Synthesis and Characterisation of Some Ruthenium Containing Metallopolymers

by

Anthony G. Ross  B.Sc., GRSC

November 1987

Submitted for the award of the M.Sc. degree by the National Institute for Higher Education, Dublin.

Examined by Prof. J. M. Kelly
December 1987
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisor Dr. Johannes G. Vos for his advice and encouragement throughout this project. I would also like to thank my fellow "Arctic Research Chemists": Brian. Evin and in particular Dr. John Cassidy for their constant support and encouragement in completing this work.
DECLARATION

I declare that the work described within this thesis is all my own work.

Anthony G. Ross.

School of Chemical Sciences

N.I.H.E.D.
CONTENTS

ABSTRACT 1

1. Introduction 2-12

1.1 Applications and uses of Ruthenium 2-3
1.2 Emission and Absorption properties of Ru^{II}(bpy)_{2} Complexes 3-4
1.3 Ru^{II}(bpy)_{2} Metallocopolymers 4-6
1.4 Polymer modified electrodes 6-9

References 10-12

2. Theoretical Considerations of the Techniques Employed 13-31

2.1 Ultraviolet/Visible and Emission Spectroscopy 13
2.1.1 General UV/Vis Spectroscopy 13
2.1.2 Luminescence Spectroscopy 13-17
2.2 Electrochemistry 18
2.2.1 Cyclic Voltammetry 18-21
2.2.2 Chronocoulometry 21-25
2.2.3 Rotating Disk Electrode 26-30

References 31

3. Experimental 32-49

3.1 Apparatus and Materials 32
3.1.1 Instrumentation 32-33
3.1.2 Materials 33
3.1.2.1 Materials used in Synthesis 33-34
3.1.2.2 Solvents and Materials used in Physical Measurements 34-35
3.1.2.3 Materials Synthesised 35-44
3.2 Experimental Procedures 44
3.2.1 Spectroscopic Methods 44
3.2.1.1 Electronic Spectra 44-45
3.2.1.2 Luminescence Spectra 45-46
3.2.2 Electrochemical Methods 46
3.2.2.1 Cyclic Voltammetric Methods 46-47
3.2.2.2 Chronocoulometric Methods 47
3.2.2.3 Hydrodynamic Methods 47-48

References 49

4. Synthesis and Spectroscopic Characterisation 50-74

4.1 Synthesis 50
4.1.1 Synthesis of 4(5)-vinylimidazole 50
4.1.1.1 N-(triphenylmethyl)-4-(hydroxymethyl)imidazole 50-51
4.1.1.2 N-(triphenylmethyl)-4-imidazolecarboxaldehyde 52
4.1.1.3 N-(triphenylmethyl)-4-vinylimidazole 52
4.1.1.4 4(5)-vinylimidazole 53-55
4.1.2 Polymerisation of 4(5)-vinylimidazole 56
4.1.3 Copolymerisation of 4-vinylpyridine and Methylmethacrylate 56-57
### 4.1.4 Synthesis of Metallopolymers and Model Compounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsection</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1.4.1</td>
<td>4-vinylpyridine-Methylmethacrylate Metallopolymers</td>
<td>58-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.4.2</td>
<td>Poly (4(5))-vinylimidazole Metallopolymers</td>
<td>60-62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.4.3</td>
<td>Model Compounds</td>
<td>62-63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Characterisation of the Metallopolymers</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.1</td>
<td>Ru(bpy)$_2$-poly 4VP-MMA Metallopolymers</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.1.1</td>
<td>UV/Vis Studies</td>
<td>63-66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.1.2</td>
<td>Emission Studies</td>
<td>66-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.2</td>
<td>Ru(bpy)$_2$-poly 4(5)Vlm Metallopolymers</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.2.1</td>
<td>UV/Vis Studies</td>
<td>69-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.2.2</td>
<td>Emission Studies</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References**: 73-74

### 5. Electrochemical Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsection</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Cyclic Voltammetry</td>
<td>75-82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.1</td>
<td>Ru(bpy)$_2$-poly 4VP-MMA Metallopolymers</td>
<td>75-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.2</td>
<td>Ru(bpy)$_2$-poly 4(5)Vlm Metallopolymers</td>
<td>83-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Chronocoulometry</td>
<td>90-93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2.1</td>
<td>Ru(bpy)$_2$-poly 4VP-MMA Metallopolymers</td>
<td>93-96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2.2</td>
<td>Ru(bpy)$_2$-poly 4(5)Vlm Metallopolymers</td>
<td>99-103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Rotating Disk Electrodes</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3.1</td>
<td>Ru(bpy)$_2$-poly 4VP-MMA Metallopolymers</td>
<td>104-107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3.2</td>
<td>Ru(bpy)$_2$-poly 4(5)Vlm Metallopolymers</td>
<td>111-113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References**: 114-115

### 6. Photochemical Studies of Model Compounds and Metallopolymers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsection</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Photochemical Studies of Mononuclear Model Compounds</td>
<td>116-133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1.1</td>
<td>Photolysis in Acetone</td>
<td>116-119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1.2</td>
<td>Photolysis in Acetonitrile</td>
<td>119-121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1.3</td>
<td>Photolysis in Methanol</td>
<td>119-123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Photolysis of Ru(bpy)$_2$-Metallopolymers in Solution and in Thin Films</td>
<td>124-126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2.1</td>
<td>Photolysis of Ru(bpy)$_2$-poly 4(5)Vlm Metallopolymers in Methanol</td>
<td>124-126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2.2</td>
<td>Photolysis at electrodes modified with Ru(bpy)$_2$-poly 4(5)Vlm Metallopolymers</td>
<td>138-139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2.3</td>
<td>Photolysis of Ru(bpy)$_2$-poly 4VP-MMA Metallopolymers</td>
<td>129-132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References**: 133

### 7. Conclusions

**References**: 134-139
ABSTRACT

Poly 4(5)-vinylimidazole (PVIm) and a series of copolymers of 4-vinylpyridine and methylmethacrylate (PVP-MMA) were prepared and reacted with hydrated bis(2,2'-bipyridyl)ruthenium dichloride \( [\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{Cl}_2\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}] \). The mole ratio of 4-vinylpyridine units to methylmethacrylate (4VP:MMA) was varied between 1:5 to 8:1 and the mole ratio of 4VP to ruthenium (4VP:Ru) varied between 1.15:1 to 106:1. In this work the spectroscopic and electrochemical properties of the metallopolymers thus formed both as coatings on electrode surfaces and in solution are described. It is shown that depending on the reaction conditions and the polymer backbone, different types of ruthenium-polymer complexes are formed. The spectroscopic and electrochemical properties of the ruthenium-(PVIm) metallopolymers are compared to those of mononuclear 4-methylimidazole compounds of \( [\text{Ru(bpy)}_2] \). Chronocoulometry was used to obtain an indication of the ease of charge transport across a film of metallopolymers attached to a glassy carbon electrode. It was found that as the amount of MMA in the copolymer backbone increases, the activation energy for charge transport \( (E_a) \) increases and hence charge transport becomes more difficult. The values of \( E_a \) obtained both for the (PVP-MMA) and (PVIm) metallopolymers suggest that some movement of the polymer chains is necessary for charge transport. Electrodes modified with these metallopolymers were found to mediate the oxidation of \( \text{Fe}^{II} \) in solution at potentials where the ruthenium-polymer complex was reduced. As the amount of MMA in the copolymer backbone increased, it was found that the mediation became less efficient. The results for the photolysis of these metallopolymers in various solvents and as thin films on electrodes are similar to those obtained for \( [\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\cdot(\text{poly 4-vinylpyridine or poly N-vinylimidazole})] \).
CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION
1.1 Applications and Uses of Ruthenium

The principal use of ruthenium is for hardening alloys with palladium and platinum. Alloys with platinum (12% ruthenium content) find applications as electrical contacts and alloys with both platinum and palladium are used for jewellery and fountain pen nibs. Of increasing interest, however, are the application of ruthenium compounds in catalysis. Ruthenium metal is an active catalyst for the hydrogenation of alkenes and ketones and research into the use of supported ruthenium for the catalytic reduction of nitrous oxide in automobile exhausts has been carried out.

The recent chemistry of ruthenium has, since 1976, been dominated by investigations based on the \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_3]^{2+}\) complex and its derivatives. The reason for this reflects a unique combination of photochemistry, electrochemistry and chemical stability which has resulted in these species being considered as potential photocatalysts for the visible light photo-induced decomposition of water into hydrogen and oxygen. Most of this work has been directed towards the electron transfer reactions of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_3]^{2+}\) in its electronically excited state which is thermodynamically (although not kinetically) capable of reducing water. Whitten et al. claimed in 1976 the successful photocleavage of water by a monolayer assembly of two surfactant derivatives of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_3]^{2+}\) coated onto glass slides. This was reasoned to be due to the monolayer assembly either lowering the barrier of the electron transfer process or increasing the barrier to the back reaction. This result has proved unrepeatable in a number of laboratories and Whitten and his coworkers have also been unable to repeat their observations and subsequently reported that the initial samples contained numerous impurities. It is possible that an unidentified complex was responsible for the results or that a combination of identified complexes within the monolayer occurred. However if the
kinetic barrier can be overcome the [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$ species offers some hope for the conversion of solar energy into stored chemical energy in the form of hydrogen.

1.2 Emission and Absorption Properties of Ru$^{II}$ (bpy) Complexes

The photophysics and photochemistry of [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$ and related complexes have been widely investigated$^{7-10}$, however there still does not exist an unambiguously correct assignment of either the electronic absorption or the luminescence spectra$^{11}$. In 1959 Paris and Brandt$^{12}$ reported the first observation of the luminescence from [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$ and assigned this luminescence to the decay of an excited state of the molecule created by an MLCT absorption ($t_{2g}$)$^6$ $\rightarrow$ $\pi^*$. The first detailed study of the absorption and emission spectra of [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$ was reported by Crosby$^{13}$, who assigned the emission to ($t_{2g}$)$^5$($\pi^*$)$^1$ $\rightarrow$ ($t_{2g}$)$^6$. The vibrational fine structure was assigned to a perturbed skeletal vibration of the aromatic ring, due to the removal of the $\pi^*$ electron. The luminescence lifetimes of these complexes were reported by Demas and Crosby$^{14}$ who suggested that the decay of the excited state is too slow for a spin allowed (singlet–singlet) transition and too fast for a spin forbidden (triplet–singlet) transition. However for ruthenium, the large value of the spin–orbit coupling constant implies that the terms singlet and triplet can no longer be applied, and the observed lifetimes are consistant with heavy–atom perturbed spin–forbidden transitions. Thus, the transition ($t_{2g}$)$^5$($\pi^*$)$^1$ $\rightarrow$ ($t_{2g}$)$^6$ may be considered as a $^3$CT $\rightarrow$ $^1A_{1g}$ band. Recently, Crosby and coworkers showed that the emission arises from three closely spaced electronic states with symmetries $A_1$, $E_1$ and $A_2$, in order of increasing energy$^{15,16}$. These states are in rapid equilibrium and the emission properties of the excited states are primarily determined by
the properties of the $A_2$ state except at very low temperatures (less than 25K).

Both the quantum yield for efficiency and the excited state lifetimes are strongly temperature dependent. Substitution of the ligand when the compound is in its excited state can occur because the triplet $^3\text{CT}$ state can undergo thermal activation to an antibonding metal-based orbital, $\text{do}^*$. The structural consequences of electron occupation of the $\text{do}^*$ orbital includes large distortions along the metal ligand axes and a subsequent weakening of the Ru-ligand bonds$^{17}$ which can lead to photosubstitution of the ligand.

1.3 $\text{Ru}^{II}(\text{bpy})_2$ Metallopolymers.

It has been demonstrated that as an electron donor, essentially all the excitation energy of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_3]^{2+}$ can be quenched and an electron can be transferred to a long lived species. Although this reaction occurs rapidly and efficiently in solution, the use of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_3]^{2+}$ as a catalyst is prevented by rapid back reactions to the unexcited species. Immobilisation of the complex on a support such as a polymer backbone by a covalently bonding ligand should inhibit non productive back reactions and promote efficient energy capture by the substrate. Hence there has been interest in polymers containing the $\text{Ru(bpy)}_3$ chromophore such as$^{18}$ $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{vbpy})]^{2+}$ (where vbpy is 4 vinyl-4'-methyl-2,2'-bipyridine) and in $\text{Ru}^{II}(\text{bpy})_2$-polymers like poly 4-vinylpyridine (PVP) bound $\text{Ru}^{II}(\text{bpy})_2$ complexes$^{19,20}$. It was found$^{19}$ for the PVP metallopolymers that two species could be produced: mono $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{PVP})\text{Cl}]^+$ and bis $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{PVP})_2]^{2+}$ substituted ligands, depending on the reaction conditions. When the bis substituted species is formed, the vinylpyridine ligands may be on the same or different polymer chains. In the latter case
the metal centre acts as a cross linking agent which in turn can affect the solubility of the metallopolymer.

The use of synthetic polymers as catalysts for reactions has received considerable attention since these reactions can be used as models for complex enzymatic processes. Although less efficient than enzymes, these polymeric catalysts have several analogous characteristics such as higher reactivities than their corresponding monomers and specificity towards substrates. Synthetic macromolecules containing pendant imidazole groups such as poly 4(5)vinyylimidazole (PVIm) have been used as catalysts for the hydrolysis of esters. The synthesis and characterisation of Ru(bpy)$_2$·(PNVIm), where PNVIm is poly N-vinyylimidazole, has been reported recently by Geraty and Vos. As with the PVP metallopolymers, two species (the mono and bis substituted) were produced. However the PNVIm metallopolymers have different photochemical and electrochemical properties indicating that the polymer backbone has an affect on the chemical properties of these complexes. It has been shown that the composition and stabilisation of polymer complexes can differ widely from those of their monomeric analogues.

for example, the polymer environment around the metal complex can affect its reactions with other species in solution. Introducing substituent groups into the aromatic rings of the bipyridyl ligand can change both the absorption maxima and the extinction coefficient of the MLCT transition without affecting its nature. Hence changes in the backbone of a polymer containing the Ru$^{11}$(bpy)$_2$ complex may offer the possibility of "tuning" the photochemical reactivity of the complex. Hence a systematic variation of the polymer backbone should give information on the affect of the polymer backbone on the chemical properties of the bound complex. Irradiation of these metallopolymers in solution has shown a photosubstitution of
the polymer ligands by solvent molecules which eventually lead to removal
of the complex from the polymer backbone. Such reactions are of interest
since photosubstitution changes the chemical properties of the complex
and can provide a route to changing the redox potential of an electroactive
metallopolymer. Furthermore compounds which may not be prepared easily
by normal synthetic routes may be prepared by photosubstitution.

1.4 Polymer Modified Electrodes

In 1975, Murray and coworkers\textsuperscript{29} introduced the term 'chemically modified
electrodes' to describe electrodes that had foreign molecules deliberately
immobilised on their surfaces. Research on surface modified electrodes
has involved studies of the electrochemistry of the attached molecules, the
catalysis and inhibition of various electrochemical processes and specific
applications such as photoelectrodes and analytical determinations. A
great deal of this research is devoted to the preparation and
characterisation of polymer coated electrodes and to an understanding of
the charge transport mechanism within the polymer film. Electroactive
polymers can be attached to electrodes by the following methods:

(a) adsorption onto the electrode surface of the preformed polymer which
may contain either covalently or electrostatically bound electroactive
groups\textsuperscript{30}.

(b) electrosorption, where redox active molecules containing vinyl groups
are polymerised directly at the electrode surface\textsuperscript{31}.

(c) covalently bound linkages using silane reagents\textsuperscript{32}.

(d) glow discharge polymerisation.

These polymer films have been observed to retain their own chemical
behaviour, such as catalytic properties when attached to the electrode
surface. The coatings offer a three dimensional arrangement of reacting centres as opposed to the two dimensional dispersion found at bare electrodes but monolayer surfaces can be produced by method (c) above. Hence high local concentrations of catalytic sites at the electrode can be achieved even though the total amount of catalyst remains small. The electroactive groups can be part of the polymer, as in the case of polyvinylferrocene, or they can be inserted into the polymer by electrostatic binding through ion exchange or by covalent linkages.

Anson and Oyama\textsuperscript{33} pioneered work using poly 4-vinylpyridine for polymer modified electrodes. When the polymer is placed in a solution of low pH, the pyridine units become protonated and the matrix essentially becomes a polyelectrolyte with fixed positive charges and mobile counter anions. Ionic redox centres such as $\text{IrCl}_6^{2-}$ of $\text{Ru(eda)}^-$ can be introduced into the film by anion exchange to bind strongly, so that the assembly thus formed can be removed from the exchange solution and be used in a different solution that does not contain dissolved complex. The polymers used for anion exchange that have received the most attention are protonated poly 4-vinylpyridine\textsuperscript{34}, quarternized poly 4-vinylpyridine and a copolymer involving poly L-lysine.

Electrostatic binding offers synthetic simplicity and facilitates experimentation but these systems are unstable because of leaching of the redox centres from the film. The most permanent way to bind a redox centre to a polymer is to make it a part of the polymer through covalent linkages. Poly 4-vinylpyridine bound Ru$^{11}$(bpy)$_2$ complexes have been of interest\textsuperscript{30} because of their photochemical and electron transfer properties and their potential role as electrocatalysts.

The most striking feature of these systems is that often they can be electrochemically oxidised and reduced completely. Hence every
exchanged redox centre can be cycled between its oxidation states in a short time, even though most of the centres are remote from the electrode surface. Charge transfer directly across the film is not possible, but there is clearly some mechanism for propagation of charge in these matrices provided an external electrolyte is present. The way in which charge propagation through the layer is taking place is not clearly understood. Anson et al.\(^3\) proposed the "electron hopping" mechanism. As the fully reduced film becomes oxidised, a few sites near the electrode surface become oxidised directly by the electrode. They can undergo electron exchange with outer neighbouring sites, so they become reduced again and the neighbouring sites become oxidised. The electrode then is able to remove once again electrons from nearby sites so there is lasting oxidation of the neighbouring sites. These sites oxidise their neighbouring site in a similar fashion and this wave of oxidation continues until the remotest sites are converted even though none of the redox centres except the closest actually move to the electrode surface. However in other systems, conversion of the film from the oxidised to reduced form seems to involve physical diffusion of redox sites to the electrode. Others involve an interaction of diffusion of compensating ions in the film and electron exchange.

The importance of the polymer modified electrodes lies in their ability to act as transducers. The polymer layer is able to interact efficiently with both the electrode surface and the electrolyte solution. But, at the same time the layer is acting as a control mechanism. Electron transfer from the electrode to a redox couple in solution can be mediated through the coating. This means that the potential at which the solution is oxidised or reduced depends on the electrochemical properties of the coating. This can lead to either activation or passivation of specific electrochemical
reactions. Modified electrodes might therefore be used to increase the efficiency of fuel cells and electrochemical cells.

