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As given on page 9, embed $\mathbb{R}^3$ in $\mathbb{C}^3$ via

$$\uparrow \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$$

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto x^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ ix_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

1. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 = \langle x^\dagger, y^\dagger \rangle$

2. $x^\dagger \times y^\dagger = i(x \times y)^\dagger$, where $\times$ is the usual cross product and $\ast$ is defined as on page 7.

3. $\uparrow$ leads to the embedding of $GL(3, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow GL(3, \mathbb{C})$ by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{R}^3 & \xrightarrow{L} & \mathbb{R}^3 \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\mathbb{C}^3 & \xrightarrow{L^\dagger} & \mathbb{C}^3
\end{array}$$

i.e. $L^\dagger x^\dagger = (Lx)^\dagger$. So if all linear maps are represented relative to the basis

$$e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad e_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad e_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(both in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbb{C}^3$) then in matrix notation

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & -ia_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & -ia_{23} \\ ia_{31} & ia_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$
as given on page 9

4 $SO(2, 1)$ is then replaced by $SO(2, 1)^\dagger \subset SO(3, \mathbb{C})$

5 If we now identify $\mathbb{R}^3, SO(2, 1)$ etc with their embedded images, i.e., write $x$ to mean $x^\dagger$, $A$ to mean $A^T$ etc then the adjoint of $A$ is just $A^\dagger$. So the Lie algebra condition for $SO(2, 1)$ in $SO(3, \mathbb{C})$ is just

$$A + A^T = 0$$

from which lemma 2.4 follows immediately
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Abstract

The purpose of this thesis is to give a characterization of all spacelike constant mean curvature surfaces which have continuous internal symmetry in Minkowski three space. The properties that these surfaces must satisfy leads to a system of partial differential equations and every solution of this system results in a desired surface. Further examination of this system leads to a differential relation that the metric must satisfy. The behaviour of the solution to this relation is investigated to determine if the resulting surface is complete.
Chapter 1

Introduction

In 1841 Delaunay [2] characterized constant mean curvature surfaces of revolution (in Euclidean three space) as those whose profile curve is the roulette of a conic.

**Theorem 1.1 Delaunay**

A curve $\gamma$ in the x-y plane generates a surface of constant mean curvature when rotated about the x-axis if and only if $\gamma$ is a piece of the roulette of a conic i.e. the locus of the focus of a conic in this plane as it is rolled along the x-axis.

These surfaces admit a one parameter group of internal isometries. This was generalized by Smyth in [6] and the result was

**Theorem 1.2 Smyth**

For each integer $m \geq 0$ there exists a one parameter family of conformal immersions

$$f_m : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

with constant mean curvature 1, such that the induced metric is complete and invariant by the group of rotations about 0. Moreover 0 is an umbilic of index $-m/2$, only powers of the rotation through $2\pi/(m+2)$ about 0 extend to motions of $\mathbb{R}^3$ and the associates of $f_m$ are given by $(f_m)_\theta = f_m \circ e^{-i\theta}$. Conversely any complete surface of constant mean curvature 1 admitting a one parameter group of isometries is, to within associates, congruent either to such an $f_m$ or to a Delaunay surface.

In this classification it is assumed that $M$ is simply connected and it is shown that $(M,g)$ is conformally equivalent to the region in $\mathbb{C}$, $a < Re(z) < b$ where $a$ and $b$ are constant (either finite or infinite) and the metric $g = e^\phi |dz|^2$ is invariant by translations in the $y$-directions. An alternative characterization to Smyth's was given by Burns and Clancy [1] whose result is as follows.
Theorem 1.3 Burns and Clancy

If \( M = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid a < \text{Re} z < b \} \) and if \( g = \lambda^2(dx^2 + dy^2) \), then \( f : (M, g) \to \mathbb{R}^3 \) is an isometric immersion of constant mean curvature \( H \) if and only if \( f \) satisfies the following system of p d e 's

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_{xx} &= -\alpha f_x - (E - \alpha H f) \times f_y + 2H f_x \times f_y \\
    f_{xy} &= -\alpha f_y + (E - \alpha H f) \times f_x \\
    f_{yy} &= \alpha f_x + (E - \alpha H f) \times f_y
\end{align*}
\]

with initial conditions

\[
\|f_x(x_0, x_0)\| = \|f_y(x_0, x_0)\| = \lambda(x_0) \quad \text{and} \quad (f_x(x_0, y_0), f_y(x_0, y_0)) = 0
\]

where \( \times \) denotes the usual cross product in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), \( \alpha \) is an arbitrary (non-negative) real constant and \( E \) is an arbitrary constant vector in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \).

In this thesis we follow the arguments set out by Burns and Clancy to find a classification of spacelike constant mean curvature surfaces with continuous internal symmetry in Minkowski three space. We note that Minkowski three space is just \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) with the scalar product \( \langle , \rangle_1 \), between two vectors \( x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^T \) and \( y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)^T \) being defined as

\[
\langle x, y \rangle_1 = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 - x_3y_3
\]
The main theorems are as follows

**Theorem 1.4**

Let $M = \{ C | x_1 < \text{Re}z < x_2 \}$ where $x_1, x_2$ are constants (either finite or infinite) and $g = e^{\phi(z)}(dx^2 + dy^2)$. Then every immersion $f : (M, g) \to (\mathbb{R}^3, \langle , \rangle_1)$ which satisfies either conditions 1 or 2 given below represents a spacelike surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with constant mean curvature $H \neq 0$ and the maps $\Psi_t : (x, y) \to (x, y + t)$ form a one-parameter group of internal symmetries. Conversely every spacelike surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with continuous internal symmetry and constant mean curvature $H \neq 0$ arises in this way.

1

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_{xy} &= f_y + Hf^*f_x \\
    f_{yy} &= -f_x + Hf^*f_y \\
    f_{xx} + f_{yy} &= 2Hf^*f_y 
\end{align*}
\]

2

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_y &= E^*f \\
    \xi_y &= E^*\xi \\
    f_{xx} + f_{yy} &= 2Hf^*f_y 
\end{align*}
\]

where $E \in \mathbb{R}^3$

Some work has been covered with regard to the completeness of these surfaces but only partial results were found. These can be seen in chapter 12.
Theorem 1.5

Every Spacelike Minimal Surface (i.e., spacelike surface of constant mean curvature equal to zero) with continuous internal symmetry in Minkowski three space is, up to a hyperbolic motion, one of the following

1

\[ f(x, y) = \frac{l_1}{6}(x + r_2) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{r_1^2} + (x + r_2)^2 - 3y^2 \\ -6/ry \\ -3/r_1^2 + (x + r_2)^2 - 3y^2 \end{pmatrix} \] (11)

where \( l, r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R} \)

2

\[ f(x, y) = r_1 \begin{pmatrix} \sinh(-\epsilon y) \cos(\epsilon x + r_2) \\ \epsilon(x + r_2) \\ \cosh(-\epsilon y) \cos(\epsilon x + r_2) \end{pmatrix} \] (12)

where \( r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R} \)

3

\[ f(x, y) = -r_1 \begin{pmatrix} \sinh(\epsilon x + r_2) \cos y \\ -\sinh(\epsilon x + r_2) \sin y \\ -(\epsilon x + r_2) \end{pmatrix} \] (13)

where \( r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R} \)
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\[ f(x, y) = r_1 e^{-\alpha x} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{a_1}{r_1} \cos \alpha y \\ \cos \alpha y \cosh \epsilon y \sin (\epsilon x + r_2) + \sin \alpha y \sinh \epsilon y \sin (\epsilon x - r_2) \\ \cos \alpha y \sinh \epsilon y \sin (\epsilon x + r_2) + \sin \alpha y \cosh \epsilon y \sin (\epsilon x - r_2) \end{array} \right) \]

where \( a_1, r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R} \)

\[ f(x, y) = \frac{a_1 r_1 e^{-\alpha x}}{2} \left( \begin{array}{c} \left[ \frac{1}{r_1^2} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + (x + r_2)^2 - y^2 \right] \cos \alpha y + 2y(x + r_2) \sin \alpha y \\ \frac{2}{r_1} (-y \cos \alpha y + (x + r_2) \sin \alpha y) \\ \left[ -\frac{1}{r_1^2} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + (x + r_2)^2 - y^2 \right] \cos \alpha y + 2y(x + r_2) \sin \alpha y \end{array} \right) \]

where \( a_1, r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R} \)

\[ f(x, y) = \left( \begin{array}{c} r_1 \cos(-\alpha + \epsilon) y e^{-\alpha + \epsilon} x + r_2 \cos(\alpha + \epsilon) y e^{-(\alpha - \epsilon) x} \\ -r_1 \sin(-\alpha + \epsilon) y e^{-\alpha + \epsilon} x - r_2 \sin(\alpha + \epsilon) y e^{-(\alpha - \epsilon) x} \\ -\frac{a_1}{\epsilon} e^{-\alpha x} \cos \alpha y \end{array} \right) \]

where \( a_1, r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R} \)

\[ f(x, y) = \left( \begin{array}{c} r_2 e^{-2\alpha x} \cos (2\alpha y) - \frac{a_1}{2\alpha} x \\ -r_2 e^{-2\alpha x} \sin (2\alpha y) + \frac{a_1}{2\alpha} y \\ -\frac{a_1}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha x} \cos (\alpha y) \end{array} \right) \]

where \( a_1, r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R} \)
Chapter 2

The Lie Group $SO(2,1)$

We begin with some preliminary remarks on indefinite scalar product spaces (see, for example Nomizu [5])

**Theorem 2.1**

Suppose that $(,)_1$ is a bilinear form on a real vector space $V$ of dimension $n$. Then there exists a basis $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$ of $V$ such that

1. $(u_i, u_j)_1 = 0$ for $i \neq j$
2. $(u_i, u_i)_1 = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$
3. $(u_i, u_i)_1 = -1$ for $p + 1 \leq i \leq r$
4. $(u_i, u_i)_1 = 0$ for $r + 1 \leq i \leq n$

The numbers $r$ and $p$ are determined solely by the bilinear form, $r$ is called the rank, $r - p$ is called the index, and the ordered pair $(p, r - p)$ is called the signature. The theorem shows that any two spaces of the same dimension with bilinear forms of the same signature are isometrically isomorphic. By a scalar product we mean a nondegenerate bilinear form, i.e., a form with rank equal to the dimension of $V$. We let $U^\perp$ denote the orthogonal complement of a subspace $U$ with respect to the given scalar product.

**Theorem 2.2**

Suppose that $(,)_1$ is a scalar product on a finite dimensional real vector space $V$ and suppose that $U$ is a subspace of $V$, then

1. $(U^\perp)^\perp = U$ and $\dim U + \dim U^\perp = \dim V$
2. The form $(,)_1$ is nondegenerate on $U$ iff it is nondegenerate on $U^\perp$ and when it is nondegenerate on $U$, then $V = U \oplus U^\perp$ (the direct sum of $U$ and $U^\perp$)
3 If $V$ is the orthogonal direct sum of two subspaces $U$ and $W$, then the form is nondegenerate on $U$ and $W$, and $W = U^\perp$

Let $\langle , \rangle_1$ be the indefinite scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$\langle x, y \rangle_1 = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + \ldots + x_{n-1}y_{n-1} - x_ny_n,$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We call this space Minkowski n-space and the scalar product $\langle , \rangle_1$ shall be called the Minkowski metric.

A vector $x$ is said to be spacelike, timelike, or lightlike depending on whether $\langle x, x \rangle_1$ is positive, negative or zero, respectively. In Minkowski n-space the set of all lightlike vectors, given by the equation

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \ldots + x_{n-1}^2 = x_n^2,$$

forms a cone of revolution, called the light cone. Timelike vectors are "inside the cone" and spacelike vectors are "outside the cone".

If $x$ is a non-zero vector, let $x^\perp$ denote the orthogonal complement of $x$ with respect to the Minkowski metric. If $x$ is timelike, then the metric restricts to a positive definite form on $x^\perp$, and $x^\perp$ intersects the light cone only at the origin. If $x$ is spacelike, then the metric has signature $(n - 1, 1)$ on $x^\perp$, and $x^\perp$ intersects the cone in a cone of one dimension less. If $x$ is lightlike, then $x^\perp$ is tangent to the cone along the line through the origin determined by $x$. The metric has signature $(n - 1, 0)$ on this $n - 1$-dimensional plane.

Now, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we define

$$x \ast y = \begin{pmatrix} x_3y_2 - x_2y_3 \\ x_1y_3 - x_3y_1 \\ x_1y_2 - x_2y_1 \end{pmatrix}$$
Remark

\( x * y \) is just the usual cross product \( x \times y \) with the first two components negated. It can easily be verified that the following conditions hold:

- \( x * y = -y * x \)
- \( x * (y + z) = x * y + x * z \)
- \( (x + y) * z = x * z + y * z \)
- For every \( r \in \mathbb{R} \), \( rx * y = (rx) * y = x * (ry) \)
- \( x * (y * z) + y * (z * x) + z * (x * y) = 0 \)

So that \((\mathbb{R}^3, *)\) is a Lie Algebra with bracket product

\[
[x, y] = x * y
\]

As usual for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) we define \( ad x \in \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^3) \), the endomorphisms of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), by

\[
(ad x)y = [x, y]
\]

Then the matrix representation of \( ad x \) relative to the standard basis for \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) is

\[
ad x = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & x_3 & -x_2 \\
-x_3 & 0 & x_1 \\
-x_2 & x_1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Orthogonal Groups

Definition:

\[
O(2, 1) = \{ \text{linear } \Theta : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3 \mid (\Theta x, \Theta y)_1 = (x, y)_1 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3 \}
\]

\[
SO(2, 1) = \text{connected component to the identity of } O(2, 1)
\]

\[
= \{ \Theta \in O(2, 1) \mid \det \Theta = 1 \text{ and } \text{sign}(e_3, \Theta e_3)_1 = -1 \}
\]
These are Lie Groups in the usual way. The Lie Algebra of $SO(2,1)$ is given by

$$SO(2,1) = \{ 3 \times 3 \text{ matrices } A \mid e^A \in SO(2,1) \},$$

where $e^A$ is the usual exponential of the matrix $A$. To obtain a more explicit description of $SO(2,1)$ observe that $A \in SO(2,1)$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \langle e^{tA}x, e^{tA}y \rangle_1 = \langle x, y \rangle_1 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3 \, , \, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle e^{tA}x, e^{tA}y \rangle_1 \bigg|_{t=0} = 0$$

$$\langle Ax, y \rangle_1 + \langle x, Ay \rangle_1 = 0 \quad (21)$$

That is, $A$ is skew-symmetric relative to $\langle , \rangle_1$. Thus, it is clear that $A$ being skew-symmetric is a necessary and sufficient condition for $A \in SO(2,1)$

For any $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^T \in \mathbb{R}^3$, define $x^\dagger = (x_1, x_2, ix_3)^T \in \mathbb{C}^3$ where $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and $T$ denotes transpose, then

$$\langle x, y \rangle_1 = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 - x_3y_3 = (x^\dagger)^T y^\dagger$$

For

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

define

$$A^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & -ia_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & -ia_{23} \\ ia_{31} & ia_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

then

$$A^\dagger x^\dagger = (Ax)^\dagger$$

9
and

\[(A^T)^T = ((A^{\dagger})^T)^{\dagger}\]

At this stage it is worth alerting the reader to the remark made on page 11:

**Lemma 2.3**

If \(A\) is a 3 \(\times\) 3 matrix and \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3\), then

\[
\langle Ax, y \rangle_1 = \langle x, (A^{\dagger})^T y \rangle_1
\]

**Proof:**

\[
\langle Ax, y \rangle_1 = (Ax)^T y^\dagger = (A^T x^\dagger)^T y^\dagger
\]

\[
= (x^\dagger)^T (A^T)^T y^\dagger = (x^\dagger)^T (A^T)^T y^\dagger
\]

\[
= (x^\dagger)^T \left( (A^{\dagger})^T \right)^\dagger y^\dagger = (x^\dagger)^T \left( (A^{\dagger})^T y \right)^\dagger
\]

\[
= \langle x, (A^{\dagger})^T y \rangle_1
\]

**Lemma 2.4**

\(SO(2,1)\) is the set of all 3 \(\times\) 3 real matrices \(A\) of the form

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & a_3 & -a_2 \\
-a_3 & 0 & a_1 \\
-a_2 & a_1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Proof.

Using Lemma 2.3 and (2.1) we see that if \( A \in \text{SO}(2,1) \) then

\[
A = -(A^+)^T
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
  a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
  a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
  -a_{11} & -a_{21} & -a_{31} \\
  -a_{12} & -a_{22} & -a_{32} \\
  -a_{13} & -a_{23} & -a_{33}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and hence we have that

\[
a_{11} = a_{22} = a_{33} = 0
\]

and

\[
a_{21} = -a_{12}, \quad a_{31} = a_{13}, \quad a_{32} = a_{23}
\]

Thus if \( A \in \text{SO}(2,1) \)

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
  0 & a_3 & -a_2 \\
  -a_3 & 0 & a_1 \\
  -a_2 & a_1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

for some \( a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \)

which is the matrix representation of \( ad \ a \), for some \( a \) relative to the standard basis for \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Thus \( ad : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \text{SO}(2,1) \) \( x \to ad \ x \) is a Lie Algebra isomorphism. We note that

\[
\langle (ad \ n)x, y \rangle_1 = -\langle x, (ad \ n)y \rangle_1
\]

and

\[
\langle x \ast y, z \rangle_1 = \langle -y \ast x, z \rangle_1 = \langle -(ad \ y)x, z \rangle_1
\]

\[
= \langle x, (ad \ y)z \rangle_1 = \langle x, y \ast z \rangle_1
\]
Remark

Both $x$ and $y$ are orthogonal to $x \star y$, because

$$\langle x \star y, y \rangle_1 = \langle x, y \star y \rangle_1 = 0$$
and similarly

$$\langle x \star y, x \rangle_1 = 0$$

Lemma 2.5

For $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$x \star (y \star z) = (x, y)_1 z - (x, z)_1 y$$

Proof:

If $y$ and $z$ are linearly dependent then the result is trivial as both sides are zero. We now fix $y$ and $z$ and assume they are linearly independent. The vector $x \star (y \star z)$ is orthogonal to $y \star z$ and therefore lies in the plane spanned by $y$ and $z$, accordingly,

$$x \star (y \star z) = \alpha_{yz}(x)y + \beta_{yz}(x)z$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

for some $\alpha_{yz}(x), \beta_{yz}(x) \in \mathbb{R}$. Also the map $\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3 \ x \to x \star (y \star z)$ is linear, hence the maps

$$\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R} \ x \to \alpha_{yz}(x)$$
$$\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R} \ x \to \beta_{yz}(x)$$

are linear, so there exists an $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^3$, which depend on $y$ and $z$ but not $x$, such that

$$\alpha_{yz}(x) = \langle A, x \rangle_1 \text{ and } \beta_{yz}(x) = \langle B, x \rangle_1$$

and so

$$x \star (y \star z) = \langle A, x \rangle_1 y + \langle B, x \rangle_1 z \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)
Setting \( x = y \ast z \) in (2.3), we obtain

\[
0 = (y \ast z) \ast (y \ast z) = (A, y \ast z)_1y + (B, y \ast z)_1z
\]

Hence \( (A, y \ast z)_1 = (B, y \ast z)_1 = 0 \) and we can write

\[
A = a_1y + a_2z
\]

\[
B = b_1y + b_2z
\]

for some \( a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R} \), \( 1 \leq i \leq 2 \) which may depend on \( y \) and \( z \). Substitution into (2.3) gives

\[
x \ast (y \ast z) = (a_1y + a_2z, x)_1y + (b_1y + b_2z, x)_1z
\]

and it follows that

\[
0 = (x \ast (y \ast z), x)_1 = (a_1y + a_2z, x)_1(y, x)_1 + (b_1y + b_2z, x)_1(z, x)_1
\]

(2.5)

now choose \( x \mathcal{L} y, x \not\mathcal{L} z \) in (2.5), where \( \mathcal{L} \) means "orthogonal to", then

\[
(b_1y + b_2z, x)_1 = 0 \Rightarrow b_2(z, x)_1 = 0 \Rightarrow b_2 = 0
\]

choose \( x \not\mathcal{L} y, x \mathcal{L} z \) in (2.5), then

\[
(a_1y + a_2z, x)_1 = 0 \Rightarrow a_1(y, x)_1 = 0 \Rightarrow a_1 = 0
\]

\[
\Rightarrow 0 = (a_2 + b_1)(z, x)_1(y, x)_1 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3
\]

(2.6)

if we now choose an \( x \) which is \( \mathcal{L} \) to neither \( y \) nor \( z \) in (2.6), we obtain

\[
0 = (a_2 + b_1) \Rightarrow b_1 = -a_2
\]
and substituting this result into \((2.4)\) we obtain

\[ x \ast (y \ast z) = a_2[<z, x>_1 y - <y, x>_1 z] \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (2.7) \]

Now let \(x = z\) and substitute this into \((2.7)\)

\[ z \ast (y \ast z) = a_2[\|z\|^2 y - (y, z)_1 z] \]

\[ <y, z \ast (y \ast z)>_1 = a_2[\|z\|^2 \|y\|^2 - (y, z)_1^2] \]

\[ ((y \ast z), (y \ast z))_1 = -a_2[(y, z)_1^2 - \|z\|^2 \|y\|^2] \]

finally, expanding componentwise we see

\[ ((y \ast z), (y \ast z))_1 = \|y \ast z\|^2 = (y, z)_1^2 - \|z\|^2 \|y\|^2 \quad (2.8) \]

hence \(a_2 = -1\) and \((2.7)\) gives the required result

**Lemma 2.6**

*If the spacelike vectors \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3\) satisfy*

\[ <x, y>_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad <x, x>_1 = <y, y>_1 = e^\phi \quad \text{for some} \ \phi \in \mathbb{R} \]

*and if we define \(\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3\) by*

\[ \xi = \frac{1}{e^\phi} x \ast y = e^{-\phi} \begin{pmatrix} x_3y_2 - x_2y_3 \\ x_1y_3 - x_3y_1 \\ x_1y_2 - x_2y_1 \end{pmatrix} \]
then the following statements hold

1. \( \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_1 = -1 \)
2. the matrix \( A = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\phi/2}x & e^{-\phi/2}y & \xi \end{bmatrix} \in O(2,1) \)
3. \( \xi \ast x = -y \) and \( \xi \ast y = x \)

\section*{Proof}

1. 
\[
\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_1 = e^{-2\phi} (x \ast y, x \ast y)_1
\]
\[
= e^{-2\phi} ((x, y)_1^2 - \|x\|^2 \|y\|^2) \quad \text{by (2.8)}
\]
\[
= -e^{-2\phi} e^\phi e^\phi
\]
\[
= -1
\]

2. Since
\[
A = e^{-\phi/2} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & e^{\phi/2} \xi_1 \\ x_2 & y_2 & e^{\phi/2} \xi_2 \\ x_3 & y_3 & e^{\phi/2} \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}
\]
we have
\[
(\hat{A})^T = e^{\phi/2} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & -x_3 \\ y_1 & y_2 & -y_3 \\ -e^{\phi/2} \xi_1 & -e^{\phi/2} \xi_2 & e^{\phi/2} \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}
\]
and hence

\[
\left( A^T \right)^T A = e^{-\phi} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & -x_3 \\ y_1 & y_2 & -y_3 \\ -e^{x/2} & -e^{y/2} & e^{y/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & e^{x/2} \\ x_2 & y_2 & e^{y/2} \\ x_3 & y_3 & e^{y/2} \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= I
\]

For any \( u, v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \)

\[
\langle Au, Av \rangle_1 = \langle u, \left( A^T \right)^T Av \rangle_1 = \langle u, v \rangle_1
\]

and hence \( A \in O(2, 1) \)

Note \( \det(A) = e^{-\phi} \langle x * y, \xi \rangle_1 = -\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_1 = 1 \)

Furthermore

\[
\langle Ae_3, Ae_3 \rangle_1 = \langle e_3, e_3 \rangle_1 = -1,
\]

so that the \( x_3 \)-component of \( Ae_3 \) cannot be zero. Hence \( \langle e_3, Ae_3 \rangle_1 \neq 0 \) Now

\[
sign(e_3, Ae_3)_1 = sign(\langle e_3, \xi \rangle_1) = sign(-\xi_3) = -sign(\xi_3)
\]

Thus \( A \) is in the connected component of the identity (or equivalently \( A \in SO(2, 1) \)) providing \( \xi_3 > 0 \) Therefore either

\[
\begin{bmatrix} e^{-\phi/2}x & e^{-\phi/2}y & x \ast y \end{bmatrix} \in SO(2, 1)
\]
or
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  e^{-\phi/2}y & e^{-\phi/2}x & y * x
\end{bmatrix} \in SO(2,1)
\]
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\[\xi * x = e^{-\phi}(x * y) * x\]

\[= -e^{-\phi}x * (x * y)\]

\[= -e^{-\phi}((x, x)_1 y - (x, y)_1 x)\]

\[= -e^{-\phi}(e^\phi y) \quad (as (x, y)_1 = 0)\]

\[= -y\]

Similarly,

\[\xi * y = e^{-\phi}(x * y) * y\]

\[= -e^{-\phi}y * (x * y)\]

\[= -e^{-\phi}((y, x)_1 y - (y, y)_1 x)\]

\[= -e^{-\phi}(-e^\phi x) \quad (as (x, y)_1 = 0)\]

\[= x\]

Lemma 2.7

Let \(\Theta(t) \in SO(2,1)\) for all \(t \in \mathbb{R}\) Then

\[\Theta(\Theta^{tt})^T = ad \eta(t)\]

where \(\eta(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3\) for all \(t \in \mathbb{R}\)
Proof.

