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Abstract

c-axis aligned ZnO nanorods were deposited by vapour phase transport on textured chemical bath deposited buffer layers. In this work we examine the role of the buffer layer and how it influences the vapour phase transport deposition process using both scanning and scanning transmission electron microscopes and related techniques. Vapour phase transport deposition on chemical bath deposited buffer is a complex growth process with many simultaneously effects including; (i) substantial morphological transformation at high temperature, which influences the base of the nanorods; (ii) formation of a mixed amorphous / crystalline Zn$_x$Si$_{1-x}$O$_y$ interface during the vapour phase transport growth on silicon substrates; (iii) the overgrowth of the ZnO seed layers by the silica interface rendering them inactive for nanorod nucleation, suggesting there is a minimum critical thickness ZnO buffer layer necessary for vapour phase transport growth of ZnO nanorods on silicon substrates. We discuss the relative importance of these effects on the overall growth process and use this understanding to explain previous results in the literature.
1. Introduction

Zinc oxide has in the past decade come to the forefront of materials research owing to its favourable electronic, optical and chemical properties and is of considerable interest for many applications.\textsuperscript{1-7} For many of these applications, nanorods and nanowires are the preferred crystal morphology as they present significant advantages over bulk material or polycrystalline films. Consequently there have been numerous reports on the growth of ZnO nanorods, both as un-oriented and aligned arrays normal to the substrate, using a variety of techniques.\textsuperscript{8-14} Vapour phase transport (VPT) is a successful approach to deposit such high quality aligned arrays, typically employing epitaxially matched substrates with a catalyst or directly onto previously formed c-axis textured ZnO buffer layers. Detailed studies on the role of the ZnO buffer layers during VPT deposition have previously been reported. These works concentrated specifically on ZnO buffer layers prepared by pulsed laser deposition (PLD), magnetron sputtering, thermal oxidation of zinc films, electron beam evaporation and molecular organic chemical vapour deposition (MOCVD).\textsuperscript{15-17}

Recently it has been shown that c-axis aligned ZnO nanorod arrays can be grown by VPT on non-epitaxially matched substrates using CBD derived buffer layers.\textsuperscript{18-20} While in principle this approach is similar to that using the buffer layers discussed above, it offers distinct advantages, in that relatively little specialised equipment and processes are necessary. This method combines CBD methods to create a thin (~200-300nm) c-axis aligned buffer layer which is suitably robust for VPT deposition.\textsuperscript{20}

While in practice the growth of aligned nanorods on a CBD derived buffer layer is a facile process, there are few reports, as far as we are aware, on the specific mechanisms or growth conditions that influence this process. In this work we focus on the structural and compositional features during the VPT deposition of aligned nanorods on CBD derived buffer layer using silicon substrates, as silicon represents the most important non-epitaxially matched substrate for device applications. As will be seen, there are numerous factors that influence the final ZnO nanorod array, such as the density and thickness of the buffer layer or the temperature ramp rate of the furnace of the VPT process. In addition, we also
analyse in detail the morphological changes and partial degradation of the underlying buffer layer during the high temperature deposition.

2. Experimental

ZnO nanorods were grown on silicon using a three step process, combining chemical solution seeding, chemical bath deposition and vapour phase transport. The growth methods are described in the supporting information and more details can be found in references 19 and 20. Sample morphology was characterised by scanning electron microscopes (SEM; Karl-Zeiss EVO series) and field emission SEM (FESEM; Hitachi S5500). Conventional transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and High Resolution TEM (HRTEM) was carried out in a JEOL 1200 EX and a 2010F microscope operating at 120 and 200 kV respectively. Sample structure and composition was studied by high angle annular dark field scanning TEM (HAADF-STEM), electron dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) and electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS), the last by using a Gatan Imaging Filter (GIF) camera. The samples were prepared for TEM studies both by a classical cross-section method using mechanical and ion milling processes and by a scraping method where the nanorod mass is removed from the substrate, dispersed and dropped on a TEM grid.