In this work, the synthesis of a series of ruthenium containing polymers is reported. It was hoped that a study of these metallopolymers would provide information on how the polymer backbone and the reaction conditions for the formation of the metallopolymer would affect the physical and chemical properties of the metallopolymers formed.
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CHAPTER 2

THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS OF THE TECHNIQUES EMPLOYED.
2.1: Ultraviolet/Visible and Emission Spectroscopy.

2.1.1: General UV/Vis Spectroscopy

The theory of ultraviolet/visible spectroscopy is well covered in standard texts\textsuperscript{1,2}. However, a brief description of this theory is given in this section. When a quantum of light impinges upon a molecule, it is absorbed in about $10^{-15}$ seconds and a transition to a higher electronic state takes place. This absorption of light is highly specific and radiation of a particular wavelength is absorbed only by a characteristic structure. These ground to excited state transitions are responsible for the visible and ultraviolet absorption spectra observed for molecules.

2.1.2: Luminescence Spectroscopy.

When light is absorbed either entirely or in part by matter, energy is transferred to the molecule. However, absorption of energy must occur in integral units called quanta. Every molecule possesses a series of closely spaced energy levels and an electron can go from a lower to a higher energy level by the absorption of a discrete quantum of light equal in energy to the difference between the two energy levels.

During the time the molecule can spend in the excited state, some energy in excess of the lowest vibrational energy is rapidly dissipated. The lowest vibrational energy of the excited state is attained. If all the excess energy is not further dissipated by collisions with other molecules, the electron returns to the ground electronic state with the emission of energy in the form of light. This phenomenon is called fluorescence (fig. 1). Because some energy is lost in the brief period before emission, the emitted energy is of longer wavelength than the energy that was absorbed.

Any fluorescent molecule has two characteristic spectra: the excitation
FIG. 1: Schematic Energy-Level Diagram for Fluorescence
spectrum (which is a measure of ability of the different wavelengths of exciting radiation to cause fluorescence) and the emission spectrum (which is the relative intensity of radiation emitted at various wavelengths). The wavelength which gives maximum emission is normally chosen and the fluorescence peak will usually be at the same wavelength regardless of the excitation wavelength, however the intensity of fluorescence will vary with the relative strength of absorption.

It should be noted that the excited state of a molecule differs from the ground state of a molecule in chemical and physical properties. The excited state possesses a different geometry with different diatomic distances and a different dipole moment. Even geometric effects like cis and trans isomers can be introduced and changes in acidity can result in going from the ground to the excited state.

The temperature of a liquid sample plays an important part in the emission spectrum. The rates of radiative and radiationless decay of the excited molecules in a liquid solution are usually dependent on the temperature. The following represent some of the ways in which temperature can affect emission:

(a) The rate of collisional quenching decreases as the viscosity of the medium is increased. Thus collisional quenching of the emission in liquid media tends to become less serious as the temperature is lowered.

(b) Other chemical processes involving excited singlet states (e.g. intermolecular hydrogen bonding) occur more slowly as the temperature decreases. The positions of the excited state equilibria also shift slightly with temperature.

(c) At sufficiently high temperatures intersystem crossing from one
excited state to a higher excited state can occur by thermal excitation. In such systems, the molecules are said to exhibit delayed fluorescence and this fluorescence increases in intensity with increased temperature.

Luminescence spectra obtained at low temperatures often contain well resolved vibrational fine structure not observed in liquid solution. It is usually observed that the fluorescence spectrum of a compound is blue-shifted in a rigid medium relative to the spectrum obtained in liquid medium. This shift results from the fact that solvent and anion reorientation after excitation is considerably less easy in a rigid medium than it is in solution. For complexes where the electron is transferred from the metal and is localised on one ligand, the formation of an induced dipole occurs\textsuperscript{3-4}. This dipole provides an electrostatic basis for reorientation of the anions on the side of the complex away from the ligand containing the excited electron. Hence the inability of this reorientation of anions in rigid media fixes the excited state into an energy level higher than if it was in solution (fig. 2). Complexes exhibiting this kind of blue-shift are said to undergo rigidochromism\textsuperscript{5}. 
FIG. 2: Schematic representation of Equilibrium and Franck-Condon Electronic States at room and low temperatures.
2.2: **Electrochemistry**

2.2.1: **Cyclic Voltammetry**

Cyclic voltammetry is perhaps the most versatile electroanalytical technique for the study of electroactive species because information about complicated reactions over a wide range of time scales can be obtained. Cyclic voltammetry employs the conventional 3-electrode system of working electrode, reference electrode and counter electrode. The potential of the stationary working electrode is changed linearly with time starting from a potential where no electrode reaction occurs (\( E_0 \)) and moving to potentials where reduction or oxidation of the material being studied occurs (fig. 3). When the final potential is reached (\( E_f \)) the scan direction is reversed to scan back to the original potential.

Since the potential is changed linearly with time, the potential may be written as:

\[
E = E_0 + vt
\]  

(1)

where \( E_0 \) is the initial potential, \( v \) the potential sweep rate in mVs\(^{-1}\) and \( t \) the time in seconds. For a simple \( n \) electron reversible system:

\[
R \overset{\text{O}}{\rightleftharpoons} O + ne^-
\]  

(2)

the non-steady state current-potential (I/E) relationship has been derived by Nicholson and Shain\(^6\). As the potential \( (E) \) is increased a peak will appear in the I/E plot becoming more and more prominent (fig. 4). The anodic peak (\( i_{pa} \)) for reactants in solution is caused by a combination of the increasing net rate of oxidation, as the potential is made more positive and the development of a thickening depletion layer across which reactants...
FIG. 3: Schematic representation of the Cyclic Potential Sweep.

FIG. 4: Typical Cyclic Voltammogram.
must diffuse. If the rate of electron transfer is sufficiently high so that a
nernstian equilibrium can be maintained at the electrode surface (a
reversible reaction), then the peak current is given by\(^7\):

\[ i_p = (2.69 \times 10^5)n^{3/2}D_0^{1/2}v^{1/2}C_0 \] (3)

where \( C_0 \) is the concentration of reduced species in the bulk solution in
mol cm\(^{-3}\). \( D_0 \) is the diffusion coefficient of the electroactive species. \( A \) is
the area of the electrode surface in cm\(^2\) and \( n \) is the number of electrons
involved in the reaction.

Providing the oxidised species (O) is stable, when the potential is
reversed at \( E_A \) the reverse sweep will produce a cathodic peak which is
equal in height but opposite in sign and is obtained for reasons which are
analogous to those underlying the anodic peak: the surface concentration
of O becomes small and the current is limited by the rate of return of O to
the electrode. The cyclic voltammogram is characterised by several
important parameters, the anodic (\( i_{pa} \)) and cathodic (\( i_{pc} \)) peak currents,
the anodic (\( E_{pa} \)) and cathodic (\( E_{pc} \)) peak potentials and the half wave
potential (\( E_{1/2} \)). For a fast reversible process and at sufficiently slow
scan rates the peak separation, \( \Delta E_p \), is found to be 59/n mV. This
relationship can be used to evaluate \( n \), however when the sweep rate is
increased to the point where nernstian equilibrium is not maintained, then
\( \Delta E_p \) will begin to increase with \( v \).

Another situation is when the electroactive species is contained within a
thin layer of polymer on the working electrode surface (i.e. a polymer-
modeled electrode). In this case the peak current is given by\(^7\):

\[ i_p = (9.39 \times 10^5)n^{2}vC_T \] at 25°C (4)
where $V$ is the metallopolymer volume in cm$^3$ and $C_p$ is the concentration of electroactive species in the polymer film in mol cm$^{-3}$. In this case the anodic peak is caused by the increase in the rate of oxidation and the depletion of unoxidised species in the film.

A comparison of cyclic voltammetry for reactants in bulk solution and reactants confined to a thin layer at the surface can be made by comparing equations (3) and (4). It can be seen from equation (3) that for reactants in bulk solution, the peak current is proportional to $v^{1/2}$ and the total charge under the $i/E$ curve is dependent on $v$. However, from equation (4) it can be seen that in an ideal case for reactants attached to the electrode surface, the peak current is proportional to $v$ and the charge under the $i/E$ curve is independent of $v$. Hence it is possible to distinguish between the two processes by plots of $i_p$ vs $v$ and $i_p$ vs $v^{1/2}$.

Although quantitative data such as peak currents and potentials can be obtained from cyclic voltammetry, the technique’s major use has been in the rapid qualitative elucidation of reaction mechanisms. In a single experiment, intermediates can be directly observed and their possible identification implied by the potential at which they oxidise or reduce. One can often gain immediate information about the reaction mechanism from peak separation, peak height ratios, the absence of reversible peaks and the appearance of new peaks on subsequent scans.

2.2.2: Chronocoulometry

Chronocoulometry is a powerful technique for investigating the properties of the electrode/electrolyte interfaces. All the important events in electrochemistry take place at the interface between the conducting solid electrode and the liquid electrolyte solution. This is where the ionic
current is transferred into electronic current by an electrode reaction. The chronocoulometric method was developed by Anson\(^8\) and co-workers and the technique consists of rapidly stepping the potential from a point where no reaction takes place to a potential where reduction or oxidation of the material being studied takes place. The electric charge (Q) that flows as a result of this potential step is measured as a function of time (t).

Consider a homogeneous polymer film containing an electroactive species R attached to a planar electrode which is held at some potential E\(_j\), where insignificant electrolysis takes place. At t=0, the potential is shifted to E\(_f\) which is sufficiently positive to oxidise the species and enforce a diffusion-limited current. Then the resulting current is given by the Cottrell equation:

\[
i = nFAC\Gamma^2 \left[ \frac{D_{app}}{nF} \right]^{1/2}
\]

where F is the Faraday (96,487 coulombs per equivalent), t is the time following the potential step, D\(_{app}\) is the apparent diffusion coefficient, C\(_\Gamma\) is the volume concentration of reactant confined to the polymeric coating and the other parameters are as defined previously.

The current is actually composed of two components: the faradaic current given by equation (5) plus a "charging current", i\(_C\), that flows to charge up the double layer that is always present at electrode/electrolyte interfaces. Figure 5 shows the current and charge that results from a potential step. The total charge passing through the electrode is the time integral of the two current components:

\[
Q = \int nFAC\Gamma^2 \left[ \frac{D_{app}}{nF} \right]^{1/2} dt + \int i_C dt
\]

\[
Q = 2nFAC\Gamma^2 \left[ \frac{D_{app}}{nF} \right]^{1/2} + Q_C
\]
where \( Q_c \) is the charge due to the interfacial capacitance when the electrode potential is stepped from \( E_i \) to \( E_f \).

From equation (7) it can be seen that plots of \( Q \) vs \( t^{1/2} \) (Fig. 7), should be linear with intercepts \( Q_c \) and at any temperature \( T \), the slopes \( (S_T) \) proportional to the square root of the diffusion coefficient

\[
S_T \propto D_{\text{app}}^{1/2} \quad (8)
\]

Chronocoulometry has found application in the study of the diffusion of charge through polymer modified electrodes\(^9,10\). Significant quantities of attached complex remain unoxidised under cyclic voltammetric conditions and the magnitude of the peak does not provide a reliable measure of the apparent diffusion coefficient. However, the slopes of a linear chronocoulometric charge-(time) \( t^{1/2} \) plots give a reliable measure of \( D_{\text{app}} \). The temperature dependence of the apparent diffusion coefficient exhibits an Arrhenius type of behaviour:

\[
D_T = D_0 \exp(-E_a/RT) \quad (9)
\]

where \( D_T \) is the apparent diffusional coefficient at temperature \( T \), \( E_a \) is the energy of activation for charge transport, \( D_0 \) is the preexponential factor and \( R \) is the gas constant. The slopes obtained from the \( Q \) vs \( t^{1/2} \) plots can be used to evaluate \( E_a \) since:

\[
\ln \left( \frac{S_T}{S_{298}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{D_T}{D_{298}} \right) \quad (10)
\]

where \( S_{298} \) is the slope at 298K and \( D_{298} \) is the apparent diffusion
FIG. 5: The behaviour of electrode current and charge in response to a potential step.
coefficient at 298K.

From equation (9) and (10):

\[
\frac{D_T}{D_{298}} = \frac{D_0 \exp(-E_a/RT)}{D_0 \exp(-E_a/298R)}
\]  (11)

Hence substituting equation (20) into (19) gives:

\[
\ln \frac{S_T}{S_{298}} = \frac{E_a}{2RT} + \frac{E_a}{596R}
\]  (12)

Simplifying equation (21) gives:

\[
\ln \frac{S_T}{S_{298}} = -\frac{E_a + 2.018 \times 10^{-4} E_a}{2RT}
\]  (13)

Therefore it can be seen from equation (13) that a plot of \(\ln(S_T/S_{298})\) against \(1/T\) should give a straight line with slope \(-E_a/2R\) (fig. 4). From this the activation energy can be determined.

The activation energy, \(E_a\), gives a measure of the energy barrier or the difficulty associated with charge transport within the polymer layer to the electrode surface.

2.2.3: Rotating Disk Electrodes.

The method of rotating disk electrode experiments involves the rotation of an electrode surface at a known rotation rate \((r)\) in sec\(^{-1}\). causing controlled stirring of the solution. In the case of fast electron transfer, the mass transfer process from bulk solution is dominated by forced convection rather than by diffusion and a steady state situation is quickly achieved.

Current-potential \((I/E)\) curves recorded under such conditions of mass
transport are relatively insensitive to scan rates (below 5 mV/s) unlike voltammetric methods applicable to stationary electrodes. The measurement of \( I/E \) curves under these conditions is referred to as hydrodynamic voltammetry.

When polymer-modified electrodes, where catalysts are confined to the electrode surface, are used in hydrodynamic voltammetry it has been found that electrocatalysis of reagents can occur (fig. 5). However the realisation of high intrinsic redox reactivities in such systems requires that the accessibility as well as the reactivity of the bound complex is maintained.

Under conditions where the convective terms are dominant, the limiting current, \( i_a \), is governed by the rate of rotation of the electrode. The following equation for the limiting current holds:\(^{11}\)

\[
    i_a = 0.62nFAC_aD^{1/2}\omega^{1/2}v^{-1/2}
\]  

(14)

Where \( C_a \) is the bulk concentration of the substrate, \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient of the substrate in solution, \( v \) is the kinematic viscosity in \( \text{cm}^2\text{s}^{-1} \) and \( \omega \) is the angular velocity of the disk given by: \( \omega = 2\pi r \).

For situations where the current is limited by relatively slow exchange at the electrode surface the total current, \( i \), is controlled by kinetic phenomenon occurring at the surface and inside the film as well as by the transport of reactants in the bulk solution.

\[
    \frac{1}{i} = \frac{1}{i_a} + \frac{1}{i_f}
\]  

(15)

where \( i_f \) is the 'film current' due to kinetic effects in the polymer film.

From equation (23) and (24):
It can be seen from this equation that a plot of \( i \) vs. \( \omega^{1/2} \) will be curved and tend towards the limit \( i = i_f \) as \( \omega^{1/2} \rightarrow \infty \). A plot of \( 1/i \) vs. \( 1/\omega^{1/2} \) should be linear and can be extrapolated back to \( 1/\omega^{1/2} = 0 \) to yield \( 1/i_f \).

The kinetics of electrochemical reactions mediated by redox polymer films have been considered in a number of studies in recent years\(^{12-15}\). In particular, the theory in the case of an irreversible cross-exchange reaction (fig. 6):

\[
\text{R} - e^- \rightarrow \text{O} \quad (17)
\]

\[
\text{O} + \text{A} \rightleftharpoons \text{R} + \text{product} \quad (18)
\]

where equation (17) is for the attached redox couple and equation (18) shows the subsequent cross-exchange reaction with the substrate (A). The four characteristic currents that are measures of the four possible rate-limiting factors are as follows:

- \( i_A \) is the Levich current\(^{11}\) that corresponds to the limiting current that would be obtained at a bare electrode.
- \( i_S \) is the current arising from the diffusion of the substrate through the coating to the electrode in response to changes in applied potential; where \( S \) is the electrode surface area, \( \kappa \) is the partition coefficient of the substrate between the film and solution, \( D_s \) is the diffusion of the substrate in the film and \( \Phi \) is the film thickness.

\[
i_S = \frac{FSC_A \kappa D_s}{\Phi} \quad (19)
\]

\( i_E \) is the current arising from the diffusion-like electron transport by interactions of the surface bound reactant along the polymer chain; where
FIG. 6: Diagram representing the three electrode processes $i_e$, $i_k$ and $i_s$. 
Cp is the concentration of electroactive species in the coating. De is the "diffusion coefficient" for the diffusion like propagation of electron in the film.

\[ i_e = FSC_T D_e \Phi \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

\( i_k \) is the current that arises from the cross-exchange reaction (eqn. (18)): where \( k_1 \) is the second order rate constant of the reaction between the substrate and the active form of the mediator in the film.

\[ i_k = FSC_a k_1 C_T \Phi \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

Using the diagnostic criteria developed by Saveant et. al. \(^{12}\), the limiting behaviour of the system where \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(PVP)}\text{Cl}]^{2+}\) is the mediator in the copolymer film and \([\text{Fe(H}_2\text{O)}_6]^{2+}\) is the substrate, can be determined.

This system could be an SR case, that is, the film current is controlled by the rate of the cross-exchange reaction and the diffusion of the substrate in the film or an S case, where the film current is controlled purely by the kinetic current \(i_k\). The film electron diffusion-like transport current, \(i_e\), is so much faster than the Levich current (that is: \(i_e \gg i_a\)), that it is not a rate limiting factor. In the SR case there is mutual compensation of the cross-exchange reaction and the diffusion of substrate. Hence for any particular SR case the product of \(i_k\) and \(i_a\) is a constant value. However it is usual to assume that the rate of the cross-exchange reaction is much faster than the rate of diffusion of the substrate through the coating (that is: \(i_k \gg i_a\)). The total current for an SR case is given by the following relationship\(^{15}\):

\[ \frac{1}{i} = \frac{1}{i_a} + \frac{1}{(i_k i_a)^{1/2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)
and in an S case:

\[
\frac{1}{l} = \frac{1}{l_a} + \frac{1}{l_k}
\]  

(23)


CHAPTER 3

EXPERIMENTAL
3.1: APPARATUS AND MATERIALS

3.1.1: Instrumentation

Infrared Spectra were recorded using a Perkin Elmer 983G infrared Spectrometer. Samples were prepared as a KBr disc or in the case of the polymers and metallopolymers coated as a film from dichloromethane onto KRS-5 or NaCl plates. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer 60 MHz Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectrometer R12B with Me₄Si as an internal standard. Ultraviolet spectra were recorded using a Shimadzu UV-Visible Spectrophotometer UV-240 coupled to a Shimadzu Graphic Printer PR-1. Samples and reference were placed in 1cm matching Quartz Cells. Both room temperature and low temperature Luminescence Spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer LS-5 Luminescence Spectrophotometer coupled to a Perkin Elmer 561 recorder and a Low Temperature Accessory 5212-6000. Measurements were not corrected for photomultiplier response.