We need to show that
\[
\left( \left\{ \Theta (\Theta^t)^T \right\}^t \right)^T = -\Theta (\Theta^t)^T
\]

We first note that for all 3 x 3 matrices X, Y we have
\[
(XY)^t = X^t Y^t
\]
and
\[
(X^t)^t = (X^t)^T
\]
so that
\[
\left[ \left\{ \Theta (\Theta^t)^T \right\}^t \right]^T = \left[ \Theta^t((\Theta^t)^t)^t \right]^T
\]
\[
= \left[ \Theta^t((\Theta^t)^t)^t \right]^T
\]
\[
= \left[ \Theta^t \Theta^t \right]^T
\]
\[
= \Theta (\Theta^t)^T
\]

As \( \Theta \in SO(2,1) \) we have by lemma 2.3 that \( \Theta (\Theta^t)^T = I \) so that \( \Theta (\Theta^t)^T + \Theta (\Theta^t)^T = 0 \) i.e.
\[
\Theta (\Theta^t)^T = -\Theta (\Theta^t)^T
\]
Hence
\[
\left( \left\{ \Theta (\Theta^t)^T \right\}^t \right)^T = -\Theta (\Theta^t)^T
\]
and the lemma is proved.
Chapter 3

The Gauss-Weingarten equations in Minkowski space

As we are isometrically mapping a two dimensional manifold into Minkowski three space as opposed to Euclidean three space we find that there are some subtle changes in the preliminary stages.

Let $M$ denote a simply connected oriented 2 dimensional manifold and let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a space-like immersion, that is for all $p \in M$ and $X_p \in T_pM$, $\text{ker}(f_*)_p = \{0\}$ and $(f_*)_pX$ is space-like (where subscript $*$ means the derivative) Thus $f$ induces a Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$, the pull back $g = (f)^*(\langle , \rangle_1)$, of the scalar product $\langle , \rangle_1$ in Minkowski three space, that is $g(X, Y) = \langle f_*X, f_*Y \rangle$. Hence $M$ is a Riemannian manifold and $f: (M, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^3, \langle , \rangle_1)$ is an isometric immersion. We note that $g$ is positive definite since $f$ is spacelike.

There exists local coordinates $(x, y)$ on $M$ called isothermal coordinates which satisfy the conditions

$$g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) = 0$$

With isothermal coordinates $(x, y)$ defined in some neighbourhood of $p \in M$ we may write $g = e^{\phi(x,y)}(dx^2 + dy^2)$ for some positive function $e^\phi$. For each $p \in M$ there is a local mapping $\xi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, defined by

$$\xi(p) = e^{-\phi(p)} f_x(p) \ast f_y(p)$$

that satisfies the following equations

$$\langle f_x, \xi \rangle_1 = \langle f_y, \xi \rangle_1 = 0$$
The Gauss-Weingarten equations in Minkowski space

\[ \det[f_x, f_y, \xi] > 0 \]

and

\[ \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_1 = -1 \]

where \( f_x, f_y \) represent the partial derivative of \( f \) with respect to \( x \) and \( y \) respectively.

As defined \( \xi \) is called the gauss map.

For all smooth vector fields \( Y \) on \( M \) and \( X_p \in T_p M \) we define

\[
\nabla_{X_p} Y \in T_p M \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_p(X_p, Y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad \text{by} \quad X_p(Yf) = (f)_p(\nabla_{X_p} Y) + \Pi_p(X_p, Y) \xi
\]

Suppose \( X \) and \( Y \) are smooth vector fields on \( M \) then

\[
X_p(Yf) = (f)_p(\nabla_{X_p} Y) + \Pi_p(X_p, Y) \xi
\]

\[
Y_p(Xf) = (f)_p(\nabla_{Y_p} X) + \Pi_p(Y_p, X) \xi
\]

and subtracting we find

\[
f_*[X, Y] = (X_p Y - Y_p X)f = (f)_p(\nabla_{X_p} Y - \nabla_{Y_p} X) + (\Pi_p(X_p, Y) - \Pi_p(Y_p, X)) \xi
\]

thus

\[
\nabla_{X_p} Y - \nabla_{Y_p} X = [X, Y]_p
\]

\[
\Pi_p(X_p, Y) = \Pi_p(Y_p, X)
\]

Accordingly we obtain a symmetric bilinear form \( \Pi_p : T_p M \times T_p M \to \mathbb{R} \) \( (X_p, Y_p) \to \Pi_p(X_p, Y_p) \) where \( \Pi_p(X_p, Y_p) = \Pi_p(X_p, Y) \) for all smooth vector fields \( Y \) with value \( Y_p \) at \( p \). \( \Pi_p(\cdot) \) is called the second fundamental form on \( M \) at \( p \).
Locally we have $\xi$ satisfying the following

$$\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_1 = -1$$

$$X_p(\xi, \xi)_1 = 0$$

$$2(X_p \xi, \xi)_1 = 0$$

thus $X_p \xi$ is orthogonal to $\xi$ implying $X_p \xi$ is tangent to $f(M)$ at $f(p)$, so

$$\xi_*(X) = X_p \xi = (f_*)_p(A_p X_p) \quad \text{for some } A_p X_p \in T_p M \quad (3.2)$$

Note $\xi_*$ and $f_*$ are linear maps and therefore $A_p : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M \quad X \rightarrow A_p X$ is also linear. We note the absence of the minus sign as is usual in the case of immersions into Euclidean space.

For all smooth vector fields $Y$ on $M$ we have

$$0 = \langle Yf, \xi \rangle_1$$

$$0 = X_p(Yf, \xi)_1$$

$$= \langle X_p(Yf), \xi \rangle_1 + \langle Y_p f, X_p \xi \rangle_1$$

$$= \langle (f_*)_p(\nabla_{X_p} Y) + II_p(X_p, Y_p) \xi, \xi \rangle_1 + \langle Y_p f, (f_*)_p(A_p X_p) \rangle_1$$

$$= II_p(X_p, Y_p)(\xi, \xi)_1 + \langle (f_*)_p Y_p, (f_*)_p(A_p X_p) \rangle_1$$

$$= -II_p(X_p, Y_p) + g_p(Y_p, A_p X_p)$$

Thus we have

$$II_p(X_p, Y_p) = g_p(Y_p, A_p X_p)$$
but $II_p(\cdot)$ is symmetric and bilinear thus $g_p(AX, Y) = g_p(X, AY)$ showing that $A$ is symmetric with respect to $g$. Therefore from (3.1) we have

$$X_p(Yf) = (f_s)_p(\nabla_X Y) + g_p(Y_p, A_p X_p)\xi$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

(3.3) and (3.2) are called the Gauss-Weingarten equations

**Remark:**

In addition to

$$\nabla_{X_p} Y - \nabla_{Y_p} X = [X, Y]_p$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

it is easy to check that $\nabla$ satisfies

1. $\nabla_{\alpha X_p + \beta Y_p} Z = \alpha \nabla_{X_p} Z + \beta \nabla_{Y_p} Z \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad X_p, Y_p \in T_p M$, and $Z$ a smooth vector field

2. $\nabla_{X_p}(Yf) = (X_p f)Y + f(p)\nabla_{X_p} Y \quad \forall X_p \in T_p M, f \quad M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, and $Y$ a smooth vector field

3. $\nabla_{X_p}(Y + Z) = \nabla_{X_p} Y + \nabla_{X_p} Z \quad \forall X_p \in T_p M$, and $Y, Z$ smooth vector fields

as well as

$$Zg(X, Y) = g(\nabla_Z X, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Z Y)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

Therefore $\nabla$ is the unique Levi-Civita connection determined by the Riemannian metric $g$. 
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Chapter 3: The Gauss-Weingarten equations in Minkowski space
Using the Gauss-Weingarten equations we establish the following results, the proof which can be seen in Appendix A

1. \[ g_p(R(X_p, Y_p)Y_p, X_p) = -\det(A_p) \]
   where \( R(X, Y)Z \) is the curvature tensor, defined as
   \[ R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z \]
   Note the minus sign (i.e. \(-\det A\)) which does not appear when mapping into Euclidean space.

2. \((\nabla_X A)Y = (\nabla_Y A)X\) called Codazzi’s equation where
   \[ (\nabla_X A)Y = \nabla_X (AY) - A \nabla_X Y \]

There exists functions \( \Gamma^k_{ij} \) defined near \( p \in M \) so that \( \nabla (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \in T_p M \) can be expressed as
   \[ \nabla (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{k=1}^2 \Gamma^k_{ij}(p)(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k})_p, \quad i, j = 1, 2 \]
   That is the \( \Gamma^k_{ij} \)'s are just the components of \( \nabla (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \) relative to the coordinates \( (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1})_p, (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2})_p \) for \( T_p M \). These \( \Gamma^k_{ij} \)'s are called the Christoffel symbols.

In the case when \( M \) has locally defined isothermal coordinates \( x_1, x_2 \) i.e.
   \[ g(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}) = g(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}) = e^{\phi(x,y)} \]
   \[ g(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}) = 0 \]
   Then
   \[ \Gamma^1_{11} = \frac{1}{2} \phi_x, \quad \Gamma^1_{12} = -\frac{1}{2} \phi_x, \quad \Gamma^1_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \phi_y, \quad \Gamma^1_{21} = \frac{1}{2} \phi_y \]
   \[ \Gamma^2_{11} = -\frac{1}{2} \phi_y, \quad \Gamma^2_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \phi_y, \quad \Gamma^2_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \phi_x, \quad \Gamma^2_{21} = \frac{1}{2} \phi_x \]

\textbf{Proof} : see Appendix B
Chapter 4

Isometric deformations and the drehriss

Let $M$ be defined as in the chapter 3 and let $f^t$ be a one parameter group of isometric immersions mapping $M$ into $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then for each $t$, we may assume that $f^t$ induces the same Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$, i.e. $g = (f^t)^*(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1)$ is independent of $t$. Hence for each $t$, $f^t : (M, g^t) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^3, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1)$ is an isometric immersion.

We now follow the approach of Burns and Clancy [1] with the appropriate changes.

Fix a $p \in M$ and consider the moving frame

$$\Omega_p^t = [f^t_x(p), f^t_y(p), \xi^t(p)]$$

along the curve $t \rightarrow f^t(p) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ where

$$\xi^t(p) = e^{-\phi} f^t_x(p) \ast f^t_y(p)$$

For each $t$, $f^t$ is an isometric immersion so there exists $\Theta_p^t \in SO(2,1)$, depending smoothly on $t$ such that $\Omega_p^t = \Theta_p^t \Omega_p^0$ and, therefore, taking the $t$-derivative with $( = d/dt), (\Theta_p^t)^T$ denoting the transpose of $\Theta_p^t$ and $(\Theta_p^t)^\dagger$ as defined in the preliminaries we have using lemma 2.7 that

$$\Omega_p^t = \Theta_p^t \Omega_p^0 = \Theta_p^t [((\Theta_p^t)^\dagger)^T \Theta_p^t] \Omega_p^0$$

$$= [\Theta_p^t (\Theta_p^t)^\dagger)^T] \Omega_p^t = (\text{ad} \eta^t(p)) \Omega_p^t$$

for some uniquely determined $\eta^t(p) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. So for each "time" $t$ we obtain a map

$$M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \quad p \mapsto \eta^t(p)$$

called the drehriss of the deformation $f^t$ at time $t$. 
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Now if we express the formula $\Omega_p^t = (\text{ad} \eta^t(p)) \Omega_p^t$ in component form we obtain the fundamental equations

\begin{align*}
  f_x^t(p) &= \eta^t(p) \ast f_x^t(p) \\
  f_y^t(p) &= \eta^t(p) \ast f_y^t(p) \\
  \xi^t(p) &= \eta^t(p) \ast \xi^t(p)
\end{align*}

(4.1)

Furthermore, since $f_{xy} = f_{yx}$ it follows from equations (4.1) that

$$\eta_x \ast f_y = \eta_y \ast f_x$$

and consequently

$$\langle \eta_y, \xi \rangle_1 e^\theta = \langle \eta_y, f_x \ast f_y \rangle_1 = \langle \eta_y \ast f_x, f_y \rangle_1
= \langle \eta_x \ast f_y, f_y \rangle_1 = 0$$

Thus, with a similar argument applied to $\langle \eta_z, \xi \rangle_1$, we obtain

$$\langle \eta_x, \xi \rangle_1 = \langle \eta_y, \xi \rangle_1 = 0 \quad (4.2)$$

**Proposition 4.1**

*If $J$ is the complex structure on $M$ which is compatible with the metric $g$ and the orientation, then*

$$\eta_* = -f_* \circ J \circ A$$

**Proof:**

If we put $(x^1, x^2) = (x, y), f_1 = f_x, f_2 = f_y$ and we use the summation convention,
then at \( p \) we have

\[
\xi_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x^j}
\]

\[
= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_*(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j})
\]

\[
= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (a^i_j) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}
\]

\[
= a^i_j f_i + a^i_j f_i,
\]

\[
= f_*(a_j^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) + a^i_j f_i.
\]

On the other hand, using equations (4.1) we have

\[
\xi_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} (\eta * \xi)
\]

\[
= \eta_j * \xi + \eta * \xi_j
\]

\[
= -\xi * \eta_j + \eta * a^i_j f_i
\]

\[
= -\xi * \eta_j + a^i_j f_i.
\]

Comparing these two expressions for \( \xi_j \), we obtain

\[
\xi * \eta_j = -f_*(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j})
\]

and, hence

\[
\xi * (\xi * \eta_j) = -\xi * f_*(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j})
\]

Recall from the preliminaries that \( \xi * f_x = -f_y \) and \( \xi * f_y = f_x \) and so

\[
\xi * (\xi * \eta_j) = f_*(J A \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j})
\]

since \( f \) is an isometric immersion preserving the orientation. It now follows from
lemma 2.5 that
\[ \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_1 \eta_j - \langle \xi, \eta_j \rangle_1 \xi = f_* (J A \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}) \]
so using equation (4.2) and the fact that $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_1 = -1$ we obtain

\[ \eta_* \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = -(f_* \circ J \circ A) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \quad j = 1, 2 \]

which proves the proposition.
In this section we list some of the results found by Burns and Clancy [1] and include them for completeness only. Suppose that $f^t : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is an isometric deformation which (as $t$ varies) preserves mean curvature at $p \in M$. The eigenvalues $\lambda_1(p) \leq \lambda_2(p)$ of $A^t$ the second fundamental form, are just the roots of the equation

$$\lambda^2 - 2H(p)\lambda - K(p) = 0$$

where $H(p) = (1/2) \text{Tr} A^t_p$ is the mean curvature of $f^t$ at $p$ and $K(p)$ is the Gauss curvature at $p$. These eigenvalues are independent of $t$ and therefore, when $p$ is not an umbilic (i.e., $\lambda_1(p) \neq \lambda_2(p)$), there exists a unique $\Theta(p,t) \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that

$$A^t_p = e^{\Theta(p,t)J}A^0_p e^{-\Theta(p,t)J}$$

where $J$ is as described in proposition 4.1. Also, when $p$ is an umbilic we can choose $\Theta(p,t)$ arbitrarily. Furthermore, since $A^0_p$ is symmetric and $J$ is skew-symmetric with respect to $g$

$$(A^t - HI)_p = e^{2\Theta(p,t)J}(A^0 - HI)_p$$

(5.1)

**Proposition 5.1**

Let $f^0 : M \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^3, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1)$ be an isometric immersion having constant mean curvature $H$. If $f^t : (M, g) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is an isometric deformation of $f^0$ which preserves this constant mean curvature, then there exists a smooth function $t \mapsto \psi(t)$, depending on $t$ only, such that

$$(A^t - HI)_p = e^{\psi(t)J}(A^0 - HI)_p$$
for every \( p \in M \) and the drehriss for this deformation is given by

\[
\eta^t(p) = \psi(t)[\xi^t(p) - Hf^t(p)] + E^t
\]

where \( E^t \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) does not depend on \( p \)

**Proof:**

Given that each immersion \( f^t \) is of constant mean curvature \( H \), i.e. \( \text{Tr} A^t = 2H \) for all \( t \) and \( g = e^{\phi(x,y)}(dx^2 + dy^2) \), we may write \( A^t \) in terms of local isothermal coordinates \((x,y)\) on \( M \) as follows

\[
A^t = \begin{pmatrix}
a_{11}^t & a_{12}^t \\
a_{12}^t & a_{22}^t
\end{pmatrix}
\]

It can now be shown that Codazzi's equation for \( A^t \) is equivalent to the Cauchy-Riemann equations for the complex function

\[
\Psi^t(x + iy) = ((a_{11}^t - a_{22}^t) - 2ia_{12}^t)e^\phi
\]

This was first observed by H Hopf and a proof can be seen in Appendix C. We note that the umbilics of the immersion \( f^t \) (which are the zeros of \( \Psi^t \)) are isolated. Note, we rule out the case \( \Psi^t \equiv 0 \) since this corresponds to the immersed surface being a portion of the standard hyperbolic sphere in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Therefore, the function \( \Theta(p, t) \) in equation (5.1) is a smooth function defined for all \( p \in M \) except for these isolated umbilics. If we fix \( t \) and recall that \( \nabla J = 0 \), then by applying Codazzi's equation to both sides of equation (5.1) we find that since

\[
(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}}(A^t - HI)_p) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = (\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}}(A^t - HI)_p) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}
\]

we must have

\[
(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} e^{2\Theta(p,t)} J(A^0 - HI)_p) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = (\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}} e^{2\Theta(p,t)} J(A^0 - HI)_p) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}
\]
and so
\[ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} \left( e^{2\Theta(p,t)}J(A^0 - H I)_p \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) - e^{2\Theta(p,t)}J(A^0 - H I)_p \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \]
\[ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}} \left( e^{2\Theta(p,t)}J(A^0 - H I)_p \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) - e^{2\Theta(p,t)}J(A^0 - H I)_p \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \]

implying
\[ 2J\Theta_x e^{2\Theta(p,t)}J(A^0 - H I)_p \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + e^{2\Theta(p,t)}J \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} \left( A^0 - H I \right)_p \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \]
\[ 2J\Theta_y e^{2\Theta(p,t)}J(A^0 - H I)_p \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + e^{2\Theta(p,t)}J \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}} \left( A^0 - H I \right)_p \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \]

Hence \( \Theta_x = \Theta_y = 0 \) i.e. \( \Theta \) is independent of \( p \) Accordingly, the first statement follows if we put \( \psi(t) = 2\Theta(p,t) \) For the second statement we take the \( t \)-derivative (with \( p \) fixed) across the equation

\[ (A^t - H I)_p = e^{\psi(t)}J(A^0 - H I)_p \]

to obtain
\[ A^t_p = \psi(t) J e^{\psi(t)}J(A^0 - H I)_p = \psi(t) J(A^t - H I)_p \]

and from proposition 4.1 we have
\[ \eta_* = -f_* \circ J A = -f_* \circ J(\psi J(A - H I)) = \psi f_* \circ (A - H I) = \psi (f_* \circ A - H f_*) = \psi (\xi_* - H f_*) = \psi (\xi - H f)_* \]

from which the second statement follows.
Chapter 6

The manifold

Throughout this section we will assume that the simply-connected Riemann Surface $M$ with metric $g$ admits a 1-parameter group of isometries.

A one parameter group of isometries of $M$ is a family $\{\Phi_t : M \to M | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of mappings with the following three properties:

a. $\{\Phi_t : M \to M | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a group under composition $\Phi_t \circ \Phi_s = \Phi_{t+s}$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Phi_0 = \text{id}$

b. For all $p \in M$ the mapping $t \mapsto \Phi_t(p)$ is differentiable

c. For all $t \in \mathbb{R}, \Phi_t : M \to M$ is an isometry

The uniformization theorem states that every simply connected Riemann surface is conformally equivalent to just one of

1. The Riemann Sphere $S^2$
2. The Complex Plane $\mathbb{C}$
3. The Open Unit Disk $\mathbb{U}$

hence there exists a conformal diffeomorphism $F : \Delta \to M$ where $\Delta = S^2, \mathbb{C}, \text{ or } \mathbb{U}$, each equipped with its standard metric.

Let $\tilde{g} = F^*(g)$ be the metric induced on $\Delta$ by $F$. Then $F : (\Delta, \tilde{g}) \to (M, g)$ is isometric. The one parameter group $\{\Phi_t : M \to M | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of isometries of $M$ induces a one parameter group $\{\psi_t : \Delta \to \Delta | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of isometries of $\Delta$. Hence we let $\Delta$ equal $S^2, \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{U}$ and investigate all one parameter groups of isometries.
If $\Delta = S^2$ then $f$ immerses $M$ into a piece of the hyperbolic 2-sphere, a proof of this is found in Appendix E. If $\Delta \neq S^2$ then we may assume (see Smyth [6] for example) that after a conformal change in the model, the group $\psi_t$ is one of the following

(a1) all rotations about a fixed point of $U$ (the origin 0, say)
(a2) all automorphisms of $U$ fixing one boundary point ($z = 1$, say)
(a3) all automorphisms of $U$ fixing two boundary points ($z = \pm 1$, say)
(b1) all translations in a fixed direction of $C$ (the $y$-axis, say)
(b2) all rotations about a fixed point of $C$ (the origin 0, say)

Now under the covering map $z \rightarrow e^z$, the regions in (a1) and (b2) correspond to the half-plane $Re(z) < 0$ and $C$, respectively, and the group of translations parallel to the $y$-axis. If we transform the disk $U$ into the half plane $Re(z) < 0$ so that 1 is transformed to $\infty$ then the group in (a2) must transform into the group of translations parallel to the $y$-axis. If we transform the disk $U$ into the strip $a < Re(z) < b$ so that $\pm 1$ are transformed to $y = \pm \infty$ then the group in (a3) must transform into the group of translations parallel to the $y$-axis.

