yellow suspension was stirred for three hours at room temperature. The yellow crystals were filtered under vacuum and left drying overnight. The crystals were dissolved in ethylene glycol and refluxed for three hours. The ethylene glycol solution was allowed cool to room temperature. 10 cm\(^3\) of water was added to the solution to aid precipitation. The white triazole ligand precipitated overnight and was collected under vacuum. The triazole was recrystallised twice from ethanol to yield a fine white powder. Yield: 5.92 g, (56 %, 26 mmol). \(^1\)H NMR d\(_6\)-DMSO, \(\delta\) in ppm: 9.35 (s, 1H, pz H\(_3\)), 8.79 (dd, 1 H, pz H\(_5\)), 8.76 (d, 1H, pz H\(_6\)), 8.11 (d, 2H, ph-H\(_2\)/H\(_6\)), 7.54 (dd, 2H, ph-H\(_3\)/H\(_5\)), 7.49 (t, 1H, ph H\(_4\)).
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$[D_3]-3-(pyrazin-2'-yl)-5$-phenyl-1,2,4-triazole (d$_3$-Hphpztr)

Dr. W.R. Browne provided the ligand d$_3$-Hphpztr.

5.4.2 Preparation of the complexes

$[Ru(bpy)_2(phpztr)]PF_6$

0.25 g (0.5 mmol) of $[Ru(bpy)_2Cl_2]_2$H$_2$O was added to an equimolar amount of Hphpztr dissolved in 100 cm$^3$ ethanol/water (1/1 v/v). The purple solution was refluxed for 8 hours. The solvent was removed and the orange residue was dissolved in a small amount of water. A few drops of concentrated NaOH were added to this solution. The complex was precipitated with ammonium hexafluorophosphate. The orange precipitate was collected under vacuum. The complex was dissolved in a minimum volume of acetonitrile and purified by column chromatography on alumina. The first band (N$_2$ isomer) was eluted with acetonitrile. The N$_4$ isomer remained on the top of the column and was eluted with methanol. This work is only interested in the N$_2$ isomer hence only this isomer was characterised. Yield 0.167 g (0.215 mmol, 44 %). $^1$H NMR spectroscopy in CD$_3$CN: 9.29 (1H, d), 8.47 (4H, m), 8.25 (1H, d), 8.04 (4H m), 7.96 (3H, m) 7.88 (1H, d), 7.80 (2H, dd), 7.60 (1H, d), 7.40 (7H, m). Mass spec. Mol$^1$ (calculated for RuC$_{32}$H$_{24}$N$_9$: 636) found: 636.1 m/z.

$[Ru(d_8-bpy)_2(phpztr)]PF_6$

This complex was prepared and purified as described for $[Ru(bpy)_2(phpztr)]PF_6$, except $[Ru(d_8-bpy)_2Cl_2]_2$H$_2$O was used instead of $[Ru(bpy)_2Cl_2]_2$H$_2$O. Yield 0.90 g (0.115 mmol, 23 %). $^1$H NMR spectroscopy in CD$_3$CN: 9.29 (1H, d), 8.25 (1H, d), 7.96 (3H, m), 7.60 (1H, d), 7.33 (H, dd).
[Ru(bpy)$_2$(d$_3$-phpztr)]PF$_6$

This compound was prepared and purified as described for [Ru(bpy)$_2$(phpztr)]PF$_6$, except d$_3$-Hphpztr was used instead of Hphpztr. Yield 0.148 g (0.19 mmol, 38%). $^1$H NMR spectroscopy in CD$_3$CN: 8.47 (4H, m), 8.04 (4H m), 7.96 (3 H, m) 7.88 (1H, d), 7.80 (2H, dd), 7.40 (7H, m).

[Ru(bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)](PF$_6$)$_2$

An excess of Me$_3$OBF$_4$ was added under argon to 38.7 mg (0.05 mmol) of [Ru(bpy)$_2$phpztr]PF$_6$ and 28 mg (0.21mmol) of Na$_2$CO$_3$ in 10 cm$^3$ of dry acetonitrile in one portion at room temperature. The resulting purple solution was stirred for 1 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was dissolved in the minimum amount of water and precipitated with conc. NH$_4$PF$_6$ solution and recrystallised from acetone/water (50/50 v/v). Yield 38 mg (0.04 mmol, 82%). $^1$H NMR in CD$_3$CN; 9.15 (1H, s, pzH3), 8.55 (4H, m, bpyH3), 8.37 (1H, d, pzH5), 8.01 (4H, m bpyH4), 7.98 (3H, m, pz H6/phH2/H5,), 7.89 (1H, d, bpyH6), 7.80 (1H, d, bpyH6), 7.66 (1H, d, bpyH6) 7.58 (1H, d, bpyH6) 7.45 (7H, m, bpyH5 and phH3/H4/H5), 4.25 (3H, s, Me). Mass spec. Mol$^{2+}$ (calculated for RuC$_{33}$H$_{27}$N$_9$: 325) found: 325.4 m/z. Elemental analysis (calculated for RuC$_{33}$H$_{27}$N$_9$P$_2$F$_{12}$) C: 42.13% (41.89%), H: 2.87 % (2.31 %), N: 13.40% (13.21 %).