Measurements of pH were made with a Phillips digital pH meter PW9140 with an Orion combination pH electrode 91-05 and a temperature compensating device. The pH meter was calibrated with standardised buffer solutions of pH 4, pH 7 and pH 9. Melting points were obtained on a Gallenkamp Melting Point apparatus and are uncorrected. Photochemical experiments were carried out using an Applied Photophysics Quantum Yield Photoreactor Model QYR25 with a 240V power source and 1cm Quartz cells.

Electrochemical measurements were carried out using an EG&G Princeton Applied Research (PAR) Model 174A Polarographic Analyzer and an EG&G PAR model 175 Universal Programmer and recorded on a EG&G PAR model RE 0074 X-Y recorder. A conventional thermostatted 3-electrode cell
system consisted of a counter electrode of platinum wire, a reference electrode which was a Coieman saturated calomel electrode and the working electrode. The working electrode was a pyrolytic graphite disk (diameter 0.5 cm) sealed into glass tubing with epoxy and heat shrinkable tubing and electrical contact made to the rear face of the disk with a drop of mercury and a copper wire. A glassy carbon electrode (diameter 0.75 cm) was also used as the working electrode.

In addition to the equipment listed above, chronocoulometric experiments were carried out using an EG&G PAR Digital Coulometer Model 379 with a JJ Instruments PL3 XY/t recorder and rotating disc electrode measurements obtained in conjunction with a Pine Instrument Company Analytical Rotator (Model ASRE) and a Pine Instrument Company ASR Speed Control Unit.

The Photolysis experiments carried out in conjunction with Cyclic Voltammetry used a Kodak Slide Projector as a light source shone through a beaker of water to filter the associated heat and a concave mirror of focal length 100 mm to focus the light onto the working electrode surface. No efforts were made to optimise the light intensity.

3.1.2: MATERIALS

3.1.2.1: Materials used in Synthesis

In the synthesis of poly 4(5)-vinylimidazole, all reagents were of general purpose grade and the fructose, basic cupriccarbonate, triphenylmethyl chloride, triethylamine and methyl triphenylphosphonium bromide were obtained from Riedel de Haen and were used without further purification. Precipitated manganesesulphate was prepared from solutions of manganeseous sulphate, sodiumhydroxide, and potassiumpermanganate according to
a modified Attenburrow Procedure\textsuperscript{2}. The manganedioxide was then activated by azeotropic removal of water using toluene\textsuperscript{3}. Column Chromatography was performed for organic compounds using Kieselgel S 0.032-0.063 mm and for inorganic compounds using Aluminium Oxide S (Active, Neutral) both obtained from Riedel de Haen. TLC was performed for organic materials using DC-cards SI F 10X20 cm silica gel with fluorescent indicator 254nm on aluminium cards; and for inorganic materials using DC-cards AL F 10X20 cm aluminium oxide with fluorescent indicator 254nm on aluminium cards. For polymerisations \(\alpha, \alpha'\)-azoisobisbutyronitrile (AIBN) was acquired from Riedel de Haen and was recrystallised twice from methanol. 4-vinylpyridine and methylmethacrylate obtained from Riedel de Haen were purified by vacuum distillation and were stored in the dark at \(-20^\circ\text{C}\). 4-methylimidazole was obtained from Aldrich and was used as received. \(\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{Cl}_2\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}\) was prepared\textsuperscript{4} using \(\text{RuCl}_3\cdot3\text{H}_2\text{O}, 2,2'\)-bipyridine and \(\text{LiCl}\) (all obtained from the BDH Chemical Company).

3.1.2.2: Solvents and Materials used in Physical Measurements

Water used to make up solutions was distilled before being passed through a Waters Millipore System to remove metal and organic impurities. Acetonitrile was obtained from Koch-Light Limited and was of spectroscopic grade and was dried over molecular sieve Type 4A. Triethylammonium perchlorate (TEAP) was synthesised\textsuperscript{5} from triethylammonium bromide and perchloric acid, recrystallised from boiling water and dried in vacuum. A 0.1 M solution of TEAP in Acetonitrile was used as electrolyte for the model compounds. All chemicals used to make up the buffers were of analytical grade. A stock solution of Britton-Robinson Buffer (pH 1.8), composed of a mixture of boric acid, orthophosphoric acid and glacial
acetic acid all 0.04 M was prepared. Addition of a 0.2 M solution of sodium hydroxide gave a range of buffers from 1.8 to 13. The buffer used in the Chronocoulometric experiments was a 0.1 M solution of sodium trichloroacetic acid (from BDH) which was added to a 0.2 M solution of sodium trichloroacetate (from Merck) to give a buffer of pH 2. For hydrodynamic experiments an Fe²⁺ solution of ammonium ferrous sulphate (from Riedel de Haen) made up in a 0.9 M sodium sulphate (from BDH) /0.1 M H₂SO₄ buffer solution was used.

3.1.2.3: Materials Synthesised

4(5)-(Hydroxymethyl)imidazole hydrochloride (2). This compound was prepared from fructose (1) in 48% yield by the procedure outlined by Darby and Trotter⁶, mp 102–104⁰C (lit.⁶ 107–109⁰C).

1-(Triphenylmethyl)-4-(hydroxymethyl)imidazole (3). The N-H group of (2) was blocked with the Triphenylmethyl group according to a literature procedure⁷. The progress of the reaction was followed by TLC and it was found necessary to carry out the reaction for at least 2 hours (lit.⁷ 1hr) in order to ensure complete conversion: yield 92% (lit.⁷ 88%); mp 215–217⁰C (lit.⁷ 219–221⁰C); IR (KBr) 3200cm⁻¹ (vO-H), 1600cm⁻¹ (vC=C); NMR (CD₃OD): δ 7.2-7.7 (m, 15H, Ph₃), 6.7 (s, 1H, Im H), 4.5 (s, 2H, CH₂OH), 2.92 (s, 1H, CH₂OH).

1-(Triphenylmethyl)-4-imidazolecarboxaldehyde (4). The hydroxyl group of (3) was preferentially oxidised using MnO₂ according to the literature procedure⁷: yield 91% (lit.⁷ 91%); mpt 189–193⁰C (lit.⁷ mpt 189–192⁰C); IR (KBr) 1692cm⁻¹ (vC=O); NMR (CD₃COCD₃): 6 7.0–7.6 (m, 15H, Ph₃), 6.7 (s, 1H, Im H), 9.8 (s, 1H, CHO).

1-(Triphenylmethyl)-4-vinylimidazole (5). The desired vinyl group was produced by a Wittig reaction on compound (4) according to the literature
Scheme 1: Synthesis of 4(5)-Vinylimidazole.
procedure by Kokosa et al. The final reaction mixture was stirred for 6 hours (instead of 4 to 5 hours) and the reaction product, starting material residue and Ph₃PO were separated by dissolving the mixture in CHCl₃ (30g in 25cm³) and chromatographed on a 4cm X 1.5m glass column containing silica gel and using CH₃Cl/Pet Ether (65/35) for elution. The product was isolated to yield pure (5); yield 90% (lit. 80%). An analytical sample was recrystallised from CHCl₃/Hexane: mp 198-200°C (lit. 80-204°C); IR (KBr) 3086cm⁻¹ (Ar H), 1630cm⁻¹ (C==C): NMR (CDCl₃): (Hg refers to the vinyl proton geminal to the Im ring at C-1, Ht refers to the vinyl proton trans to the Im ring at C-2, Hc refers to the vinyl cis to the Im ring at C-2): 0 5.0-5.2 (dd, 1H, Hg, Jcg=10Hz, Jgt=2Hz), 5.6-6.0 (dd, 1H, Ht, Jct=18Hz), 6.3-6.5 (dd, 1H, Hc, Jcg=10Hz), 6.8- (s, 1H, Im H-5), 7.1-7.9 (m, 16H, Ph₃, Im H-4).

4(5)-Vinylimidazole (6). The Triphenylmethyl blocking group was removed by acid hydrolysis according to the literature procedure: yield 42% mpt 78-80°C (lit. 86°C); IR (KBr) 3124cm⁻¹ (υN-H), 1640cm⁻¹ (υC==C), 1585cm⁻¹ (δN-H); NMR (CDCl₃): 0 4.9-5.1 (dd, 1H, Hg, Jcg=10Hz), 5.4-5.7 (dd, 1H, Ht, Jct=18Hz), 6.3-6.8 (dd, 1H, Hc), 6.9 (s, 1H, Im H-5), 7.5 (s, 1H, Im H-2).

Polymerisation of 4(5)-Vinylimidazole. 4(5)-Vinylimidazole (0.949g, 10.6 mmoles) and 2 mg of azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN, 0.1mole %) were dissolved in 20 cm³ of dry benzene in a 25 cm³ round bottomed flask fitted with a stop-cock. The solution was frozen in liquid nitrogen, evacuated to 0.1 mm Hg, closed to the vacuum and allowed to thaw to room temperature. After this procedure had been repeated three times, the flask was filled with a dry nitrogen atmosphere and sealed. The flask was then placed in a water bath at 65°C and it was noted that polymer began to precipitate out after 1 hour. After 24 hours at 65°C the flask was opened
and poured into 100ml of benzene and stirred for an hour. The polymer was isolated by filtration, dissolved in methanol and precipitated in tetrahydrofuran. The polymer was then dried in vacuum to yield 0.474g (47% yield) of poly 4(5)-vinylimidazole.

Copolymerisation of 4-Vinylpyridine and Methylmethacrylate. Four copolymers of 4-vinylpyridine and methylmethacrylate in the ratios given in Table 1 have been prepared. The monomer feed ratios were chosen according to monomer reactivity ratios reported in the literature. The radical copolymerisation reactions using AIBN were carried out in toluene solution at 65°C for 7 hours under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture had been de-gassed by the freeze-thaw method prior to copolymerisation. The conditions and yields of the reaction are given in Table 1. The copolymers were purified by dissolving them in dichloromethane and precipitating in the solvents given in Table 1. They were subsequently dried in vacuo for 24 hours at room temperature.

Analysis calcd. for:
Polymer 1 (16.75% 4VP). C. 63.4; H. 7.8; N. 2.3. Found C. 63.1; H. 8.0; N. 2.3.
Polymer 2 (23.9% 4VP). C. 65.0; H. 7.7; N. 3.3. Found C. 65.2; H. 7.7; N. 3.3.
Polymer 3 (49.85% 4VP). C. 67.3; H. 7.4; N. 6.5. Found C. 67.8; H. 6.8; N. 6.6.
Polymer 4 (85.7% 4VP). C. 77.1; H. 6.8; N. 11.5. Found C. 73.9; H. 6.5; N. 11.0.

Metallopolymers

Preparation of \([\text{Ru} (bpy)_2 (\text{PVP-MMA})_n \text{Cl}_m \text{Cl}_n\] \((m, n=0.2: 1.1)\)

Several Ru(bpy)_2-containing redox polymers of the copolymers of 4-
4-vinylpyridine content in feed in mole% | AIBN mole% | Solvent/monomer ratio mis/mole | Precipitating solvent | Conversion % | 4-Vinylpyridine in copolymer in mole %
---|---|---|---|---|---
12.9 | 0.261 | 241 | Pet ether | 81.9 | 16.8
24.9 | 0.261 | 343 | Pet ether | 76.68 | 23.9
44.97 | 0.261 | 343 | Diethyl ether | 32.15 | 49.9
79.98 | 0.261 | 343 | Toluene | 42.7 | 85.7

a - Based on calculations of C/N ratios obtained from C.H.N microanalysis

TABLE 1 The reaction conditions in the preparation of 4-Vinylpyridine and Methylmethacrylate Co-polymers.
vinylpyridine and methylmethacrylate (PVP-MMA) were made by the thermal displacement of the chloride ligands of Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}. The copolymers were refluxed with various quantities of Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} in either ethanol or an ethanol/water mixture, with the conditions of reaction shown in Table 2. The progress of the reaction was followed by UV/vis spectroscopy. The metallopolymers thus formed were evaporated to dryness, dissolved in about 10 cm\textsuperscript{3} of dichloromethane and precipitated in 200 cm\textsuperscript{3} of 40/60 pet ether. The material was then isolated by filtration and dried in vacuo at room temperature overnight.

**Preparation of [(Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}(PVI\textsubscript{n}CfmlCfn. m.n = 0.2: 1.1)]\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}**

Five Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2} - containing redox polymers of poly 4(5)-vinylimidazole (PVI) were made by refluxing the polymer with an amount of Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}.2H\textsubscript{2}O calculated to give a 1:5 (4Vin:Ru) loading. The polymers made and conditions of reaction are shown in Table 3. The progression of the reaction was followed by UV/vis spectroscopy and formation of the products observed in this way. The reaction mixture was evaporated down to about 10 cm\textsuperscript{3} and added dropwise into 200 cm\textsuperscript{3} of THF. The metallopolymer precipitated out and was isolated by centrifugation, stirred in 50 cm\textsuperscript{3} of THF before filtration, washed with diethyl ether and dried in vacuo at room temperature overnight.

**Model Compounds**

**Preparation of [(Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}(4Mim)Cl\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}.PF\textsubscript{6}\textsubscript{0.39}g: 0.75mmol) and 4-methylimidazole (4Mim. 0.0615g: 0.75mmol)]\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}**

were dissolved in 50cm\textsuperscript{3} of methanol and the mixture was refluxed for 3 hours. The reaction product was then isolated by addition of 0.5 g of NH\textsubscript{4}PF\textsubscript{6} in 10 cm\textsuperscript{3} of water to the reaction mixture. The volume was then reduced to 20 cm\textsuperscript{3} and left overnight in the dark at 4\textsuperscript{0}C. The mixture was
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Co-Polymer Ratio</th>
<th>PVP: Ru Ratio</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Solvent Mixture</th>
<th>Reflux Time (hrs)</th>
<th>Yield %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4VP: MMA</td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>1.15:1</td>
<td>PM1R1</td>
<td>100/0</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>4.61:1</td>
<td>PM1R2</td>
<td>100/0</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>4.48:1</td>
<td>PM1R3</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>21.5:1</td>
<td>PM1R4</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>4.48:1</td>
<td>PM1R5</td>
<td>100/0</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:3.2</td>
<td>2.6:1</td>
<td>PM2R1</td>
<td>100/0</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:3.2</td>
<td>10.3:1</td>
<td>PM2R2</td>
<td>100/0</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:3.2</td>
<td>3.65:1</td>
<td>PM2R3</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:3.2</td>
<td>15.4:1</td>
<td>PM2R4</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>8:1</td>
<td>PM3R1</td>
<td>100/0</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>31.9:1</td>
<td>PM3R2</td>
<td>100/0</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>5.4:1</td>
<td>PM3R3</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>21.5:1</td>
<td>PM3R4</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:1</td>
<td>22.3:1</td>
<td>PM4R1</td>
<td>100/0</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:1</td>
<td>106:1</td>
<td>PM4R2</td>
<td>100/0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:1</td>
<td>5.7:1</td>
<td>PM4R3</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:1</td>
<td>23:1</td>
<td>PM4R4</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:1</td>
<td>5.7:1</td>
<td>PM4R5</td>
<td>100/0</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*TABLE 2: The conditions of reaction between Ru(bpy)$_2$Cl$_2$ and copolymers of 4-vinylpyridine and methyImethacrylate.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer Code</th>
<th>4Vlm:Ru Ratio</th>
<th>Solvent Mixture</th>
<th>Reaction Time</th>
<th>Yield %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MeOH/H₂O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR1</td>
<td>5.37:1</td>
<td>100/0</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>95.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR1</td>
<td>5.37:1</td>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>95.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER1</td>
<td>5:1</td>
<td>100/0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>75.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR1</td>
<td>5:1</td>
<td>100/0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>68.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR1</td>
<td>5:1</td>
<td>100/0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>58.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 3: The reaction conditions for synthesis of metallopolymers from poly-4(5)-Vinylimidazole and Ru(bpy)₂Cl₂·2H₂O.**
then filtered and the crystalline product obtained. dried in vacuo to give 0.30 g (yield 60.3%). TLC (alumina oxide/methanol) shows the product to be pure. UV/Vis (methanol) \( \lambda_{\text{max}} (\log \epsilon) \) 510nm (3.75), 353nm (3.73). Analysis Calcd. for \( \text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_{6}\text{ClPF}_6\text{ORu} \): C. 41.4; H. 3.4; N. 12.1. Found: C. 41.4; H. 3.3; N. 11.9.

Preparation of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(4\text{Mim})_2\text{(PF}_6)_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O} \text{ Ru(bpy)}_2\text{Cl}_2\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (0.13g, 0.25mmol) and 4-methylimidazole (0.197g, 2.4mmol) were refluxed in the dark and in 40 cm\(^3\) of a 60/40 methanol/water solution for 5 hours. The reaction product was precipitated by the addition of 0.5 g of \(\text{NH}_4\text{PF}_6\) in 5 cm\(^3\) of water. The volume of the mixture was reduced to 10 cm\(^3\) and left in the fridge overnight. The precipitate formed was separated by filtration and dried in vacuo overnight. The material was purified by recrystallisation in acetone/water to give 0.171g (78.8% yield). The product is pure as indicated by TLC (alumina oxide/methanol). UV/Vis (methanol) \( \lambda_{\text{max}} (\log \epsilon) \): 343nm (3.86), 488nm (3.0). Analysis calcd. for \( \text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{30}\text{N}_8\text{P}_2\text{F}_{12}\text{ORu} \): C. 36.9; H. 3.3; N. 12.6. Found: C. 36.9; H. 3.1; N. 12.8.

Preparation of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(4\text{Mim})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2^{2+}\text{(PF}_6)_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O} \) 50 cm\(^3\) of distilled water in a 100ml round bottomed flask was degassed by bubbling through oxygen-free nitrogen for 15 minutes. 0.24 g of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(4\text{Mim})\text{ClPF}_6\) was added and the mixture was refluxed in the dark for 20 minutes. The solution was then placed in a 100ml beaker and 0.5 g of \(\text{NH}_4\text{PF}_6\) in 10cm\(^3\) of water was added while the solution was still hot. The mixture was allowed to cool whereupon some solid precipitated out. The mixture was then moved to the fridge and left at 4°C over the weekend. The solid precipitate was separated by filtration and dried in vacuo to give 0.143g (60.6% yield). TLC on alumina oxide with methanol as the mobile phase indicated. UV/Vis (methanol) \( \lambda_{\text{max}} (\log \epsilon) \): 491nm (3.82);
342nm (3.74). Analysis calcd. for $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{40}\text{N}_{6}\text{OPF}_{6}\text{O}_{8}\text{Ru}$: C. 35.1; H. 3.16; N. 10.2; Found C. 35.2; H. 3.1; N. 10.3.