Let $V = \{z \in C | \sigma < Re(z) < \tau\}$. Then for each of the regions $R$ occurring in the cases above there is a conformal mapping $\pi : V \rightarrow R$ such that $\psi_t$ pulls back under $\pi$ to the group of vertical translations of $V$.
The relevant information for the five cases is as follows

(a1) $(\sigma, \tau) = (-\infty, 1)$, $\pi$ is a covering map onto $D - \{0\}$ and $\pi\{x = -\infty\} = 0$

(a2) $(\sigma, \tau) = (-\infty, 1)$, $\pi$ is a diffeomorphism

(a3) $(\sigma, \tau) = (a, b)$, $\pi$ is a diffeomorphism and $a, b$ are any fixed constants of our choosing

(b1) $(\sigma, \tau) = (-\infty, \infty)$, $\pi$ is a diffeomorphism

(b2) $(\sigma, \tau) = (-\infty, \infty)$, $\pi$ is a covering map onto $C - \{0\}$ and $\pi\{x = -\infty\} = 0$

The quantities arising on $V$ from the induced immersion $f \circ \pi$ are denoted by the same letters as before. Thus $g = e^{|dz|^2}$, the function $\phi$ depends only on $x$ and we assume $M = \{z \in C | \sigma < Re(z) < \tau\}$ with $\psi_t$ the group of vertical translations of $M$. 
Chapter 7

CMC-Surfaces with Internal Symmetry

Throughout this section we will assume that $M$ is a simply-connected surface with Riemannian metric $g$ which admits a 1-parameter group of isometries

$$\psi^t : (M, g) \rightarrow (M, g)$$

We wish to classify all isometric immersions

$$f : (M, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^3, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1)$$

which have constant mean curvature. To this end observe that the 1-parameter family of immersions

$$f^t : (M, g) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \quad p \mapsto f(\psi^t(p))$$

is an isometric deformation of $f = f^0$ which preserves the constant mean curvature $H$. Now, since we may assume that conformally

$$(M, g) = (\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid a < x < b\} \text{ for some } a, b \in \mathbb{R}, e^{\phi(x)}(dx^2 + dy^2))$$

and the isometry $\psi^t(x, y) = (x, y + t)$ for all $t$ therefore for all $x, y$ and $t$ we have

$$f^t(x, y) = f^0(x, y + t)$$
$$\xi^t(x, y) = \xi^0(x, y + t)$$
$$A^t(x, y) = A^0(x, y + t)$$

In particular, $A^t(x, 0) = A^0(x, t) \ \forall (x, t)$ and combining this with proposition 5.1 we obtain

$$(A^0 - HI)_{(x, t)} = (A^t - HI)_{(x, 0)} = e^{\psi^t_J}(A^0 - HI)_{(x, 0)}$$
If we replace $t$ by $y$, then

$$(A^0 - HI)_{(x,y)} = e^{y(y)}J(A^0 - HI)_{(x,0)}$$

and applying Codazzi’s equation we find

$$
\psi(y) = -\alpha y + \beta \quad \text{for some constants } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Thus, $\psi(t) \equiv -\alpha$ and, from proposition 5 1, we see that the drehriss of this deformation is

$$
\eta^t(x, y) = -\alpha \xi^t(x, y) + (E^t + \alpha H f^t(x, y))
$$

For later use we also observe that $\beta = \psi(0) = 2\pi n$ for some integer $n$, which we may choose to be zero. Therefore, the constant $\alpha$ is uniquely determined by the condition

$$(A^0 - HI)_{(x,y)} = e^{-\alpha y}J(A^0 - HI)_{(x,0)} \quad (7 \, 1)$$

Now, from the identity $f^t(x, y) \equiv f^0(x, y + t)$ it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta^t(x, y) &= \eta^0(x, y + t) \\
\xi^t(x, y) &= \xi^0(x, y + t)
\end{align*}
$$

where the subscripts 1 and 2 denote partial derivatives with respect to the first and second variables, respectively.
From now on we set \( t = 0 \) and drop the superscript "0" from all functions so that using the fundamental equations (4.1) we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_{xy}(x,y) &= f_x(x,y) = \eta(x,y) * f_x(x,y) \\
    f_{yy}(x,y) &= f_y(x,y) = \eta(x,y) * f_y(x,y) \\
    \xi_y(x,y) &= \xi(x,y) = \eta(x,y) * \xi(x,y)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \eta(x,y) = -\alpha \xi(x,y) + (E + \alpha H f(x,y)) \) for some constant vector \( E \in \mathbb{R}^3 \).

Therefore,

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_{xy} &= -\alpha \xi * f_x + (E + \alpha H f) * f_x \\
    f_{yy} &= -\alpha \xi * f_y + (E + \alpha H f) * f_y \\
    \xi_y &= (E + \alpha H f) * \xi
\end{align*}
\]

However, the coordinate system \((x, y)\) is isothermal and \( \xi \) was defined so that \( \xi * f_x = -f_y \) and \( \xi * f_y = f_x \). Therefore, we obtain the equations

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_{xy} &= \alpha f_y + (E + \alpha H f) * f_x \quad (7.3) \\
    f_{yy} &= -\alpha f_x + (E + \alpha H f) * f_y \quad (7.4)
\end{align*}
\]

When \( \alpha \neq 0 \) one obtains from the integrability conditions, \( f_{xxy} = f_{yyx} \) and \( f_{xy} = f_{yx} \), the additional equation

\[
f_{xx} + f_{yy} = 2H f_x * f_y \quad (7.5)
\]

furthermore when \( \alpha \neq 0 \) and \( H \neq 0 \) equations (7.3), (7.4) and (7.5) can be reduced
to

\[ f_{xy} = \alpha f_y + \alpha H f \ast f_x \]  
\[ f_{yy} = -\alpha f_x + \alpha H f \ast f_y \]  
\[ f_{xx} + f_{yy} = 2H f_x \ast f_y \]

by replacing \( f(x, y) \) with \( f(x, y) - \frac{1}{\alpha H} E \), i.e. a simple translation and we note for future reference that (7 2) simplifies to

\[ \xi_y = \alpha H f \ast \xi \]

Hence the above transformation allows us to assume that \( E = (0, 0, 0)^T \) in the original equations. The differential equations (7 6), (7 7), and (7 8) can be further simplified by replacing \( f(x, y) \) by \( H f(x, y) \) to give

\[ f_{xy} = \alpha f_y + \alpha f \ast f_x \]  
\[ f_{yy} = -\alpha f_x + \alpha f \ast f_y \]  
\[ f_{xx} + f_{yy} = 2f_x \ast f_y \]

i.e. we may further assume that \( H = 1 \)
When $\alpha = 0$ equations (7 3), (7 4) and (7 5) reduce to the form

\[ f_{xy} = E \ast f_x \]
\[ f_{yy} = E \ast f_y \]
\[ \xi_y = E \ast \xi \]

We now determine a necessary and sufficient condition in terms of isothermal coordinates $(x, y)$ for a spacelike immersion $f$ into Minkowski three space to be of constant mean curvature $H$. As

\[ \langle f_x, f_x \rangle_1 = \langle f_y, f_y \rangle_1 > 0 \quad (7 \, 13) \]
\[ \langle f_x, f_y \rangle_1 = 0 \quad (7 \, 14) \]

we conclude from (7 13) that

\[ \langle f_{xx}, f_x \rangle_1 = \langle f_{xy}, f_y \rangle_1 \quad (7 \, 15) \]

and by (7 14)

\[ \langle f_{xy}, f_y \rangle_1 + \langle f_x, f_{yy} \rangle_1 = 0 \quad (7 \, 16) \]

Using (7 15) and (7 16) we see that

\[ \langle f_{xx} + f_{yy}, f_x \rangle_1 = 0 \quad (7 \, 17) \]

and similarly one can show that

\[ \langle f_{xx} + f_{yy}, f_y \rangle_1 = 0 \quad (7 \, 18) \]

implying that $f_{xx} + f_{yy} = \vartheta(x, y) \xi$ for some function $\vartheta(x, y)$.
Now

\[
\langle f_{xx} + f_{yy}, \xi \rangle_1 = \langle f_{xx}, \xi \rangle_1 + \langle f_{yy}, \xi \rangle_1
\]

\[
= \langle (f_x, \xi)_1 \rangle_x - \langle f_x, \xi_1 \rangle_1 + \langle (f_y, \xi)_1 \rangle_y - \langle f_y, \xi_1 \rangle_1
\]

\[
= -(f_x, a_{11}f_x + a_{12}f_y)_1 - (f_y, a_{21}f_x + a_{22}f_y)_1
\]

\[
= -2He^\phi
\]

and since \( \langle \vartheta(x, y)\xi, \xi \rangle_1 = -\vartheta(x, y) \) we have that

\[
f_{xx} + f_{yy} = 2Hf_x \ast f_y
\]

That is the integrability condition (7.5) (in isothermal coordinates) is a necessary and sufficient condition for the immersion to be of constant mean curvature and so it holds whether \( \alpha = 0 \) or not.
Chapter 8

The Associates

With the notation of the previous sections, let $f : (M, g) \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be an isometric immersion of constant mean curvature $H$. If $A^0$ denotes the second fundamental form of $f$, then, by definition the associates of $f$ are the isometric immersions in the 1-parameter family $f^t : (M, g) \to \mathbb{R}^3$ which have their second fundamental forms $A^t$ determined by

$$(A^t - HI)_p = e^{tJ}(A^0 - HI)_p \quad \forall \, p \in M$$

(8.1)

These immersions have the same constant mean curvature as $f$. If we begin with one of the immersions $f$ as determined by in chapter 7, that is, the constants $H, e^{\phi(x_0)}, \alpha$ and $E$ are specified, then the question arises how should these parameters be varied to obtain the associates of $f$? At once we see that $H$ remains constant and so also does $\lambda(x_0)$ since it determines the metric $g$ at $(x_0, y_0)$ which is the same for all associates. Now, let $\alpha(t)$ and $E^t$ denote the remaining parameters which correspond to the associate $f^t$. From the previous section, see equation (7.1), $\alpha(t)$ is uniquely determined by the following

$$e^{\alpha(t)y^J}(A^t - HI)_{(x,0)} = (A^t - HI)_{(x,y)}$$

$$= e^{tJ}(A^0 - HI)_{(x,y)}$$

$$= e^{tJ}e^{\alpha(0)y^J}(A^0 - HI)_{(x,0)}$$

$$= e^{\alpha(0)y^J}e^{tJ}(A^0 - HI)_{(x,0)}$$

$$= e^{\alpha(0)y^J}(A^t - HI)_{(x,0)}$$

Therefore, $e^{\alpha(t)y^J} \equiv e^{\alpha(0)y^J}$ and $\alpha(t) = \alpha(0) + 2n\pi$ where $n$ is some integer.
Remark.

If as in the previous section \( \psi^s(x, y) = (x, y + s) \quad s \in \mathbb{R} \) denotes the 1-parameter group of internal isometries, then \( f \circ \psi^s \) has second fundamental form \( e^{\alpha s} \mathcal{J}(A_0 - HI) + HI \) while the associate \( f^1 \) has second fundamental form \( e^{tJ}(A_0 - HI) + HI \)

Therefore up to a Hyperbolic motion we have

\[
f^t = f \circ \psi^{tf/\alpha} \quad \forall \alpha \neq 0
\]

That is when \( \alpha \neq 0 \), the associates no longer generate "new surfaces" but rather (up to a Hyperbolic motion) correspond to the flow of the internal symmetry along \( f \)
Chapter 9

The second fundamental form

Lemma 9.1

When $\alpha = 0$ we have

$$\langle E, f \rangle_1 = c_1 y + c_2 x + c_3 + H \int e^\phi dx$$

for some $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ and the second fundamental form, $A$, satisfies

$$A - HI = e^{-\phi} \begin{pmatrix} c_2 & c_1 \\ c_1 & -c_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(9.1)

Proof

When $\alpha = 0$ we have that every constant mean curvature surface which has continuous internal symmetry satisfies the following differential equations

$$f_{xy} = E * f_x$$

(9.2)

$$f_{yy} = E * f_y$$

(9.3)

$$\xi_y = E * \xi$$

(9.4)

$$f_{xx} + f_{yy} = 2H f_x * f_y$$

(9.5)

and hence

$$\langle E, f_{xy} \rangle_1 = \langle E, f_{yy} \rangle_1 = 0$$

which implies

$$\langle E, f_y \rangle_1 = c_1$$

42
\begin{align*}
\langle E, f_{xx} \rangle_1 &= \langle E, f_{xx} \rangle_1 + \langle E, f_{yy} \rangle_1 \\
&= 2H\langle E, f_x \ast f_y \rangle_1 \\
&= 2H\langle E \ast f_x, f_y \rangle_1 \\
&= 2H\langle f_{xy}, f_y \rangle_1 \\
&= H(\langle f_y, f_y \rangle_1)_x \\
&= H(e^\phi)_x \\
&= (He^\phi)_x \end{align*}

Hence

\begin{align*}
\langle E, f_z \rangle_1 &= H e^\phi + c_2 (y) \\
\langle E, f_{xy} \rangle_1 &= 0 \\
\langle E, f_x \rangle_1 &= He^\phi + c_2 \\
\xi_y &= E \ast \xi \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \xi &= e^{-\phi} E \ast (f_x \ast f_y) \\
f_* \left( A \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) &= e^{-\phi} \left( \langle E, f_x \rangle_1 f_y - \langle E, f_y \rangle_1 f_x \right) \\
f_* \left( a_{21} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + a_{22} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) &= e^{-\phi} \left( (He^\phi + c_2) f_y - c_1 f_x \right) \\
a_{21} f_x + a_{22} f_y &= (H + c_2 e^{-\phi}) f_y - c_1 e^{-\phi} f_x
\end{align*}
and hence

\[ a_{22} = H + c_2 e^{-\phi} \quad (9.6) \]
\[ a_{21} = -c_1 e^{-\phi} \quad (9.7) \]

we recall that \( a_{12} = a_{21} \) and \( a_{11} + a_{22} = 2H \) and so

\[ A = HI + \phi \left( \begin{array}{cc} c_2 & c_1 \\ c_1 & -c_2 \end{array} \right) \quad (9.8) \]

this completes the proof. Following the approach of Burns and Clancy [1] we also have the following lemma

**Lemma 9.2**

When \( \alpha \neq 0 \)

\[ \langle E + \frac{\alpha H f}{2}, f \rangle_1 = a \cos(\alpha y + t) e^{\alpha x} + H \int e^{\phi} dx \]

for some \( a \in \mathbb{R} \) and the second fundamental form, \( A \), satisfies

\[ A - HI = a \alpha e^{-\phi + \alpha x} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \cos(\alpha y + t) & \sin(\alpha y + t) \\ \sin(\alpha y + t) & -\cos(\alpha y + t) \end{array} \right) \]

**Proof.**

We have

\[ \langle E + \alpha H f, f_{xy} \rangle_1 = \langle (E + \alpha H f, f_y)_1 \rangle_x = \langle (E + \frac{\alpha H f}{2}, f)_1 \rangle_{xy} \quad (9.9) \]
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The second fundamental form

\[
\langle \mathbf{E} + \alpha H f, f_{yy} \rangle_1 = \langle (\mathbf{E} + \alpha H f, f_y)_1, f_y \rangle_1 - \alpha H (f_y, f_y)_1 \\
= \langle (\mathbf{E} + \frac{\alpha H f}{2}, f)_1 \rangle_{yy} - \alpha He^\phi \\
\tag{9.10}
\]

\[
\langle \mathbf{E} + \alpha H f, f_{xx} \rangle_1 = \langle (\mathbf{E} + \alpha H f, f_x)_1, f_x \rangle_1 - \alpha H (f_x, f_x)_1 \\
= \langle (\mathbf{E} + \frac{\alpha H f}{2}, f)_1 \rangle_{xx} - \alpha He^\phi \\
\tag{9.11}
\]

Using (9.9) we have

\[
(\langle \mathbf{E} + \frac{\alpha H f}{2}, f \rangle_1)_y = \langle \mathbf{E} + \alpha H f, f_{xy} \rangle_1 \\
= \langle \mathbf{E} + \alpha H f, f_y + (\mathbf{E} + \alpha H f)_x f_x \rangle_1 \quad \text{by (7.3)} \\
= \alpha \langle \mathbf{E} + \alpha H f, f_y \rangle_1 \\
= \alpha (\langle \mathbf{E} + \frac{\alpha H f}{2}, f \rangle_1)_y \\
\tag{9.12}
\]

so that

\[
(\langle \mathbf{E} + \frac{\alpha H f}{2}, f \rangle_1)_y = \Gamma(y)e^{\alpha z} \\
\tag{9.13}
\]

for some function \( \Gamma(y) \). Differentiating both sides of this equation by \( y \) we have that

\[
\Gamma''(y)e^{\alpha z} = (\langle \mathbf{E} + \frac{\alpha H f}{2}, f \rangle_1)_{yyy} \\
= (\langle \mathbf{E} + \alpha H f, f_{yy} \rangle_1 + \alpha He^\phi)_y \quad \text{by (9.10)} \\
= (\langle \mathbf{E} + \alpha H f, f_{yy} \rangle_1)_y \\
= (\langle \mathbf{E} + \alpha H f, -f_{xx} + 2He^\phi \xi \rangle_1)_y \quad \text{by (7.5)}
\]

45
\[
\begin{align*}
&= -((E + \alpha H f, f_{xx})_1)_y + 2H e^\phi((E + \alpha H f, \xi)_1)_y \\
&= -((E + \alpha H f, f_{xx})_1)_y + 2H e^\phi(\alpha H(f_y, \xi)_1 + (E + \alpha H f, \xi_y)_1) \\
&= -((E + \alpha H f, f_{xx})_1)_y \quad \text{by (7.2)} \\
&= -((E + \frac{\alpha H f}{2}, f)_1)_{xx} \\
&= -(\Gamma(y)e^{\alpha x})_{xx} \quad \text{by (9.13)} \\
&= -\Gamma(y)\alpha^2 e^{\alpha x}
\end{align*}
\]

Hence we have

\[
\Gamma''(y) = -\alpha^2 \Gamma(y)
\]

\[
\Gamma(y) = -a\alpha \sin(\alpha y + t) \text{ for some } a, t \in \mathbb{R}
\]

\[
((E + \frac{\alpha H f}{2}, f)_1)_y = -a\alpha \sin(\alpha y + t)e^{\alpha x}
\]

\[
(E + \frac{\alpha H f}{2}, f)_1 = a \cos(\alpha y + t)e^{\alpha x} + \chi(x)
\]
Also

\[
\chi'(x) + a\alpha \cos(\alpha y + t)e^{\alpha x} = \left( \langle E + \frac{\alpha H f}{2}, f \rangle_1 \right)_x \\
= \langle E + \alpha H f, f_x \rangle_1 \\
= \langle E + \alpha H f, -\frac{1}{\alpha} f_{yy} + \frac{1}{\alpha} (E + \alpha H f) * f_y \rangle_1 \text{ by (7.4)} \\
= -\frac{1}{\alpha} \langle E + \alpha H f, f_{yy} \rangle_1 \\
= -\frac{1}{\alpha} ((\langle E + \frac{\alpha H f}{2}, f \rangle_1)_{yy} - \alpha H e^{\phi}) \text{ by (9.10)} \\
= -\frac{1}{\alpha} (-a\alpha^2 \cos(\alpha y + t)e^{\alpha x} - \alpha H e^{\phi})
\]

\[
\chi'(x) = H e^{\phi}
\]

\[
\langle E + \frac{\alpha H f}{2}, f \rangle_1 = a \cos(\alpha y + t)e^{\alpha x} + H \int e^{\phi} dx
\]

proving the first part.
Now

\[ \xi_y = (E + \alpha H f) \xi \]

\[ f_*(A \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) = e^{-\phi}(E + \alpha H f) (f_x * f_y) \]

\[ f_*(a_{21} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + a_{22} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) = e^{-\phi}((E + \alpha H f, f_x) f_y - (E + \alpha H f, f_y)_1 f_x) \]

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + a_{22} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = e^{-\phi}((E + \alpha H f, \frac{1}{2} f) f_y - (E + \alpha H f, f)_1 f_x) \]

\[ a_{21} f_x + a_{22} f_y = e^{-\phi}(a \alpha \cos(\alpha y + t)e^{\alpha x} + H e^{\phi}) f_y + a \alpha \sin(\alpha y + t)e^{\alpha x} f_x \]

Hence

\[ a_{12} = a_{21} = a \alpha e^{-\phi+\alpha x} \sin(\alpha y + t) \]

\[ a_{22} = H + a \alpha e^{-\phi+\alpha x} \cos(\alpha y + t) \]

\[ a_{11} = 2H - a_{22} = H - a \alpha e^{-\phi+\alpha x} \cos(\alpha y + t) \]

\[ A - HI = a \alpha e^{-\phi+\alpha x} \begin{pmatrix} -\cos(\alpha y + t) & \sin(\alpha y + t) \\ \sin(\alpha y + t) & \cos(\alpha y + t) \end{pmatrix} \]

Thus proving the lemma.
Lemma 9.3

For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we may assume without loss of generality that the second fundamental form $A$ may be written as

$$A = HI - ce^{-\phi+\alpha \xi} \begin{pmatrix} -\cos \alpha y & \sin \alpha y \\ \sin \alpha y & \cos \alpha y \end{pmatrix} \quad (9.14)$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}, c > 0$

Proof

We recall when $\alpha \neq 0$ that the associates, $f_\theta$, of the immersion $f$ do not generate new surfaces and that the corresponding second fundamental form $A_\theta$ is given by

$$A_\theta = e^{\phi}(A - HI) + HI$$

When $\alpha \neq 0$ we then have

$$A_\theta - HI = aae^{-\phi+a\xi} \begin{pmatrix} -\cos(\alpha y + t - \theta) & \sin(\alpha y + t - \theta) \\ \sin(\alpha y + t - \theta) & \cos(\alpha y + t - \theta) \end{pmatrix} \quad (9.15)$$

By choosing $\theta = t$ or $\theta = t + \pi$ if necessary we have

$$A_\theta = HI - ce^{-\phi+\alpha \xi} \begin{pmatrix} -\cos \alpha y & \sin \alpha y \\ \sin \alpha y & \cos \alpha y \end{pmatrix} \quad (9.16)$$

where $c = |a\alpha| > 0$

When $\alpha = 0$ we have

$$A_\theta - HI = e^{-\phi} \begin{pmatrix} c_2 \cos \theta - c_1 \sin \theta & c_2 \cos \theta + c_1 \sin \theta \\ c_2 \cos \theta + c_1 \sin \theta & -c_2 \cos \theta + c_1 \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \quad (9.17)$$
Choosing \( \theta = \tan^{-1}(-\frac{c_1}{c_2}) \) or \( \theta = \tan^{-1}(-\frac{c_1}{c_2}) + \pi \) we may assume \( c_1 = 0 \) and \( c_2 > 0 \) giving

\[
A_\theta = HI - ce^{-\phi} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]  

(9.18)

where \( c = c_2 \) Hence we may assume

\[
A = HI - ce^{-\phi + \alpha x} \begin{pmatrix} -\cos \alpha y & \sin \alpha y \\ \sin \alpha y & \cos \alpha y \end{pmatrix}
\]  

(9.19)

for every \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) where \( c > 0 \) This completes the proof of lemma 9.3

**Lemma 9.4**

When \( \alpha = 0 \) we may assume without loss of generality that the set of differential equations (9.2), (9.3), (9.4) and (9.5) reduce to three

\[
f_y = E \ast f
\]  

(9.20)

\[
\xi_y = E \ast \xi
\]  

(9.21)

\[
f_{xx} + f_{yy} = 2Hf_x \ast f_y
\]  

(9.22)

**Proof:**

By (9.2) and (9.3) we have

\[
f_{xy} = E \ast f_x
\]  

(9.23)

\[
f_{yy} = E \ast f_y
\]  

(9.24)

which simplify to

\[
f_y = E \ast f + v
\]

for some \( v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) Using lemma 9.1 and lemma 9.3 we may assume \( \langle E, f_y \rangle_1 = 0 \)
and hence we may assume \((E, v)_1 = 0\) for \(v \in \mathbb{E}^4\). Thus \(v = E \ast b\) for some \(b \in \mathbb{R}^3\). Replacing \(f(x, y)\) with \(f(x, y) + b\) by a translation we find we may assume \(v = (0, 0, 0)^T\). This concludes the proof.
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Conformal transformations

With the conformal structure determined by $g$ and the given orientation, we have that $M$ is a Riemann surface and in terms of local conformal coordinate $z = x + iy$ we write $g = e^{\phi}|dz|^2$, where $\phi$ is a real function of $z$ and $A = (a_{ij})$ with respect to the coordinate field $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\}$.