[Ru(d$_8$-bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)](PF$_6$)$_2$

As for [Ru(bpy)$_2$(Mephpztr)](PF$_6$)$_2$ except an excess of Me$_3$OBF$_4$ was added to 35 mg (0.044 mmol) of [Ru(d$_8$-bpy)$_2$phpztr]PF$_6$ Yield 31.5 mg (.033 mmol, 76 %). $^1$H NMR in CD$_3$CN. 9.15 (1H, s, pzH3), 8.36 (1H, d, 3 Hz, pzH5), 7.80 (3H, m, phH2/H5, pzH6), 7.44 (3H, m, phH3/H4/H5), 4.25 (3H, s, Me). Mass spec. Mol$^{2+}$ (calculated for RuC$_{33}$H$_{11}$N$_9$D$_{16}$: 333) found: 333.4 m/z. Elemental analysis calculated for RuC$_{33}$H$_{11}$N$_9$D$_{16}$P$_2$F$_{12}$ (found) C: 41.42 % (40.12 %), H: 2.82 % (2.35 %), N: 13.18 % (13.48 %).
Methylation of ruthenium triazole complexes

Chapter 5

\[ \text{[Ru(bpy)}_2(d_3\text{-Mephpztr})\text{]}\text{PF}_6. \]

As for [Ru(bpy)_2(Mephpztr)](PF_6)_2 except an excess of Me_3OBF_4 was added to 40 mg (0.05 mmol) of [Ru(bpy)_2d_3-phpztr]PF_6. Yield 39 mg (0.042 mmol, 84%). ^1H NMR in CD_3CN. 8.44 (4H, m, bpyH3), 8.01 (4H, m bpyH4), 7.89 (2H, d, phH2/H5), 7.78 (1H, d, bpyH6), 7.69 (1H, d, bpyH6), 7.65 (1H, d, bpyH6) 7.48 (1H, d, bpyH6) 7.44 (7H, m, bpyH5 and phH3/H4/H5), 4.15 (3H, s, Me). Mass spec. Mol^{2+} (calculated for RuC_{33}H_{24}N_{9}D_{3}: 326.5) found: 326.9 m/z. Elemental analysis calculated for RuC_{33}H_{24}N_{9}D_{3} P_{2}F_{12} (found) C: 41.99% (40.45 %), H: 2.86 % (2.51 %), N: 13.36 % (12.87 %).
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Chapter 6

Experimental procedures

This chapter details the experimental methods and procedures used in this thesis. A brief description of the instrumentation involved in the measurements detailed in the previous chapters is also provided along with an explanation of the source and nature of the chemical materials used in these studies.
6.1 Materials and reagents

The synthetic reagents employed during the course of these studies were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and no further purification was undertaken. All solvents used were of HPLC grade or better and used as received unless otherwise stated. The solvents utilised for spectroscopic and electrochemical studies were all of spectrophotometric grade. $\text{Cis-Ru(bpy)$_2$Cl$_2$2H$_2$O}$ and its deuteriated analogue were synthesised via literature methods and obtained in a pure form from Complex Solutions (DCU)$^{1,2,3}$ The ligand d$_3$-Hphpztr (Chapter 5) was supplied and synthesised by Dr. Wesley Browne (DCU).

6.2 NMR Spectroscopy.

The $^1$H-NMR and $^1$H COSY spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC400 (400 MHz) NMR spectrometer. Deuteriated solvents ($d_6$-DMSO, $d_6$-acetone or $d_3$-acetonitrile) were employed for these measurements unless otherwise stated. The chemical shifts were recorded relative to TMS and spectra were converted from their free induction decay (FID) profiles using XWIN-NMR software.

6.3 Column chromatography

Column chromatography purification was performed on neutral alumina (Al$_2$O$_3$, 150 mesh). Generally, a 100 % solution of acetonitrile was used as the mobile phase. However, occasionally 5-10% MeOH was added in order to aid elution.

6.4 Absorption Spectroscopy

The UV/Vis spectra presented in this thesis were carried out on a Shimadzu UV3100 UV/Vis-NIR spectrophotometer, which was interfaced to an Elonex PC575 desktop computer. The spectra were obtained in the ultraviolet region in
the wavelength range 200 to 800 nm. The data obtained were initially acquired on a UVPC graphics program before being converted to an ASCII format and processed using Microcal Origin 6.0 software. All samples were measured in dichloromethane or acetonitrile in 1 cm path-length quartz cuvettes. The pH of the complexes was adjusted to 8.5 via the addition of triethylamine in order to obtain the deprotonated data.

6.5 Emission spectroscopy

The emission spectra presented in this thesis were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer LS50B luminescence spectrometer which was linked to a Dell PC166 desktop computer. Low temperature measurements were taken at 77K by surrounding the samples in liquid nitrogen in a vacuumed cryostat environment. Excitation and emission slit widths of 10 nm were used for all measurements except at low temperature, where an emission slit width of 2.5 nm was used. All of the spectra were generated initially on Perkin-Elmer FL Winlab custom built software and were processed further using Microcal Origin 6.0 software. Room temperature measurements were carried out in dichloromethane or acetonitrile while at 77K measurement were performed in ethanol:methanol (4:1). The pH of the complexes was adjusted to 8.5 by the addition of triethylamine in order to generate the deprotonated data. All samples were prepared with an optical density of approximately 0.1 at the employed excitation wavelength in order to overcome the consequences of the inner filter effect.