3.2: Experimental Procedures.
3.2.1: Spectroscopic Techniques.

3.2.1.1: Electronic Spectra

Where ultraviolet spectroscopy was used to observe chemical changes over a period of time or at different pH's, overlay spectra were obtained. At the beginning of each experiment, the spectrometer was allowed to equilibrate for 15 minutes before proceeding. The spectra were obtained between 300 nm and 600 nm.

In ascertaining the photochemical behaviour of the model compounds in different solvents, the quantum yield reactor was used in conjunction with the ultraviolet spectrometer. The model compounds were made up to 10$^{-4}$ M in three different solvents: acetone, methanol and acetonitrile. These solutions were protected from premature photolysis by covering the volumetric flasks with aluminium foil and storing them in the dark. The quantum yield reactor was allowed to warm up for at least 30 minutes. The high intensity light beam produced by the lamp was filtered of ultraviolet light and heat by placing a water filter in the path of the beam. After the sample had been irradiated for a set period of time, the cell was transferred to the ultraviolet spectrometer and a spectrum was immediately recorded. This was repeated until it appeared that the compound was completely photolysed, and in this way the photolysis of the compound in different solvents could be observed.
Ultraviolet techniques were also used to follow the degree of reaction of the Ru(bpy)$_2$Cl$_2$ complex with the different polymers. Depending on the concentration of Ru(bpy)$_2$Cl$_2$ complex in the reaction mixture, between 0.25 and 1 cm$^3$ of the reaction mixture was pipetted out during the reaction and placed in a 1 cm quartz cell. The sample was then diluted with ethanol or an ethanol/water mixture (depending on the composition of the reaction solvent) to 3.5 cm$^3$ and the ultraviolet spectrum recorded. The sample was then discarded. Hence the progress of the reaction can be followed by observing the shift of $\lambda_{max}$ at 505-515 nm to higher energies.

3.2.1.2: Luminescence Methods

In obtaining the emission spectrum of the metallopolymers and the model compounds, all compounds were made up to $10^{-4}$ M (for the metallopolymers this was based on Ru(bpy)$_2$Cl$_2$ concentration) with analar methanol and for room temperature spectra were placed in 1 cm quartz fluorescence cells. The luminescence spectrometer was allowed to warm up for at least 30 minutes before the spectrum was obtained. Between spectra the excitation shutter, which cuts off the excitation beam, was closed to protect the samples from any photochemical decomposition. However this shutter could not be used when the low temperature accessory was attached and in this case the cell holder was rotated to take the sample out of the direct path of the beam. The samples were excited at the $\lambda_{max}$ for absorption of the lowest energy metal to ligand charge transfer band of the species.

For obtaining spectra at low temperature, the low temperature accessory was attached, which uses as a coolant liquid nitrogen. At low temperatures methanol is a suitable solvent for, as well as dissolving all
the metallopolymers. It does not expand when frozen and it forms a perfect
glass at low temperatures. The samples were contained in a quartz
capillary cell. 4 mm outside diameter, 2 mm inside diameter and 75 mm
in length. When placing the sample in the tube, care was taken to ensure
that no bubbles formed in the cell. The capillary cell was then placed in
the accessory and the fluorescence spectrum at temperatures 77K were
obtained.

3.2.2: Electrochemical Methods

3.2.2.1: Cyclic Voltammetry Methods.
The cyclic voltammograms of the metallopolymers were obtained using a
fresh surface on the graphite disk working electrode which was produced
by cleaving the disk with a scalpel and applying between 1-6 µl of a 1%
(w/w) solution of the metallopolymer in methanol to the surface and
allowing the resulting film to dry overnight. The electrolytes used were 1M
\( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \), 1M \( \text{HClO}_4 \), 1M HCl and 0.1M TEAP/acetonitrile which when
placed in the cell were de-aerated using a steady stream of oxygen-free
nitrogen and after degassing a blanket of nitrogen was kept over the
solutions. The graphite disk working electrode were placed in each acid in
turn and the cyclic voltammograms obtained between +200 mV and +1300
mV (vs SCE). The cyclic voltammograms of the model compounds were
obtained by dissolving 30 mg of the compounds in 30 cm\(^3\) of 0.1M
TEAP/acetonitrile. The cell was screened from light by covering it with
aluminium foil. The working electrode in this case was a bare polished
glassy carbon electrode (diameter 0.75 cm) and the voltammogram as
obtained between -1.9 mV and +1.2 mV (vs SCE). In all cases the initial
potential was 0.0 mV.
3.2.2.2: Chronocoulometric Methods

A solution of the metallopolymer was made up to $10^{-4}$M (based on \text{Ru(bpy)$_2$Cl$_2$} concentration) in methanol and 100\mu l of it was placed on a bare polished glassy carbon electrode. The film was allowed to dry for a week. The \text{CCl$_3$COOH/0.2 M CCl$_3$COONa} buffer was placed in a thermostatted cell containing a thermometer and was allowed to equilibrate to the initial temperature while the solution was degassed with oxygen-free nitrogen for about 15 minutes. The potential jump was accomplished by scanning the potential from 0.5 mV to 1.0 mV at a scan rate of 1000Vs$^{-1}$. This extremely fast scan rate gives an almost instantaneous step and is the nearest to a potential jump that can be achieved with the equipment used. The recorder was set to record the signal at 2 minutes in 10 cm and the coulometer sensitivity set at $10^{-4}$ Coulombs. Chronocoulometric plots were recorded at different temperatures.

3.2.2.3: Hydrodynamic Methods

100 \mu l of a $10^{-4}$M solution of the metallopolymer in methanol was dried onto a bare polished glassy carbon electrode and left in the dark for a week to ensure complete drying. The rotating disc electrode was calibrated and it was found that the true rotation speed was 1.078 times the apparent rate. 50 cm$^3$ of the $2 \times 10^{-3}$M ammonium ferrous sulphate solution in the 0.9M Na$_2$SO$_4$ in 0.1M H$_2$SO$_4$ buffer was placed in the thermostatted cell and was degassed with oxygen-free nitrogen. It was found that at temperatures below 15\textdegree C the sodium sulphate in the buffer crystallises out: hence all measurements were carried out above 15\textdegree C. The rotation speed was varied between 100 r.p.m. to 3000 r.p.m. and the solution was scanned from 200 mV to 900 mV at a scan rate of 2 mVs$^{-1}$. Current—
potential curves were obtained at temperatures ranging from 15°C to 45°C for each metallopolymerr. The concentration of the cell solution was increased by the addition of measured aliquots of a 0.1M $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{FeSO}_4$ in 0.1M $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ / 0.9M $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ buffer and further measurements recorded.
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CHAPTER 4

SYNTHESIS AND SPECTROSCOPIC CHARACTERISATION
4.1: Synthesis

4.1.1: Synthesis of 4(5)-Vinylimidazole

The monomer was synthesised according to procedures described by Kokosa, Szafasz and Tagupa\textsuperscript{1} and Kelley, Millar and McLean\textsuperscript{2} which offered a workable synthesis of pure compound in quantities greater than 5 grams. However it was found necessary to modify parts of these syntheses in order to achieve or improve the reported yields.

4.1.1.1: N-\((\text{Triphenylmethyl})\)-4-(hydroxymethyl) imidazole (3)

This compound was produced from 4(5)-(hydroxymethyl)imidazole hydrochloride by the method described by Kelley\textsuperscript{2}, which protects the N-H group from further reactions by removing the hydrogen and replacing it with the triphenylmethyl group. This method resulted in yields of impure compound (3) of 36\% (lit.\textsuperscript{2} 88\%). Therefore it was decided to follow the reaction by TLC on silica gel and with a solvent system of hexane/ethyl acetate/methanol \((20/10/1)\). The TLC results are given in fig. 1 and show that at 20\degree C and for a reaction time of 0.5 hour, the reaction had not been completed, but after a period of 2 hours the starting material was completely transformed into the product. The TLC also shows that during the reaction, an intermediate is formed, the nature of which was not further investigated. It was found to be important to add all the triphenylmethylchloride at once, since when precipitation of the product occurs this causes poor mixing of the reactants. The extended reaction time and improved reaction conditions increased the yield to 92\% of a pure product.

Although the literature procedure\textsuperscript{2} does not state the temperature at which the reaction was carried out, a small difference in temperature may have an effect on the yield and hence explain the need for a longer reaction.
**FIG. 1:** TLC on silica gel using Hexane/EtOAc/MeOH (20/10/1) as eluent showing the progress of the formation of 1-((triphenylmethyl)-4-((hydroxymethyl))imidazole from 4(5)-((hydroxymethyl))imidazole hydrochloride.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Ph)$_3$Cl</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Reaction Time</th>
<th>$t = 0$</th>
<th>$t = 0.5$hr</th>
<th>$t = 2$hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="TLC Result" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="TLC Result" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="TLC Result" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="TLC Result" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="TLC Result" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="TLC Result" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
time at a room temperature of 20°C.

4.1.1.2: \textit{N-(Triphenylmethyl)-4-imidazolecarboxaldehyde} (4)

This compound was prepared from (3) according to the literature procedure\textsuperscript{2} at 92% yield (lit\textsuperscript{2}, 91%) by the selective oxidation of 1-(triphenylmethyl)-4-(hydroxymethyl)imidazole using manganese dioxide. Manganese dioxide has found\textsuperscript{3} appreciable use in the oxidation of alcohols to aldehydes. This reagent preferentially attacks allylic and benzylic hydroxyl groups\textsuperscript{4} and hence exhibits a useful degree of selectivity. However in order to achieve the above yield it was found essential to further activate the precipitated MnO\textsubscript{2}. The precise reactivity of MnO\textsubscript{2} depends\textsuperscript{5} on its mode of preparation with the 'active' form being precipitated from a reaction of manganese sulphate, potassium permanganate and sodium hydroxide. The level of activity is dependent on the extent of drying, and azeotropic drying by benzene recommended by Goldman\textsuperscript{6} was used. Compound (4) produced had a melting point of 189–193°C which is higher than that quoted by Kokosa\textsuperscript{1} (165–181°C), but the same as that given by Kelley\textsuperscript{2}. The attainment of a pure product with a high yield, therefore, seems to be dependent on the level of activity of the manganese dioxide.

4.1.1.3: \textit{N-(Triphenylmethyl)-4-vinylimidazole} (5)

Compound (5) was produced from (4) by a Wittig reaction according to the method of Kokosa\textsuperscript{1}. The reaction mixture became so viscous that the recommended\textsuperscript{1} stirring bar was ineffective and a mechanical stirrer was used instead. An extension of the reaction time at 70°C from 4.5 to 8 hours was found to give improved conversion to the product. But there was still incomplete conversion (Kokosa claims complete conversion) as was indicated by TLC on silica gel plates with chloroform used as the mobile phase.
The reported mobile phase (chloroform) used for the separation of the mixture by column chromatography was found to be inefficient since although it separated the starting compound and product from the Ph₃PO it would not separate the starting compound from the product. A number of mobile phases were tested to find the most suitable and efficient system. Hence it was found that using this system of a mobile phase of CHCl₃/(Pet Ether(40-60)) (65/35) and a stationary phase of silica gel gives the required separation and gives a yield of 90% (lit. 1 80%).

4. 1. 1.4: 4(5)-Vinylimidazole. (6)

Attempts to synthesise this compound from (5) by repeating the method described by Kokosa¹ which involves cleaving the triphenylmethyl group from (5) by mild acid hydrolysis, produced an impure oil from which pure product crystallised in a yield of 42% (lit. ¹ 86%). The reaction product was confirmed by N.M.R. and Infrared spectroscopy (see figures 2 and 3). This infrared spectrum corresponds with the spectrum shown by Morita et al. ⁷ for 4(5)-vinylimidazole.

The use of water as the reaction solvent and in the work-up leads to the added difficulty in the effective removal of it from the hygroscopic product. The relatively high temperatures (50°C) and high vacuum used for the removal of the water may have led to decomposition of the product. There should be no polymerisation during the reaction as a by-product of the reaction, triphenylmethanol, is an inhibitor of polymerisation. Attempts to use solvent extraction with diethylether and chloroform proved unsuccessful as the product has more affinity for water.

Three other deblocking reactions were considered. Mild acid hydrolysis using 6N HCl and acetone for a reaction period of 3 hours proved unsuccessful. Catalytic reduction using either hydrogen and palladium black or sodium and ammonia solution were ruled out because these
FIG. 2: Nuclear Magnetic Resonance spectrum of 4(5)-Vinylimidazole prepared in CD₃OD.
FIG. 3: Infrared spectrum of 4(5)-Vinylimidazole prepared as a KBr disc.

FIG. 4: Infrared spectrum of Poly-4(5)-Vinylimidazole prepared as a KBr disc.
reactions may also reduce the vinyl group.

It seems that although the triphenylmethyl group reacted selectively at one reactive site in a good yield and blocked the nitrogen from further reactions, it cannot be selectively removed in a good yield by reagents that will not attack the vinyl group.

4.1.2: Polymerisation of 4(5)-Vinylimidazole

The technique used by Overberger et al. which involves free-radical polymerisation in benzene using AIBN as initiator was used for polymerising the 4(5)-vinylimidazole monomer. However the reported yield of 80% was not attained (yield attained was 42%). When the chain length reaches the point where the polymer is insoluble in the benzene reaction solvent it precipitates out and after about 5 hours this precipitate fills the volume of the solution completely. This precipitate prevents adequate mixing of the solution for further polymerisation. When the polymer is produced using methanol as solvent, there is no precipitation and the yield is increased to 60%.

The polymer produced has an infrared spectrum (fig. 4) identical to that for poly-4(5)-vinylimidazole shown by Morita et al. It should be noted that even after prolonged drying by heating to 50°C under a high vacuum for 24 hours, the infrared spectrum still suggests the presence of water. Overberger and Vorcheimer have reported that half a mole of water per mole of polymer remains bound to the polymer and could not be removed by prolonged heating at 100°C over phosphorous pentoxide.

4.1.3: Copolymerisation of 4-Vinylpyridine and Methylmethacrylate

The copolymers of 4-vinylpyridine and methylmethacrylate were produced by solution polymerisation in toluene using the reactivity ratios and
procedure described by Orbay et al.\textsuperscript{10} which involves free-radical polymerisation of the two monomers in toluene. However they recommend adding the monomer mixture to the reaction vessel at a very slow rate in order to achieve higher degrees of conversion without altering the monomer composition during polymerisation. Solution polymerisation was used instead, since with careful choice of the solvent the polymer will precipitate out after reaching a certain composition and/or chain length. This strategy keeps the chain length and hence the solubility of the polymer within useable limits.

Using the monomer reactivity ratios (\( r(4\text{vp}) = 1.05 \) and \( r(\text{mma}) = 0.54 \)), where 4vp refers to 4-vinylpyridine and mma refers to methylmethacrylate, described in the literature\textsuperscript{10}, the monomer feed ratios were calculated to give the following ratios for 4VP/MMA copolymers: 1/4, 1/2, 1/1, 4/1. These reactivity ratios give some indication of the composition of the copolymers formed. Since 4-vinylpyridine has a reactivity ratio greater than one, a radical chain ending with 4-vinylpyridine would prefer to add more 4-vinylpyridine rather than methylmethacrylate. Furthermore, methylmethacrylate with a reactivity ratio less than one, prefers to add 4-vinylpyridine rather than itself. This means that one needs an excess of methylmethacrylate in the monomer feed mixture. The amount needed to give a required copolymer composition can be calculated by using the following equation:

\[
F_{4\text{vp}} = \frac{r_{4\text{vp}}f_{4\text{vp}} + f_{4\text{vp}}f_{\text{mma}}}{r_{4\text{vp}}f_{4\text{vp}} + 2f_{4\text{vp}}f_{\text{mma}} + f_{\text{mma}}f_{\text{mma}}}
\]

Where \( f_{\text{mma}} \) and \( f_{4\text{vp}} \) are the monomer feed ratios of methylmethacrylate and 4-vinylpyridine respectively and \( F_{4\text{vp}} \) is the ratio of 4
vinylpyridine in the copolymer. Since the product of the reactivity ratios is less than one, there would be a tendency for the monomer residues in the copolymer to alternate.

The actual ratios obtained are shown in Table 1 of the experimental section and from these results it can be seen that the monomer feed ratios were nearly correct. It can be seen that under identical reaction conditions conversion increases from PM1 to PM2 but then decreases for PM3 before rising for PM4. This decrease may be due to the fact that there was no precipitation of copolymer when PM1 and PM2 were produced, but there was precipitation of copolymer for PM3 and PM4. Precipitation causes incomplete mixing of the reactants and leads to lower conversion.

4.1.4: Synthesis of The Metallopolymers and Model Compounds

The formation of ruthenium containing polymers can be achieved by two methods:\(^1\)

(i) A ruthenium halide complex can be reacted with a pre-made polymer in an appropriate solvent.

(ii) A ruthenium monomer complex can be synthesised and then subsequently copolymerised with either the same monomer or other monomers.

Method (i) offers the advantage that since the polymer is synthesised and characterised before reaction with the metal complex, the resulting metallopolymers is to some extent controlled since the polymer chain length, tacticity, composition and solubility are known in advance of forming the metallopolymers. However the disadvantages incurred by this method are:

(a) A metal complex like Ru(bpy)\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) initially reacts with a solvent
molecule to form the solvent-chloro complex: \( [\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(S)\text{Cl}]^+ \). The labile solvent ligand can be substituted so that a coordinate bond with a polymer \((P)\) is formed:

\[
[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(S)\text{Cl}]^+ + P \rightarrow [\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{Cl(P)}]^+ \quad (2)
\]

The remaining chloride ligand can be substituted so that a further coordinate bond with a polymer ligand is formed:

\[
[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{Cl(P)}]^+ + P \rightarrow [\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{P}_2]^2+ \quad (3)
\]

The products formed from reaction (2) and (3) are called in this work the 'chloro' substituted and 'bis' substituted species respectively.

(b) Since some of the reaction solvents used were either methanol/water or ethanol/water mixtures (see experimental chapter), there is the possibility that the formation of an 'aquo' substituted species can occur:

\[
[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{Cl(P)}]^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow [\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})(P)]^2+\text{Cl}_2 \quad (4)
\]

(c) The structure of a polymer formed by copolymerisation may be uncertain and a metallolopolymer with an extremely non-uniform distribution of metal complexes can occur.