Let $h \ U \to h(U) \subset M$ and $\tilde{h} \ \tilde{U} \to \tilde{h}(\tilde{U}) \subset M$ be two positively oriented conformal parameterisations of $M$ about a point $p \in M$ with $h(U) = \tilde{h}(\tilde{U})$ So that $\vartheta = h^{-1} \circ \tilde{h} \ \tilde{U} \to U$ is a bijective holomorphic mapping Also let $z = x + iy$ be the local coordinates on $h(U)$ and $w = \tilde{x} + i\tilde{y}$ be the local coordinates on $\tilde{h}(\tilde{U})$.

Now

$$g = e^{\phi}|dz|^2 = e^{\phi}\left|\frac{\partial z}{\partial w} dw\right|^2 = e^{\phi}\left|\frac{\partial z}{\partial w}\right|^2 |dw|^2 = e^\phi|dw|^2$$

and hence

$$e^\phi = \left|\frac{\partial z}{\partial w}\right|^2 e^\phi$$

From chapter 5 we have

$$\Psi = \{(a_{11} - a_{22}) - 2ia_{12}\}e^\phi$$

is a holomorphic function It can easily be shown that in terms of local coordinates

$$\Psi = 4g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \text{ and } dz = \frac{\partial z}{\partial w} dw$$

we see $\Psi dz^2$ is a holomorphic quadratic differential independent of the coordinate.
system. Now

\[ \Psi = 4g(A \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}) = 4g(A \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}) = \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right|^2 4g(A \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}) = \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)^2 \Psi \]

and hence

\[ \tilde{\Psi} = \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial w} \right)^2 \Psi \quad (10.3) \]

By lemma 9.3 we may assume

\[ A = \begin{pmatrix} H + ce^{-\phi+\alpha x} \cos \alpha y & -ce^{-\phi+\alpha x} \sin \alpha y \\ -ce^{-\phi+\alpha x} \sin \alpha y & H - ce^{-\phi+\alpha x} \cos \alpha y \end{pmatrix} \]

and so from (10.2) we have

\[ \Psi = \{(a_{11} - a_{22}) - 2ia_{12}\} e^\phi = 2ce^{\alpha x} \cos \alpha y + 2ice^{\alpha x} \sin \alpha y \]

or more simply

\[ \Psi(z) = 2ce^{\alpha x} \quad (10.4) \]

this along with (10.3) gives

\[ \Psi(w) = \left( \frac{\partial z(w)}{\partial w} \right)^2 2ce^{\alpha x(w)} \quad (10.5) \]

If \( \alpha \neq 0 \) we let \( z = \frac{1}{\alpha}(w + \log \frac{a_2}{c}) \) then by (10.1)

\[ e^\phi = |z'(w)|^2 e^{\phi(\text{Re}(z(w)))} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} e^{\phi(\frac{1}{\alpha}(z + \log \frac{a_2}{c}))} \]

which is still just some function of \( \tilde{x} \) and using (10.5) we have

\[ \tilde{\Psi}(w) = (z'(w))^2 2ce^{\alpha x(w)} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} 2ce^{w+\log \frac{a_2}{c}} = 2e^w \]
thus comparing this to (10.4) we may assume that $\alpha = 1$ and $c = 1$

If $\alpha = 0$ we let $z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} w$ then by (10.1)

$$e^{\tilde{\tilde{\phi}} = |z'(w)|^2 e^{\phi(Re(z(w)))} = e^{\phi(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} z) - \log c}}$$

which is again just some function of $\tilde{z}$ and using (10.5) we have

$$\tilde{\Psi} = (z'(w))^2 c = 2$$

thus comparing this to (10.4) when $\alpha = 0$ we may assume $c = 1$
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The metric

As shown in the preliminaries the Gauss curvature $K(p)$ is given by

$$K(p) = g_p(R(X_p, Y_p)Y_p, X_p) = -\det(A_p)$$

computing the left hand side locally with $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ we find

$$K = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\phi} \Delta \phi$$

relative to the local coordinates $(x, y)$ A proof of this is given in Appendix D Since $\phi$ depends on $x$ only we have

$$\Delta \phi = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2}$$

From the previous chapter

$$A = HI - e^{-\phi + \alpha x} \begin{pmatrix} -\cos \alpha y & \sin \alpha y \\ \sin \alpha y & \cos \alpha y \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\alpha = 0$ or 1 Hence

$$\det A = H^2 - e^{-2\phi + 2\alpha x}$$

and so when $H \neq 0$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} = 2e^\phi (H^2 - e^{-2\phi + 2\alpha x})$$

$$= 2H^2 e^\phi - 2e^{-\phi + 2\alpha x}$$

$$= 2|H|e^{\alpha x}(|H|e^{\phi - \alpha x} - \frac{1}{|H|} e^{-\phi + \alpha x})$$

$$= 2|H|e^{\alpha x}(e^{\phi - \alpha x + \log|H|} - e^{-\phi + \alpha x - \log|H|})$$
Letting \( \eta(x) = \phi - \alpha x + \log |H| \) we find that \( \eta(x) \) satisfies the differential equation

\[
\eta''(x) = e^{\alpha x + \log |H|} \sinh \eta(x)
\]  

(11.1)

and \( \alpha = 0 \) or 1. We note that this differential equation appears in Smyth [6] but with a minus sign. Using (7.3) we have

\[
f_{xy} = \alpha f_y + (E + \alpha H f) * f_x
\]

\[
\langle f_{xy}, f_y \rangle_1 = \alpha \langle f_y, f_y \rangle_1 + \langle (E + \alpha H f) * f_x, f_y \rangle_1
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} \langle (f_y, f_y) \rangle_x = \alpha e^\phi + \langle (E + \alpha H f), f_x * f_y \rangle_1
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} (e^\phi)_x = \alpha e^\phi + \langle (E + \alpha H f), e^{-\phi} f_x * f_y \rangle_1
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} e^\phi \phi_x = \alpha e^\phi + \langle (E + \alpha H f), \xi \rangle_1
\]

\[
\phi_x = 2\alpha + 2 \langle (E + \alpha H f), \xi \rangle_1
\]

and hence

\[
\eta'(x) = \alpha + 2 \langle (E + \alpha H f), \xi \rangle_1
\]
Chapter 12

Completeness

We recall from chapter 6 that we may assume $M$ is a strip on the complex plane given by $x_1 < x < x_2$ where $(x_1, x_2) = (-\infty, 1), (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ or $(-\infty, \infty)$ and hence $\phi(x)$ must be defined on all of this interval. For every $y$ let $\gamma_y(t) \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ be given by $\gamma_y(t) = t + iy$. We are interested in the length of this curve, $L(\gamma_y(x, x_0))$, from any point $t = x$ to any other $t = x_0$. To this end we have

$$\gamma_y(t) = d\gamma_y\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right|_{t+iy}$$

and hence the length is given by

$$L(\gamma_y(x, x_0)) = \int_x^{x_0} g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) dt = \int_x^{x_0} e^{a/2} dt$$

in order for the metric to be complete we should have that the length from any point in $M$ to the boundary of $M$ should be infinite and so $L(\gamma_y(x_1, x_1))$ and $L(\gamma_y(x_1, x_2))$ should be infinite for any finite $x \in (x_1, x_2)$.

To get any further we first must analyze the differential equation (12.1) given below which we obtained in chapter 11.

Problem

Classify the solutions to the differential equation (12.1)

$$\eta''(x) = e^{\alpha x + 2 \beta} \sinh \eta(x) \quad (12.1)$$

where $\alpha$ equals 0 or 1 and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.
In all that follows we shall assume $\eta(x)$ is a solution of (12.1)

**Lemma 12.1**

*If $\eta(x)$ is not the trivial solution then it can have at most one critical point. Moreover a critical point must either be a positive minimum or a negative maximum.*

**Proof:**

Consider the case when $x = c$ is a critical point of $\eta(x)$ i.e. $\eta'(c) = 0$. We must have $\eta(c)$ satisfying one of the following

1. $\eta(c) = 0$,
   
   $\eta(x) \equiv 0$ due to uniqueness of solutions

2. $\eta(c) > 0$,
   
   $\eta''(c) = e^{ax + 2\beta} \sinh(\eta(c)) > 0$, and therefore $\eta(c)$ is a local minimum of $\eta(x)$
   
   It follows $\eta$ cannot have another critical point and consequently $\eta$ has a global minimum at $c$

3. $\eta(c) < 0$,
   
   $\eta''(c) = e^{ax + 2\beta} \sinh(\eta(c)) < 0$, and therefore $\eta(c)$ is a local maximum of $\eta$ and
   
   as in (ii) must be a global maximum

**Lemma 12.2**

*If $x_0$ is a point at which $\eta$ is defined with $\eta(x_0) > 0$ and $\eta'(x_0) > 0$ then there exists a finite number $b > x_0$ such that $\eta(x) \to \infty$ as $x \to b$.*

**Proof:**

Since $\eta(x_0) > 0$ and $\eta'(x_0) > 0$ it follows from lemma 12.1 that $\eta(x) > 0$ for all $x > x_0$ at which $\eta(x)$ is defined
For every $x > x_0$ at which $\eta(x)$ is defined we shall examine $\eta''(x)$

$$\eta''(x) = e^{ax+2\beta}\sinh \eta(x)$$

$$= e^{ax+2\beta}(\eta(x) + 3\eta^3(x)/3! + 5\eta^5(x)/5! + ...)$$

$$> e^{2\beta_1}\eta^3(x) \quad \text{(as } \eta(x) > 0\text{)}$$

where $\beta_1 = \alpha x_0/2 + \beta + \ln(6)/2$. Choose a positive real number $r$ such that

$$0 < r < \min\{\sqrt{\eta(x_0)}, \sqrt{e^{-\beta_1}/2}\eta'(x_0)\}$$

Then

$$0 < r^2 < \eta(x_0) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < r^4(\frac{e^{\beta_1}}{\sqrt{2}}) < \eta'(x_0)$$

Now let $g(x)$ be the solution to the differential equation

$$g''(x) = e^{2\beta_1}g^3(x) \quad (12.2)$$

with initial conditions

$$g(x_0) = r^2 \quad \text{and} \quad g'(x_0) = \frac{r^4e^{\beta_1}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

From Appendix F we can see that the solution is

$$g(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{e^{\beta_1}(d-x)} \quad d = x_0 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{e^{\beta_1}r^2}$$

We note that $d$ is finite and that $g(x) > 0$ for all $x < d$. Moreover $g(x) \to \infty$ as $x \to d$. Comparing the two problems we see that

$$\eta''(x) > g''(x) \quad \eta'(x_0) > g'(x_0) \quad \eta(x_0) > g(x_0)$$

and consequently $\eta(x) > g(x)$ for all $x > x_0$. Since $g(x) \to \infty$ as $x \to d$ it is obvious that $\eta(x) \to \infty$ as $x \to b$ where $x_0 < b \leq d$. This concludes the proof of the lemma.
From here on we consider the cases when $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ separately.

**Lemma 12.3 ($\alpha = 0$)**

Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ be a point at which $\eta$ is defined with $\eta(x_0) > 0$. Then there exists unique real numbers $\gamma_l < 0 < \gamma_u$ such that

- if $\eta'(x_0) > \gamma_u$  
  $\eta(x)$ is a strictly increasing function defined on a finite interval $(a, b)$

- if $\eta'(x_0) = \gamma_u$  
  $\eta(x)$ is a strictly increasing function defined on the semi-infinite interval $(-\infty, b), b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\eta(x) \to 0$ as $x \to -\infty$

- if $\gamma_l < \eta'(x_0) < \gamma_u$  
  $\eta(x)$ has one critical point - a positive minimum and is defined on a finite interval $(a, b)$

- if $\eta'(x_0) = \gamma_l$  
  $\eta(x)$ is a strictly decreasing function defined on a semi-finite interval $(a, \infty), a \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\eta(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$

- if $\eta'(x_0) < \gamma_l$  
  $\eta(x)$ is strictly decreasing defined on a finite interval $(a, b)$
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Completeness

Proof:

We first divide the solutions of (12.1) into three different categories

(a) \( \eta(x) \) has critical points

(b) \( \eta(x) \) has no critical points but there exists a point \( x_1 \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \eta(x_1) = 0 \)

(c) \( \eta(x) \) has no critical points and there is no point \( x_1 \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \eta(x_1) = 0 \)

(a) By lemma 12.1 we know \( \eta(x) \) has at most one critical point and it is either a positive minimum or a negative maximum. Since \( \eta(x_0) > 0 \) the critical point must be a positive minimum, say it occurs at \( x = x_1 \). Let \( h_1(x) = \eta(x + x_1) \) then

\[
h_1''(x) = \eta''(x + x_1) = e^{2\beta} \sinh \eta(x + x_1) = e^{2\beta} \sinh h_1(x)\]

i.e. \( h_1(x) \) satisfies the exact same differential equation with

\[
h_1(0) = \eta(x_1) \quad \text{and} \quad h_1'(0) = \eta'(x_1) = 0
\]

hence by replacing \( \eta(x) \) with \( \eta(x + x_1) \) we may assume that the minimum occurs at \( x = 0 \). For any \( x > 0 \) at which \( \eta(x) \) is defined it is obvious that \( \eta(x) > 0 \) and \( \eta'(x) > 0 \) and hence by lemma 12.3 there exists some finite number \( b \) with \( \eta(x) \to \infty \) as \( x \to b \). Now let \( h_2(x) = \eta(-x) \) then

\[
h_2''(x) = \eta''(-x) = e^{2\beta} \sinh \eta(-x) = e^{2\beta} \sinh h_2(x)
\]

and again \( h_2(x) \) satisfies the exact same differential equation with

\[
h_2(0) = \eta(0) \quad \text{and} \quad h_2'(0) = \eta'(0) = 0
\]

since we are guaranteed uniqueness of solutions we know \( h_2(x) \equiv \eta(x) \). Hence \( \eta(x) \) is symmetric about the \( y \)-axis and hence \( \eta(x) \to \infty \) as \( x \to -b \). In the general case \( \eta(x) \) is symmetric about the line \( x = x_1 \), its minimum, and has singularities at \( x = x_1 \pm b \triangle
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(b) \( \eta(x) \) has no critical points but there exists a point \( x_1 \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \eta(x_1) = 0 \). As in part (a) if we replace \( \eta(x) \) with \( \eta(x + x_1) \) we may assume that \( x_1 = 0 \) i.e. \( \eta(0) = 0 \). Since \( \eta(x) \) has no critical points it must be either strictly increasing or strictly decreasing. Replacing \( \eta(x) \) with \( \eta(-x) \) if necessary we may assume that the function is strictly increasing. Hence, at any \( x > 0 \) where \( \eta \) is defined we must have that \( \eta(x) > 0 \) and also that \( \eta'(x) > 0 \) and by lemma 12.2 there must exist a finite number \( b \) such that \( \eta(x) \to 0^+ \) as \( x \to b \). Letting \( h_4(x) = -\eta(-x) \) we see

\[
\begin{align*}
\eta''(x) &= -\eta''(-x) = -e^{2x} \sinh(-x) = e^{2x} \sinh h_4(x)
\end{align*}
\]

with

\[
\begin{align*}
h_4(0) &= -\eta(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h_4'(0) = \eta'(0)
\end{align*}
\]

By uniqueness we must have \( h_4(x) \equiv \eta(x) \) and hence we find that \( \eta(x) \to -\infty \) as \( x \to -b \). Hence, in the general case if \( \eta \) is a strictly increasing function then \( \eta(x) \) is symmetric about \( x_1 \) with \( \eta(x) \to 0^+ \) as \( x \to x_1 + b \) and \( \eta(x) \to -\infty \) as \( x \to x_1 - b \). If \( \eta \) is a strictly decreasing function then \( \eta(x) \) is symmetric about \( x_1 \) with \( \eta(x) \to -\infty \) as \( x \to x_1 - b \) and \( \eta(x) \to 0^+ \) as \( x \to x_1 + b \).

\( \triangle \)

(c) \( \eta(x) \) has no critical points and there is no point \( x_1 \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \eta(x_1) = 0 \). Having no point \( x_1 \) at which \( \eta(x_1) = 0 \) means that the function is strictly positive or strictly negative and since \( \eta(x_0) > 0 \) we must have that \( \eta \) is strictly positive. Having no critical points means \( \eta(x) \) is either strictly increasing or strictly decreasing. Let \( x_2 \) be a point at which \( \eta \) is defined. Replacing \( \eta(x) \) with \( \eta(x + x_2) \) we may assume that \( x_2 = 0 \). Then replacing \( \eta(x) \) with \( \eta(-x) \) if necessary we may further assume that \( \eta \) is strictly decreasing. Since \( \eta \) is both strictly positive and strictly decreasing it must exist over the entire interval \([0, \infty)\).
If \( \lim_{x \to \infty} \eta(x) = c \in \mathbb{R}/\{0\} \) then \( \lim_{x \to \infty} \eta''(x) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \sinh(\eta(x)) = d \neq 0 \)

Therefore, \( \eta'(x) \to \pm \infty \) as \( x \to \infty \) and consequently \( \eta(x) \to \pm \infty \) as \( x \to \infty \) which is a contradiction. Hence \( \eta(x) \to 0 \) or \( \pm \infty \) as \( x \) tends to infinity. As we may assume that \( \eta \) is both strictly positive and strictly decreasing we must have \( \lim_{x \to \infty} \eta(x) = 0 \)

If we now let \( u(x) = \eta(1/x) \) then,

\[
\begin{align*}
u'(x) &= \eta'\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \left(-x^{-2}\right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{x^2} \eta'\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
u''(x) &= 2x^{-3} \eta'\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - \frac{1}{x^2} \eta''\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \left(-x^{-2}\right) \\
&= -\frac{2}{x} \left[\frac{1}{x^2} \eta'\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right] + \frac{1}{x^4} \left[\eta''\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&= -\frac{2}{x} u'(x) + \frac{1}{x^4} e^{2\beta} \sinh \eta\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \\
&= -\frac{2}{x} u'(x) + \frac{1}{x^4} e^{2\beta} \sinh u(x)
\end{align*}
\]

thus

\[
\begin{align*}
u''(x) + \frac{2}{x} u'(x) &= \frac{1}{x^4} e^{2\beta} \sinh u(x)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
x^2 u''(x) + 2x u'(x) &= \frac{1}{x^2} e^{2\beta} \sinh u(x)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(x^2 u'(x))' &= \frac{1}{x^2} e^{2\beta} \sinh u(x)
\end{align*}
\]
\[ 2x^2u'(x)(x^2u'(x))' = 2u'(x)e^{2\beta}\sinh u(x) \]

\[ ((x^2u'(x))^2)' = (2e^{2\beta}\cosh u(x))' \]

\[ (x^2u'(x))^2 = 2e^{2\beta}\cosh u(x) + c_1, \quad c_1 \in \mathbb{R} \]

Now \( u(x) \to 0 \) as \( x \downarrow 0 \) because \( \eta(x) \to 0 \) as \( x \to \infty \). Furthermore \( x^2u'(x) = -\eta'(1/x) \to 0 \) as \( x \downarrow 0 \) because \( \eta'(x) \to 0 \) as \( x \to \infty \). Hence \( c_1 = -2e^{2\beta} \) and so we have

\[ [x^2u'(x)]^2 = e^{2\beta}(2\cosh(u(x)) - 2) \]

\[ = e^{2\beta}(e^{u(x)} + e^{-u(x)} - 2) \]

\[ = e^{2\beta}[e^{u(x)/2} - e^{-u(x)/2}]^2 \]

\[ = [2e^{\beta}\sinh(u(x)/2)]^2 \]

giving

\[ x^2u'(x) = \pm 2e^{\beta}\sinh(u(x)/2) \]

Now \( \eta(x) \) and \( \eta'(x) \) have opposite signs as \( \eta \) is a positive function decreasing to 0. Thus \( u(x) \) and \( u'(x) \) have the same sign as \( u(x) = \eta(1/x) \) and \( u'(x) = -1/x^{-2}\eta'(1/x) \). Thus

\[ x^2u'(x) = 2e^{\beta}\sinh(u(x)/2) \]
and

\[ \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{1}{\sinh(u/2)} du = e^\beta \int \frac{1}{x^2} dx \]

giving

\[ \ln \left| \frac{\sinh(u(x)/4)}{\cosh(u(x)/4)} \right| = e^\beta \left( -\frac{1}{x} + c_2 \right) \]

As \( \eta \) is positive

\[ \tanh(u(x)/4) = e^{e^\beta(-1/x+c_2)} \]

thus

\[ u(x) = 4 \tanh^{-1}(e^{e^\beta(x-c_2)}) \]

and

\[ \eta(x) = 4 \tanh^{-1}(e^{e^\beta(x-c_2)}) \]

which has a singularity at \( x = c_2 < 0 \). Replacing \( \eta(x) \) with \( \eta(x - x_2) \) we arrive back at the general case when \( \eta \) is strictly decreasing and so

\[ \eta(x) = 4 \tanh^{-1}(e^{e^\beta(x-c)}) \] (12.3)

for some \( c \in \mathbb{R} \). We note that \( \eta \) is defined only on the interval \( (c, \infty) \). Also replacing \( \eta(x) \) with \( \eta(-x) \) we have

\[ \eta(x) = 4 \tanh^{-1}(e^{e^\beta(x-c)}) \] (12.4)

the general case for when \( \eta \) is strictly increasing. We note that here \( \eta \) is defined only on the interval \( (-\infty, c) \).
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Completeness

To summarise, for any initial condition \( \eta(x_0) = \nu > 0 \) there is exactly one solution to (12.1) with \( \alpha = 0 \) of the form (12.4) call this \( \eta_1(x) \) and one solution to (12.1) with \( \alpha = 0 \) of the form (12.3) call this \( \eta_2(x) \). We note that these are the only solutions to (12.1) with \( \alpha = 0 \) of type (c) mentioned above. Let \( \gamma_u = \eta'_1(x_2) \) and \( \gamma_l = \eta'_2(x_2) \). Obviously \( \gamma_l < 0 < \gamma_u \).

If \( \eta(x) \) is another solution to (12.1) with \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \eta(x_0) = \nu \) then the solution must be of the form (a) or (b) defined above and hence one of the following must hold:

1. \( \eta'(x_0) > \gamma_u \) in which case, by lemma 12.4 given below, \( \eta(x) > \eta_1(x) \) for all \( x > x_0 \) and \( \eta(x) < \eta_1(x) \) for all \( x < x_0 \). Therefore \( \eta(x) \) is of the form b defined above.

2. \( \eta'(x_0) < \gamma_l \) in which case, by lemma 12.4 given below, \( \eta(x) < \eta_2(x) \) for all \( x > x_0 \) and \( \eta(x) > \eta_2(x) \) for all \( x < x_0 \). Therefore \( \eta(x) \) is also of the form b above.

3. \( \gamma_u > \eta'(x_0) > \gamma_l \) in which case \( \eta(x) > \eta_2(x) \) for all \( x > x_0 \) and \( \eta(x) > \eta_1(x) \) for all \( x < x_0 \) by lemma 12.4 and hence is of the form (a) above; i.e., it has a positive minimum.