6.6 Emission quantum yield measurements

Quantum yield measurements were carried out in accordance with Parker and Rees' methodology. $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_3]^{2+}$ was used as the reference and hence the reference and the sample were excited at a wavelength at which the absorption of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_3]^{2+}$ and that of the sample were the same. The samples were all prepared with an optical density circa approximately 0.1 as concentrated samples can cause errors in these calculations and it is therefore necessary to examine the
Emissions in the linear region where the concentration is proportional to the luminescence. Emission quantum yields were evaluated by comparing areas under the corrected emission spectra according to the following equation:

$$\Phi_s = \frac{A_s F_s n_s^2}{A_r F_r n_r^2} \Phi_r$$  \hspace{1cm} 6.1

where \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_3]^{2+}\) has an established quantum yield (\(\Phi_r\)) of 0.028 in aqueous, deoxygenated solution. \(r\) and \(s\) indicate reference and sample, \(F\) is the integrated area of the emission spectrum with the wavelength in cm\(^{-1}\), \(A\) is the absorbance at the excitation wavelength, \(n\) is the refractive index of the solvent and \(\Phi\) is the quantum yield of emission. The area under the emission spectrum of each sample was calculated using Microcal Origin 6.0 software and the relative quantum yield was hence calculated. Quantum yield measurements for the complexes in Chapter's 2 and 3 were carried out in dichloromethane. The quantum yields were calculated using Equation 6.1 with values of 1.424 and 1.344 for the refractive indices of dichloromethane and acetonitrile. Measurements in Chapter 4 were obtained in acetonitrile and therefore it was not necessary to correct for the refractive index of the solvent.

6.7 IR Spectroscopy

Infra red spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer 2000 FT-IR spectrometer in 0.1 mm sodium chloride liquid solution cells. Spectra were recorded in spectroscopic grade THF. Measurements were also performed in 2 % (v/v) triethylamine in THF in order to generate spectra for the deprotonated complexes.

6.8 Acid-Base measurements

All ground state and excited state pK\(_a\) measurements reported in this thesis were carried out in aqueous Britton-Robinson buffer. The samples were firstly
dissolved in a small amount (~0.5 ml) of acetonitrile prior to dilution in the buffer solution. pH adjustments were made by adding 75 µl of 2 M NaOH or 2 M H$_2$SO$_4$ to a 100 cm$^3$ volume of the dissolved complex. The pH of the solution was monitored using a Corning 240 digital pH meter. Absorption and emission spectra were accordingly obtained over a range of pH values. The pK$_a$ was determined from the changes in the absorption spectra of the complexes examined using the Henderson-Hasselbach equation (Equation 6.2).$^6$ A plot of change in absorbance against pH results in a sigmoidal shaped curve with only one inflection point. The pH inflection point (pH$_i$) is also the pK$_a$ of the complex. The inflection points were determined from the best-fit sigmoidal curve calculated using Microcal Origin 6.0 software.

\[ \text{pH} = \text{pK}_a + \log_{10}([\text{HA}]/[\text{A}^-]) \] 6.2

Isobestic points from the absorption spectra indicated a wavelength value at which the concentrations of both the protonated and deprotonated species are equal. These values were subsequently used as the excitation wavelengths for determination of the excited state pK$_a$ (pK$_a^*$). A plot of emission against pH results in a curve which allows the excited state inflection point (pH$_{i*}$) to be determined. The inflection points (pH$_{i*}$) were determined from the best-fit sigmoidal curve calculated using Microcal Origin 6.0 software.

\[ \text{pK}_a^* = \text{pH}_{i*} + \log_2(\tau_a/\tau_b) \] 6.3

Unlike the ground-state titrations, pH$_{i*}$ does not equal pK$_a^*$. This is due to the protonated and deprotonated species having different luminescence lifetimes. The pK$_a^*$ can be calculated from pH$_{i*}$ and the lifetimes of the protonated ($\tau_a$) and deprotonated ($\tau_b$) species using Equation 6.3.$^7$

\[ \text{pK}_a^* = \text{pK}_a + \{0.625(\nu_b - \nu_a)/T\} \] 6.4
Another method of calculating $pK_a^*$ is with Försters equation, as detailed in Equation 6.4. Försters equation relates $pK_a^*$ to the ground-state $pK_a$ and the emission maxima (in wavenumbers) of the protonated ($v_a$) and deprotonated ($v_b$) species for a given temperature, $T$.

### 6.9 Luminescence lifetimes

Transient emission data were generated using a Q-switched Nd-YAG spectrum laser system. The data were plotted and analysed with the aid of Sigma Plot 8.0 software. All measurements were obtained in acetonitrile or dichloromethane at room temperature and EtOH / MeOH 4:1 (v/v) at 77 K. Samples were prepared with an optical density of 0.4 absorption units in order to counteract the consequences of the inner filter effect. The lifetimes were calculated at the emission maxima. The pH was controlled via the addition of either triethylamine (TEA) or trifluoroacetic acid (TFA). When necessary solutions were degassed by bubbling argon through the solution for 15 minutes.

### 6.10 Single Photon Counter

The lifetimes of samples (< 100 ns) were determined using an Edinburgh Instruments nf900 ns flashlamp interfaced to a CD900 TAC photon counter in DCU with assistance from Dr.'s Fiona Killard and Andrea McNally. The samples were excited using 337 nm as excitation wavelength and the lifetimes were collected in the maxima of the emission. Samples were degassed by bubbling argon through the sample for 15 minutes. Lifetime errors are estimated to be less than 8%.

### 6.11 Electrochemical measurements

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was carried out using a CH Instruments CHI Version 2.07 software controlled potentiostat (CH Instruments Memphis 660). CV's of the complexes from Chapter’s 2, 3 and 4 were obtained in a 0.1 M solution of
tetrabutylammonium tetrafluoroborate (TBABF$_4$) in anhydrous acetonitrile. The solution was purged with N$_2$ (10 min) and a N$_2$ atmosphere was maintained throughout the experiment. The three electrodes employed consisted of a platinum disc (working, 2 mm diameter), platinum wire (counter) and an Ag/Ag$^+$ (acetonitrile + 10 mM AgNO$_3$ + 0.1 M TBABF$_4$) half-cell reference. The instrument was calibrated using the Fc/Fc$^+$ couple at an equivalent molarity to the sample being tested.