The method of preparation (ii) mentioned above has the advantage that since a change in co-ordination sphere of the ruthenium-monomer complex is very unlikely during polymerisation, the structure of the complex on the polymer backbone is more clearly defined and only one ruthenium-polymer species is formed. However extensive interchain crosslinking occurs when polymerising the 'bis' substituted monomer compound making
it insoluble in most solvents, thus preventing the study of this species by UV/vis spectroscopy and electrochemistry. Also since the reactivity of the vinylpyridine-ruthenium complex is unknown, the structure of a copolymer formed would be uncertain. For these reasons it was decided to use the first method for the formation of metallopolymers. The metallopolymers produced contain the 'bis' species as a mixture with the 'chloro' species mainly as intrachain crosslinks. It can be assumed that these metallopolymers are not initially heavily cross-linked as they are soluble in suitable organic solvents. It was observed that it becomes more difficult to redissolve some of the metallopolymers with time. From electrochemical measurements it was noticed that the relative amount of 'bis' species increases with time even when the dry metallopolymer is stored in the dark.

4.1.4.1: 4-Vinylpyridine-Methylmethacrylate Metallopolymers.

Various copolymers of 4-vinylpyridine and methylmethacrylate were allowed to react with Ru(bpy)$_2$Cl$_2$ in ethanol or an ethanol/water mixture to give a metallopolymer with a predetermined metal complex loading (see table 2, experimental chapter). According to the reaction scheme outlined in equations (2) and (3) above, the reaction should proceed as follows:

\[
\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{Cl}_2 + \text{S} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \text{[Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(S)Cl}^+ (5)
\]

\[
\text{[Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(S)Cl}^+ + \text{PVP} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \text{[Ru(bpy)}_2\text{Cl(PVP)}^+ (6)
\]

\[
\text{[Ru(bpy)}_2\text{Cl(PVP)}^+\text{Cl} + \text{PVP} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \text{[Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(PVP)}_2^{2+} (7)
\]

Some indication of the progressive formation of the two species can be gained from an observation of the reaction with time by UV/Vis spectroscopy. The $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ at about 505nm (which is the absorption maximum
FIG. 5: Ultraviolet/visible spectra of the reaction mixture showing the progress of the reaction between the Ru(bpy)$_2$Cl$_2$.2H$_2$O complex and Poly-4-Vinylpyridine-Methylmethacrylate.

FIG. 6: Ultraviolet/visible spectrum of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(4MIm)Cl]$^+$(PF$_6$) in methanol.
for the solvent chloro complex) is seen to decrease whilst simultaneously a higher energy peak increases as the reaction proceeds (see fig. 5). This shows the progress of the reaction scheme (eqns. 5, 6 and 7) as the disubstituted species is formed which absorbs strongly at 470nm.

4.1.4.2: Poly-4(5)-Vinylimidazole Metallopolymers.

Ru(bpy)$_2$-containing polymers were obtained by reaction of poly-4(5)-vinylimidazole with Ru(bpy)$_2$Cl$_2$ in a similar way as described for the corresponding poly-4-vinylpyridine-methylmethacrylate metallopolymers. The reaction conditions were set so as to produce a 5:1 (PVIm:Ru) metallopolymer, but with varying degrees of mono-substituted ([Ru(bpy)$_2$-(PVIm)Cl]$^+$Cl) and bis-substituted ([Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVIm)$_2$]Cl$_2$) species—see table 3. The progress of the reaction can be followed by uv/visible spectroscopy as the MLCT peak at 510nm shifts steadily to 485nm.

4.1.4.3: Model Compounds

In order to identify the different species contained in the Ru(bpy)$_2$-containing poly-4(5)-vinylimidazole and to obtain an indication of the properties of the different species in isolation: a number of model compounds were made (see experimental section). The ligand used for these model compounds was 4-methylimidazole, which should approximate very closely the properties of the polymer. The ultraviolet spectra of these compounds were measured in methanol and show that the compound which should mimic the chloro-substituted species [Ru(bpy)$_2$(4Mim)Cl]$^+$PF$_6$ has its lowest MLCT band at about 510 nm (fig. 6), whilst the compound approximating the disubstituted species [Ru(bpy)$_2$(4Mim)$_2$(PF$_6$)$_2$] has its lowest MLCT band at 488 nm. The model compound for the aquo species [Ru(bpy)$_2$(4Mim)(H$_2$O)]$(PF_6)_2$ has a lower MLCT band at 492 nm. The lowest MLCT bands of the disubstituted and aquo species for these model
compounds are very close and this may explain why the progression of the chloro species to the aquo species cannot be seen using UV/visible spectroscopy.

4.2: Characterisation of the Metallopolymers.

The metallopolymers of the vinylpyridine-methylmethacrylate copolymers and the poly-4(5)-vinylimidazole polymers were characterised by ultraviolet/visible and fluorescence spectroscopy.

4.2.1: Ru(bpy)$_2$-Poly-4VP-MMA Metallopolymers

4.2.1.1: Ultraviolet/visible Spectral Studies

The UV/Vis spectra of the products formed show in all cases (table 1) an absorption peak at 495nm which agrees closely with that obtained$^{11}$ for [Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVP)Cl]Cl, and this indicates the predominant presence of the 'chloro' species. However it can be seen (table 1) that depending on the reaction conditions some products show an absorption peak near 470nm which, concurs with the spectrum obtained$^{12}$ for [Ru(bpy)$_2$(py)$_2$]$^{2+}$, suggesting a mixture of 'chloro' and 'bis' species can occur.

It can be seen in table 1, that the relative concentrations of the two species for the 4-vinylpyridine/methylmethacrylate copolymers is dependent on a number of factors$^{12}$. The UV spectral data suggests that the relative amount of disubstituted species increases with the amount of methylmethacrylate in the polymer backbone. This might be due to the reduced steric hindrance which the smaller methylmethacrylate units contribute, making the approach of the ruthenium complex easier. Also an increase in the amount of water used in the reaction solvent and a
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Copolymer Ratio&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>PVP: Ru Ratio</th>
<th>MLCT λ&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM1R1</td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>1:15:1</td>
<td>352.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM1R2</td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>4:61:1</td>
<td>352.463(sh).495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM1R3</td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>4:48:1</td>
<td>350.470(sh).495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM1R4</td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>21:50:1</td>
<td>345.463,495(sh)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2R1</td>
<td>1:3.2</td>
<td>2:60:1</td>
<td>352.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2R2</td>
<td>1:3.2</td>
<td>10:30:1</td>
<td>352.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2R3</td>
<td>1:3.2</td>
<td>3:85:1</td>
<td>350.470(sh).495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2R4</td>
<td>1:3.2</td>
<td>15:40:1</td>
<td>345.463,495(sh)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM3R1</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>8:00:1</td>
<td>350.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM3R2</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>32:00:1</td>
<td>352.470(sh).495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM3R3</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>5:40:1</td>
<td>350.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM3R4</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>21:50:1</td>
<td>349.465,495(sh)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM4R1</td>
<td>6:1</td>
<td>22:30:1</td>
<td>352.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM4R2</td>
<td>6:1</td>
<td>10:6:0:1</td>
<td>352.470(sh).495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM4R3</td>
<td>6:1</td>
<td>5:70:1</td>
<td>350.470(sh).495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM4R4</td>
<td>6:1</td>
<td>23:00:1</td>
<td>350.465,495(sh)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Ultraviolet/visible spectral data for Ru(bpy)<sub>2</sub> containing Poly-4-vinylpyridine-methylmethacrylate measured in methanol. (1 - obtained from CHN analysis)
decrease in the amount of ruthenium to pyridine units has been found to
increase the amount of 'bis' species formed. Water facilitates the
displacement of the chloride ligand and the formation of the aquo
intermediate, thus increasing the amount of disubstituted species formed.
Figure 7 compares the spectra of a copolymer possessing a high
ruthenium loading with that of a copolymer with a low loading. This
behaviour may be explained by the fact that the reduced ruthenium-
pyridine ratio leaves more neighbouring pyridine groups available for
disubstitution to take place.

4.2.1.2: Emission Studies
The fluorescence spectral data for the Ru(bpy)$_2$-containing poly-4-
vinylyridine-methylmethacrylate copolymers are given in Table 2. The
copolymers containing both [Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVP)Cl]$^+$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVP)$_2$]$^{2+}$
centres show emission from both sites. Solutions of the metallopolymers in
methanol were excited at the excitation wavelength for the lower energy
MLCT band for the chloro (500 nm) and bis (460 nm) species. The
characteristic emission spectra for the two species were then obtained
(fig. 8). Excitation at 500 nm produces the dominant emission spectrum
for the [Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVP)Cl]$^+$ species which has a room temperature
emission maximum $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ at 705 nm and a low temperature (77K) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ at
662 nm. On excitation at 460 nm, the emission due to the
[Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVP)$_2$]$^{2+}$ species is predominant and produces a room
temperature $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ at 603 nm and a low temperature $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ at 585 nm.
These emission spectra obtained show the same trend that was observed
with the absorption spectra, with the formation of the two species being
closely dependent on the reaction conditions. However since the quantum
yield of emission for the two species is unknown, it is impossible at this
FIG. 7: Ultraviolet/violet spectra of copolymer PM1R1 (---- containing 1.15:1 PVP:Ru ratio) and copolymer PM4R2 (—— containing 106:1 PVP:Ru ratio) in methanol at identical concentrations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer Code</th>
<th>Room Temp. Emission</th>
<th>Low Temp. Emission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ex. 460nm</td>
<td>Ex. 500nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM1R1</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM1R2</td>
<td>603,705</td>
<td>705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM1R3</td>
<td>603,705</td>
<td>603,705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM1R4</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2R1</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2R2</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2R3</td>
<td>603,702</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2R4</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>603,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM3R1</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM3R2</td>
<td>602,705</td>
<td>705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM3R3</td>
<td>602,703</td>
<td>603,705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM3R4</td>
<td>602,705</td>
<td>603,705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM4R1</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM4R2</td>
<td>605,695</td>
<td>705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM4R3</td>
<td>606,705</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM4R4</td>
<td>605,705</td>
<td>605,702</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Maximum emission wavelengths for the Ru(bpy)$_2$-containing poly-4-vinylpyidine-methylmethacrylate copolymers. All emission data obtained were in methanol solutions.
FIG. 8: Low temperature emission spectrum of PM1R3 in methanol excited at 460 nm.
stage to determine the relative concentrations of each species in a particular metallopolymer. Calvert\(^1\) has reported that the excited state emission of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}(\text{trpy})(\text{PVP})]^2^+\) depends on the degree of metallaition which as it increases forces the distance between the metal centres to decrease and causes the emission spectrum to be red-shifted by 20-25 nm. This phenomenon was not observed for these metallopolymers (table 3), which may be due to the fact that the copolymers do not create significant steric problems. At low temperature the spectra were more intense, better resolved and had the emission maxima blue shifted by about 30-40 nm.

4.2.2 \(\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\)-Poly-4(5)Vin Metallopolymers.

4.2.2.1: Ultraviolet/visible Spectral Studies.

The model compounds show that the chloro species \(\text{Ru(bpy)}_2^-\) \((\text{4Mlm})\text{Cl}\text{PF}_6\) has a lower MLCT band at about 510 nm, whilst the compound approximating the disubstituted species: \(\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{4Mlm})_2^-\) \((\text{PF}_6)_2\) has a lower MLCT band at 488 nm. Metallopolymers BR1 and ER1 have their lower \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) peaks located at 488 nm, which is at a higher energy than for the other polyvinylimidazole metallopolymers and corresponds to the same \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) obtained for the disubstituted model compound. Compound GR1 has a lower energy MLCT band located at 505 nm, and from the evidence given by the model compounds this suggests that it has more mono-substituted species than the other compounds.

It can be seen that from an analysis of the lower MLCT band of ER1, FR1 and GR1 that the amount of bis-substituted species increases with an increase in the length of the reaction time. The metallopolymers produced contain different amounts of bis-substituted species as shown by their lower energy MLCT bands. The reaction times for AR1 and BR1 are almost
Table 3: Ultraviolet/visible spectral data for the Ru(bpy)$_2$-containing poly-4(5)-vinylimidazole metallopolymers.

$^a$ All measurements were made on a $1 \times 10^{-4}$M solution in methanol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer Code</th>
<th>$\lambda_{max}$ (nm)</th>
<th>Reaction time (hrs)</th>
<th>Solvent mixture ratio MeOH/H$_2$O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR1</td>
<td>348.495</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>100/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR1</td>
<td>345.488</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>75/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER1</td>
<td>345.488</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR1</td>
<td>350.498</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>100/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR1</td>
<td>350.505</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>100/0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Room temperature emission data for the model compounds and metallopolymers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission Maximum ($\lambda_{max}$/nm)</th>
<th>Ex. 470 nm</th>
<th>Ex. 510 nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)$_2$(4MIm)Cl] PF$_6$</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)$_2$(4MIm)$_2$(PF$_6$)$_2$</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)$_2$(4MIm)(H$_2$O))(PF$_6$)$_2$</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru(bpy)$_2$-poly-4(5) Vlm Metallopolymer</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the same, but the solvent mixture for the reaction of BR1 contained water.

This suggests that, as with the copolymers, the presence of an appreciable amount of water in the reaction mixture leads to the formation of the aquo ([Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVIm)(H$_2$O)]Cl$_2$) intermediate species, which can facilitate the formation of the disubstituted species.

$$[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{PVIm})\text{Cl}_2]^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow [\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{PVIm})]\text{Cl}_2 \ (7)$$

$$[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{PVIm})]\text{Cl}_2 + \text{PVIm} \longrightarrow [\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{PVIm})_2]\text{Cl}_2 \ (8)$$

It appears that the disubstituted species [Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVIm)$_2$]Cl$_2$ for the vinylimidazole polymer is formed more easily than the corresponding species for the copolymer 4-VP and MMA and the homopolymer poly-4-vinylpyridine$^{12}$. A disubstituted species is not formed in the reaction of Ru(bpy)$_2$Cl$_2$ with poly-4-vinylpyridine$^{12}$. It should be noted that this phenomenon has also been observed$^{13}$ for the reaction of Ru(bpy)$_2$Cl$_2$ and poly-N-vinylimidazole. The positions of the lowest MLCT bands in table 2 are also close to the positions of the lowest MLCT bands reported$^{13}$ for Ru(bpy)$_2$-containing poly-N-vinylimidazole. This ease of the formation of the disubstituted species with poly-4(5)-vinylimidazole in relation to the difficulty of poly-4-vinylpyridine could be due to steric factors which have been shown to be important in the formation of the bis-species with copolymers. Since imidazole is a σ electron donor and pyridine is a π electron acceptor, the poly-vinylimidazole ligand does not compete with the bipyridyl π acceptor ligands of the complex as does polyvinylpyridine. Hence there would be less repulsion to the approach of the imidazole ligand to form the metallopolymers.

There is no evidence from the UV/vis spectra to indicate the presence of any aquo species. However the lowest MLCT band for the aquo species
would be expected between the band for the mono and bis species. Since there is only a 20 nm difference between the lowest MLCT bands for the mono and bis species, and different mixtures can occur anywhere in between it would be impossible to resolve the peak if it was present.

4.2.2.2: Emission Studies

The room temperature emission spectra of the model compounds in methanol are given in table 4. The data suggest that the emission maximum for the \( \text{[Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(PVIm)]}^+ \) species appears at 685 nm whereas the emission due to the \( \text{[Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(PVIm)}_2\text{]}^{2+} \) centre appears at 640 nm. The \( \text{Ru(bpy)}_2^- \)-containing poly-4(5)-vinylimidazole metallopolymers weakly fluoresce in methanol to give emission spectra (fig. 9) with maximum emission peaks (\( \lambda_{\text{max}} \)) at about 640 nm for an excitation at 470 nm (the absorption maximum for the \( \text{[Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(PVIm)}_2\text{]}^{2+} \) species), and 650 nm for an excitation at 510 nm (the absorption maximum for the \( \text{[Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(PVIm)]}^+ \) species). The small difference between the emission spectra of these metallopolymers indicates that if there are characteristic spectra for the two species then their \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) are close to one another and hence cannot be resolved.

It is expected that the aquo compound does not emit and as the \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) for absorption for this compound is identical to that obtained for the disubstituted compound the presence of emission at 640nm indicates that there is some contamination of the disubstituted species present in the aquo compound.
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CHAPTER 5

ELECTROCHEMICAL PROPERTIES
5.1 Cyclic Voltammetry

The Ru(bpy)$_2$-containing poly-4-vinylpyridine-methylmethacrylate (PVP-MMA) and poly-4(5)-vinylimidazole (PVIm) metallopolymers were further characterised by electrochemical methods. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) has been widely used in recent years to study the electrochemical properties of surface coated metallopolymers$^1,2$. When coated on an electrode surface, metallopolymers can be electrochemically reduced or oxidised. It should be noted that metal centres which are not in direct contact with the electrode surface are electroactive, since electron transfer from the electrode to outlying attached metal sites and from there to a redox couple in solution, at certain potentials, is mediated by the coating. As the relationship between the redox potential of a Ru(bpy)$_2$ compound and the electronic properties of the ligands is well established$^3$, electrochemical analysis of these polymer-modified electrodes and in particular a study of their redox potentials can give information on the chemical nature of species present.

5.1.1 Ru(bpy)$_2$-Poly-4VP-MMA Metallopolymers

The PVP-MMA metallopolymers are expected to have electrochemical properties similar to those observed for the poly-4-vinylpyridine (PVP) metallopolymers. The electrochemical properties of poly-4-vinylpyridine containing Ru(bpy)$_2$ centres have attracted much attention$^3,4$ because of possible applications of such materials in solar power conversion systems. The $E_{1/2}$ values for [Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVP)Cl]$^+$ have been found at 0.675 V (vs SCE) in 1M H$_2$SO$_4$ and at 0.64 V (vs SCE) in 1M HClO$_4$. The $E_{1/2}$ values for the species [Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVP)$_2$]$^{2+}$ has been observed$^4$ in 1M HClO$_4$ at 1.03V (vs SCE).

It is expected that the systematic variation of the PVP-MMA copolymer
backbone and of the degree of metallation should have an effect on the chemical properties of these metallopolymers. The half-wave potentials \( E_{1/2} \) of the metallopolymers obtained by cyclic voltammetry are given in Table 1. The \( E_{1/2} \) of the oxidation of the polymer bound Ru\(^{II} \) to Ru\(^{III} \) can be seen from the data to vary between +0.60 and +0.63 V (vs SCE) for measurements made in 1M HClO\(_4\). The second peak for the bis or aquo species observed in 1M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) is less well defined in 1M HClO\(_4\). For measurements in 1M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\), half wave potentials between +0.65 and +0.68 V and one centered at +1.05 V were observed (fig. 1). The lower \( E_{1/2} \) values agree well with the \( E_{1/2} \) values reported for the \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{PVP})\text{Cl}]^+\) species\(^3\), hence these peaks correspond to the oxidation of the \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{PVP})\text{Cl}]^+\) species whereas the peak with the \( E_{1/2} \) centred at 1.05 V indicates oxidation of the \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{PVP})_2]^{2+}\) species.