This concludes the proof of the lemma.
Lemma 12.4

Let \( x_0, a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( b > c \) and let \( \eta_1(x) \) and \( \eta_2(x) \) be solutions to the differential equation

\[
\eta'' = e^{2\beta} \sinh \eta
\]

with

\[
\eta_1(x_0) = a \quad \eta_1'(x_0) = b
\]

and

\[
\eta_2(x_0) = a \quad \eta_2'(x_0) = c
\]

then \( \eta_1(x) > \eta_2(x) \) for every \( x > x_0 \) at which both \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) are defined and \( \eta_1(x) < \eta_2(x) \) for every \( x < x_0 \) at which both \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) are defined

Proof:

Since \( \eta_1(x_0) = \eta_2(x_0) \) and \( \eta_1'(x_0) > \eta_2'(x_0) \) there must exist some interval \((x_0, x_1)\) in which in which both \( \eta_1(x) > \eta_2(x) \) and \( \eta_1'(x) > \eta_2'(x) \) Hence \( \eta_1''(x) = e^{2\beta} \sinh \eta_1(x) > e^{2\beta} \sinh \eta_2(x) = \eta_2''(x) \) for every \( x \in (x_0, x_1) \) and so \( \eta_1(x_1) > \eta_2(x_1) \), \( \eta_1'(x_1) > \eta_2'(x_1) \) and \( \eta_1''(x_1) > \eta_2''(x_1) \) (assuming \( \eta_2(x) \) exists at \( x_1 \), if not then we have already completed the proof) We then may extend beyond \( x_1 \) to another interval \((x_1, x_2)\) in which \( \eta_1(x) > \eta_2(x) \), \( \eta_1'(x) > \eta_2'(x) \) and \( \eta_1''(x) > \eta_2''(x) \) and the process can continue so long as \( \eta_1(x) \) and \( \eta_2(x) \) are defined We use the same approach to prove \( \eta_1(x) < \eta_2(x) \) for all \( x \) less than \( x_0 \) in which \( \eta_1(x) \) and \( \eta_2(x) \) are defined

Lemma 12.5 \((\alpha = 0)\)

Let \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \) be a point at which \( \eta \) is defined with \( \eta(x_0) < 0 \) Then there exists unique real numbers \( \gamma_l < 0 < \gamma_u \) such that

• if \( \eta'(x_0) > \gamma_u \)

\( \eta(x) \) is a strictly increasing function defined on a finite interval \((a, b)\)
• if \( \eta'(x_0) = \gamma_u \)

\( \eta(x) \) is strictly increasing function defined on the semi-infinite interval \((-\infty, b), b \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( \eta(x) \to 0 \) as \( x \to -\infty \)

• if \( \gamma_l < \eta'(x_0) < \gamma_u \)

\( \eta(x) \) has only one critical point - a negative maximum and is defined on the finite interval \((a, b)\)

• if \( \eta'(x_0) = \gamma_l \)

\( \eta(x) \) is a strictly decreasing function defined on a semi-infinite strip \((a, \infty), a \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( \eta(x) \to 0 \) as \( x \to \infty \)

• if \( \eta'(x_0) < \gamma_l \)

\( \eta(x) \) is strictly decreasing and is defined on the finite strip \((a, b)\)

**Proof:**

The proof follows by replacing \( y(x) \) with \(-y(x)\) and then using lemma 12 3.

We now examine the completeness of the metric when \( \alpha = 0 \) Here \( \phi(x) = \eta(x) - \ln |H| \) and \( \eta \) satisfies the differential equation

\[ \eta''(x) = 4|H| \sinh \eta(x) \]

Using lemmas 12 3 and 12 5 we can see that other than the solution \( \phi(x) = -\ln |H| \), \( \phi \) never exists over the entire real line. Again using lemmas 12 3 and 12 5 we see there are exactly two solutions which exist over the interval \((-\infty, 1)\) which are given by

\[ \phi(x) = \pm 4 \tanh^{-1}(e^{2\sqrt{|H|}(x-1)}) - \ln |H| \]

When

\[ \phi(x) = -4 \tanh^{-1}(e^{2\sqrt{|H|}(x-1)}) - \ln |H| \]
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we see \( \phi \to -\infty \) as \( x \uparrow 1 \) and so \( e^{\phi/2} \to 0 \) as \( x \uparrow 1 \) hence the metric is not complete

On the other hand when

\[
\phi(x) = 4 \tanh^{-1}(e^{2\sqrt{|H|(x-1)}}) - \ln |H|
\]

\( \phi(x) \to \infty \) as \( x \uparrow 1 \) and furthermore

\[
e^{\phi(x)/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|H|}} e^{2 \tanh^{-1} e^{2\sqrt{|H|(x-1)}}} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{|H|}} e^{2 \tanh^{-1} e^{-2\sqrt{|H|}}} \frac{1}{1 - x}
\]

for all \( 0 < x < 1 \) so that \( \int e^{\phi/2} dx \to \infty \) as \( x \uparrow 1 \) As \( x \to -\infty \) we have that \( \eta(x) \to 0 \) and hence \( e^{\phi/2} \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{|H|}} \) It follows that \( \int e^{\phi/2} dx \to \infty \) as \( x \to -\infty \) and hence the metric is complete

This leaves us with the case when \( \phi \) is defined on the interval \((a, b)\) As we are looking for the metric to be complete we want \( \phi \to \infty \) as \( x \to a \) and \( \phi \to \infty \) as \( x \to b \) From lemmas 12.3 and 12.5 we see that there is only one possibility that \( \eta \) has a positive minimum From the proof of lemma 12.3 we recall that if \( \eta \) has a positive minimum then \( \eta \) is symmetric about its minimum Hence in order that \( \eta(x) \to \infty \) as \( x \to a \) and \( b \) we must have \( \eta'(\frac{x+b}{2}) = 0 \) The question now arises as to whether these solutions give rise to \( \phi \) being complete Hence let \( \eta_u \) be a solution to the differential equation

\[
\eta''(x) = 4|H| \sinh \eta(x)
\]

which has a positive minimum and singularities at some values \( x = a \) and \( x = b \) Also let \( \eta_l(x) \), be the solution to the differential equation

\[
\eta''(x) = 4|H| \sinh \eta
\]

with

\( \eta(x) \to 0 \) as \( x \to -\infty \) and \( \eta(x) \to \infty \) as \( x \to b \)
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\[ \eta(x) = 4 \tanh^{-1} e^{2\sqrt{|H|}(x-b)} \]

Now \( \eta_u(x) \) and \( \eta_l(x) \) both tend to infinity as \( x \) tends to \( b \) and \( \eta_u'(\frac{a+b}{2}) = 0 < \eta_l'(\frac{a+b}{2}) \) so that \( \eta_u(\frac{a+b}{2}) > \eta_l(\frac{a+b}{2}) \). It follows that \( \eta_u(x) > \eta_l(x) \) for all \( x > \frac{a+b}{2} \) and hence \( \int e^{\phi/2} dx \to \infty \) as \( x \to b \). Now \( \phi \) is symmetric about \( \frac{a+b}{2} \) since \( \eta_u(x) \) is and so \( \int e^{\phi/2} dx \to \infty \) as \( x \to a \). Hence, the metric is complete.

We now study the differential equation (12.1) with \( \alpha = 1 \). Letting \( g(x) = \eta(x-2c) \) we observe that \( g'(x) = \eta'(x-2c) \) and

\[ g''(x) = \eta''(x-2c) = e^{x-2c+2\beta} \sinh \eta(x-2c) = e^{x+2(\beta-c)} \sinh g(x) \]

So that \( g(x) \) satisfies the same differential equation as \( \eta \) with only the value of \( \beta \) changing. Hence from here on we shall assume that \( \eta \) satisfies the differential equation

\[ \eta''(x) = 4e^x \sinh \eta \]

Lemma 12.6 \((\alpha = 1)\)

Let \( x_0, a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( a > c \) and let \( \eta_1(x) \) and \( \eta_2(x) \) be solutions to the differential equation

\[ \eta'' = 4e^x \sinh \eta \]

with \( \eta_1(x_0) = a \quad \eta_1'(x_0) = b \)

and \( \eta_2(x_0) = c \quad \eta_2'(x_0) = b \)

then \( \eta_1(x) > \eta_2(x) \) for every \( x \) at which both \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) are defined.
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Proof.

Since \( \eta''_1(x_0) > 4e^{x_0} \sinh \eta_1(x_0) > 4e^{x_0} \sinh \eta_2(x_0) = \eta''_2(x_0) \) and \( \eta_1(x_0) > \eta_2(x_0) \) there must exist some interval \((x_0, x_1)\) in which both \( \eta_1(x) > \eta_2(x) \) and \( \eta''_1(x) > \eta''_2(x) \) and since \( \eta'_1(x_0) = \eta'_2(x_0) \) we must have that \( \eta'_1(x) > \eta'_2(x) \) in this interval. Hence \( \eta_1(x_1) > \eta_2(x_1) \), \( \eta'_1(x_1) > \eta'_2(x_1) \) and \( \eta''_1(x_1) > \eta''_2(x_1) \) (assuming both \( \eta_1(x) \) and \( \eta_2(x) \) exist at \( x_1 \), if not then we have already completed the proof). We then may extend beyond \( x_1 \) to another interval \((x_1, x_2)\) in which \( \eta_1(x) > \eta_2(x) \), \( \eta'_1(x) > \eta'_2(x) \) and \( \eta''_1(x) > \eta''_2(x) \) and the process can continue so long as both \( \eta_1(x) \) and \( \eta_2(x) \) are defined. We use the same approach to prove \( \eta_1(x) > \eta_2(x) \) for all \( x \) less than \( x_0 \) in which both \( \eta_1(x) \) and \( \eta_2(x) \) are defined.

Lemma 12.7

Let \( x_0, a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( b > c \) and let \( \eta_1(x) \) and \( \eta_2(x) \) be solutions to the differential equation

\[ \eta'' = 4e^x \sinh \eta \]

with

\[ \eta_1(x_0) = a \quad \eta'_1(x_0) = b \]

and

\[ \eta_2(x_0) = a \quad \eta'_2(x_0) = c \]

then \( \eta_1(x) > \eta_2(x) \) for every \( x > x_0 \) at which both \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) are defined and \( \eta_1(x) < \eta_2(x) \) for every \( x < x_0 \) at which both \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) are defined.

Proof.

Since \( \eta_1(x_0) = \eta_2(x_0) \) and \( \eta'_1(x_0) > \eta'_2(x_0) \) there must exist some interval \((x_0, x_1)\) in which both \( \eta_1(x) > \eta_2(x) \) and \( \eta'_1(x) > \eta'_2(x) \). Hence \( \eta''_1(x) = 4e^x \sinh \eta_1(x) > 4e^x \sinh \eta_2(x) = \eta''_2(x) \) and so \( \eta_1(x_1) > \eta_2(x_1) \), \( \eta'_1(x_1) > \eta'_2(x_1) \) and \( \eta''_1(x_1) > \eta''_2(x_1) \) (assuming \( \eta_2(x) \) exists at \( x_1 \), if not then we have already completed the proof). We
then may extend beyond \( x_1 \) to another interval \((x_1, x_2)\) in which \( \eta_1(x) > \eta_2(x) \), 
\( \eta'_1(x) > \eta'_2(x) \) and \( \eta''_1(x) > \eta''_2(x) \) and the process can continue so long as \( \eta_1(x) \) and 
\( \eta_2(x) \) are defined. We use the same approach to prove \( \eta_1(x) < \eta_2(x) \) for all \( x \) less 
than \( x_0 \) in which \( \eta_1(x) \) and \( \eta_2(x) \) are defined.

**Lemma 12.8**

If \( \eta_1(x) \) is a solution of

\[
\eta'' = 4e^x \sinh \eta
\]

with

\[
\eta(x_0) = a \quad \eta'(x_0) = b
\]

and \( \eta_1(x) \) satisfies all the following properties

- has one critical point - a positive minimum
- has a singularity at some finite value \( x_1 < 0 \)

then there is no solution to the differential equation with \( \eta(x_0) \geq a \) which exists over 
the whole real line.

Note any solution to the differential equation which has the same properties as \( \eta_1 \)
shall be called a solution of type U. Also by lemma 12.2 every solution of type U 
has a singularity at some point \( x_2 > 0 \).

**Proof:**

We first show that there is no solution, \( \eta_2(x) \) to the differential equation (12.1) with 
\( \eta_2(x_0) = a \) which exists over the whole real line.

If \( \eta'_2(x_0) = b \) then \( \eta_2(x) \equiv \eta_1(x) \) by uniqueness and hence does not exist over the 
whole real line. If \( \eta'_2(x_0) > b \) then by lemma 12.7 \( \eta_2(x) > \eta_1(x) \) for all \( x \) greater 
than \( x_0 \) and since \( \eta_1(x) \) has a singularity at some \( x \) greater than zero so must \( \eta_2(x) \)
Similarly when \( \eta'_2(x_0) < b \) we have that \( \eta_2(x) \) has a singularity at some \( x < 0 \)
To prove the statement when $\eta_2(x_0) > a$ First let $\eta_3(x)$ be another solution to the differential equation with $\eta_3(x_0) = \eta_2(x_0) > a$ and $\eta'_3(x_0) = b$ then by lemma 12.7 $\eta_3(x)$ satisfies the same three conditions that $\eta_1(x)$ satisfies Then using the approach used in the previous paragraph we can show that $\eta_2(x)$ cannot exist over the entire real line This completes the proof

We now study more closely the properties of the solutions to the differential equation

We now note that $\eta(x) \equiv 0$ is a solution of the differential equation and from here on we shall assume $\eta$ is non-trivial Letting $\tilde{\eta} = -\eta(x)$ we note that $\tilde{\eta}'(x) = -\eta'(x)$ and $\tilde{\eta}''(x) = -\eta''(x) = -4e^x \sinh 77 = 4e^x \sinh -77 = 4e^x \sinh 77$ is also a solution of the differential equation Thus by replacing $\eta(x)$ with $-\eta(x)$ if necessary we may assume in all that follows that $\eta(x_0) \geq 0$ As we are dealing with non-trivial solutions we may further assume $\eta(x_0) > 0$

In view of lemma 12.1 we remark that each solution $\eta(x)$ must satisfy one of the following conditions

a. $\eta(x)$ has a positive minimum

b. $\eta(x)$ has no critical points but there exists a point $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\eta(x_1) = 0$

c. $\eta(x)$ has no critical points and there is no point $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\eta(x_1) = 0$

Solutions of type a and b exist for every initial condition $\eta(x_0) > 0$ and by lemma 12.2 these solutions will tend to $\infty$ as $x$ tends to some finite number $b > x_0$ Hence if a solution exists on an interval $[x_0, \infty)$ then it must be of type c above i.e. it must be either strictly increasing or strictly decreasing and given $\eta(x_0) > 0$ it must also be strictly positive Again by lemma 12.2 a strictly increasing solution would tend to infinity as $x$ tended to some finite $b > x_0$ hence we have that $\eta$ is strictly decreasing If $\lim_{n \to \infty} \eta(x) = d > 0$ then $\eta''(x) = 4e^x \sinh \eta(x)$ tends to infinity as $x$ tends to infinity which in turn implies $\eta'(x)$ and $\eta(x)$ would tend to infinity as
x tends to infinity - a contradiction. Hence \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \eta(x) = 0 \) In summary, every solution of type c which exists over the interval \([x_0, \infty)\) must be a strictly positive and strictly decreasing and tend to zero as \( x \) tends to infinity.

**Lemma 12.9**

*If \( x_1 \) is a point at which \( \eta \) is defined with \( \eta(x_1) > 0 \) and \( \eta'(x_1) < 0 \) then \( \eta(x) \to \infty \) as \( x \to b \) where \( b = -\infty \) or is some finite number less than \( x_1 \).*

**Proof**

Let \( \eta \) be defined on the region \((b, x_1)\) where \( b = -\infty \) or is just some finite number less than \( x_1 \). As \( \eta \) is of type a, b or c above - it is obvious that \( \eta(x) > 0 \) for all \( x \in (b, x_1) \) Now let \( g(x) \) be the solution to the differential equation

\[
g''(x) = 0 \quad g'(x_1) = \eta'(x_1) \quad g(x_1) = \eta(x_1)
\]

so that \( g(x) = \eta'(x_1)x + \eta(x_1) \) Comparing \( \eta(x) \) to \( g(x) \) we see \( \eta''(x) > g''(x) \) for all \( x \in (b, x_1) \), \( \eta'(x) = g'(x_1) \) and \( \eta(x_1) = g(x_1) \) so we must have \( \eta(x) > g(x) \) for all \( x \in (b, x_1) \) Since \( g(x) \) tends to \( \infty \) as \( x \) tends to \( \infty \) we must have \( \eta(x) \) tending to \( \infty \) as \( x \) tends to \( b \)

We now examine the completeness of these solutions

a \( \eta(x) \) has a positive minimum Thus \( \eta \) is defined on the region \((a, b)\) where \( b \) is some finite number and \( a \in \mathbb{R} \) or \( a = -\infty \) Also \( \eta(x) \) tends to infinity as \( x \) tends to both \( a \) and \( b \) Hence \( e^{\eta(x)}x + c \) tends to infinity as \( x \) tends to both \( a \) and \( b \) Thus depending on the initial conditions - the surfaces could be complete.

b \( \eta(x) \) has no critical points but there exists a point \( x_1 \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \eta(x_1) = 0 \)

Let \( \eta \) be defined on the region \((a, b)\) where \( a \in \mathbb{R} \) or \( a = -\infty \) and \( b \in \mathbb{R} \) or \( b = \infty \)

If we assume \( \eta \) is strictly decreasing then by lemma 12.2 b would be finite and
Let \( \eta(x) \) be defined on the region \((a, b)\) where \( a \in \mathbb{R} \) or \( a = -\infty \) and \( b \in \mathbb{R} \) or \( b = \infty \). Since we may assume \( \eta(x_0) > 0 \) we have that \( \eta \) is strictly positive. If it is strictly increasing then \( \lim_{x \to a} \eta(x) = d \in \mathbb{R} \) and hence \( \eta - x + c \to 0 \) as \( x \to a \) for some point \( \eta(x_0) \) has no critical points and there is no point \( x_1 \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \eta(x_1) = 0 \). Hence \( \eta \) is strictly increasing then \( \eta(x) \to \infty \) as \( x \to a \). Hence if the solution to the differential equation is of type \( b \), then the surface will not be complete.

In summary, any solution to the differential equation (12.1) which gives rise to a complete metric must either.

1. have a positive minimum and is defined on a finite interval \((a, b)\)
2. have a positive minimum and is defined on a semi-infinite interval \((-\infty, b)\)
3. be a strictly positive, strictly decreasing function which tends to 0 as \( x \) tends to \( \infty \) and to \( -\infty \) as \( x \) tends to \(-\infty\)

We note that the existence of solutions of type 11 and 111 have not been proved.
We do however recall lemma 12.8 which states that if a solution of type I occurs with initial condition $\eta(x_0) = \gamma > 0$ then a solution of type III cannot occur with initial condition $\eta(x_0) = \gamma$, the converse of this is also true. I would conjecture that no solutions of type III occur. Letting $g(x) = \eta(1/x)$ this conjecture is equivalent to stating that the following differential equation

$$(x^2g'(x))^2 = \frac{4}{x^2}e^{1/x} \sinh g(x)$$

with the mixed boundary conditions

$$g(1) > 0 \quad \lim_{x \to 0} g(x) = 0$$

has no solutions.
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Minimal Surfaces

From here on we shall drop the subscript 1 from the inner product symbol \( \langle x, y \rangle \)

\[ \langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle_1 \]

and subscript 1 will imply partial differentiation with respect to \( x \), similarly subscript 2 will imply differentiation with respect to \( y \).

We first study the minimal surfaces when \( \alpha \neq 0 \). Replacing \( \alpha \) with \(-\alpha\) we have from equations (7.3) (7.4) and (7.5) that \( f \) satisfies the following

\[ f_{12} = -\alpha f_2 + E \ast f_1 \]  
\[ f_{22} = \alpha f_1 + E \ast f_2 \]  
\[ f_{11} = -f_{22} \]

If we assume that \( E \) is the zero vector then these equations reduce to

\[ f_{12} = -\alpha f_2 \]  
\[ f_{22} = \alpha f_1 \]  
\[ f_{11} = -\alpha f_1 \]

(13.4) and (13.6) imply

\[ f_1 = -\alpha f + v_1 \]

for some \( v_1 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \). This has solution

\[ f(x, y) = e^{-\alpha x} v_2(y) + \frac{v_1}{\alpha} \]
(13.5) then implies

\[ v_2(y) = v_3 \cos(\alpha y) + v_4 \sin(\alpha y) \]

for some \( v_3, v_4 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), and so \( f \) is planar. Hence from here on we shall assume \( E \) is not the zero vector. We let \( \epsilon_1 = \langle E, E \rangle \). We recall from Lemma 9.2 that

\[ \langle E, f \rangle = a \cos(\alpha y + t)e^{-\alpha x} \]

By switching to an associate if necessary we may assume \( t = 0 \) and hence that

\[ \langle E, f_y(0, 0) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{(13.7)} \]

and

\[ \langle E, f_x(0, 0) \rangle = -\alpha a \quad \text{(13.8)} \]

**Lemma 13.1**

*After a translation orthogonal to \( E \) we may assume without loss of generality that*

\[ E * f_2 = \alpha f_1 + (\alpha^2 + \epsilon_1)f - \langle E, f \rangle E + c \]

*where \( c \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) and \( \langle E, c \rangle = 0 \). Furthermore we may assume \( c = (0, 0, 0)^T \) if \( \epsilon_1 \neq -\alpha^2 \)
(10.31) \[ (f \ast \mathcal{A}) \sigma - = (f \ast \mathcal{A}) = (f \ast \mathcal{A}) \]

\[
\forall \varepsilon \exists \sigma = (x) \phi = (f) \phi
\]

Thus

\[
(x) \phi + (f \ast \mathcal{A}) \mathcal{A} + f \sigma = \tau f \ast \mathcal{A}
\]

\[
\tau (f \ast \mathcal{A}) \mathcal{A} + \tau f \sigma + \tau \tau f =
\]

\[
(f \ast \mathcal{A}) \mathcal{A} + (f \sigma + \tau f) =
\]

\[
(f \ast \mathcal{A}) \mathcal{A} + 1 f \ast \mathcal{A} = \tau f \ast \mathcal{A}
\]

\[
\tau f \ast \mathcal{A} = \tau f \leq (10.31)
\]

Also

\[
(f) \phi + (f \ast \mathcal{A}) \mathcal{A} + f \sigma = \tau f \ast \mathcal{A}
\]

\[
\tau (f \ast \mathcal{A}) \mathcal{A} + \tau f \sigma + \tau \tau f =
\]

\[
(f \ast \mathcal{A}) \mathcal{A} + (f \sigma - \tau f) =
\]

\[
(f \ast \mathcal{A}) \mathcal{A} + \tau f \ast \mathcal{A} = \tau f \ast \mathcal{A}
\]

\[
\tau f \ast \mathcal{A} + \tau \tau f = \tau f \leq (10.31)
\]

Proof.
and we have

\[ 0 = \langle E, E * f_2 \rangle \]

\[ = \alpha (E, f_1) + \alpha^2 (E, f) + \langle E, E * (E * f) \rangle + \langle E, c \rangle \quad \text{by (13.9)} \]

\[ = \langle E, c \rangle \quad \text{by (13.10)} \]

that is, \( c \) is orthogonal to \( E \) and

\[ E * (E * c) = \langle E, E \rangle c - \langle E, c \rangle E = \epsilon_1 c \]

Now if \( \epsilon_1 \neq -\alpha^2 \) replace \( f \) by \( \tilde{f} = f + \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 + \epsilon_1} \) then

\[(13.9) \Rightarrow E * \tilde{f}_2 = E * f_2 \]

\[ = \alpha f_1 + \alpha^2 f + E * (E * f) + c \]

\[ = \alpha \tilde{f}_1 + \alpha^2 \tilde{f} - \frac{\alpha^2 c}{\alpha^2 + \epsilon_1} + E * (E * \tilde{f}) - E * (E * \frac{c}{\alpha^2 + \epsilon_1}) + c \]

\[ = \alpha \tilde{f}_1 + \alpha^2 \tilde{f} + E * (E * \tilde{f}) - \frac{\alpha^2 c}{\alpha^2 + \epsilon_1} - \frac{1}{\alpha^2 + \epsilon_1} \epsilon_1 c + c \]

Thus we have now shown that if \( \epsilon_1 \neq -\alpha^2 \) then we may assume \( c = (0, 0, 0)^T \) Hence after a translation orthogonal to \( E \) we may assume

\[ f = \alpha f_1 + \alpha^2 f + E * (E * f) + c \]

\[ = \alpha f_1 + \alpha^2 f + \langle E, E \rangle f - \langle E, f \rangle E + c \]

proving the lemma.
We shall now divide our analysis into four cases

1. \( \|E\|^2 = \epsilon^2 \)
2. \( \|E\|^2 = -\epsilon^2 \neq -\alpha^2 \)
3. \( \|E\|^2 = -\alpha^2 \)
4. \( \|E\|^2 = 0 \)

for some \( \epsilon > 0 \)