Measurements of the complexes from Chapter 5 were carried out in anhydrous acetonitrile with 0.1 M TEAP as the supporting electrolyte. Tetraethylammoniumperchlorate (TEAP) was prepared by dissolving a 1 M of TEAB (tetraethylammoniumbromide) in water. Perchloric acid was added dropwise until precipitation of the white perchlorate salt ceased. The product was collected by filtration and redissolved in hot water, neutralised with NaOH and then recrystallised from hot water. A 3 mm glassy carbon electrode encased in Teflon was used as the working electrode, a platinum wire as the counter electrode and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) was utilised as the reference electrode. A platinum wire was used as the reference electrode. Each sample was deaerated prior to scanning and a N2 atmosphere was maintained throughout the measurement.

Extensive pre-treatment of the working electrodes were preformed prior to each CV. Pre-treatment generally consisted of polishing of the electrode surface with decreasing grades of alumina polish (1 $\mu$m, 0.5 $\mu$m) in distilled water with finely graded polishing pads in a figure of eight motion. Excess alumina particles on the surface of the electrodes were removed by sonication in distilled water for 10 min periods. The electrodes were then allowed to air dry. A CV of the solvent was obtained prior to each electrochemical measurement in order to ensure that all the peaks noted in the CVs were due to the sample being examined.
6.12 Elemental analysis

C, H, N elemental analysis was carried out at the Microanalytical Laboratory of University College Dublin. These analyses were obtained using an Exador analytical CE440 instrument.

6.13 Mass Spectrometry

Mass spectra were carried out by Mr. Maurice Burke at the National Centre for Sensor Research at Dublin City University. The spectra were recorded with a Bruker-EsquireLC_00050 electrospray ionisation mass spectrometer.

6.14 Resonance Raman measurements

Resonance Raman measurements were carried out with Dr. Wesley Browne in Queens University Belfast. Ground-state spectra were obtained using a Spectra Physics Argon Laser at 457 nm, 514 nm, 532 nm and 514.5 nm. Samples were held in an NMR tube and spun to reduce localised sample heating and thermal decomposition. All measurements were carried out in $d_3$-acetonitrile, unless otherwise stated. Protonation of samples was achieved using trifluoroacetic acid.
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Chapter 7

Conclusions and future work

This chapter summaries the synthetic work presented in this thesis. The properties of the mononuclear and dinuclear complexes are reviewed with suggestions of future studies, which might answer queries arising from this work.
7.1 Conclusions

Chapter 1 provides an introduction to the area of supramolecular chemistry. The topics discussed in Chapter 1 have been limited to those that are relevant to this thesis as the area of inorganic and supramolecular chemistry is now so large. The development of this area of chemistry is outlined and its relevance to this thesis is highlighted. The “parent” complex of many of the complexes discussed in this thesis [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl] is introduced, and its importance to the area of inorganic chemistry is highlighted. The thesis can be divided in to two projects. The first involves the synthesis and characterisation of a series rhenium(I) tricarbonyl complexes and is discussed in Chapters 2, 3 and 4. The second project (Chapter 5) studies the methylation of a ruthenium(II) pyrazyl-triazole complex.

In Chapter 2, a range of substituted pyridyl-triazole ligands were synthesised. The complexes of these ligands were also prepared and characterised by spectroscopic and electrochemical means. It was found that the properties of the pyridyl triazole complexes are altered when compared with those of [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl], the traditional rhenium(I) polypyridyl photosensitiser. These new complexes were found to have interesting pH dependent properties, due to the presence of the triazole. In both the protonated and deprotonated forms of the pyridyl-triazole complexes the absorption and emission maxima are blue-shifted with respect to [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl]. This is attributed to the change in the σ-donor nature of the triazole compared to 2,2-bipyridyl. With the exception of [Re(CO)$_3$(Hthpytr)Cl] and the deprotonated form [Re(CO)$_3$(thpytr)Cl]$^-$ (at 77 K) the emitting level was labelled as $^3$MLCT in character for all of the pyridyl-triazole complexes. Ground and excited state pKa studies on two of the complexes also reach the same conclusion. For the complexes [Re(CO)$_3$(Hthpytr)Cl] and [Re(CO)$_3$(thpytr)Cl]$^-$ at 77 K, the emitting level has mixed MLCT and LC character. In addition, the oxidation potential of the rhenium(I) centre in each of the pyridyl-triazole complexes has been reduced significantly with respect to [Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)Cl].
A similar series of ligands and complexes based on pyrazyl-triazoles was also prepared and characterised in Chapter 3. The presence of the strongly \( \pi \)-accepting pyrazine results in a red shift of both the absorption and emission spectra when compared to the corresponding pyridyl-triazole complexes. Luminescent lifetime measurements indicate that the excited state is classed as a \( ^3 \text{MLCT} \) emitter at both 77 and 298 K. Again, pH dependent properties were found. Deprotonation of the triazole results in a blue shift of the absorption and emission maxima. \( pK_a \) titrations showed a an increase in the \( pK_a \) in the excited state, pointing to the fact that the excited state is located on the pyrazyl-triazole. Longer lifetimes were observed for the deprotonated complexes with respect to the protonated forms. This behaviour was attributed to inefficient population of the non-radiative \( ^3 \text{MC} \) excited state as a result of the enhanced \( \sigma \)-donor ability of the deprotonated form.

From the electrochemical studies, it was seen that the oxidation potential of the metal centre is generally \( \sim 200 \text{mV} \) higher for the pyrazyl-triazole complexes when compared to the analogous pyridyl-triazole complexes. This is due mainly to the weaker \( \sigma \)-donor/stronger \( \pi \)-acceptor properties of pyrazine over pyridine.