Modified electrodes were prepared by applying 2 - 4 \( \mu \)l of a 1% (w/w) solution of the metallopolymers in methanol on the electrode, but because the concentration of metal centres in the metallopolymers varies between polymers, there is a variation in the concentration of the electroactive species in the attached metallopolymers. As the degree of metallation in the metallopolymers decreases, the resistance of the film increases since charge propagation by electron transfer between metal sites is more difficult and is more dependent on the motions of the polymer. The effect of a decrease in the ease of charge propagation in the polymer film should lead to a positive shift in the half-wave potentials. This may explain the small variations observed in \( E_{1/2} \) values for the different metallopolymers in a particular acid.

The peak to peak separations \( (E_p) \) of these cyclic voltammograms also vary considerably, however this parameter depends on a number of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metallopolymers Code</th>
<th>Half-Wave Potentials $(E_{1/2})^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1M $\text{HClO}_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM1R1</td>
<td>0.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM1R2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM1R3</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM1R4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2R1</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2R2</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2R3</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2R4</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM3R1</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM3R2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM3R3</td>
<td>0.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM3R4</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM4R1</td>
<td>0.615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM4R2</td>
<td>0.615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM4R3</td>
<td>0.605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM4R4</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM4R5</td>
<td>0.605</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 1: Half-Wave potential values obtained from the cyclic voltammograms of electrodes coated with poly-4-Vinylpyridine-Methylmethacrylate containing Ru(bpy)$_2$ metallopolymers. (a - all figures are positive vs SCE and are in Volts)
Figure 1: Cyclic Voltammogram of an electrode coated with metallopolymers PM2R3 at a scan rate of 100 mVs\(^{-1}\).
factors: the degree of metallation, the layer thickness, the surface coverage and the scan rate. Making comparisons between samples with different degrees of metallation is difficult and requires assumptions to be made about the groups surrounding the metal.

Although emission spectroscopy indicates the presence of the bis species $\text{[Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(PVP)}_2^{2+}$ in most of the metallopolymers, cyclic voltammetry only shows its presence in six of the metallopolymers with an $E_{1/2}$ between +1.04 and +1.07 V in 1M $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ (vs SCE). Some of the other metallopolymers have small waves at this potential but the presence of the bis species for these metallopolymers cannot be stated conclusively by electrochemical means. The cyclic voltammogram (in 1M $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$) and emission spectrum of the metallopolymer PM2R4 are shown in figure 2. Analysis of the areas under the current peaks indicates that this metallopolymer contains about 25% of the metal centres in the $\text{[Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(PVP)}_2^{2+}$ state (fig. 2(a)). However at room temperature emission from this species completely dominates the emission spectrum for this metallopolymer (fig. 2(b)). This indicates that as expected $\text{[Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(PVP)}_2^{2+}$ is a stronger emitting species than $\text{[Ru(bpy)}_2^{-}$ (PVP)Cl$^+$). For this reason the analysis of emission spectra is a more viable analytical technique for the detection of the bis species than electrochemical methods.

In 1M $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ the electrochemical signals have smaller peak widths and are more intense, however the $E_{1/2}$ of the signals obtained in 1M $\text{HClO}_4$ are about 50 to 80 mV less positive. This implies that electron transfer through the polymer when it is in contact with 1M $\text{HClO}_4$ is easier, possibly because the polymer is not soluble in $\text{HClO}_4$. Therefore the polymer layer is more compact and the electroactive centres are closer together and charge transfer through the layer is dominated by inter-site
Figure 2: (a) cyclic voltammogram of an electrode coated with metaltopolymer PM2R4 at a scan rate of 100 mV/s. (b) emission spectrum of PM2R4 in methanol at room temperature excited at 460 nm.
communication. The two metallopolymer at the extremes of the vinylpyridine concentration range (PM1R1 and PM4R5), for example, show no change in peak position of the cyclic voltammograms with pH but do show a decrease in peak current with increasing pH. It is expected that the metallopolymer between these extremes would behave similarly. This can be explained by the fact that the concentration of anions that are electrostatically held within the protonated copolymer layer will be relatively insensitive to the ionic strength of the supporting electrolyte but highly sensitive to the pH. Therefore an increase in pH should decrease the anion concentration in the film producing a less charged structure and a subsequent decrease in current. Also increasing the protonation of the poly-4-vinylpyridine tends to stretch apart the chains to minimise coulombic repulsions which leads to a structure through which ions can move more easily. The $E_{1/2}$ values associated with an acid electrolyte are not affected if the electrode is first cycled in 1M HClO$_4$ and then transferred to 1M H$_2$SO$_4$ (or vice versa). This implies that if an electrode is transferred from one to another of the acids, the anions in the film are quickly replaced by the anions of the new acid. There is no significant difference, therefore, in ion mobility in the polymer layer for these two anions.

A plot of peak current ($i_p$) against (scan rate)$^{1/2}$ (fig. 3) gives a straight line for most of the metallopolymer coatings. With sufficiently heavy coatings, the peak currents exhibit this relationship which is typical for diffusing reactants, but with thin polymer coatings the peak currents are proportional to the scan rate which is expected for thin layers of attached reactants.
Figure 3: Plot of peak current ($I_L$) against (scan rate)$^{1/2}$ for an electrode coated with metallopolymer PM4R5 in 1M $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$. 
5.1.2 Ru(bpy)$_2$-Poly-4(5)Vlm Metallopolymers

The half wave potentials of the metallopolymers and model compounds in different electrolytes are given in Table 2. The CV of [Ru(bpy)$_2$-(4MIm)Cl]PF$_6$ (fig. 4), which is a model compound for the chloro species in the metallopolymer, shows surprisingly two peaks in 0.1M TEAP/CH$_3$CN at equal intensity at +0.3 V and +0.63 V (vs SCE). The two peaks are of equal intensity making it unlikely that one peak represents an impurity. Furthermore the C,H,N elemental analysis indicates a pure compound. This behaviour cannot be explained at present and requires further study.

The CV of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(4MIm)$_2$]PF$_6$$_2$ (fig. 5) is straightforward in that it produces a single signal at +0.925 V (vs SCE) in 0.1M TEAP/CH$_3$CN.

The CV of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(H$_2$O)](PF$_6$)$_2$ (fig. 6) shows two peaks at respectively +0.94 V and +1.135 V (vs SCE). The peak currents were initially of equal intensity but it was noticed that the peak at 0.94 V decreased whilst the peak at 1.135 V simultaneously increased with time. Geraty et. al. have reported the $E_{1/2}$ under the same conditions for the species [Ru(bpy)$_2$(NMIm)(H$_2$O)]PF$_6$$_2$ (where NMIm is N-methyl-imidazole) at 0.95 V and they have also observed the formation of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(NVIm)(CH$_3$CN)]$^{2+}$ with an $E_{1/2}$ at 1.15 V in CH$_3$CN/TEAP from the corresponding aquo species. The developing peak in this CV could likewise be explained by ligand substitution of the aquo complex to give [Ru(bpy)$_2$(CH$_3$CN)(4MIm)]PF$_6$$_2$ with an $E_{1/2}$ at 1.135 V which is close to the value for this species reported by Geraty et. al. It is likely that the aquo complex is located at 0.94 V which may explain why it is not distinguished in the metallopolymers from the bis-imidazole complex which has a peak potential of 0.92V. The same phenomenon was not observed for the bis-imidazole model compounds which would indicate that in CH$_3$CN 4MIm is more difficult to replace than water.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Half-Wave Potentials ($E_{1/2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1M TEAP/CH$_3$CN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru(bpy)$_2$-Poly 4(5) Vlm</td>
<td>0.635, 0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)$_2$(4MIm)Cl]PF$_6$</td>
<td>0.3, 0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(bpy)$_2$(4MIm)$_2$(PF$_6$)$_2$</td>
<td>0.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="PF$_6$">Ru(bpy)$_2$(4MIm)(H$_2$O)</a>$_2$</td>
<td>0.94, 1.135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2:** Electrochemical data for the model compounds and the poly-4(5)-Vlm metallopolymer.
Figure 4: Cyclic voltammogram of model compound (Ru(bpy)$_2$(4MIm)Cl)$_2^+$ in 0.1M TEAP/CH$_2$CN at a scan rate of 100 mV/s.
Figure 5: Cyclic voltammogram of model compound \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(4\text{MIm})_2]^{2+}\) in 0.1M TEAP/CH\(_3\)CN at a scan rate of 100 mV/s.
Figure 6: Cyclic voltammogram of model compound 
\([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(4\text{Mim})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{2+}\) in 0.1M TEAP/CH$_3$CN 
at a scan rate of 100mV/s.
The CV of the metallopolymers BR1 (fig. 7) in 0.1M TEAP/CH₃CN produces two signals at 0.635 V and 0.92 V (vs SCE). The peak at 0.92 V corresponds well with that obtained for the bis-imidazole model compound and the peak at 0.635 V can be explained by the presence of the peak at 0.63 V obtained for the chloro model compound. So by comparison with electrochemical data obtained for the model compounds the presence of the chloro and bis-imidazole species in the metallopolymers can be confirmed. However the presence of the aquo species is uncertain as its E₁/₂ is at +0.94V which is too close to be distinguished from that of the bis compound at +0.92V.

The polymers AR1 to GR1 are grouped together under the heading Ru(bpy)₂-Poly 4(5)Vim in table 2 because their metal centres are in similar environments. Under the reaction conditions investigated, two species appear to have been produced in significant concentrations in all 5 polymers.

It can be seen from the data presented that the E₁/₂ and peak to peak separation (ΔEp) of the metallopolymers depend on the electrolyte used. In this case the degree of metallation was the same for each metallopolymers and hence direct comparisons between polymers with the same surface coverage should be possible. It was found that the polymers were more soluble in HCl than H₂SO₄ or HClO₄ since if the polymer was cycled for any length of time in HCl the signal decreased steadily indicating removal of the metallopolymer film from the electrode. The E₁/₂ values become more positive as the acid’s ability to dissolve the polymer complex from the electrode i.e. for the E₁/₂ values HCl > H₂SO₄ > HClO₄.

The more negative half wave potential for HClO₄ suggests that oxidation of the metallopolymer film in this electrolyte is easier. Replacement of anions in the polymer film by anions of a new electrolyte occurs quickly and
Figure 7: Cyclic voltammogram of a solution of metallopolymers BR1 in 0.1 M TEAP/CH₃CN at a scan rate of 100 mV/s.
easily and the changed environment of the metal complexes produces the characteristic $E_{1/2}$ values for that particular acid.

These cyclic voltammetry experiments show that the nature of the polymer bound metal complex obtained from the reaction between Ru(bpy)$_2$Cl$_2^-$ .2H$_2$O and the copolymers of 4-vinylpyridine and methylethacrylate depend strongly on the reaction conditions. The formation of at least two species for these copolymers agrees with the results obtained by Clear et. al. The amount of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVP)$_2$]$^{2+}$ present can be judged best by electrochemical means. For the polymer bound complex obtained from the reaction between Ru(bpy)$_2$Cl$_2$.2H$_2$O and poly 4(5)-vinylimidazole, the formation of at least two species is obtained which is similar to results obtained by Geraty for poly N-vinylimidazole. The use of model compounds has shown that the chloro species [Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVIm)Cl]$^+$ is formed but the second peak observed could be due to either the aquo or bis substituted species or both.

It has been shown by spectroscopic methods that the chloro and bis substituted species are present in these metallopolymers but the presence of the aquo complex has not been conclusively proven either by spectroscopy or cyclic voltammetry, as it has similar spectral and electrochemical properties to the bis species.
5.2 Chronocoulometry

Chronocoulometry was used to obtain information about the apparent diffusion coefficient \(D_{app}\) of charge across the metallopolymers when attached to glassy carbon electrodes. For thin polymer films, chronocoulometry is preferable to chronopotentiometry and cyclic voltammetry for the measurement of diffusion coefficients, and especially at longer experimental times because the double layer charging current is ignored under these conditions. However, the value found at longer times may be affected by what happens at the outer edges of the polymer. When experiments are carried out over a range of temperatures, an estimate of the activation energy for charge transport, \(E_a\), can be obtained. This can provide valuable information about the effect of the polymer backbone, degree of metallation and the proportion of cross-linking on the ease of charge transport across the film. It should be noted that polymer coatings with large diffusion coefficients for charge transport are required to realise the full possibilities for electrocatalysis by surface confined mediators.

All metallopolymers were cast from a 10\(^{-4}\)M solution in methanol onto a polished glassy carbon disk and chronocoulometric experiments were conducted in a \(\text{CCl}_2\text{COOH}/0.2\ \text{M CCl}_2\text{COONa}\) buffer solution at pH 2. Initially, a cyclic voltammogram was recorded, which showed at first a decrease in the signal which stabilised after about 5 minutes. This behaviour could be due to some initial loss of metallopolymers or rearrangement of the metallopolymer chains and a general swelling of the film. Chronocoulometric plots were measured over 6 minutes at the following temperatures: 15, 25, 35 and 45^\circ C. The relatively long step time of 6 minutes ensures that almost all of the electroactive sites in the polymer film are oxidised and hence participating in charge propagation.
For the vinylimidazole metallopolymers, GR1 was studied since it was the least cross-linked of the vinylimidazole metallopolymers and it was anticipated that charge transport would be easier as a result.

The process of charge transport within the coating can be described in terms of an apparent diffusion coefficient ($D_{app}$). A value which incorporates three modes of charge transport. Charge is thought to be transported through the polymer coating by:

1. electron hopping between redox couples or sites.
2. counterion diffusion and
3. diffusion of electroactive substrates within the layer if substrate is present in solution.

The polymer can be soluble enough in the electrolyte to achieve a "solution like" state where segments can have enough vibrational mobility to reach a minimum free energy state at equilibrium. Diffusion can proceed by the polymer segments rotating and separating in order to accommodate charge transfer, by any of the three methods outlined above. In general, factors which decrease the segmental mobility decrease the diffusion rate, thus crosslinking, chain stiffness and crystallinity tend to reduce the rate of diffusion. Plots of the charge ($Q$) versus $t^{1/2}$ should exhibit straight lines with slopes ($S_T$) proportional to $D_{app}$ where:

$$S_T = \frac{2nFACrD_{app}}{\pi^{1/2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

From this equation:

$$C_TD_{app}^{1/2} = \frac{S_T}{48097.8}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $C_T$ is the volume concentration of reactant confined to the polymeric coating. It is difficult to determine $C_T$ since although 100µl of a $10^{-4}$M
solution (based on Ru(bpy)$_2$Cl$_2$, this is 2.2635x10$^{-8}$ M cm$^{-2}$), was applied to the electrode surface in each case. There is always some spillage of solution over onto the insulating sheath around the disk when coating, such spilled material may not participate in the electrode reaction. Also some metallopolymer is lost depending on the electrolyte during the initial equilibration of the film in the electrolyte. Furthermore the area of the peak in cyclic voltammetry cannot be relied on as a measurement of $C_T$ since in a metallopolymer film significant quantities of attached complex can remain unoxidised under cyclic voltammetric conditions. Because of the reasons outlined above it is very difficult to obtain an accurate value for $D_{app}$.

5.2.1 Ru(bpy)$_2$- Poly-4VP-MMA Metallopolymers.

Chronocoulometric charge vs (time)$^{1/2}$ plots of the copolymers are shown in figure 8. The charge values used here are those of the metallopolymer less those measured when similar experiments were carried out with metal free polymer. In this way the double layer charging contribution was, to some extent, compensated for. Straight lines were obtained in the temperature range from 15 to 45°C. Values for the variation of the term $C_T^{1/2}D_{app}$ with temperature are shown in table 3. Assuming $C_T$ to be constant during the experiment, it can be seen from this table that $D_{app}$ increases with temperature in all cases. This is to be expected since an increase in temperature increases the physical motion of the polymer chains with the attached reactant electroactive groups which in turn increases the rate of inter-complex electron transfer$^{14}$. The diffusion of the corresponding counter ions through the polymer film to sites where the anchored metal complexes are to be oxidised is also increased by an increase in temperature.
Figure 8: Chronocoulometric (Charge) vs. (time) $^{1/2}$ plots at different temperatures for Ru(bpy)$_2$-poly-4VP-MMA metallopolymer PM4R5.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>( C_{\text{Papp}} \times 10^{-13} ) PM2R1</th>
<th>( C_{\text{Papp}}^{1/2} ) PM3R1</th>
<th>PM4R5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>1.725</td>
<td>3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>4.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>9.144</td>
<td>3.445</td>
<td>5.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 3:** The variation of \( C_{\text{Papp}} \) with temperature for the Ru(bpy)\(_2\)-poly-4VP-MMA metallopolymers: PM2R1, PM3R1 and PM4R5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>Calculations for ( E_a ) (kJmol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Intercept</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM2R1</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM3R1</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM4R5</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 4:** Comparison of \( E_a \) calculated from slope and intercept of plots of \( \ln(S_T/S_{298}) \) vs. \( 1/T \).
The systematic variation of the copolymer backbone of Ru(bpy)$_2$-poly 4VP-MMA metallopolymers by dilution of the vinylpyridine units with methylmethacrylate might allow the effect of this dilution on charge transport through the film to be studied. A trend for the apparent diffusion coefficient with the amount of 4-vinylpyridine in the copolymer cannot be observed in table 3. However this inconsistency in the table could be due to the uncertainty of the volume concentration of electroactive species ($C_T$) in the polymer films. The thickness of the film varies due to different degrees of swelling for each copolymer in solution and also because of the different concentrations per gram of the electroactive complex for each copolymer. Oyama and Anson have reported$^{16}$ for a polyvinylpyridine incorporating various metal complex anions that $D_{app}$ does not depend on film thickness but does depend on the concentration of incorporated electroactive material (when it is less than 1M).

A measurement of $E_a$ will show the effect that the amount of 4-vinylpyridine in the polymer backbone or the degree of crosslinking has on the diffusion of charge. Moreover an estimate of the diffusion activation energy ($E_a$) allows the nature of the charge transport process to be elucidated. For example, activation energies up to 33.5 kJ/Mol are typical of counterion movement in polymers and values of 167 kJ/Mol are typical of movement of large chain segments$^{15}$. From relative measurements of $D_{app}$ at different temperatures the activation energy can be measured from the Arrhenius relationship:

$$D_{app} = D_0 e^{-E_a/RT}$$

where $D_0$ is the diffusion at a certain temperature. And from this it can be shown that (see theoretical chapter):

$$\ln \left( \frac{S_T}{S_{298}} \right) = -\frac{E_a}{2RT} + \frac{E_a}{590R}$$
The method by which this is calculated removes the $C_p$ factor from the equation and provides two measurements for $E_a$ from the slope and intercept of a plot of the left hand side of the equation (4) against $1/T$. Plots for the three copolymers studied are shown in figure 9 and measurements of the diffusional energy of activation determined from slopes and intercepts are given in table 4.