**Lemma 13.2**

If \( \|E\|^2 = \epsilon^2 > 0 \) then we may assume

\[
f(x, y) = e^{-\alpha x}(U(y) \cos(\epsilon x) + V(y) \sin(\epsilon x) + \frac{\alpha}{\epsilon^2} \cos(\alpha y + t)E)
\]

where \( (U(y), E) = (V(y), E) = 0 \)

and if \( \|E\|^2 = -\epsilon^2 < 0 \) where \( \epsilon^2 \neq \alpha^2 \) then after a translation in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) we may assume

\[
f(x, y) = U(y)e^{(-\alpha + \epsilon)x} + V(y)e^{(-\alpha - \epsilon)x} - \frac{\alpha}{\epsilon^2} e^{-\alpha x} \cos(\alpha y + t)E
\]

where \( (U(y), E) = (V(y), E) = 0 \)

**Proof:**

In both cases we have using lemma 13.1 that

\[
(13.3) \Rightarrow f_{11} = -f_{22} \\
= -(\alpha f_1 + E \ast f_2) \\
= -(2\alpha f_1 + (\alpha^2 + \epsilon_1)f - (E, f)E)
\]

therefore

\[
f_{11} + 2\alpha f_1 + (\alpha^2 + \epsilon_1)f = a \cos(\alpha y + t)e^{-\alpha x}E \quad (13.11)
\]
for a particular solution of (13 11) we try

\[ P(x, y) = W(y)e^{-\alpha x} \]

and substituting this in, we get

\[ \alpha^2 W(y)e^{-\alpha x} - 2\alpha^2 W(y)e^{-\alpha x} + (\alpha^2 + \epsilon_1)W(y)e^{-\alpha x} = ae^{-\alpha x}\cos(\alpha y + t)E \]

\[ \Rightarrow W(y) = \frac{a}{\epsilon_1} \cos(\alpha y + t)E \]

The homogenous equation

\[ f_{11} + 2\alpha f_1 + (\alpha^2 + \epsilon_1)f = 0 \]

has characteristic equation

\[ \lambda^2 + 2\alpha \lambda + (\alpha^2 + \epsilon_1) = 0 \]

which has roots

\[ \lambda = \frac{-2\alpha \pm \sqrt{4\alpha^2 - 4(1)(\alpha^2 + \epsilon_1)}}{2} = \frac{-2\alpha \pm \sqrt{-4\epsilon_1}}{2} = -\alpha \pm \sqrt{-\epsilon_1} \]

So in the case when \( \langle E, E \rangle = \epsilon^2 > 0 \) we have

\[ f(x, y) = U(y)e^{-\alpha x}\cos(\epsilon x) + V(y)e^{-\alpha x}\sin(\epsilon x) + (\text{a particular solution}) \]

and so

\[ f(x, y) = (U(y)\cos \epsilon x + V(y)\sin \epsilon x + \frac{a}{\epsilon^2} \cos(\alpha y + t)E)e^{-\alpha x} \]
Now take the inner product with $E$ across this equation to give

$$\langle E, f \rangle = \langle (U(y), E) \cos(\epsilon x) + (V(y), E) \sin(\epsilon x) + a \cos(\alpha y + t) \rangle e^{-\alpha x}$$

Note from lemma 1 $\langle E, f \rangle = ae^{-\alpha x} \cos(\alpha y + t)$

$$0 = \langle U(y), E \rangle \cos(\epsilon x) + \langle V(y), E \rangle \sin(\epsilon x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle U(y), E \rangle \equiv \langle V(y), E \rangle \equiv 0$$

proving the lemma when $\langle E, E \rangle > 0$ When $\langle E, E \rangle = -\epsilon^2 < 0, \epsilon^2 \neq \alpha^2$ we have

$$f(x, y) = U(y)e^{(-\alpha+\epsilon)x} + V(y)e^{(-\alpha-\epsilon)x} + \text{ (a particular solution) }$$

and so

$$f(x, y) = U(y)e^{(-\alpha+\epsilon)x} + V(y)e^{(-\alpha-\epsilon)x} - \frac{a}{\epsilon^2} e^{-\alpha x} \cos(\alpha y + t)E$$

again taking the inner product with $E$ across this equation to give

$$\langle E, f \rangle = \langle U(y), E \rangle e^{(-\alpha+\epsilon)x} + \langle V(y), E \rangle e^{(-\alpha-\epsilon)x} + ae^{-\alpha x} \cos(\alpha y + t)$$

again from lemma 1 $\langle E, f \rangle = ae^{-\alpha x} \cos(\alpha y + t)$

$$0 = \langle U(y), E \rangle e^{(-\alpha+\epsilon)x} + \langle V(y), E \rangle e^{(-\alpha-\epsilon)x}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle U(y), E \rangle \equiv \langle V(y), E \rangle \equiv 0$$

proving lemma 13 2
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Minimal Surfaces

Lemma 13.3

If \( \|E\|^2 = \epsilon^2 > 0 \) then we may assume

\[
f(x, y) = r_1 e^{-\alpha x} \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{a_1}{r_1} \cos \alpha y \\
\cos \alpha y \cosh \epsilon y (ex + r_2) + \sin \alpha y \sinh \epsilon y (ex - r_2)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where \( r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R} \)

Proof:

We shall first use the fact that

\[ a \cos(\alpha y + t) = a_1 \cos \alpha y + a_2 \sin \alpha y \]

for some \( a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R} \) and hence

\[
\langle E, f \rangle = (a_1 \cos \epsilon y + a_2 \sin \epsilon y) e^{-\alpha x}
\]

recall from Lemma 13.2 that

\[
f(x, y) = e^{-\alpha x} (U(y) \cos(\epsilon x) + V(y) \sin(\epsilon x) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (a_1 \cos \alpha y + a_2 \sin \alpha y) E)
\]

so that

\[
f_1 = \{ \cos \epsilon x [-\alpha U(y) + \epsilon V(y)] + \sin \epsilon x [-\alpha V(y) - \epsilon U(y)] \\
- \frac{\alpha}{\epsilon^2} (a_1 \cos \alpha y + a_2 \sin \alpha y) E \} e^{-\alpha x}
\]

\[
f_2 = \left\{ U'(y) \cos \epsilon x + V'(y) \sin \epsilon x + \frac{\alpha}{\epsilon^2} (a_2 \cos \alpha y - a_1 \sin \alpha y) E \right\} e^{-\alpha x}
\]

and
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\[ f_{11} = \left\{ \cos \varepsilon x \left[ \alpha^2 U(y) - 2\alpha \varepsilon V(y) - \varepsilon^2 U(y) \right] + \sin \varepsilon x \left[ \alpha^2 V(y) + 2\alpha \varepsilon U(y) - \varepsilon^2 V(y) \right] + \frac{\alpha^2}{\varepsilon^2} (a_1 \cos \alpha y + a_2 \sin \alpha y) \right\} e^{-\alpha x} \]

\[ f_{22} = \left\{ U''(y) \cos \varepsilon x + V''(y) \sin \varepsilon x - \frac{\alpha^2}{\varepsilon^2} (a_1 \cos \alpha y + a_2 \sin \alpha y) \right\} e^{-\alpha x} \]

Therefore the fact that

\[ f_{11} + f_{22} = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} U''(y) + (\alpha^2 - \varepsilon^2) U(y) = 2\alpha \varepsilon V(y) \\ V''(y) + (\alpha^2 - \varepsilon^2) V(y) = -2\alpha \varepsilon U(y) \end{cases} \]

which in turn imply

\[ U(y) = e^{\varepsilon y} (c_1 \cos \alpha y + c_3 \sin \alpha y) + e^{-\varepsilon y} (c_2 \cos \alpha y + c_4 \sin \alpha y) \]

\[ V(y) = e^{\varepsilon y} (c_3 \cos \alpha y - c_1 \sin \alpha y) - e^{-\varepsilon y} (c_4 \cos \alpha y - c_2 \sin \alpha y) \]

for some constant vectors \( c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \). The fact that \( \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = 0 \) implies

\[ \langle c_2, c_4 \rangle = \langle c_1, c_3 \rangle = 0 \]

\[ \langle c_3, c_3 \rangle = \langle c_1, c_1 \rangle \]

\[ \langle c_4, c_4 \rangle = \langle c_2, c_2 \rangle \]
\[ \langle c_2, c_3 \rangle + \langle c_1, c_4 \rangle = \frac{\alpha^2}{\varepsilon^2(\alpha^2 + \varepsilon^2)}(-a_1a_2) \]

\[ \langle c_1, c_2 \rangle + \langle c_3, c_4 \rangle = \frac{\alpha^2}{\varepsilon^2(\alpha^2 + \varepsilon^2)}\left(\frac{a_2^2 - a_1^2}{2}\right) \]

We now recall the fact that

\[ \langle U(y), E \rangle = \langle V(y), E \rangle = 0 \]

which implies

\[ \langle c_i, E \rangle = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \]

once these are satisfied we find all other conditions are automatically satisfied including the fact that \( \langle f_1, f_1 \rangle = \langle f_2, f_2 \rangle \) So we have that

\[ \langle c_1, E \rangle = 0, \quad \langle c_2, E \rangle = 0 \]

\[ \langle c_1, c_1 \rangle = \langle c_3, c_3 \rangle \quad \langle c_1, c_3 \rangle = 0 \]

As \( \langle E, E \rangle = \varepsilon^2 > 0 \) from the preliminaries we know that \( E_\perp \) is a plane with metric \((-1, 1)\) and hence \( \|c_i\|^2, \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \) may be positive negative or zero Let us assume \( \|c_1\|^2 \) is positive, since \( \langle c_3, E \rangle = 0 \) and \( \langle c_3, c_1 \rangle = 0 \) we must have that \( \|c_3\|^2 \) is negative, but \( \langle c_1, c_1 \rangle = \langle c_3, c_3 \rangle \) hence this is a contradiction A similar argument shows that \( \|c_1\|^2 \) cannot be negative Hence

\[ \langle c_1, c_1 \rangle = \langle c_3, c_3 \rangle = 0 \quad (13.12) \]

as \( c_2 \) and \( c_4 \) have similar conditions imposed we also have
\[ \langle c_2, c_2 \rangle = \langle c_4, c_4 \rangle = 0 \quad (13.13) \]

Thus

\[ \langle c_i, E \rangle = 0, \quad \forall t = 1, 2, 3, 4 \]

\[ \langle c_1, c_1 \rangle = \langle c_3, c_3 \rangle = \langle c_1, c_3 \rangle = 0 \]

\[ \langle c_2, c_2 \rangle = \langle c_4, c_4 \rangle = \langle c_2, c_4 \rangle = 0 \]

\[ \langle c_2, c_3 \rangle + \langle c_1, c_4 \rangle = \frac{\alpha^2}{\epsilon^2(\alpha^2 + \epsilon^2)}(-a_1 a_2) \]

\[ \langle c_1, c_2 \rangle + \langle c_3, c_4 \rangle = \frac{\alpha^2}{\epsilon^2(\alpha^2 + \epsilon^2)}(\frac{a_2^2 - a_1^2}{2}) \]

After a hyperbolic motion we may assume \( E = (\epsilon, 0, 0)^T \) and \( f_y(0, 0) = (s_1, 0, s_2)^T \), where \( s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R} \). By switching to an associate we may also assume that \( a_2 = 0 \) and hence \( f_y(0, 0) = (0, 0, s) \). As \( \langle c_1, E \rangle = 0 \) and \( \langle c_1, c_i \rangle = 0 \) for each \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), we have

\[ c_1 = (0, d_1, j_1 d_1)^T \quad c_2 = (0, d_2, j_2 d_2)^T \]

\[ c_3 = (0, d_3, j_3 d_3)^T \quad c_4 = (0, d_4, j_4 d_4)^T \]

where \( j_i = \pm 1, i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \). Given \( \langle c_1, c_3 \rangle = 0 \) and \( \langle c_2, c_4 \rangle = 0 \) we have \( j_1 = j_3 \) and \( j_2 = j_4 \). If \( j_1 = j_2 \) then \( f \) would be planar hence letting \( j = j_1 \) we have \( j = j_1 = j_3 = -j_2 = -j_4 \). Now \( \langle c_2, c_3 \rangle + \langle c_1, c_4 \rangle = 0 \) so

\[ d_2 d_3 + d_1 d_4 = 0 \]
and as \( f_y(0,0) = (0,0,s)^T \) we also have

\[
\epsilon d_2 = \epsilon d_1 - \alpha d_3 - \alpha d_4
\]

hence either \( d_4 = -d_3 \) and \( d_2 = d_1 \) or \( \alpha d_3 = -\epsilon d_1 \) and \( \epsilon d_2 = \alpha d_4 \) The second of these conditions leads to \( f \) being planar Hence we now have

\[
\begin{align*}
c_1 &= (0, d_1, jd_1)^T \\
c_2 &= (0, d_1, -jd_1)^T \\
c_3 &= (0, d_3, jd_3)^T \\
c_4 &= (0, -d_3, jd_3)^T
\end{align*}
\]

and so

\[
f(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{a_1}{\epsilon} \cos \alpha y e^{-\alpha x} \\
(d_1 \cos \alpha y \cosh \epsilon y - d_3 \sin \alpha y \sinh \epsilon y) \cos \epsilon x \\
+ (d_3 \cos \alpha y \cosh \epsilon y + d_1 \sin \alpha y \sinh \epsilon y) \sin \epsilon x e^{-\alpha x} \\
\frac{\alpha}{\epsilon} \cos \alpha y e^{-\alpha x} \\
(j(d_1 \cos \alpha y \sinh \epsilon y - d_3 \sin \alpha y \cosh \epsilon y) \cos \epsilon x \\
+ (d_3 \cos \alpha y \sinh \epsilon y + d_1 \sin \alpha y \cosh \epsilon y) \sin \epsilon x) e^{-\alpha x}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Checking that \( f \) now satisfies the original differential equation results in \( j = 1 \) With these conditions imposed we find all other conditions are automatically satisfied Letting \( r_1 = \sqrt{d_1^2 + d_3^2} \) and \( r_2 = \arctan(d_1/d_3) \) we find these simplify to

\[
f(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{a_1}{\epsilon} \cos \alpha y e^{-\alpha x} \\
r_1 e^{-\alpha x}(\cos \alpha y \cosh \epsilon y \sin(\epsilon x + r_2) + \sin \alpha y \sinh \epsilon y \sin(\epsilon x - r_2)) \\
r_1 e^{-\alpha x}(\cos \alpha y \sinh \epsilon y \sin(\epsilon x + r_2) + \sin \alpha y \cosh \epsilon y \sin(\epsilon x - r_2))
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and hence the lemma is proved
Lemma 13.4

If $\|E\|^2 = -\epsilon^2 < 0, \epsilon^2 \neq \alpha^2$ then we may assume

$$f(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix}
    r_1 \cos(-\alpha + \epsilon)ye^{(-\alpha + \epsilon)x} + r_2 \cos(\alpha + \epsilon)ye^{(-\alpha - \epsilon)x} \\
    -r_1 \sin(-\alpha + \epsilon)ye^{(-\alpha + \epsilon)x} - r_2 \sin(\alpha + \epsilon)ye^{(-\alpha - \epsilon)x} \\
    -\frac{\alpha^2}{\epsilon^2} e^{-\alpha x} \cos \alpha y
\end{pmatrix}$$

where $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

Proof.

From lemma 13.2 we have

$$f_{11} = U(y)(-\alpha + \epsilon)^2 e^{(-\alpha + \epsilon)x} + V(y)(-\alpha + \epsilon)^2 e^{(-\alpha - \epsilon)x} + \frac{\alpha^2}{-\epsilon^2} e^{-\alpha x} \cos(\alpha y + t)E$$

$$f_{22} = U''(y)e^{(-\alpha + \epsilon)x} + V''(y)e^{(-\alpha - \epsilon)x} + \frac{\alpha^2}{-\epsilon^2} e^{-\alpha x} \cos(\alpha y + t)E$$

Therefore

$$f_{11} + f_{22} = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases}
    U''(y) + (-\alpha + \epsilon)^2 U(y) = 0 \\
    V''(y) + (-\alpha - \epsilon)^2 V(y) = 0
\end{cases}$$

Hence

$$U(y) = v_1 \cos(-\alpha + \epsilon)y + v_2 \sin(-\alpha + \epsilon)y \quad (13.14)$$

$$V(y) = v_3 \cos(\alpha + \epsilon)y + v_4 \sin(\alpha + \epsilon)y \quad (13.15)$$

for some $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Also with the aid of the fact that
\[ \langle U(y), E \rangle = \langle V(y), E \rangle = 0 \]
\[ \langle U'(y), E \rangle = \langle V'(y), E \rangle = 0 \]
\[ \langle E, v_i \rangle = 0 \quad i = 1, \ldots, 4 \]

We now have

\[ f(x, y) = (v_1 \cos(-\alpha + \epsilon)y + v_2 \sin(-\alpha + \epsilon)y)e^{(-\alpha+\epsilon)x} + (v_3 \cos(\alpha + \epsilon)y + v_4 \sin(\alpha + \epsilon)y)e^{(-\alpha-\epsilon)x} + \frac{a}{\epsilon^2}e^{-ax}\cos(\alpha y + t)E \]

\[ f_1(x, y) = (-\alpha + \epsilon)(v_1 \cos(-\alpha + \epsilon)y + v_2 \sin(-\alpha + \epsilon)y)e^{(-\alpha+\epsilon)x} + (-\alpha - \epsilon)(v_3 \cos(\alpha + \epsilon)y + v_4 \sin(\alpha + \epsilon)y)e^{(-\alpha-\epsilon)x} + \frac{a}{\epsilon^2}e^{-ax}\cos(\alpha y + t)E \]

\[ f_2(x, y) = (-\alpha + \epsilon)(-v_1 \sin(-\alpha + \epsilon)y + v_2 \cos(-\alpha + \epsilon)y)e^{(-\alpha+\epsilon)x} + (\alpha + \epsilon)(-v_3 \sin(\alpha + \epsilon)y + v_4 \cos(\alpha + \epsilon)y)e^{(-\alpha-\epsilon)x} + \frac{a}{\epsilon^2}e^{-ax}\sin(\alpha y + t)E \]

Using the fact that \( \langle f_1, f_1 \rangle = \langle f_2, f_2 \rangle \) we have

\[ (-\alpha + \epsilon)^2 \langle U(y), U(y) \rangle = \langle U'(y), U'(y) \rangle \quad (13.16) \]
\[ (\alpha + \epsilon)^2 \langle V(y), V(y) \rangle = \langle V'(y), V'(y) \rangle \quad (13.17) \]
\[ \langle U(y), V(y) \rangle (\alpha^2 - \epsilon^2) - \langle U'(y), V'(y) \rangle = -\frac{a^2 \alpha^2}{\epsilon^2} \cos 2(\alpha y + t) \quad (13.18) \]
Substituting (13.14) and (13.15) into (13.16), (13.17) and (13.18) and evaluating at $y = 0$ results in

$$\langle c_1, c_1 \rangle = \langle c_2, c_2 \rangle \quad \langle c_3, c_3 \rangle = \langle c_4, c_4 \rangle$$

$$\langle c_1, c_3 \rangle + \langle c_2, c_4 \rangle = \frac{\alpha^2}{2\epsilon^2(\alpha^2 - \epsilon^2)}(a_2^2 - a_1^2)$$

and on substituting these back we find

$$\langle c_1, c_2 \rangle = 0 \quad \langle c_3, c_4 \rangle = 0$$

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{2\epsilon^2(\alpha^2 - \epsilon^2)}(2a_1a_2)$$

After a hyperbolic motion we may assume $E = (0, 0, \epsilon)^T$ and $f_y(0, 0) = (0, r_1, r_2)^T$.

Then after switching to an associate we may assume $a_2 = 0$ and hence $f_y(0, 0) = (0, r, 0)^T$.

As $\langle c_1, c_1 \rangle = \langle c_2, c_2 \rangle, \langle c_1, c_2 \rangle = 0$ and $\langle E, v_i \rangle = 0, i = 1, 2$ we have

$$c_1 = (r_1 \cos t_1, r_1 \sin t_1, 0)^T$$

$$c_2 = (-j_1r_1 \sin t_1, j_1r_1 \cos t_1, 0)^T$$

Similarly

$$c_3 = (r_2 \cos t_2, r_2 \sin t_2, 0)^T$$

$$c_4 = (-j_2d_2 \sin t_2, j_2r_2 \cos t_2, 0)^T$$

where $r_1, r_2, t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $j_i = \pm 1, i = 1, 2$. Now $\langle c_1, c_4 \rangle = \langle c_2, c_3 \rangle$ implying $-(j_1 + j_2)\sin(t_1 - t_2) = 0$ so that either $j_1 = -j_2$ or $j_1 = j_2$ and $t_2 = t_1 + n\pi$ for some
integer $n$. The first of these result in $f$ being planar hence

$$
c_1 = (r_1 \cos t_1, r_1 \sin t_1, 0)^T \\
c_2 = (-j_1 r_1 \sin t_1, j_1 r_1 \cos t_1, 0)^T \\
c_3 = (j_3 r_2 \cos t_1, j_3 r_2 \sin t_1, 0)^T \\
c_4 = (-j_3 j_1 r_2 \sin t_1, j_3 j_1 r_2 \cos t_1, 0)^T
$$

where $j_3 = \pm 1$. As $f_y(0,0) = (0, r, 0)^T$ we may assume $t_1 = n\pi/2$ where $n$ is an integer. Checking $f$ satisfies the original differential equations result in $j_1 = -1$. By replacing $j_3 r_2$ with $r_2$ we may assume $j_3 = 1$. Finally replacing $r_1$ with $-r_1$ and $r_2$ with $-r_2$ if necessary we assume $t_1 = 0$ and hence

$$
f(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix}
  r_1 \cos(-\alpha + \epsilon)y e^{(-\alpha+\epsilon)x} + r_2 \cos(\alpha + \epsilon)y e^{(-\alpha-\epsilon)x} \\
  -r_1 \sin(-\alpha + \epsilon)y e^{(-\alpha+\epsilon)x} - r_2 \sin(\alpha + \epsilon)y e^{(-\alpha-\epsilon)x} \\
  -\frac{a_1}{\epsilon} e^{-\alpha x} \cos \alpha y
\end{pmatrix}
$$

the lemma is proved.
Lemma 13.5

If \((E, E) = -\alpha^2\) we may assume

\[
f(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix}
  r_2 e^{-2\alpha x} \cos(2\alpha y) - \frac{r_1}{2\alpha} x \\
  -r_2 e^{-2\alpha x} \sin(2\alpha y) + \frac{r_1}{2\alpha} y \\
  -\frac{r_1}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha x} \cos(\alpha y)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where \(r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}\)

Proof:

Recall

\[(13.3) \Rightarrow f_{11} = -f_{22} = -(\alpha f_1 + E \cdot f_2) = -(2\alpha f_1 - \langle E, f \rangle E + c)\]

therefore

\[f_{11} + 2\alpha f_1 = a \cos(\alpha y + t)e^{-\alpha x}E - c \quad (13.19)\]

To find a homogenous solution we look at

\[f_{11} = -2\alpha f_1\]

which may be written

\[f_1 = -2\alpha f + V\]

which in turn has solution

\[f = U e^{-2\alpha x} + \frac{V}{2\alpha}\]

for a particular solution of (13.19) we try

\[P(x, y) = W_1(y)a \cos(\alpha y + t)e^{-\alpha x}E + cx W_2\]
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and substituting this in, we find

\[ W_1 = -1/\alpha^2 \quad \text{and} \quad W_2 = -1/(2\alpha) \]

and hence

\[ f(x, y) = U(y)e^{-2\alpha x} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} V(y) - \frac{a}{\alpha^2} \cos(\alpha y + t)e^{-\alpha x}E - \frac{1}{2\alpha} cx \]

Now take the innerproduct with \( E \) across this equation to give

\[ \langle U(y), E \rangle = \langle V(y), E \rangle = 0 \]