Chapter 4 details the synthesis of a mononuclear rhenium(I) complex, a homonuclear rhenium(I) dinuclear complex and hetero-nuclear ruthenium(II)-rhenium(I) dinuclear complex, all containing the Hbpt ligand. The complexes were characterised by IR and \( ^1 \text{H} \) NMR spectroscopy. The complexes exhibited three bands in the carbonyl region of the IR spectra. Assignment of proton resonances in the \( ^1 \text{H} \) NMR spectra of the mononuclear rhenium(I) and the homonuclear rhenium(I) dinuclear complex complexes were made by comparison with the pyridyl-triazole complexes synthesised in Chapter 2 and with the aid of two dimensional (COSY) techniques. The characterisation of the ruthenium(II)-rhenium(I) dinuclear complex was complicated by the fact that two isomers were formed. Assignment of the bpt protons in the \( ^1 \text{H} \) NMR of the ruthenium(II)-rhenium(I) dinuclear complex was aided by deuteration of the 2,2'-bipyridyl rings. \( ^1 \text{H} \) NMR studies suggest that the formation of isomers may be due to the different orientation of the bipyridyl ligands coordinated to the ruthenium metal centre. The photophysical properties of the mononuclear and dinuclear
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Complexes were also studied. Upon coordination of a second metal fragment i.e. Re(CO)₃Cl to the mononuclear complexes, the absorption and emission maxima are blue shifted. For all of the complexes, the emitting level was labelled as ³MLCT in character. In the case of the mono- and dinuclear rhenium(I) complexes, the excited state is located on the triazole ligand. However, for the ruthenium(II)-rhenium(I) dinuclear complex, the excited state is located on the bipyridyl ligand. This assignment is based on the following properties:

(i) The structured emission spectrum at 77 K is similar to that of the bipyridyl based emitting mononuclear complex [Ru(bpy)₂bpt]⁺

(ii) A significant increase in the lifetime is observed at 298 K and 77 K when the bipyridyl ligands are deuteriated

(iii) The first reduction potential of the complex is bpy-based

For the ruthenium(II)-rhenium(I) complex, the absorption and emission properties indicate intercomponent energy transfer from the rhenium(I) metal centre to the ruthenium(II) metal centre.

Chapter 5 discusses the methylation of the ruthenium(II) bipyridyl complex [Ru(bpy)₂phpztr]⁺, where phpztr is 3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-5-phenyl-1,2,4-triazole. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the methylated complex shows only one set of signals, thus indicating the presence of one isomer. Assignments of the protons in the ¹H NMR of the methylated complex were aided by partial deuteriation of the 2,2'-bipyridyl and the pyrazine rings. ¹H NMR studies indicate that methylation has occurred on the pyrazine ring. The photophysical and electrochemical properties of all complexes were also examined. The absorption maximum of the methylated complex was red shifted with respect to that of [Ru(bpy)₂phpztr]⁺. Methylation of the pyrazyl-triazole ligand was found to quench emission from [Ru(bpy)₂phpztr]⁺. This behaviour was attributed to efficient population of the non-radiative ³MC excited state as a result of the enhanced π-acceptor ability of the methylated complex. Wavelength dependent ground-state resonace Raman studies were also carried out in order to elucidate the electronic transitions in the absorption spectra.
With excitation at 532 nm, a wavelength nearly coincident with the maximum of the low energy electronic transition of the methylated complex, modes associated with the coordinated pyrazyl-triazole ligand are selectively enhanced. Such behaviour provides convincing evidence for assignment of this lower energy electronic transition to that associated with an $[\text{Ru} \rightarrow \pi^*(\text{pyrazyl-triazole})]$ transition. Although there is a general increase in the intensities of bpy associated modes in the spectra acquired with progressively higher energy excitations (i.e. 514.5 nm and 457.9 nm), it is clear that several of the pyrazyl-triazole modes are also enhanced with these excitation wavelengths. The effect of pH on the $^1\text{H}$ NMR and absorption spectra of the methylated complex was also examined. Loss of the methyl group was observed at high pH. Electrochemical studies indicate the oxidation potential of the methylated complex is 190 mV higher than that found in the unmethylated complex $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{phpztr})]^+$, thus indicating that the methylated product $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Mephpztr})]^{2+}$ contains a weaker $\sigma$-donor ligand. Chapter 6 provides details of experimental procedures used in this thesis.

### 7.2 Future work

**Chapter 2:**

Longer lifetimes were observed for the deprotonated complexes with respect to the protonated forms. This behaviour was attributed to inefficient population of the non-radiative $^3\text{MC}$. Temperature dependent lifetime and emission studies in both acidic and basic media of these complexes should be carried out in order to ascertain the role of the $^3\text{MC}$ state. Emission was detected from both the $^3\text{MLCT}$ and $^3\pi\pi^*$ states for $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hthyptr})\text{Cl}]$ and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{thyptr})\text{Cl}]$. Time-resolved IR and resonance Raman studies should be carried out in order to confirm the exact nature of the dual emission observed in $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{Hthyptr})\text{Cl}]$ and $[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{thyptr})\text{Cl}]$. 
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Chapter 3:

Temperature dependent lifetime studies should be carried out in order to establish the non-radiative decay paths in the pyrazyl-triazole complexes. Time resolved resonance Raman studies should be conducted in order to ascertain if the excited state is localised over the pyrazyl-triazole ligand or the pyrazine moiety.