There are a number of properties of these metallopolymers to emerge from this data. The first being that as expected there is good correlation between the $E_a$ values calculated from slopes and intercepts. It can also be noted that the diffusional activation energy increases from a low value for metallopolymers PM4R5 to a high value for PM2R1. These values are slightly higher than those reported\textsuperscript{15} for the diffusion of TEAP ions (between 8.4 and 33.5 kJ/Mol) in poly-4-vinylpyridine and suggests that some movement of metallopolymers is contributing to charge transport.

The diffusional activation energy is a measure of the difficulty or energy barrier associated with charge transport across the polymer films. It can be seen from table 4 that $E_a$ increases with increasing concentration of methylmethacrylate in the copolymer backbone. Oyama and Anson\textsuperscript{16} have reported $E_a$ values for Ru\textsuperscript{III}(edta) complexes electrostatically bound in poly 4-vinylpyridine in a CF$_3$COOH/CF$_3$COONa electrolyte as 19.25kJ/Mol which is less than the figures obtained for these copolymers. They concluded that charge transport for their system results from ion movement only. It seems therefore that diluting the vinylpyridine polymer with methylmethacrylate has lead to an increase in the diffusion activation energy. An increase in methylmethacrylate in the copolymer increases the inter site distances thus making the movement of polymer chains necessary for charge transport between sites. Also vinylpyridine units within the
Figure 9: Plots of $\ln(S_T/S_{298})$ vs $1/T$ for Ru(bpy)$_2$-Poly-4VP-MMA metallopolymers: PM2R1 (□), PM3R1 (+) and PM4R5 (⊙).
copolymer repel one another when protonated in acids leading to a porous structure in the film facilitating substrate diffusion and enabling increased mobility of the polymer chains with attached electroactive groups which in turn facilitates electron transfer between sites. Therefore an increase in the amount of vinylpyridine in the copolymers increases the degree of repulsion and also the porosity of the film, which leads to an increase in the efficiency of the electrochemical reactions.

5.2.2 Ru(bpy)$_2$-Poly-4(5)Vim Metallopolymers.

A chronocoulometric charge/(time)$^{1/2}$ plot for GR1 is shown in figure 10. From the slopes of the lines, values for $C_mD_{app}$ were determined and are shown in table 5. It can be seen that GR1 behaves in a similar fashion to the PVP-MMA copolymers since $D_{app}$ increases with temperature. This is consistent with the fact that increasing temperature will increase the segmental mobility of the polymer thus allowing the diffusing species to penetrate more easily into the layer$^{17}$. Also the greater segmental motion motion allows interaction between sites to be more frequent. The diffusional activation energy ($E_a$) for this metallopolymer can be evaluated from the plot of $\ln(S_T/S_{298})$ against $1/T$ as shown in figure 11. The values of $E_a$ determined from the slope and from the intercept is 45.1 kJmol$^{-1}$.

This value suggests that charge transport is not solely the result of ion movement within the layer, but that some movement of the polymer chains occurs which contributes to charge transport. This metallopolymer (GR1) contains a significant amount (approximately 10% as measured by cyclic voltammetry) bis substituted sites and therefore more crosslinking which would restrict the amount of movement of ions in the polymer and thus contribute to the difficulty in charge transport.
Figure 10: Chronocoulometric (Charge) vs. (time) $^{1/2}$ plots at different temperatures for the Ru(bpy)$_2$-poly-4(5)Vin metallopolymer GR1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>$C_{T\Gamma D_{\text{app}}} \times 10^{-10}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>10.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 5**: Table showing the variation of $C_{T\Gamma D_{\text{app}}}$ with temperature for a Ru(bpy)$_2$-poly-4(5)-VIm metallopolymmer (GR1).
Figure 11: Plot of $\ln(S_T/S_{298})$ vs. $1/T$ for the Ru(bpy)$_2$-poly 4(5)Vin metallopolymers GR1.
These chronocoulometry experiments have shown that diluting the vinylpyridine polymer with methacrylate units has increased the difficulty of charge transport through the metallopolymers. Measurements of $E_a$ have indicated that for copolymers with increasing amounts of methylmethacrylate, movement of the polymer chains becomes more important for charge transport. It has been found\textsuperscript{16} for polyvinylpyridine containing electrostatically bound Ru$^{III}(edta)$ that ion movement within the layer is sufficient for charge transport. It is possible that an increase in methylmethacrylate increases the inter site distances and thus makes movement of the polymer backbone necessary for inter site communication. Hence anything which physically increases the inter site distances increases the energy of activation for charge transport. Using the same technique for the measurement of $E_a$, it was found that movement of the polymer chain is also important for charge transport in the poly 4(5)VIm metallopolymers GR1. This is possibly because of the crosslinks in this polymer formed by the bis-substituted species which would make ion movement within the layer more difficult.
5.3 Rotating Disc Electrode Studies

The feasibility of the use of a particular modified electrode in electro-catalytic processes can be comprehensively analysed by hydrodynamic methods and in particular by rotating disc electrode experiments. Using rotating disc electrodes, the effect of changing the polymer backbone chain of the vinylpyridine-methylmethacrylate copolymers on the effectiveness of the electro-catalytic reactions was investigated. The properties of the vinylimidazole metallopolymer in the mediation of the oxidation of Fe^{II} were also studied. A broad discussion of the theory of hydrodynamic methods is given in section 2.2.2.

5.3.1 Ru(bpy)_{3}-Poly-4VP-MMA Metallopolymers.

An example of current-potential curves for the oxidation of Fe^{II} at a glassy carbon electrode coated with polymer PM2R1 is shown in figure 12. As in the case of the homopolymer, the oxidation of the Fe^{II} is mediated at potentials where the species Ru^{III} is produced. Since the formal potential of [Fe(H_{2}O)_{6}]^{2+}/[Fe(H_{2}O)_{6}]^{3+} is around +0.3V with respect to SCE at a bare carbon electrode it can be seen from figure 12 that with a modified electrode no peak is observed at this potential and it can be assumed therefore that only negligible amounts of Fe^{II} are able to diffuse to the bare electrode surface. Since even though the process is kinetically slow, some current would be seen if any [Fe(H_{2}O)_{6}]^{2+} reached the electrode surface. Cyclic voltammograms taken before and after these experiments indicate that only between 5 and 10% of metallo polymer coating is lost during the experiment. The limiting current is dependent on a number of factors including rotation rate, temperature, number of [Ru(bpy)_{2}]^{2+} sites in the film and the concentration of Fe^{II} ions in solution. In the studies undertaken an attempt was made to ensure the
Figure 12: Current-Potential curves at different rotation rates for the oxidation of the species \([\text{Fe(H}_2\text{O)}_6]^2+\) at a glassy carbon electrode coated with metallopolymers \(\text{PM1R1}\). (substrate concentration: 2.14 \times 10^{-4} \text{ moles of (NH}_4)_2\text{Fe(SO}_4)_2\) in 0.9M \(\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4/0.1\text{M H}_2\text{SO}_4\)
latter factors were kept constant between polymers. In particular, the same quantity of electroactive material was applied to the disc for each metallopolymers and the concentration of Fe$^{II}$ was kept constant in all studies.

These current-potential curves can be conveniently analysed by Koutecky-Levich plots of $1/i_{lim}$ against $1/\omega^{1/2}$. Where $\omega$ is the rotation rate and $i_{lim}$ is the limiting current. An example of such a plot for PM4R5 is shown in figure 13. It can be seen from this figure that a straight line is obtained indicating that the following equation holds:

\[
\frac{1}{i} = \frac{1}{i_a} + \frac{1}{i_f} \quad (5)
\]

Where $i_f$ is the film current which can be obtained from the intercept and reflects the competition between the cross-exchange reaction and diffusion of substrate through the film and the Levich current $i_a$ is proportional to $(\omega)^{1/2}$. The nature of the process follows the S or SR case as detailed by Saveant et. al.\textsuperscript{11} where:

(a) no second wave is observed
(b) A plot of $1/i_{lim}$ versus $1/\omega^{1/2}$ is linear
(c) The slope is inversely proportional to the substrate concentration.

In the S case, the film current is completely supplied by the cross-exchange reaction ($i_k$) and the film current is therefore:

\[
i_f = i_k \quad (6)
\]

In the SR case, the film current is composed of the cross-exchange current ($i_k$) due to redox reactions between the substrate and the Ru
Figure 13: Koutecky-Levich plot of $1/\nu_{\text{lim}}$ against $1/\omega^{1/2}$ for the metallopolymer PM4R5.
couple near the surface of the polymer film and the substrate current \( (I_s) \)
due to diffusion of the substrate through the polymer film:

\[
I_f = (I_k I_s)^{1/2}
\]  

(7)

However the cross-exchange reaction component of current is usually much faster than diffusion of the substrate through the coating and hence \( I_k \) is much greater than \( I_s \) and from figure 12 it can be seen that little substrate reaches the electrode. Values for the film currents of the metallopolymer studied are given in table 6. As expected from the activation energies obtained earlier, an increase in the film current is observed as the amount of vinylpyridine in the copolymer backbone is increased. This phenomenon may be explained by the fact that the acidic environment in which the experiments were carried out protonates the vinylpyridine groups, leading to repulsions between neighbouring pyridine units. The amount of repulsion increases as the amount of vinylpyridine in the copolymer increases, leading to a more porous polymer structure. This would allow easier penetration of the substrate \( \text{Fe}^{II} \) ions into the polymer film which would increase \( I_k \) and \( I_s \) and hence the film current. Also the vinylpyridine part of the copolymer is more soluble in aqueous solutions than the methylmethacrylate and thus more vinylpyridine in the polymer would make reaction with the substrate and the metal sites easier because of better swelling of the film in the aqueous electrolyte. This would lead to a faster mediation process and a subsequent increase in current. However the increase in positive charge attained by the protonated vinylpyridines would be expected to repel the positively charged substrate \( \text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6 \text{Fe}^{2+} \), but this effect seems to be insignificant compared to the others mentioned above.

The variation of the current-potential curves with temperature were studied
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>4-Vinylpyrididine/Methyl Methacrylate Ratio</th>
<th>Film Current $I_f$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM1R1</td>
<td>1 : 4</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2R1</td>
<td>1 : 1</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM3R1</td>
<td>2 : 1</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM4R5</td>
<td>4 : 1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 6**: Values for the film currents of the Ru(bpy)$_2$ containing metallopolymers (background electrolyte concentration is: $1 \times 10^{-4}M$ (NH$_4$)$_2$Fe(SO$_4$)$_2$. $I_{Ru} = 2.26 \times 10^{-8}$ moles/cm$^2$. electrode is glassy carbon.)
and it was found that the limiting current increases with increased temperature. This is to be expected as the rate of diffusion of the substrate and the mixed valence interactions of the bound reactant would be increased with an increase in temperature. Hence the cross exchange reaction follows an Arrhenius type behaviour in common with other mediating layers. Koutecky-Levich plots of the metallocopolymers (fig. 14) show that as the temperature increases, the intercepts tend towards zero indicating that the process becomes kinetically easier, since as the intercept tends to zero, the film current tends to infinity. When the intercept passes through zero it indicates that the kinetics of the process are too fast to be monitored under these particular conditions. At this point the electron transfer process is no longer kinetically limited by the cross-exchange reaction at the electrode surface and the current is then limited by the diffusion of substrate to the electrode (i.e. the Levich current $I_a$).

5.3.2 Ru(bpy)$_3$-Poly-4(5)Vim metallocopolymers.

Figure 15 shows current-potential curves for metallocopolymer GR1 (which is based on poly-4(5)-vinylimidazole at different rotation rates in $2 \times 10^{-3}$ M (NH$_4$)$_2$Fe(SO$_4$)$_2$. It can be seen that oxidation of the Fe$^{II}$ takes place at potentials between 450 and 550 mV where Ru$^{III}$ is formed indicating that the oxidation is mediated by the attached redox couple at the polymer surface. Oxidation of Fe$^{II}$ at the bare electrode cannot be observed from these I-E curves which suggests that $I_g$ is negligible. The film current for this polymer compared to those for the vinylpyridine-methylmethacrylate metallocopolymers is given in table 6. The film current is in the same region as the copolymers but near the high end, indicating that mediation of the Fe$^{II}$ is relatively kinetically easy. The 4(5)-Vim polymer is more soluble in
Figure 14: Koutecky-Levich plots of metallopolymers PM3R1 at different temperatures (conditions as stated in fig. 12)
Figure 15: Current-Potential curves at different rotation rates for the oxidation of the species \( \text{[Fe(H}_2\text{O)}_6]\text{Fe}^{3+} \) by the metallopolymers GR1. (substrate concentration: \( 1.14 \times 10^{-4} \text{M} \) of substrate in the same electrolyte as stated in figure 12)
aqueous solutions than the copolymers of PVP-MMA and would therefore swell up more in the electrolyte thus allowing reaction of the substrate with the Ru sites easier and more substrate to penetrate near the surface. Experiments carried out over a range of temperatures show that the limiting current of this metallopolymers increases with increasing temperature.

The effect of diluting the vinylpyridine polymer backbone with methylmethacrylate on electrocatalytic reactions involving the substrate \([\text{Fe(H}_2\text{O)}_6]^{2+}\) is to make mediation more difficult. The result for the PVIm metallopolymers is in agreement with the range of activation energies obtained for charge propagation in the copolymers. When charge propagation is hindered, automatically the mediating properties of the layers will be affected as well.
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CHAPTER 6

PHOTOCHEMICAL STUDIES OF
MODEL COMPOUNDS AND METALLOPOLYMERS
6.1 Photochemical Studies of Mononuclear Model Compounds

The photochemical behaviour of some \( \text{[Ru(bpy)}_2 \text{XY}]^{n+} \) complexes have been studied\(^1\), \(^2\), \(^3\) with much interest in recent years. Both photoinduced ligand exchange reactions and cis-trans rearrangements have been observed. Clear et al.\(^3\) have reported the photosolvation of \( \text{[Ru(bpy)}_2 \text{(PVP)}\text{Cl}]^{2+} \) in methanol. The species \( \text{[Ru(bpy)}_2 \text{(PVP)}\text{(Solvent)}}\text{Cl}\]^{2+} \) is produced upon photolysis which if chloride anions are present is further photoanated to form \( \text{[Ru(bpy)}_2 \text{Cl(Solvent)}}\]^{2+}. The model compounds reported in this work were photolysed to provide information on the photochemical processes observed for the metallopolymers. \(10^{-4}\)M solutions of the mononuclear model compounds were subjected to photolysis by high intensity visible light in three different solvents: acetonitrile, methanol and acetone. The photochemical behaviour of the compounds was followed using UV/Vis spectroscopy (see experimental chapter for details).

6.1.1 Photolysis in Acetone

Upon photolysis, the absorbance spectrum of the solution of the model compound \( \text{[Ru(bpy)}_2 \text{(4Mim)}\text{Cl}PF_6\] in acetone (fig. 1) shows a shift of the lowest MLCT band from 525 nm to 505 nm with a large reduction in the extinction coefficient from 7400 to 4100 \(M^{-1} dm^3 cm^{-1}\). A uv/vis spectrum of the solution after 3 hours indicated that the photolysis had been completed after 20 minutes.

A series of spectra taken during the photolysis of the bis-substituted model compound \( \text{[Ru(bpy)}_2 \text{(4Mim)}_{2}\text{Cl}PF_6\]_2\) shows (fig. 2) a decrease in the lowest MLCT absorption peak at 495 nm with a concurrent increase in a peak at 475 nm, giving rise to an isosbestic point at 483 nm. An isosbestic point suggests that there is only one equilibrium over the range of conditions investigated\(^4\); that is that there are no important side
Fig. 1: UV/Vis absorption spectrum showing the photolysis of $\text{[Ru(bpy)}_2(4\text{MIm})_2\text{]}\text{[PF}_6\text{]}_2$ in acetone.

Fig. 2: UV/Vis absorption spectrum showing the photolysis of $\text{[Ru(bpy)}_2(4\text{MIm})_2\text{]}\text{[PF}_6\text{]}_2$ in acetone.
reactions. This photolysis reaction was completed within 45 minutes since a spectrum of the solution 3 hours later showed no change.

If in the first model compound the chloride ligand is being replaced by solvent molecules (i.e. the species $\text{[Ru(bpy)}_2(4\text{MIm})(\text{Acetone})]^2+ $ is produced), then the product of the photolysis of the chloro compound would be the first substitution product of the photolysis of the bis substituted compound. However it can be seen from figures 1 and 2 that the photolysis of the bis substituted compound does not produce an MLCT band equivalent to the MLCT band obtained for the photolysis product of the chloro compound. Moreover a substitution of the chloride ligand for the chloro compound would require a change in the overall charge of the complex, i.e.:

$$\text{[Ru(bpy)}_2(4\text{MIm})\text{Cl}]^+ + \text{solvent} \rightarrow \text{[Ru(bpy)}_2(4\text{MIm})(\text{solvent})]^2+ \quad (1)$$

which would not be thermodynamically favourable in non-polar organic solvents. Hence from the above experiments it appears that replacement of the 4MIm ligand by solvent molecules is taking place and photolysis is therefore proceeding differently than the corresponding pyridine compounds in methanol. Since initially, photosubstitution of the chloride ligand does not take place.

The photolysis product of the chloro compound ($\text{[Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{acetone})\text{Cl}]^+$) has a similar uv/vis absorption spectrum to that obtained for the complex $\text{[Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{MeOH})\text{Cl}]^+$ which has it's MLCT peaks at 351 nm and 505 nm. The photolysis spectrum of the bis model compound (fig. 2) did not produce any changes in the uv/vis spectrum after 45 minutes indicating that only one of the 4MIm ligands was replaced and the spectrum produces only one isosbestic point at the lower MLCT band. This seems to suggest
that in acetone it is more difficult to substitute the second imidazole ligand and the photolysis product of the bis imidazole model compound is [Ru(bpy)$_2$(4MIm)(Acetone)]$^{2+}$.

6.1.2 Photolysis in Acetonitrile

The model compounds readily undergo photochemical reaction in acetonitrile (CH$_3$CN). The lowest MLCT peak of the chloro model compound at 520 nm decreased whilst a peak at 480 nm simultaneously increased (fig. 3) and an isobestic point formed at 493 nm which shifted slightly after 8 minutes. There was little change in the spectrum after 15 minutes and none after 60 minutes. Jones and Cole-Hamilton have reported the MLCT bands for the compound [Ru(bpy)$_2$(CH$_3$CN)Cl]$^+$ in acetonitrile as being 342 nm and 476 nm which corresponds well with those reported above for the photolysis product of the chloro model compound. This evidence suggests that for the chloro compound, displacement of the 4MIm ligand by an acetonitrile molecule is taking place.