Recalling \( f_{11} + f_{22} = 0 \) we have

\[ f_{11} = 4\alpha^2 U(y)e^{-2\alpha x} - a e^{-\alpha x} \cos(\alpha y + t)E \]

and

\[ f_{22} = U''(y)e^{-2\alpha x} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} V''(y) + a e^{-\alpha x} \cos(\alpha y + t)E \]

hence

\[ V''(y) = 0 \]

\[ U''(y) + 4\alpha^2 U(y) = 0 \]

and so

\[ V(y) = v_1 y + v_2 \]

\[ U(y) = v_3 \cos(2\alpha y) + v_4 \sin(2\alpha y) \]

for some \( v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \). Also with the aid of the fact that

\[ \langle U(y), E \rangle = \langle V(y), E \rangle = 0 \]

\[ \langle U'(y), E \rangle = \langle V'(y), E \rangle = 0 \]

we get

\[ \langle E, v_i \rangle = 0 \quad i = 1, \ldots, 4 \]
Now $a \cos(\alpha y + t) = a_1 \cos \alpha y + b \sin \alpha y$ for some $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and we let $v_5 = -c$

$$f(x, y) = (v_3 \cos(2\alpha y) + v_4 \sin(2\alpha y))e^{-2\alpha x} + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(v_5 x + v_1 y + v_2) - \frac{1}{\alpha^2} e^{-\alpha x}(a_1 \cos \alpha y + a_2 \sin \alpha y)E$$

$$f_1(x, y) = -2\alpha(v_3 \cos(2\alpha y) + v_4 \sin(2\alpha y))e^{-2\alpha x} + \frac{1}{2\alpha}v_5 + \frac{1}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha x}(-a_1 \sin \alpha y - a_2 \cos \alpha y)E$$

$$f_2(x, y) = -2\alpha(v_3 \cos(2\alpha y) - v_4 \sin(2\alpha y))e^{-2\alpha x} + \frac{1}{2\alpha}v_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha x}(-a_1 \sin \alpha + a_2 \cos \alpha y)E$$

after checking $(f_1, f_2) = 0$ we find

$$\langle v_3, v_3 \rangle = \langle v_4, v_4 \rangle$$
$$\langle v_3, v_4 \rangle = 0$$
$$\langle v_5, v_1 \rangle = 0$$
$$\langle v_4, v_5 \rangle - \langle v_1, v_3 \rangle = -a_1 a_2$$
$$\langle v_3, v_5 \rangle + \langle v_1, v_4 \rangle = \frac{a_2^2 - a_1^2}{2}$$

we also check $(f_1, f_1) = (f_2, f_2)$ and so

$$\langle v_5, v_5 \rangle = \langle v_1, v_1 \rangle$$

hence

$$f(x, y) = (v_3 \cos(2\alpha y) + v_4 \sin(2\alpha y))e^{-2\alpha x} + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(v_5 x + v_1 y + v_2) - \frac{1}{\alpha^2} e^{-\alpha x}(a_1 \cos \alpha y + a_2 \sin \alpha y)E$$

95
and

\[
\langle v_3, v_3 \rangle = \langle v_4, v_4 \rangle \quad \langle v_3, v_4 \rangle = 0 \\
\langle v_5, v_5 \rangle = \langle v_1, v_1 \rangle \quad \langle v_5, v_1 \rangle = 0 \\
\langle v_4, v_5 \rangle - \langle v_1, v_3 \rangle = -a_1 a_2 \quad \langle v_3, v_5 \rangle + \langle v_1, v_4 \rangle = \frac{a_2^2 - a_1^2}{2} \\
\langle E, v_i \rangle = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
\]

After a hyperbolic motion we may assume \( E = (0, 0, s)^T \) and \( f_y(0,0) = (0, j s, 0)^T \) for some \( s \in \mathbb{R}, j = \pm 1 \). By switching to an associate we may also assume \( a_2 = 0 \). Now if \( f \) is a solution to the original differential equations then so is \( f + v \) where \( v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) and hence we may assume \( v_2 = (0, 0, 0)^T \). The conditions

\[
\langle v_3, v_3 \rangle = \langle v_4, v_4 \rangle \quad \langle v_3, v_4 \rangle = 0 \\
\langle v_5, v_5 \rangle = \langle v_1, v_1 \rangle \quad \langle v_5, v_1 \rangle = 0 \\
\langle E, v_i \rangle = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
\]

imply

\[
v_1 = (r_1 \cos t_1, r_1 \sin t_1, 0)^T \\
v_5 = (-j_1 r_1 \sin t_1, j_1 r_1 \cos t_1, 0)^T \\
v_3 = (r_2 \cos t_2, r_2 \sin t_2, 0)^T \\
v_4 = (-j_2 r_2 \sin t_2, j_2 r_2 \cos t_2, 0)^T
\]

where \( r_1, r_2, t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( j_1, j_2 = \pm 1 \). The conditions \( \langle v_3, v_5 \rangle = \langle v_1, v_3 \rangle \) and \( \langle v_3, v_5 \rangle + \langle v_1, v_4 \rangle = 0 \) together imply \( j_3 = -j_1 \) and \( t_2 = t_1 + n \pi / 2 \) where \( n \) is an odd integer. Hence we may assume
\[ v_1 = (r_1 \cos t_1, r_1 \sin t_1, 0)^T \]
\[ v_2 = (-j_1 r_1 \sin t_1, j_1 r_1 \cos t_1, 0)^T \]
\[ v_3 = (j_3 r_2 \sin t_2, j_3 r_2 \cos t_2, 0)^T \]
\[ v_4 = (j_3 j_1 r_2 \cos t_2, -j_3 j_1 r_2 \sin t_2, 0)^T \]

where \( j_3 = \pm 1 \) As we may assume the first coordinate of \( f_1(0,0) \) is 0 we have \( t_1 = \pm \pi/2 \) By replacing \( j_3 r_2 \) with \( r_2 \) we may assume \( j_3 = 1 \) Checking that \( f \) now satisfies the original differential equations results in \( j_3 = 1 \) Finally replacing \( r_1 \) with \( -r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) with \( -r_2 \) if necessary we may assume \( t = \pi/2 \) and hence

\[
f(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix}
  r_2 e^{-2\alpha x} \cos(2\alpha y) - \frac{r_1}{2\alpha} x \\
  -r_2 e^{-2\alpha x} \sin(2\alpha y) + \frac{r_1}{2\alpha} y \\
  -\frac{r_1}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha x} \cos(\alpha y)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

proving the lemma
Lemma 13.6

If \( \|E\|^2 = 0 \) then after a translation in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) we may assume

\[
 f(x,y) = (U(y) + xV(y) + \frac{a}{2}x^2 \cos(\alpha y + \theta)E)e^{-\alpha x}
\]

where \( \langle V(y), E \rangle = 0 \) and \( \langle U(y), E \rangle = a \cos(\alpha y + \theta) \)

Proof:

\[
 f_{11} = -f_{22} = -(\alpha f_1 + E \cdot f_2) = -(2\alpha f_1 + \alpha^2 f - \langle E, f \rangle E)
\]

therefore

\[
 f_{11} + 2\alpha f_1 + \alpha^2 f = \dot{a} \cos(\alpha y + \theta)e^{-\alpha x}E
\]

The homogenous equation

\[
 f_{11} + 2\alpha f_1 + \alpha^2 f = 0
\]

has characteristic equation

\[
 \lambda^2 + 2\alpha \lambda + \alpha^2 = 0
\]

which has a single root

\[
 \lambda = -\alpha
\]

Thus we have

\[
 f(x,y) = (U(y) + xV(y))e^{-\alpha x} + (a \text{ particular solution})
\]
for a particular solution of (13.20) we try

\[ P(x, y) = W(y) \frac{x^2}{2} e^{-\alpha x} \]

and substituting in we get

\[ W(y)(1 - 2\alpha x + \alpha^2 \frac{x^2}{2} + 2\alpha x - \alpha^2 x^2 + \alpha^2 \frac{x^2}{2})e^{-\alpha x} = a \cos(\alpha y + t)e^{-\alpha x} \]

and simplifying we get

\[ W(y) = a \cos(\alpha y + t) \]

Thus

\[ f(x, y) = (U(y) + xV(y) + \frac{a}{2} x^2 \cos(\alpha y + t))e^{-\alpha x} \]

Now take the inner product with \( \mathbf{E} \) across this equation to give

\[ \langle \mathbf{E}, f \rangle = \langle U(y), \mathbf{E} \rangle + x \langle V(y), \mathbf{E} \rangle + \frac{a}{2} \cos(\alpha y + t) \langle \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E} \rangle e^{-\alpha x} \]

from lemma 1 \( \langle \mathbf{E}, f \rangle = ae^{-\alpha x} \cos(\alpha y + t) \)

\[ a \cos(\alpha y + t) e^{-\alpha x} = \langle U(y), \mathbf{E} \rangle + x \langle V(y), \mathbf{E} \rangle e^{-\alpha x} \]

\[ \Rightarrow \langle U(y), \mathbf{E} \rangle = a \cos(\alpha y + t) \text{ and } \langle V(y), \mathbf{E} \rangle \equiv 0 \]

proving the lemma.
Lemma 13.7

If \( \|E\|^2 = 0 \) then we may assume

\[
f(x, y) = \frac{a_1 r_1}{2} e^{-\alpha x} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\left[ \frac{1}{r_1^2} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + (x + r_2)^2 - y^2 \right] \cos \alpha y + 2y(x + r_2) \sin \alpha y \\
\frac{2}{r_1} \left(-y \cos \alpha y + (x + r_2) \sin \alpha y\right) \\
\left[ -\frac{1}{r_1^2} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + (x + r_2)^2 - y^2 \right] \cos \alpha y + 2y(x + r_2) \sin \alpha y
\end{array} \right)
\]

for some \( r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R} \)

Proof:

We shall first use the fact that

\[
a \cos(\alpha y + t) = a_1 \cos \alpha y + a_2 \sin \alpha y
\]

for some \( a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R} \) and hence

\[
\langle E, f \rangle = (a_1 \cos \epsilon y + a_2 \sin \epsilon y)e^{-\alpha x}
\]

Now

\[
f(x, y) = (U(y) + xV(y) + \frac{x^2}{2}(a_1 \cos \alpha y + a_2 \sin \alpha y)E)e^{-\alpha x}
\]

and hence

\[
f_1 = (-\alpha U + (1 - \alpha x)V + (x - \frac{\alpha x^2}{2})(a_1 \cos \alpha y + a_2 \sin \alpha y)E)e^{-\alpha x}
\]

\[
f_2 = (U'(y) + xV'(y) - \frac{\alpha x^2}{2}(-a_2 \cos \alpha y + a_1 \sin \alpha y)E)e^{-\alpha x}
\]
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and

\[
f_{11} = (\alpha^2 U + (\alpha^2 x - 2\alpha)V + \left(\frac{\alpha^2 x^2}{2} - 2\alpha x + 1\right)(a_1 \cos \alpha y + a_2 \sin \alpha y)E)e^{-\alpha x}
\]

\[
f_{22} = (U''(y) + xV''(y) - \frac{\alpha^2 x^2}{2}(a_1 \cos \alpha y + a_2 \sin \alpha y)E)e^{-\alpha x}
\]

Since \(f_{11} + f_{22} = 0\) we have

\[
(U''(y) + \alpha^2 U - 2\alpha V) + (xV''(y) + \alpha^2 xV) = (2\alpha x - 1)(a_1 \cos \alpha y + a_2 \sin \alpha y)E
\]

therefore

\[
V''(y) + \alpha^2 V(y) = 2\alpha(a_1 \cos \alpha y + a_2 \sin \alpha y)E
\]

\[
U''(y) + \alpha^2 U(y) = 2\alpha V(y) - (a_1 \cos \alpha y + a_2 \sin \alpha y)E
\]

implying

\[
V(y) = (c_1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(a_1(\cos 2\alpha y - 1) + a_2 \sin 2\alpha y)E) \cos \alpha y
\]

\[
+ (c_2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(a_2(1 - \cos 2\alpha y) + a_1 \sin 2\alpha y)E) \sin \alpha y
\]

\[
+ y \left[-a_2 \cos \alpha y + a_1 \sin \alpha y\right]E
\]
\[ U(y) = (c_3 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(c_1(c_2 \cos 2\alpha y - 1) + (2 + \frac{a_2}{\alpha}E \sin 2\alpha y)) \cos \alpha y \]

\[ + (c_4 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(c_2 + \frac{a_2}{\alpha}E - (c_2 + \frac{a_2}{\alpha}E) \cos 2\alpha y + c_1 \sin 2\alpha y) \sin \alpha y \]

\[ + y \left[ (-c_2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(a_1 \sin 2\alpha y - a_2(1 + \cos 2\alpha y))E \right) \cos \alpha y \]

\[ + (c_1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(-a_1(1 + \cos 2\alpha y) - a_2 \sin 2\alpha y)E \right) \sin \alpha y \]

\[ - \frac{y^2}{2} [a_1 \cos \alpha y + a_2 \sin \alpha y] E \]

for some \( c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) As

\[ \langle E, U(y) \rangle = a_1 \cos \epsilon y + a_2 \sin \epsilon y \]

\[ \langle E, V(y) \rangle = 0 \]

we see that

\[ \langle E, c_1 \rangle = 0 \quad \langle E, c_2 \rangle = 0 \]

\[ \langle E, c_3 \rangle = a_1 \quad \langle E, c_4 \rangle = a_2 \]

The condition \( \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = 0 \) results in the following equalities

\[ \langle c_1, c_2 \rangle = -a_1 a_2 \]

\[ \langle c_2, c_2 \rangle = \langle c_1, c_1 \rangle + a_1^2 - a_2^2 \]

\[ \langle c_1, c_4 \rangle = -\langle c_2, c_3 \rangle \]

102
\[
\begin{align*}
\langle c_2, c_4 \rangle &= \langle c_1, c_3 \rangle - \frac{1}{\alpha} (a_1^2 + \langle c_1, c_1 \rangle) \\
\langle c_3, c_4 \rangle &= -\frac{1}{\alpha} \langle c_2, c_3 \rangle \\
\langle c_4, c_4 \rangle &= \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \langle c_1, c_1 \rangle - \frac{2}{\alpha} \langle c_1, c_3 \rangle + \langle c_3, c_3 \rangle
\end{align*}
\]

these also satisfy the condition \( \langle f_1, f_1 \rangle = \langle f_2, f_2 \rangle \) and so we have

\[
f(x, y) = (c_3 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(c_1(\cos 2\alpha y - 1) + (c_2 + \frac{a_2}{\alpha} E \sin 2\alpha y)) \cos \alpha y + (c_4 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(c_2 + \frac{a_2}{\alpha} E - (c_2 + \frac{a_2}{\alpha} E) \cos 2\alpha y + c_1 \sin 2\alpha y) \sin \alpha y\]
\[
+ x \left[ (c_1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(a_1(\cos 2\alpha y - 1) + a_2 \sin 2\alpha y)E) \cos \alpha y + (c_2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(a_2(1 - \cos 2\alpha y) + a_1 \sin 2\alpha y)E) \sin \alpha y \right]
\]
\[
+ y \left[ (-c_2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(a_1 \sin 2\alpha y - a_2(1 + \cos 2\alpha y))E) \cos \alpha y + (c_1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(-a_1(1 + \cos 2\alpha y) - a_2 \sin 2\alpha y)E) \sin \alpha y \right]
\]
\[
\left\{ \frac{x^2 - y^2}{2} (a_1 \cos \alpha y + a_2 \sin \alpha y) + xy(-a_2 \cos \alpha y + a_1 \sin \alpha y) \right\} E e^{-\alpha x}
\]

for some constants \( a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R} \) and some constant vectors \( c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) with the following conditions
\[ \langle E, c_1 \rangle = 0 \quad \langle E, c_2 \rangle = 0 \]
\[ \langle c_1, c_2 \rangle = -a_1 a_2 \quad \langle c_2, c_2 \rangle = \langle c_1, c_1 \rangle + a_1^2 - a_2^2 \]
\[ \langle E, c_3 \rangle = a_1, \quad \langle E, c_4 \rangle = a_2 \]
\[ \langle c_1, c_4 \rangle = -\langle c_2, c_3 \rangle \quad \langle c_3, c_4 \rangle = -\langle c_2, c_3 \rangle \]
\[ \langle c_2, c_4 \rangle = \langle c_1, c_3 \rangle - \langle c_2, c_3 \rangle \]
\[ \langle c_4, c_4 \rangle = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \langle c_1, c_1 \rangle - \frac{2}{\alpha} \langle c_1, c_3 \rangle + \langle c_3, c_3 \rangle \]

After a hyperbolic motion we may assume the third component of \( f_y(0, 0) \) is zero. Then after a further hyperbolic motion we may also assume \( E = (r_1, 0, r_1)^T \) for some \( r \in \mathbb{R} \). Using (13.7) we have that the first component of \( f_y(0, 0) \) must also be zero and hence we may assume

\[ E = (r_1, 0, r_1)^T \quad \text{and} \quad f_y(0, 0) = (0, b, 0)^T \]

for some \( r_1, b \in \mathbb{R} \). Now \( \langle f_x(0, 0), f_y(0, 0) \rangle = 0 \) and so the second component of \( f_x(0, 0) \) is zero. Also from (13.8) we have \( \langle E, f_x(0, 0) \rangle = -\alpha a \) and hence

\[ f_x(0, 0) = (c, 0, c + \frac{\alpha a}{r_1})^T \]

for some \( c \in \mathbb{R} \). Finally \( \langle f_x(0, 0), f_x(0, 0) \rangle = \langle f_y(0, 0), f_y(0, 0) \rangle \) and hence \( c = \frac{r_1}{2\alpha} (-b^2 - \frac{\alpha^2 a^2}{r_1^2}) \) and so

\[ f_x(0, 0) = \left( -\frac{b}{2} \left( \frac{r_1 b}{\alpha a} + \frac{\alpha a}{r_1 b} \right), 0, -\frac{b}{2} \left( \frac{r_1 b}{\alpha a} - \frac{\alpha a}{r_1 b} \right) \right)^T \]
From above we know the value of \( \langle E, c_i \rangle \) for \( i = 1, 4 \) and given \( E = (r_1, 0, r_1)^T \) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  c_1 &= (d_1, d_2, d_3)^T \\
  c_2 &= (d_3, d_4, d_5)^T \\
  c_3 &= (d_5 + a_1/r_1, d_6, d_7)^T \\
  c_4 &= (d_7 + a_2/r_1, d_8, d_9)^T
\end{align*}
\]

Since \( \langle c_1, c_2 \rangle = 0 \) then \( d_2d_4 = 0 \) e.g. either \( d_2 = 0 \) or \( d_4 = 0 \). Also \( \langle c_2, c_2 \rangle = \langle c_1, c_1 \rangle + a_1^2 - a_2^2 \) hence \( d_4^2 = d_2^2 + a_1^2 \). Now if \( d_4 = 0 \) we would have to have \( a_1 = 0 \) making \( f \) planar thus \( d_2 = 0 \) and \( d_4 = ja_1 \) where \( j = \pm 1 \). Checking \( \langle c_1, c_4 \rangle + \langle c_2, c_3 \rangle = 0 \) leads to \( d_3 = -jr_1d_6 \), where \( j = \pm 1 \) and the condition \( \langle c_1, c_4 \rangle + \frac{1}{a_1}\langle c_2, c_3 \rangle = 0 \) implies \( d_7 = -r_1/a_1d_6d_8 \). Given \( \langle c_2, c_4 \rangle = \langle c_1, c_3 \rangle - \frac{1}{a_1}(c_1^2 + \langle c_1, c_4 \rangle) \) we have \( d_1 = a_1r_1/a + d_8dr_1 \). Finally checking \( \langle c_4, c_4 \rangle + 2/\alpha\langle c_1, c_3 \rangle = \langle c_3, c_3 \rangle + 1/\alpha^2\langle c_1, c_1 \rangle \) results in \( d_5 = r_1/(2a_1)(2a_1^2/a^2 - d_6^2 + d_8^2 + 2a_1d_8)/\alpha - a_1^2/r_1^2 \) Now as

\[
f_x(0, 0) = \left( -\frac{b}{2}(\frac{r_1b}{aa} + \frac{aa}{r_1b}), 0, -\frac{b}{2}(\frac{r_1b}{aa} - \frac{aa}{r_1b}) \right)^T
\]

we must have \( d_6 = 0 \) and since \( f_y(0, 0) = (0, b, 0)^T \) we also have \( d_8 = b/\alpha \). Checking that \( f \) satisfies the original differential equations implies \( j = 1 \). Thus on simplifying we have

\[
f(x, y) = \frac{a_1r_1}{2} e^{-ax} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{r_1^2} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + (x + r_2)^2 - y^2 \cos \alpha y + 2y(x + r_2) \sin \alpha y \\
\frac{2}{r_1}(-y \cos \alpha y + (x + r_2) \sin \alpha y) \\
\frac{-1}{r_1^2} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + (x + r_2)^2 - y^2 \cos \alpha y + 2y(x + r_2) \sin \alpha y
\end{array} \right)
\]

where \( r_2 = \frac{b+\alpha}{a_1\alpha} \) and so the lemma is proved
We now study the Minimal Surfaces when $\alpha = 0$

The differential equations (9 20) and (9 22) in this case reduce to the form

\[ f_2 = E \ast f \quad \quad \quad (13 \ 21) \]
\[ f_{11} + f_{22} = 0 \quad \quad \quad (13 \ 22) \]

We note that $f = f + sE, s \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the differential equation once $f$ does. Now (13 21) and (13 22) imply

\[ \langle E, f_2 \rangle = \langle E, f_{11} \rangle = 0 \]

and so

\[ \langle E, f \rangle = -c(x + d) \]

for some $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$

\[ f_{22} = E \ast (E \ast f) \]
\[ = \langle E, E \rangle f - \langle E, f \rangle E \]
\[ = \langle E, E \rangle f + c(x + d)E \]

and so

\[ f_{22} - \|E\|^2 f = c(x + d)E \quad (13 \ 23) \]

At this point we shall split the analysis into three cases

- $\langle E, E \rangle = 0$
- $\langle E, E \rangle < 0$
- $\langle E, E \rangle > 0$
\( \langle E, E \rangle = 0 \)

\[
f_{22} = c(x + d) E
\]

\[
f_2 = c(xy + dy) E + g_1(x) \quad \text{where } g_1(x) \in \mathbb{R}^3
\]

\[
f = \frac{c}{2}(xy^2 + dy^2) E + g_1(x)y + g_2(x) \quad \text{where } g_2(x) \in \mathbb{R}^3
\]

\[
f_1 = \frac{c}{2}y^2 E + g_1'(x)y + g_2'(x)
\]

\[
f_{11} = g_1''(x)y + g_2''(x)
\]

\[
= -f_{22} = -c(x + d) E
\]

Hence \( g_1''(x) = 0 \) and \( g_2''(x) = -c(x + d) E \), i.e.

\[
g_1(x) = v_2x + v_3
\]

and

\[
g_2(x) = -c\left(\frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{1}{2}dx^2\right) E + v_4x + v_5
\]

resulting in

\[
f = \frac{c}{6}(-x^3 + 3xy^2 + 3dy^2 - 3dx^2) E + v_2xy + v_3y + v_4x + v_5
\]

and so

\[
E \ast f = E \ast v_2xy + E \ast v_3y + E \ast v_4x + E \ast v_5
\]

\[
= f_2
\]

\[
= cxy E + cdy E + v_2x + v_3
\]
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giving

\[ E \ast v_2 = cE \]
\[ E \ast v_3 = cdE \]
\[ E \ast v_4 = v_2 \]
\[ E \ast v_5 = v_3 \]

Now after a hyperbolic rotation and a stretching we may assume that \( E = (r, 0, r)^T \) and hence we have

\[ v_2 = (s_2, c, s_2)^T \]
\[ v_3 = (s_3, cd, s_3)^T \]
\[ v_4 = (s_4, \frac{s_2}{r}, s_4 + \frac{c}{r})^T \]
\[ v_5 = (s_5, \frac{s_3}{r}, s_5 + \frac{cd}{r})^T \]

where \( s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5 \in \mathbb{R} \). We may also assume \( f_y(0, 0) = (0, -bl, 0)^T \) and \( f_x(0, 0) = \left( (b^2 r^2 + 1)l/(2r), 0, (b^2 r^2 - 1)l/(2r) \right)^T \) for some \( b, l \in \mathbb{R} \) and hence

\[ c = -l \]
\[ d = b \]
\[ s_3 = 0 \]
\[ s_2 = 0 \]
\[ s_4 = lb/2(rb + 1/(rb)) \]

resulting in

\[ f(x, y) = \frac{lr}{6}(x + b) \begin{pmatrix} 3/r^2 + (x + b)^2 - 3y^2 \\ -6/ry \\ -3/r^2 + (x + b)^2 - 3y^2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} s_6 + cd/(2r) + cd^3 r/6 \\ 0 \\ s_6 + cd/(2r) + cd^3 r/6 \end{pmatrix} \]

for some \( s_6 \in \mathbb{R} \).
It is worth noting that \( \langle f_y, f_y \rangle = \langle f_x, f_x \rangle \) and \( \langle f_x, f_y \rangle = 0 \) for all \( x, y \in \mathbb{R} \) and so \( f \) satisfies all the conditions for minimality.