Chapter 4:

The separation of the isomers of [Ru(d₈-bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)₃Cl]⁺ and [Ru(bpy)₂(bpt)Re(CO)₃Cl]⁺ using chromatography should be explored. For the ruthenium(II)-rhenium(I) dinuclear complex, the excited state is located on the bipyridyl ligand. In order to unambiguously define the location of the excited state, further studies, such as resonance Raman spectroscopy are necessary.

Chapter 5:

No crystals of the methylated complexes suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained. Future work in this area is recommended to confirm the location of the methyl group on the pyrazine ring. DFT studies in order to compared the electronic structure of the methylated complex with that of the unmethylated complex.
Appendix A  Dinuclear ruthenium(II)/tungsten(0) tetracarbonyl complexes

A.1 Synthesis

In this section the attempted synthesis of two new dinuclear [Ru(bpy)$_2$LW(CO)$_4$] type complexes (where bpy = 2,2'-bipyridyl; L = 3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-5-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole or 3-bis-(2-pyridyl)-pyrazine) are described. The structures and abbreviations for the ligands and complexes cited in this section are shown in

2,3-bis-(2-pyridyl)-pyrazine

3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-5-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole

Figure A.1 Structures of the ligands and complexes as cited throughout this section.
A.1.1 Preparation of the ligands

The syntheses of the ligands were carried out following literature methods. The synthetic method followed for the synthesis of the 2,3-dpp ligand was similar to that of Case and coworkers.\textsuperscript{1} Synthesis of the Hppt ligand was carried out according to the procedure adopted by Dr. Christine O’Connor.\textsuperscript{2} Other routes for the synthesis of this ligand exist but were not investigated.

A.1.2 Preparation of the mononuclear complexes

The mononuclear complex $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(2,3\text{-dpp})]^2^+\text{H}^2$ was prepared following literature procedures.\textsuperscript{3} A stirring suspension of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{Cl}_2].2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in ethanol/water (2/1 v/v) was slowly added to the a 2 fold excess of the 2,3-dpp ligand in ethanol. The reaction mixture was refluxed for eight hours. Solvent was removed and the resulting material was dissolved in the minimum amount of acetone/water (2/1 v/v). Purification was carried out on a Sephadex C-25 ion exchange column. The chloride form of the complex was eluted with 0.1 M NaCl. The complex was precipitated using NH$_4$PF$_6$ and then recrystallised from acetone/water (1/1 v/v).

The mononuclear complex $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(ppt)]^+\text{H}^2$ (see Figure A. 1) was prepared by reacting the Hppt ligand in a 2:1 ratio with $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{Cl}_2].2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ according to a previously established procedure.\textsuperscript{4} The $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(ppt)]^+$ mononuclear complexes form four different coordination isomers due to the various coordination sites of the Hppt ligand. Two of the isomers are bound via the N$_4$ of the 1,2,4-triazole and are formed in small amounts. The two major isomers are bound via the N$_1$ and N$_2$ of the triazole ligand.

\textsuperscript{2} C.M. O’Connor, PhD. Thesis, \textbf{2000}, Dublin City University.
Recrystallisation of the crude product from acetone/water (2/1 v/v) yields the N₁ and N₂ isomers. The isomers were separated by column chromatography on a neutral alumina column. The N₂ isomer eluted off the column using 100% acetonitrile, while the N₁ isomer eluted off using 100% methanol. The N₂ isomer was subsequently recrystallised from water:acetone (1:1). 2 M NaOH was added to this solution to ensure the triazole ligand was fully deprotonated. Since this study is concerned with the N₂ isomer of [Ru(bpy)₃(ppt)]⁺, the purification and characterisation of the N₁ isomer will not be discussed any further.

A.1.3 Preparation of the dinuclear complexes

A solution of dry THF was purged with argon in a photolysis well. W(CO)₆ was dissolved in the THF and irradiated with UV light from a Hg lamp (see Figure A. 2). The reaction was followed by IR. The characteristic band of W(CO)₆ at 1978 cm⁻¹ gradually disappeared and was replaced by bands at 2076, 1935 and 1900 cm⁻¹.

The heteronuclear complexes [Ru(bpy)₂(2,3-dpp)W(CO)₄]²⁺ and [Ru(bpy)₂(ppt)W(CO)₄]⁺ were prepared by reacting molar equivalents of the mononuclear ruthenium complex and W(CO)₅THF under an argon atmosphere. The reaction was monitored using IR spectroscopy. The reaction was complete when there was no evidence of any W(CO)₅ in the IR spectrum. Note the reactions were protected from sunlight in order to eliminate photodecomposition.
Figure A. 3  IR spectrum (CO-stretching region) of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(2,3\text{-dpp})\text{W(CO)}_4]^{2+}\) in THF at 298 K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>(\nu_{(\text{CO})}) cm(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(2,3\text{-dpp})\text{W(CO)}_4]^{2+})</td>
<td>2010  1902  1883  1836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{ppt})\text{W(CO)}_4]^+)</td>
<td>2012  1900  1880  1830</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A. 1  IR data for the carbonyl stretching of the metal complexes. All measurements are in THF.

The IR spectral data of the complexes in THF are presented in Table A. 1. Figure A. 1 shows the IR spectra of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(2,3\text{-dpp})\text{W(CO)}_4]^{2+}\). The CO bands in these spectra are typical of the dinuclear complexes synthesised in this section. The formation of the
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pentacarbonyl complexes $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(2,3\text{-dpp})\text{W(CO)}_5]^{2+}$ and $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{ppt})\text{W(CO)}_5]^+$ was anticipated, however the IR spectra in the carbonyl region show four CO stretching frequencies characteristic of substituted tetracarbonyl complexes.$^{5,6}$ Figure A. 4 outlines the proposed structures of the tetracarbonyl complexes $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(2,3\text{-dpp})\text{W(CO)}_4]^{2+}$ and $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{ppt})\text{W(CO)}_4]^+$

![Figure A. 4 Proposed structures of the dinuclear complexes $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(2,3\text{-dpp})\text{W(CO)}_4]^{2+}$ and $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{ppt})\text{W(CO)}_4]^+$](image)

$^1$H NMR spectra of the dinuclear complexes revealed the presence of unreacted starting material i.e. the mononuclear complex. Attempts to purify the complexes with an alumina column proved unsuccessful.