The photolysis of the bis model compound produced a spectrum where the lowest MLCT band at 490 nm decreased while a peak at 455 nm simultaneously increased creating an isosbestic point at 465 nm (fig. 4). The photolysis was carried out for 60 minutes but there was little change after 15 minutes and a further spectrum after 3 hours indicated no change. Assuming that as with the mono compound, the 4MIm ligands are being replaced then the formation of the one isosbestic point and the observed shifts suggest that only one 4MIm ligand is being replaced to give the following photolysis product: [Ru(bpy)$_2$(4MIm)(CH$_3$CN)]$^{2+}$. The formation of the bis acetonitrile complex ([Ru(bpy)$_2$(CH$_3$CN)$_2$]$^{2+}$) which has it's lowest MLCT band at 426 nm was not observed with the reaction times studied.
Fig. 3: UV/VIS absorption spectrum showing the photolysis of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(4MIm)Cl] PF$_6$ in acetonitrile.

Fig. 4: UV/VIS absorption spectrum showing the photolysis of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(4MIm)$_2$(PF$_6$)$_2$] in acetonitrile.
The rate of substitution of the 4Mlm ligands which takes place for both compounds within 15 minutes is much faster in acetonitrile than in methanol or acetone.

6.1.3 Photolysis in Methanol

The chloro model compound undergoes a photochemical reaction in methanol which is indicated by the uv/vis spectra in figure 5 which show the lowest MLCT peak shifting from 508 nm to 485 nm without forming an isosbestic point. The complex \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{MeOH})\text{Cl}^+\) is not being formed, since it's lowest MLCT peak is at 505 nm and since no isosbestic points are present, it is difficult to determine the photochemical process. However the spectra indicates that displacement of either the 4Mlm ligand or the chloride ligand by methanol or water molecules is taking place and possibly substitution of the both ligands at the same time occurs to give the species \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{Solvent})_2]^{2+}\). It is interesting to note that \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{OH}_2)_2]^{2+}\) has it's lowest MLCT band at 484 nm which corresponds with that obtained for the photolysis product in figure 5.

Upon photolysis of the bis imidazole model compound (fig. 6) the MLCT absorption peak at 495 nm shifts to a higher energy at 475 nm, suggesting the formation of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(4\text{Mlm})(\text{MeOH})]^{2+}\). It should be noted that this absorption maximum is the same as that obtained for the photolysis of the same compound in acetone and also corresponds to that obtained\(^5\) for the species \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{PVP})(\text{MeOH})]^{2+}\). The formation of the aquo species \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(4\text{Mlm})(\text{OH}_2)]^{2+}\) is unlikely as it's lowest MLCT band has been reported in this work at 492 nm. The photolysis is apparently complete within 60 minutes, but the rate of substitution is quicker than in acetone but slower than in acetonitrile.

On the basis of these experiments it would appear that the 4Mlm ligands...
Fig. 5: UV/Vis absorption spectrum showing the photolysis of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(4MIm)Cl] PF$_6$ in Methanol.

Fig. 6: UV/Vis absorption spectrum showing the photolysis of $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(4\text{MIm})_2]^+ \cdot (\text{PF}_6)_2$ in Methanol.
are labile, and that these ligands are being replaced by solvent molecules upon photolysis. The expected reaction sequence would be:

For the chloro model compound:

\[
[Ru(bpy)_2(4\text{MIm})\text{Cl}]^+ + S \rightarrow [Ru(bpy)_2(S)\text{Cl}]^+ \quad (2)
\]

and for the bis imidazole model compound:

\[
[Ru(bpy)_2(4\text{MIm})_2]^2+ + S \rightarrow [Ru(bpy)_2(4\text{MIm})(S)]^2+ \quad (3)
\]

where S is a solvent molecule

For the reaction times studied the substitution of both 4MIm ligands by the solvent molecules was not observed:

\[
[Ru(bpy)_2(4\text{MIm})(S)]^2+ + S \rightarrow [Ru(bpy)_2(S)_2]^2+ \quad (4)
\]

From the above photolysis studies it can be seen that replacement of the 4MIm ligand is relatively easy for solvent molecules which can form coordinate bonds with the metal. It also appears that the chloride ligand remains with the complex in non-polar solvents but in methanol both the chloride and the 4VIm ligands come off. The ability of solvent molecules studied to replace 4MIm seems to be dependent on their ability to form ligands with transition metals with the sequence determined from the photolysis reaction times as being:

\[CH_3\text{CN} > \text{MeOH} > \text{Acetone}\]
6.2 Photolysis of Ru(bpy)$_2$-Metallopolymers, both in solution and in thin films.

6.2.1 Photolysis of Ru(bpy)$_2$-poly 4(5)-Vlm in Methanol.
Spectra taken during photolysis of the Ru(bpy)$_2$-containing poly-4(5)-vinylimidazole metallopolymer BR1 in methanol show that the lowest MLCT peak shifts from 495 nm to 475 nm. If ligand replacement is taking place with the model compounds by photolysis, then it should also happen with the polymeric ligands of the metallopolymers. The work carried out on the model compounds suggests that although one ligand of a bis species can be substituted, the remaining ligand is more difficult to replace. From these results it is also expected that upon photolysis the polymeric ligand of the chloro species will be replaced by solvent and that the result would be detachment of the complex from the polymer chain.

Since BR1 has been shown to contain more bis than chloro species, (see experimental chapter) the photochemical behaviour would be expected to be as observed for [Ru(bpy)$_2$(4Mlm)$_2$](PF$_6$)$_2$ in methanol. A comparison of the spectra of BR1 (fig. 7) with those of the model compound (fig. 6) shows similar behaviour. Both spectra show a shift from 495 nm to a higher energy at 475 nm and this shift to a higher energy for the metallo polymer suggests the formation of [Ru(bpy)$_2$PVlm](MeOH)$_2^{2+}$ as for the 4Mlm model compound in reaction (3). Photolysis of the vinylimidazole metallopolymer BR1 in a 1M H$_2$SO$_4$ solution shows a slight change in the positions of the MLCT bands from 512 nm to 505 nm, and a decreased extinction coefficient for the photolysis product. Photolysis of similar Ru(bpy)$_2$ containing metallopolymers in 1M H$_2$SO$_4$ have produced $^{1,9}$ the aquo compound, and as the MLCT bands for the bis and aquo species of the poly-4Vlm metallopolymers are very close to one another, a photolysis
Fig. 7: UV/Vis absorption spectrum showing the photolysis of Metallopolymers BR1 ([Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVIm)$_2$]$^{2+}$) in methanol.
of the bis to the aquo species would not be seen by uv/vis spectroscopy.

6.2.2 Photolysis at Electodes Modified with Ru(bpy)$_2$-poly-4(5)-Vlm.

Photolysis of surface bound N-vinylimidazole metallopolymer in 1M HClO$_4$
has been reported and the formation of an aquo complex suggested$^9$.

A set of Cyclic Voltammograms taken during the photolysis of the
metallopolymer AR1 in 1M HClO$_4$ shows (fig. 8) a similar series to those
obtained for N-vinylimidazole$^9$. Within 4 minutes there is substitution of the
chloride ligand of the [Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVIm)Cl]$^+$ complex species (and a
corresponding decrease in it’s peak at 0.51V) to give a species with an
$E_{1/2}$ at +0.62V (vs SCE). The position of this peak is in between +0.51V
and +0.69V (the $E_{1/2}$ for the mono and bis species respectively): which
suggests that this peak could be due to the aquo complex
([Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVIm)(OH)$_2$]$^{2+}$). The formation of isosbestic points shows
that the perchlorate anion does not cause the polymer film to dissolve from
the electrode surface. The ClO$_4^-$ anion has been shown to be superior to
the sulphate and chloride anions in replacing anion sites in polymer
layers$^{10}$.

The photolysis of this metallopolymer in 1M H$_2$SO$_4$ (fig. 9) proceeds over
a period of 140 minutes and hence is much slower than photolysis in 1M
HClO$_4$. The $E_{1/2}$ at +0.57V shifts down to +0.53V and a shift of the redox
wave from +0.76V to +0.74V is also observed. The presence of the wave
at +0.74V possibly corresponds to the formation of the aquo complex since
it lies some 15–20mV more negative than that obtained for the bis species.

It has been shown in the literature$^{1,3}$ that the photolysis of a number of
[Ru(bpy)$_2$L$_2$]$^{2+}$ complexes (including where L = pyrazole) in 1M H$_2$SO$_4$
proceeds as follows:
Fig. 8: Cyclic Voltammogram showing the photolysis at the electrode of metallopolymer AR1 ([Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVI$_m$)$_2$]$_{2+}$) in 1M HClO$_4$. 
Fig. 9: Cyclic Voltammogram showing the photolysis at the electrode of metallopolyme AR1 ([Ru(bpy)₂(PVIm)₂]²⁺) in 1M H₂SO₄.
Clear et. al. reported the photolysis of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(PVP)}\text{Cl}]^2+\) in 0.1M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and observed the formation of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(PVP)}\text{(OH}_2\text{)}]^2+\) and no loss of ruthenium from the polymer backbone. The photolysis of surface bound \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(NVIm)}\text{Cl}]^2+\) in H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) media has recently been reported by Geraty et. al., who proposed the formation of a photochemically induced sulphate species with \(E_{1/2}\) at 0.51V vs. SCE. Hence there is strong evidence to suggest that fig. 9 shows the formation of the aquo complex \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(PVP)}\text{(OH}_2\text{)}]^2+\) with \(E_{1/2}\) at +0.74V and the sulphate complex \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(PVP)}\text{(SO}_4\text{)}]^2+\) with \(E_{1/2}\) at +0.53V. The photolysis of the metallopolymer AR1 in 1M HCl shows (fig. 10) a rapid dissolution of the polymer film from the electrode surface as shown by the decreasing signal over 15 minutes.

### 6.2.3 Photolysis of Ru(bpy)\(_2\)- Poly 4VP-MMA

Photolysis studies of electrodes modified with poly 4-vinylpyridine containing Ru(bpy)\(_2\) centres have been reported in the literature. It is expected that the metallopolymers based on copolymers of 4-vinylpyridine and methylmethacrylate will not differ significantly.

Figure 11 shows the CV recorded during the photolysis of one of the copolymers containing Ru(bpy)\(_2\) centres in 1M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\). It can be seen that the metallopolymer with an initial single peak at +0.69V (vs SCE) undergoes a complicated photolytic reaction to give 3 species with reversible peaks at +0.625V, +0.682V and +0.820V as well as a peak indicating the formation of an irreversible species at +0.900V. The reversible peak at 0.820V is probably indicative of the aquo species \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(PVP)}\text{(OH}_2\text{)}]^2+\) and the sulphate complex \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(PVP)}-\)
Fig. 10: Cyclic Voltammogram showing the photolysis at the electrode of metallopolymers AR1 ((Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVIm)$_2$)$_{2+}$) in 1M HCl.
Fig. 11: Cyclic Voltammogram showing the photolysis at the electrode of metallopolymor PM2R1 ([Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVP-MMA)Cl]$^+$) in 1M $H_2SO_4$. 
[Ru(bpy)₂(PVP)(SO₄)] is also formed and has a peak at 0.625V. The peak at +0.9V could be due to the Ru³⁺/⁴⁺ redox couple observed before for the homopolymer poly 4-vinylpyridine Ru(bpy)₂ compound. The results obtained for the photolysis of the metallopolymers both in solution and as thin films are similar to those reported for other Ru(bpy)₂ based metallopolymers systems. However, because of the increased lability of the imidazole polymer and loss of polymer film from electrode surfaces, less clear results were obtained. It is worthwhile pointing out that in thin films, sulphate complexes are formed upon photolysis in H₂SO₄, and this is not observed in solution.
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CONCLUDING REMARKS
A range of 18 copolymers (PVP-MMA) with different 4-vinylpyridine : methylmethacrylate ratios have been synthesised and from these materials a range of polymer bound Ru(bpy)$_2$ complexes were prepared. It was hoped that a study of these metallopolymers would provide information on how the polymer backbone and the reaction conditions for the formation of the metallopolymers would affect their physical and chemical properties of the metallopolymers formed. The polymer of 4(5)-vinylimidazole was prepared in a seven-step synthesis and reacted with Ru(bpy)$_2$Cl$_2$·2H$_2$O under different reaction conditions.

Spectroscopic analysis using UV/Vis and emission techniques shows that for the 4VP-MMA metallopolymers, at least two species were formed. The species [Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVP)Cl]$^+$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVP)$_2$]$^{2+}$ were identified (where PVP stands for any of the PVP-MMA copolymers) by both UV/Vis and emission spectroscopy. However the presence of the aquo species: [Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVP)(H$_2$O)]$^{2+}$ was not observed by spectroscopy. UV/Vis spectral studies on the poly-4(5)Vlm metallopolymers shows the formation of at least two species: [Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVIm)Cl]$^+$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVIm)$_2$]$^{2+}$. Formation of the bis substituted species is much easier and proceeds more quickly than for the homopolymer of 4-vinylpyridine. This was attributed to the fact that imidazole is a σ electron donor and pyridine is a π electron acceptor so that the incoming poly 4(5)-vinylimidazole ligand does not compete for electron density with the bipyridyl π acceptor ligands. To identify the different species contained in the Ru(bpy)$_2$- containing poly-4(5)Vlm and to obtain an indication of the properties of the different species in isolation, a number of model compounds were prepared. The ligand used for these model compounds was 4(5)-methylimidazole which would be expected to mimic closely the properties of the polymer. The aquo species [Ru(bpy)$_2$(PVIm)(H$_2$O)]$^{2+}$ does not show any fluorescence.
and the model compounds suggest that the emission spectra of the metallopolymers are mostly due to the bis substituted species \( \text{[Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(PVIm)}_2]^{2+} \). The model compounds suggest that the chloro species should have its emission \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) at 685nm, but at room temperature is too close to that of the bis species (at 645nm) for a resolved peak. Measurements at low temperatures might clarify the situation.

The PVP-MMA metallopolymers were coated onto graphite electrode surfaces and the cyclic voltammetry experiments show that the nature of the polymer bound metal complex obtained from the reaction between \( \text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{Cl}_2\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O} \) and the copolymers of 4-vinylpyridine and methylmethacrylate depend strongly on the reaction conditions. An increase in the water content of the reaction mixture and of the amount of methylmethacrylate in the polymer backbone and also a decrease in Ru to vinylpyridine units was found by cyclic voltammetry to increase the amount of bis substituted species formed. The aquo species \( \text{[Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(PVP)-(H}_2\text{O)}]^{2+} \) (which has an \( E_{1/2} \) at 0.82V vs SCE) was not observed by cyclic voltammetry in these metallopolymers. The formation of two species for the copolymers is different from the results obtained \(^2\) under the same reaction conditions with the homopolymer 4-vinylpyridine, where only the species \( \text{[Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(PVP)}\text{Cl]}^+ \) was obtained and agrees with results obtained in the literature \(^1\). The presence of the bis species is detected by cyclic voltammetry in 6 of the metallopolymers whereas emission spectroscopy at room temperature shows its presence in 13 of the metallopolymers. This indicates that the \( \text{[Ru(bpy)}_2\text{(PVP)}_2]^{2+} \) site is a more intense emitting species than the chloro species and for this reason emission spectroscopy is a more useful technique than cyclic voltammetry for the detection of the bis substituted species while electrochemical methods are more useful to
determine product ratios. For the polymer bound complex obtained from the reaction between Ru(bpy)$_2$Cl$_2$·2H$_2$O and poly 4(5)-vinylimidazole, evidence for the formation of at least two species is obtained, which is similar to results obtained by Geraty$^3$ for poly N-vinylimidazole. The cyclic voltammograms obtained for the model compounds suggests that the peak at 0.635V (vs SCE in TEAP/CH$_3$CN) corresponds to the species $[\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{PVIm})\text{Cl}]^+$. The second peak observed could be due to either the aquo or bis substituted species or both, since the half wave potentials of these two species are very close (at 0.92V and 0.94V vs SCE respectively) and would not be resolved in the cyclic voltammograms. It has been shown by spectroscopic methods that the chloro and bis substituted species are present in the poly 4(5)Vin metallopolymers. The presence of the aquo complex has not been conclusively proven either by spectroscopy or cyclic voltammetry, as it has similar UV/vis and electrochemical properties to the bis substituted species and does not emit.

Chronocoulometry was used to obtain an indication of the ease of charge transport across a film of metallopolymer attached to a glassy carbon electrode. It was found for the metallopolymers of PVP-MMA studied by chronocoulometry that an increase in the amount of methylmethacrylate in the polymer backbone increases the difficulty of charge transport through the metallopolymer film. The values obtained for the activation energy for charge transport ($E_a$), suggest that for copolymers with increasing amounts of methylmethacrylate, movement of the polymer chains to bring the attached electroactive complexes nearer and so facilitate electron hopping between metal sites becomes important for charge transport. For polyvinylpyridine containing electroststically bound Ru(edta)$^{3+}$.
movement within the layer has been suggested as the rate determining step. It is possible that an increase in methylmethacrylate increases the inter site distances and thus makes movement of the polymer backbone necessary for inter site communication. Measurement of $E_a$ for a poly 4(5)VMl metallopolymers indicated that some movement of the polymer chain is required for charge transport. In general the activation energies obtained are higher than expected for ion movement to be the rate determining step. The metallopolymers studied contained approximately 10% bis substituted species which forms inter-chain bonds and thus might further reduce the mobility of the polymer segments and contribute to the difficulty of charge transport.

Electrodes modified with PVP-MMA and 4(5)VMl metallopolymers were used for the oxidation of Fe$^{II}$ and it was found that oxidation of this substrate is mediated by the polymer coating. Diluting the vinylpyridine polymer backbone with methylmethacrylate results in a less efficient mediation of the oxidation of the substrate $\text{[Fe(H}_2\text{O)}_6\text{]}^{2+}$. This is probably due to decreased repulsion in acidic electrolytes between neighbouring polymer units because of an increase in the neutral monomer methylmethacrylate. This leads to decreased mobility of the substrate within the surface of the polymer layer. Mediation by 4(5)VMl metallopolymers is kinetically easier than for the copolymers probably because the 4(5)VMl polymer is more soluble in aqueous electrolytes.

Photolysis studies on the model compounds in acetone and acetonitrile show that the 4-methylimidazole (4Mlm) ligand of the chloro compound is being photosubstituted by solvent molecules and also that only one of the 4Mlm ligands in the bis substituted compound is being replaced. In methanol it appears that both the 4Mlm and chloride ligands are replaced.
simultaneously by solvent molecules. The bis substituted compound behaves differently since it appears that one of the 4MIm ligands is replaced by a methanol molecule. The results obtained for the photolysis of the metallopolymer both in solution and as thin films are similar to those reported for other Ru(bpy)$_2$ based metallopolymer systems$^5$, $^2$. However, because of the increased lability of the imidazole polymer and loss of polymer film from electrode surfaces, less clear results were obtained. It is worthwhile pointing out that in thin films, sulphate complexes are formed upon photolysis in H$_2$SO$_4$, and this is not observed in solution.
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