Recall that if \( f \) is a solution of the differential equations then so is \( \tilde{f} = f + sE \) hence we may assume \( s_6 = -cd/(2r) - cd^3 r/6 \) and hence

\[
f(x, y) = \frac{lr}{6} (x + b) \begin{pmatrix} 3/r^2 + (x + b)^2 - 3y^2 \\ -6/ry \\ -3/r^2 + (x + b)^2 - 3y^2 \end{pmatrix}
\]

This is Enneper's surface of the second kind, which is a minimal spacelike surface of revolution.
\( \langle E, E \rangle = e^2 > 0 \)

Hence we need to solve
\[
f_{22} - e^2 f = c(x + d)E
\]

Solution of Homogenous Equation

\[
go_1(x, y) = v_2(x) \sinh ey + v_3(x) \cosh ey
\]

for some \( v_2(x), v_3(x) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) A particular solution is

\[
go_2(x, y) = -\frac{c}{e^2}(x + d)E
\]

Therefore the solution of this equation is

\[
f = v_2(x) \sinh ey + v_3(x) \cosh ey - ce^{-2}(x + d)E
\]

\[
E \ast f = \sinh ey E \ast v_2(x) + \cosh ey E \ast v_3(x)
\]

\[
= f_2
\]

\[
= ev_2(x) \cosh ey + ev_3(x) \sinh ey
\]

hence \( ev_3(x) = E \ast v_2(x) \) and \( ev_2(x) = E \ast v_3(x) \)

\[
-f_{22} = -e^2 v_2(x) \sinh ey - e^2 v_3(x) \cosh ey
\]

\[
f_{11} = v_2''(x) \sinh ey + v_3''(x) \cosh ey
\]

hence

\[
v_2(x) = v_4 \cos ex + v_5 \sin ex
\]

\[
v_3(x) = v_6 \cos ex + v_7 \sin ex
\]

where \( v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \)
Hence

\[
f = (v_4 \cos ex + v_5 \sin ex) \sinh ey + (v_6 \cos ex + v_7 \sin ex) \cosh ey - ce^{-2}(x + d)E
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
E \ast v_4 &= ev_6 \\
E \ast v_5 &= ev_7 \\
E \ast v_6 &= ev_4 \\
E \ast v_7 &= ev_5
\end{align*}
\]

Now after a hyperbolic motion and a stretching we may assume \( E = (0, \epsilon, 0)^T \) and hence

\[
\begin{align*}
v_4 &= (s_1, 0, s_2)^T \\
v_5 &= (s_3, 0, s_4)^T \\
v_6 &= (-s_2, 0, -s_1)^T \\
v_7 &= (-s_4, 0, -s_3)^T
\end{align*}
\]

and we may also assume \( f_y(0, 0) = (r \cos b, 0, 0)^T \) and \( f_x(0, 0) = (0, r, r \sin b)^T \) resulting in

\[
\begin{align*}
s_4 &= 0 \\
s_2 &= 0 \\
s_1 &= \frac{r}{\epsilon} \cos b \\
s_3 &= -\frac{r}{\epsilon} \sin b
\end{align*}
\]

and \( c = -\frac{r}{\epsilon} \), hence

\[
f(x, y) = r \begin{pmatrix}
\sinh(-\epsilon y) \cos(\epsilon x + \tan b) \\
\epsilon(x + d) \\
\cosh(-\epsilon y) \cos(\epsilon x + \tan b)
\end{pmatrix}
\]
and recalling that if $f$ is a solution of the differential equation then so is $\tilde{f} = f + sE$ allows us assume $d = \tan b$ and

$$f(x, y) = r_1 \begin{pmatrix} \sinh(-\epsilon y) \cos(\epsilon x + r_2) \\ \epsilon(x + r_2) \\ \cosh(-\epsilon y) \cos(\epsilon x + r_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

(13.25)

where $r_1 = \frac{\zeta}{\epsilon}$ and $r_2 = \tan b$. This surface is the catenoid of the 2nd kind, which is a minimal surface of revolution.
• \( \langle E, E \rangle = -e^2 < 0 \)

Hence we need to solve
\[
f_{22} + e^2 f = c(x + d)
\]

Solution of Homogenous Equation
\[
g_1(x, y) = v_2(x) \sin ey + v_3(x) \cos ey
\]

Particular Solution
\[
g_2(x, y) = \frac{c}{e^2}(x + d)E
\]

Therefore the solution of this equation is
\[
f = v_2(x) \sin ey + v_3(x) \cos ey + ce^{-2}(x + d)E
\]
\[
E \ast f = \sin eyE \ast v_2(x) + \cos eyE \ast v_3(x)
\]
\[
= f_2
\]
\[
= ev_2(x) \cos ey - ev_3(x) \sin ey
\]

hence \( ev_2(x) = E \ast v_3(x) \) and \( -ev_3(x) = E \ast v_2(x) \)

\[
-f_{22} = e^2v_2(x) \sin ey + e^2v_3(x) \cos ey
\]
\[
f_{11} = v_2''(x) \sin ey + v_3''(x) \cos ey
\]

Hence
\[
v_2(x) = v_4 \sinh ex + v_5 \cosh ex
\]
\[
v_3(x) = v_6 \sinh ex + v_7 \cosh ex
\]

for some \( v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \)
Recall $ev_2(x) = E * v_3(x)$ and $-ev_3(x) = E * v_2(x)$, hence

$$f = (v_4 \sinh ex + v_5 \cosh ex) \sin ey + (v_6 \sinh ex + v_7 \cosh ex) \cos ey + ce^{-2}(x + d)E$$

with

$$ev_4 = E * v_6 \quad (13.26)$$
$$ev_5 = E * v_7 \quad (13.27)$$
$$ev_6 = -E * v_4 \quad (13.28)$$
$$ev_7 = -E * v_5 \quad (13.29)$$

Now after a hyperbolic motion we may assume $E = (0,0,\epsilon)^T$ hence

$$v_4 = (s_1, -s_2, 0)^T$$
$$v_5 = (s_3, -s_4, 0)^T$$
$$v_6 = (s_2, s_1, 0)^T$$
$$v_7 = (s_4, s_3, 0)^T$$

we may also assume $f_x(0,0) = (r \cosh b, 0, -r)^T$ and $f_y(0,0) = (0, -r \sinh b, 0)^T$ and hence

$$f(x,y) = r \left( \begin{array}{c} \sinh(\epsilon x + b) \cos \epsilon y \\ -\sinh(\epsilon x + b) \sin \epsilon y \\ -\epsilon x + d \end{array} \right)$$

finally recalling that if $f$ is a solution of the differential equation then so is $\tilde{f} = f + sE$

lets us assume that $d = -b$ and so

$$f(x,y) = -r \left( \begin{array}{c} \sinh(\epsilon x + b) \cos y \\ -\sinh(\epsilon x + b) \sin y \\ -(\epsilon x + b) \end{array} \right) \quad (13.30)$$

this surface is the catenoid of the 1st kind which is a minimal surface of revolution
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Determination of $\nabla_{X_p} Y$

Proof that given $g(\cdot)$ so that equations (A 1) and (A 2) (given below) hold for all $X_p \in T_p M$ and for all smooth vector fields $Y$, that $\nabla_{X_p} Y$ is completely determined

$$Z g(X, Y) = g(\nabla_Z X, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Z Y) \quad (A 1)$$

$$\nabla_{X_p} Y - \nabla_{Y_p} X = [X, Y]_p \quad (A 2)$$

from (A 1)

$$X g(Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X Z) \quad (A 3)$$

$$Y g(Z, X) = g(\nabla_Y Z, X) + g(Z, \nabla_Y X) \quad (A 4)$$

$$Z g(X, Y) = g(\nabla_Z X, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Z Y) \quad (A 5)$$
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Determination of $\nabla_X Y$

If we examine (A 3) + (A 4) - (A 5) we see

$$Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) = g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(\nabla_X Z - \nabla_Z X, Y)$$

$$+ g(\nabla_Y X, Z) + g(\nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Z Y, X)$$

$$= g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g([X, Z], Y)$$

$$+ g(\nabla_X Y - [X, Y], Z) + g([Y, Z], X)$$

$$= 2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g([X, Z], Y)$$

$$- g([X, Y], Z) + g([Y, Z], X)$$

Therefore

$$2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y)$$

$$+ g([X, Y], Z) - g([X, Z], Y) - g([Y, Z], X) \quad (A 6)$$

The right hand side of (A 6) is independent of $\nabla$. Suppose $Z_1, Z_2$ form orthonormal basis for $T_p M$ then

$$\nabla_X Y = g(\nabla_X Y, Z_1)Z_1 + g(\nabla_X Y, Z_2)Z_2$$

and the right hand side of this equation is determined from above.

Using the Gauss-Weingarten equations we can arrive at the following results

1. $g_p(R(X_p, Y_p)Y_p, X_p) = -\text{det}(A_p)$ where $R(X, Y)Z$ is the curvature tensor, defined by

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$$
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Determination of $\nabla_{X,Y}^\alpha$ called Codazzi’s equation

**Proof**

For all smooth vector fields $X,Y,Z$ we have,

$$Y(Zf) = (f_\ast)(\nabla_Y Z) - g(AY, Z)\xi$$

$$X(Y(Zf)) = X[(\nabla_Y Z)f] - [Xg(AY, Z)]\xi - g(AY, Z)X\xi$$

$$= (f_\ast)(\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z) - g(AX, \nabla_Y Z)\xi - [Xg(AY, Z)]\xi + g(AY, Z)(f_\ast)(AX)$$

$$XY(Zf) = (f_\ast)(\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z + g(AY, Z)(AX))$$

$$= [g(AX, \nabla_Y Z) + Xg(AY, Z)]\xi$$ (A 7)

$$YX(Zf) = (f_\ast)(\nabla_Y \nabla_X Z + g(AX, Z)(AY))$$

$$= [g(AY, \nabla_X Z) + Yg(AX, Z)]\xi$$ (A 8)

$$[X,Y](Zf) = (f_\ast)(\nabla_{[X,Y]} Z) - g(A[X,Y], Z)\xi$$ (A 9)

but $XY - YX = [X,Y]$ thus (A 7) - (A 8) = (A 9) or $0 = (A 7) - (A 8) - (A 9)$, e

$$0 = (f_\ast)[\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z + g(AY, Z)(AX) - g(AX, Z)(AY)]$$

$$+ [-g(AX, \nabla_Y Z) - Xg(AY, Z)]\xi$$

$$+ [g(AY, \nabla_X Z) + Yg(AX, Z) + g(A[X,Y], Z)]\xi$$

Thus,

$$\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z = g(AX, Z)(AY) - g(AY, Z)(AX)$$
and

\[ g(AX, \nabla_Y Z) - g(AY, \nabla_X Z) + Xg(AY, Z) - Yg(AX, Z) - g(A[X, Y], Z) = 0 \]

\[ R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z, \] is called the curvature tensor. So we have

\[ R(X, Y)Z = g(AX, Z)(AY) - g(AY, Z)(AX) \]

Suppose \( X, Y \) are smooth vector fields on \( M \) such that \( X_p, Y_p \) form an orthonormal basis for \( T_p M \) with respect to \( g_p(,.) \), then

\[ g(R(X, Y)Y, X) = g([g(AX, Y)(AY) - g(AY, Y)(AX)], X) \]

\[ = g(AX, Y)g(AY, X) - g(AY, Y)g(AX, X) \]

\[ = -\det \left( \begin{array}{cc} g(AX, X) & g(AY, X) \\ g(AX, Y) & g(AY, Y) \end{array} \right) \]

\[ = -\det(\text{matrix representation of the linear map } A_p) \]

\[ = -\det A_p \]

From the normal component we have

\[ 0 = g(AX, \nabla_Y Z) - g(\nabla_x Y - \nabla_Y X, Z) + g(\nabla_x (AY), Z) \]

\[ + g(AY, \nabla_X Z) - g(\nabla_Y AX, Z) - g(AX, \nabla_Y Z) \]

Therefore,

\[ g(\nabla_x (AY) - A(\nabla_x Y), Z) = g(\nabla_Y (AX) - A(\nabla_Y X), Z) \] for all smooth vector fields \( Z \)
and so,

\[ \nabla_X (AY) - A(\nabla_X Y) = \nabla_Y (AX) - A(\nabla_Y X) \]

Define,

\[ (\nabla_{X_p} A)_Y = \nabla_{X_p} (AY) - A(\nabla_{X_p} Y) \]

then we have

\[ (\nabla_{X_p} A)_Y = (\nabla_{Y_p} A)_X \]
Appendix B

The Christoffel symbols

In the case when $M$ has locally defined isothermal coordinates $x_1, x_2$:

$$g \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right) = g \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right) = e^\phi$$

$$g \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right) = 0$$

Then

$$\Gamma^1_{11} = \frac{1}{2} \phi_x, \quad \Gamma^1_{12} = -\frac{1}{2} \phi_y, \quad \Gamma^2_{11} = \frac{1}{2} \phi_y, \quad \Gamma^2_{21} = \frac{1}{2} \phi_x$$

$$\Gamma^2_{11} = -\frac{1}{2} \phi_y, \quad \Gamma^2_{22} = \frac{1}{2} \phi_y, \quad \Gamma^2_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \phi_x, \quad \Gamma^2_{21} = \frac{1}{2} \phi_x$$

Proof.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} g \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \phi_y e^\phi$$

$$2 g \left( \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \phi_y e^\phi$$

$$2 g \left( \Gamma^1_{21} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \Gamma^2_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) = \phi_y e^\phi$$

$$2 \Gamma^1_{21} e^\phi = \phi_y e^\phi$$

Similarly

$$g \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) = e^\phi$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) = \phi_x e^\phi$$

$$2 g \left( \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) = \phi_x e^\phi$$

$$2 g \left( \Gamma^1_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \Gamma^2_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) = \phi_x e^\phi$$

(B 1)
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The Christoffel symbols

\[ 2\Gamma^2_{12}e^\phi = \phi_x e^\phi \]

\[ \Gamma^2_{12} = \frac{1}{2}\phi_x \quad \text{(B 2)} \]

and

\[ \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right] = 0 \]

\[ \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = 0 \]

\[ \Gamma^1_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \Gamma^2_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \Gamma^1_{21} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \Gamma^2_{21} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0 \]

hence

\[ \Gamma^1_{12} = \Gamma^1_{21} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma^2_{12} = \Gamma^2_{21} \]

and the others are proved by following a similar argument.
Appendix C

Codazzi’s equation

To show that Codazzi’s equation

\[(\nabla_X)Y = (\nabla_Y)X\]

is equivalent to \(\psi\) being holomorphic where

\[\psi = \{(a_{11} - a_{22}) - 2ia_{12}\} e^\phi\]

Proof:

Codazzi’s equation

\[(\nabla_X)Y = (\nabla_Y)X\]

in local coordinates with \(X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\) and \(Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\) is

\[\nabla_x (A \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) - A(\nabla_x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) = \nabla_y (A \frac{\partial}{\partial x}) - A(\nabla_y \frac{\partial}{\partial x})\]

Recall \([\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}] = \nabla_x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \nabla_y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = 0\), thus

\[\nabla_x (A \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) = \nabla_y (A \frac{\partial}{\partial x})\]

\[\nabla_x (a_{21} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + a_{22} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) = \nabla_x (a_{11} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + a_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial y})\]

\[(a_{21})_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + a_{12} \nabla_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (a_{22})_x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + a_{22} \nabla_x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\]

\[= (a_{11})_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + a_{11} \nabla_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (a_{12})_x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + a_{12} \nabla_x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\]
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Codazzi's equation

Hence as $a_{21} = a_{12}$ and $\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ we have

$$\{(a_{12})_x - (a_{11})_y\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \{(a_{22})_x - (a_{21})_y\} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

$$= a_{12}(\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} ) + (a_{11} - a_{22})(\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} )$$

$$= a_{12}((\Gamma_{22}^1 - \Gamma_{11}^1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (\Gamma_{22}^2 - \Gamma_{11}^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} ) + (a_{11} - a_{22})(\Gamma_{12}^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \Gamma_{12}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} )$$

equating $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$'s we have

$$(a_{11})_x + (a_{12})_y + a_{12}(\Gamma_{22}^2 - \Gamma_{11}^2) + 2(a_{11} - H)\Gamma_{12}^2 = 0$$

and equating $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$'s we have

$$-(a_{12})_x + (a_{11})_y + a_{12}(\Gamma_{22}^1 - \Gamma_{11}^1) + 2(a_{11} - H)\Gamma_{12}^1 = 0$$

resulting in

$$(a_{11})_x + (a_{11} - H)\phi_x + (a_{12})_y + a_{12}\phi_y = 0$$

and

$$(a_{11})_y + (a_{11} - H)\phi_y + (a_{12})_x + a_{12}\phi_x = 0$$

multiplying by $2e^\phi$ and rearranging we have

$$2(a_{11})_x e^\phi + (2a_{11} - 2H)\phi_x e^\phi = -2(a_{12})_y e^\phi - 2a_{12}\phi_y e^\phi$$

and

$$2(a_{11})_y e^\phi + (2a_{11} - 2H)\phi_y e^\phi = -2(a_{12})_x e^\phi - 2a_{12}\phi_x e^\phi$$

Now $2(a_{11})_x = (2a_{11} - 2H)_x = (a_{11} - a_{22})_x$ and similarly $2(a_{11})_y = (a_{11} - a_{22})_y$,

hence

$$(a_{11} - a_{22})_x e^\phi + (a_{11} - a_{22})\phi_x e^\phi = -2(a_{12})_y e^\phi - 2a_{12}\phi_y e^\phi$$
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and
\[(a_{11} - a_{22})_y e^\phi + (a_{11} - a_{22})_x e^\phi = 2(a_{12})_x e^\phi 2a_{12} \phi_x e^\phi\]
or similarly
\[\left[(a_{11} - a_{22}) e^\phi\right]_x = [-2a_{12} e^\phi]_y\]
and
\[\left[(a_{11} - a_{22}) e^\phi\right]_y = [2a_{12} e^\phi]_x\]

letting
\[u(x, y) = (a_{11} - a_{22}) e^\phi\]
\[v(x, y) = -2a_{12} e^\phi\]

it is obvious that these are simply the Cauchy Riemann Equations for the function
\[
\psi = \{(a_{11} - a_{22}) - 2ia_{12}\} e^\phi
\]

It is clear that if we start with the assumption that \(\psi\) is holomorphic then we can get back to Codazzi's equation by reversing the order of these steps.
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The Gauss Curvature

From the preliminaries we have

\[ K = \frac{g(R(X,Y)Y,X)}{g(X,X)g(Y,Y) - g(X,Y)^2} \]

and letting \( X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \) and \( Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \) we have

\[ R(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \]

\[ = \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \{ \Gamma^{1}_{22} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \Gamma^{2}_{22} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \} - \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \{ \Gamma^{1}_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \Gamma^{2}_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \} \]

\[ = \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \{ \frac{\phi_{x}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\phi_{y}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \} - \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \{ \frac{\phi_{y}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\phi_{x}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \} \]

\[ = \frac{\phi_{xx}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\phi_{x}}{2} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\phi_{xy}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\phi_{y}}{2} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \]

\[ - \frac{\phi_{yy}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\phi_{y}}{2} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\phi_{xy}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\phi_{x}}{2} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{2} (\phi_{xx} + \phi_{yy}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\phi_{x}}{2} (\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{2} (\phi_{xx} + \phi_{yy}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \]
thus

\[ K = \frac{g\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\phi_{xx} + \phi_{yy}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)}{e^\phi - \phi} - 0 \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{2} e^{-2\phi} \Delta g \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{2} e^{-\phi} \Delta \phi \]
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Immersion into hyperbolic 2-sphere

$\Delta = S^2$

In this case we find that $f(M)$ is conformally a piece of the hyperbolic 2-sphere $S^2_1$

Proof:

It is easy to see that when $\Delta$ is just a sphere, the shape operator $A$ is simply a multiple of the identity $I$. Hence

$$A = \lambda I$$

for some function $\lambda = \lambda(x, y)$ Codazzi’s equation implies that the function $\lambda$ is just a constant and in fact

$$A = \frac{1}{r}I$$

where $r$ is the radius of the sphere. Recall

$$X\xi = f_\ast(AX)$$

letting $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ we have

$$\xi_x = f_x$$

similarly with $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ we have

$$\xi_y = f_y$$

thus
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\[ \xi = f - c \]

for some \( c \in \mathbb{R}^3 \). Hence

\[ f = \xi + c \]

i.e. \( f(M) \) is a piece of the hyperbolic 2-sphere \( S_1^2 \).
Appendix F

A differential equation

To examine solutions of the differential equations

\[ f''(x) = 2c^2 f^3(x) \]  \hspace{1cm} (F 1)

where \( c \in \mathbb{R} \) and initial conditions

1. \( f(x_0) = r^2 \) and \( f'(x_0) = cr^4 \)

2. \( f(x_0) = -r^2 \) and \( f'(x_0) = -cr^4 \)

Results:

(F 1) with initial conditions 1 gives the solution

\[ f(x) = \frac{1}{c(d - x)}, \quad d = x_0 + \frac{1}{cr^2} \]

which is defined on the semi-infinite line \((-\infty, d)\) or \((d, \infty)\) depending on whether \( c \) is positive or negative respectively.

(F 1) with initial conditions 2 gives the solution

\[ f(x) = \frac{-1}{c(d - x)}, \quad d = x_0 + \frac{1}{cr^2} \]

which is defined on the semi-infinite line \((-\infty, d)\) or \((d, \infty)\) again depending on whether \( c \) is positive or negative respectively.
Proof of (F 1) with initial conditions

\[(F 1) \Rightarrow 2f'(x)f''(x) = 4c^2 f^3(x)f'(x)\]

\[(f'(x)^2)' = (c^2 f^4(x))'\]

\[f'(x)^2 = c^2 f^4(x) + c_1\]

\[f'(x_0)^2 = c^2 f^4(x_0) + c_1 \Rightarrow c_1 = 0\]

\[f'(x)^2 = [cf^2(x)]^2\]

\[f'(x) = \pm cf^2(x)\]

\[f'(x_0) = \pm cf^2(x_0) \Rightarrow \pm = +\]

\[f'(x) = cf^2(x)\]

\[\int \frac{1}{f^2} df = c \int dx\]

\[-\frac{1}{f(x)} = c(x - d)\]

\[f(x) = \frac{-1}{c(x - d)}\]

\[f(x_0) = \frac{-1}{c(x_0 - d)} = r^2 \Rightarrow d = x_0 + \frac{1}{cr^2}\]

and (F 1) with initial conditions is proved in a similar manner.
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Examples of Minimal Surfaces

Theorem 15, equation 1 with \( r_1 = 1, r_2 = 0 \) and \( l = 6 \)

This is the surface of Enneper of the second kind, which is a minimal spacelike surface of revolution

Theorem 15, equation 2 with \( r_1 = 1, r_2 = 0 \) and \( \varepsilon = 1 \)

This is the catenoid of the first kind, which is a minimal surface of revolution
Theorem 15, equation 3 with $r_1 = -1, r_2 = 0$ and $\epsilon = -1$

This is the catenoid of the first kind, which is a minimal surface of revolution

Theorem 15, equation 6 with $r_1 = 2, r_2 = 4, \alpha = -2$ and $\epsilon = -1$

Theorem 15, equation 7, with $r_1 = 2, r_2 = -1$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$