---

A.1.4 Experimental

A.1.4.1 Preparation of the ligands

2,3-bis-(2-pyridyl)-pyrazine

A solution of ethylenediamine (0.600 g; 0.010 mol) in ethanol (5 cm$^3$) was added slowly to a solution of 2,2'-pyridil (2.100 g; 0.010 mol) in ethanol (30 cm$^3$). This solution darkened in colour. The mixture was refluxed for 1 hour. Pale yellow crystals separated on cooling. The yellow precipitate (2,3-bis-(2-pyridyl)-5,6-dihydropyrazine) was filtered and washed with cold ethanol. The 2,3-bis-(2-pyridyl)-5,6-dihydropyrazine in mesitylene (15 cm$^3$) was refluxed with 0.100 g of palladium/charcoal catalyst overnight. The mixture was filtered while hot. The product precipitated on cooling of the mesitylene and was collected under vacuum. The 2,3-bis-(2-pyridyl)-pyrazine was recrystallised from ethanol to yield a fine white powder. Yield: 0.770 g, 0.003 mol, 33%. $^1$H NMR (d$_6$-DMSO), $\delta$ in ppm: pyH$_3$: 7.84 (m); pyH$_4$: 7.84 (m); pyH$_5$: 7.33 (m); pyH$_6$: 8.23 (d); pzH$_5$: 8.77 (s)

3-(pyridin-2-yl)-5(pyrazin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazole

15.000 g (0.12 mol) 2-pyrazylcarboxylic acid was dissolved in 90 cm$^3$ ethanol and 15 cm$^3$ concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$ and heated under reflux for 3 hours. The solution was neutralised with a saturated solution of Na$_2$CO$_3$ and then filtered to remove side products. Most of the ethanol was removed and the remaining solution was extracted with dichloromethane to yield a yellow product. The fractions were dried over MgSO$_4$. The dichloromethane was evaporated off to yield the ester, which crystallised after a few minutes. 9.600 g (0.063 mol) of the ester was reacted with an equimolar amount of hydrazine monohydrate. The ester was dissolved in ethanol and then dropped into the hydrazine monohydrate and the pyrazylhydrazide crystallised out overnight in the freezer (-4°C). The pyrazylhydrazide was filtered and weighed. An equimolar amount of 2-cyanopyridine (as to the pyrazylhydrazide) was weighed out and dissolved in 30
cm$^3$ of methanol and 0.7 g sodium and was heated under reflux for 3 hours to form pyridyl-imidate. The pyrazylhydrazide was added to the solution and heated for 15 min. The precipitate (yellow intermediate) was heated under reflux for 1 hour in a minimal quantity of ethylene glycol to yield the Hppt ligand. The ligand (white) was recrystallised in hot ethanol. Yield: 5.600g, 0.025 mol, 21 %. $^1$H NMR (d$_6$-DMSO), $\delta$ in ppm : (Pyrazine ring) H$_3$, 9.33 (s); H$_5$ and H$_6$, 8.72 (m); (Pyridine ring) H$_3$, 8.17 (d); H$_4$, 8.01 ppm(t); H$_5$, 7.54 (t); H$_6$, 8.77 (d).

A.1.4.2 Preparation of the coordination complexes

$\left[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(2,3\text{-dpp})\right]\text{(PF}_6\text{)}_2$

Cis-[Ru(bpy)$_2$Cl$_2$].2H$_2$O (520 mg; 1 mmol) and 2,3-dpp ligand (470 mg; 2 mmol) were heated under reflux for 8 hours in 40 cm$^3$ ethanol and 10 cm$^3$ water. The solution was evaporated to dryness and the residue then dissolved in the minimum amount of water:acetone 5:3 (v/v). The solution was chromatographed on a Sephadex-CM C-25 ion exchange column. Elution with 0.05 M NaCl yielded a pale brown fraction which was subsequently discarded. -[Ru(bpy)$_2$(2,3-dpp)] was eluted using 0.1 M NaCl solution. The solution was evaporated to dryness and the residue then dissolved in 10 cm$^3$ of water and precipitated by addition of aqueous NH$_4$PF$_6$. The complex was recrystallised in a mixture of acetone: water 2:1 (v/v). Yield 53 % (0.490 g; 0.524 mmol).

$\left[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(ppt)}\right]\text{PF}_6$

Cis-[Ru(bpy)$_2$Cl$_2$].2H$_2$O (520 mg; 1 mmol) and Hppt ligand (224 mg; 2 mmol) were heated under reflux for 8 hours in 40 cm$^3$ ethanol and 10 cm$^3$ water. The solution was evaporated to dryness and the residue then dissolved in 10 cm$^3$ water and precipitated by addition of aqueous NH$_4$PF$_6$. This resulted in a mixture of two isomers. The complex was recrystallised in a mixture of acetone: water 2:1 (v/v). The isomers were separated.
by column chromatography on a neutral alumina column. The N₂ isomer eluted off the column using 100% acetonitrile, and the N₁ isomer eluted off using 100% methanol. Yield of combined fractions: 0.444 g (48%).

A.1.4.3 Preparation of the dinuclear complexes

W(CO)₅THF was obtained by photolysing 0.050 g (0.142 mmol) of tungsten hexacarbonyl in 250 cm³ of dry THF under an argon atmosphere. The W(CO)₅THF and an equimolar amount of the appropriate metal complex were stirred under argon. As the reaction time progressed the colour of the solution changed from orange to deep purple. The reaction was complete when there was no evidence of any W(CO)₅ in the IR spectrum. Attempts to purify the complexes with an alumina column and acetonitrile as eluent proved unsuccessful.
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Methylation of Coordinated Ruthenium Triazole Complexes
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It is well known that Ruthenium(II) polypyridyl complexes are particularly suitable for the construction of supramolecular assemblies for the development of photochemically driven molecular devices. The spectroscopic, photochemical and electrochemical properties of these types of complexes can be both manipulated and tuned synthetically. In this contribution, direct methylation of Ruthenium(II) triazole complexes are discussed.

Results and Discussion

Fig. 1: Reaction and conditions employed for the methylation of [Ru(bpy)2(phpztr)2+ (phpztr = 2-(5-Phenyl-[1,2,4]triazol-3-yl)-pyrazine).

Table 1: UV/vis, emission and electrochemical data for all compounds in this study (UV/vis measurements were obtained in acetonitrile, electrochemical measurements were carried out in 0.1 M TEAP).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>λmax (nm)</th>
<th>λmax (nm)</th>
<th>Eλ(ΔH) (V)</th>
<th>Reduction (ΔE, V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)2(phpztr)]2+</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>Not observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)2(Mephpztr)]2+</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>Not observed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Electronic absorption spectra in acetonitrile at 298 K.

Fig. 2: Electronic absorption spectra in acetonitrile at 298 K.

Fig. 3: The pH dependence of the UV/vis absorption spectra of [Ru(bpy)2(Mephpztr)]2+ in an aqueous Britton-Robinson Buffer at pH 4-11. (Inset, a plot of intensity v's increasing pH at 550 nm.)

Fig. 4: Ground state resonance Raman spectra of [Ru(bpy)2(Mephpztr)]2+ and its isotopomers in H2O at 322 nm.
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Introduction

Rhenium(I) carbonyl polypyridyl complexes have received much interest because of their spectroscopic, photophysical, photochemical and electrochemical properties. These properties are of particular use in the construction of supramolecular assemblies and in the development of photochemically driven molecular devices. In this contribution the synthesis and characterisation of a series of rhenium complexes containing triazole ligands (figure 1) are discussed.

Structures

Where N-N is:

\[
\text{CO} - R
\]

\[
\text{H}
\]

\[
\text{N}=\text{C}-\text{C}-\text{N}
\]

Where L, R = H (Hpztr)

Where L, R = phenyl (Hphpztr)

Where L, R = H (Hpyt)

Where L, R = phenyl (Hphpytr)

Where L, R = CH (Meppyt)

Where L, R = N (Meppztr)

Fig. 1 Structures of the complexes synthesised.

Synthesis

Outlined in figure 1 are the structures, numbering and abbreviations of the ligands presented in this study. The complexes under investigation were synthesised by reacting [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}Cl] with an equimolar amount of the ligand in toluene for 4 hours at reflux temperature. In principle two coordination modes are possible for the triazole ligands, i.e. through the N\textsubscript{2} or the N\textsubscript{4}. Surprisingly 'H NMR studies showed evidence for only one isomer. Further work is ongoing to confirm which nitrogen on the triazole ligand is coordinated to the rhenium centre.
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Discussion of Results

The spectroscopic data of the complexes synthesised and characterised are presented in table 1. The IR frequencies are consistent with the facial isomer for all complexes studied. Absorption and emission spectra of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}Cl(L\textsubscript{3})] are shown in figure 1. The absorption spectra are similar to those already published for similar Re complexes. The lowest energy absorption band is assigned to the MLCT transition [Re-\rightarrow L]\textsuperscript{n*}. This low energy MLCT band is clearly sensitive to the nature of the polypyridine ligand as the band on the pyrazine triazoles are red shifted relative to the analogous pyridine complexes as pyrazine has lower n\textsuperscript{*} orbitals. This is reflected in the shifts of the CO bands for the fac-[Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}Cl(L\textsubscript{3})] moieties. For example the high frequency band at 2021 cm\textsuperscript{-1} present in the IR spectrum of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}Cl(L\textsubscript{2})] shifts to 2026 cm\textsuperscript{-1} in the pyrazine equivalent [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}Cl(L\textsubscript{3})]. All of the complexes with the exception of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}Cl(L\textsubscript{3})] luminesce at 298K and 77K. [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}Cl(L\textsubscript{3})] is found to only emit at 77K. The emitting level can be labelled as 'MLCT in character as the position and shape of the emission band is consistent with that previously reported for similar complexes assigned as MLCT emitters.

Table 1: Spectroscopic data for the [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}Cl(1,2,4-triazole)] complexes. Data for [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}Cl(bpy)] is included for comparison. *Data in THF, † in dichloromethane and ‡ in ethanol/methanol 4:1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>\text{\nu}_\text{CO} (cm\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>\text{\lambda}_{\text{MLCT}} (nm)</th>
<th>\text{\lambda}_{\text{em}} (nm)</th>
<th>\text{\phi}_{\text{em}} (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}Cl(Hpztr)]</td>
<td>2021, 1917, 1933</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}Cl(Hphpztr)]</td>
<td>1892, 2022, 335</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}Cl(Hpyt)]</td>
<td>355, 553</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>16.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}Cl(Hphpytr)]</td>
<td>350, 537</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2: Absorption and Emission spectra of [Re(CO)\textsubscript{3}Cl(bpy)].
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