3. Results and Discussion

The growth process reported here is divided into three distinct stages as described in detail in the supporting information (experimental section part 1). Firstly a thin seed layer is formed on the substrate by drop coating an ethanolic zinc acetate solution followed by annealing. Secondly, a thin columnar film is grown on this seed layer by CBD and lastly, well aligned ZnO nanorods are deposited by VPT onto the CBD buffer layer. We shall first consider the initial step of the process, drop coating to form a thin ZnO seed layer.
Figure 1: FESEM images of (a) drop coated seed layer prior to CBD growth at the sample centre (insert: drop coated seed layer at substrate edge where seed density is greatest) (b) Plan view of ZnO nanorods grown by hexamine CBD method (insert: 30° view of ZnO nanorods grown by hexamine CBD method).

3.1. Effect on the seed layer

Figure 1(a) shows FE-SEM images of a ZnO seed layer prior to CBD growth. It has been shown that these thin films are comprised of small ZnO crystallites which are preferentially orientated with their $c$-axis perpendicular to the substrate.$^{21}$ While this natural orientation is the principle origin of the $c$-axis alignment, in subsequent growth steps there are indications that spatial confinement of nanorods during CBD growth also plays a significant role in the orientation process of the rods and hence subsequently grown VPT rods. As can be seen in figure 1(b), the initial CBD growth on the seed layers is not perfectly aligned with respect to the substrate. Gaps in the CBD-grown film cause the nanorods to tilt with respect to substrate, while in areas of increased nanorod density the rods appear better aligned. Similar observations have been made before by Lee et al.,$^{22}$ who observed multiple rod nucleation...
occurring in chemical bath depositions when the density of nucleation sites on the substrate was reduced, which lead to poor rod alignment and even misorientation. In fact for high spatial density samples, we have even identified occasional rods that are growing with their c-axis not aligned to the substrate growth axis at all (supporting information figure S2). This is a clear indication that the spatial confinement effects on CBD grown rods has important implications for the VPT growth on CBD derived layers, as discussed later. The origin of the rods growing along different crystal orientations is easily understood. The drop coating seeding step is a stochastic process, with zinc hydroxide crystallites forming randomly in the zinc acetate solution and precipitating onto the substrate surface. Subsequently, during annealing the hydroxide precipitate decomposes to form hexagonal ZnO flat platelet-type seeds in a textured layer on the substrate with c-axis predominantly normal to the substrate. However, there remains some variation in both the thickness and degree of c-axis alignment of the seed layer crystals because of the overlapping of seeds, especially in areas of increased seed density. The effect can be likened to dropping a deck of playing cards on a flat surface. The majority of cards will lie flat, but some overlapping and tilting will be seen where many cards land in the same region. This can clearly be seen in figure 1(a) and the insert, where FE-SEM images of the seed layer from the sample centre (where the seed density is lower) and edge (with higher seed density) respectively, show significant variations in thickness, tilt and uniformity. In combination with spatial confinement effects, the majority of CBD grown rods are forced to grow with the fastest developing crystal axis perpendicular to the substrate, that is, the (0001) axis. However due to the random overlapping of crystallites in the seed layer, some nucleation sites are so c-axis misaligned with respect to the substrate that spatial confinement effects are overcome and growth along other crystal orientations become possible.

Previously we reported that the thin drop coated ZnO seed layer on silicon was unsuitable for high temperature deposition.\textsuperscript{20} Growth was principally confined to the substrate edges, with little or no growth occurring on the center of the substrate. AFM analysis, as shown in the supporting information in reference 20 indicated that during the thermal cycle of the VPT process, this seed layer undergoes a significant modification, the mechanisms of which were unclear. To clarify the processes leading to the
alteration of this seed layer, HAADF-STEM (as shown in figure 2) was performed on a seed layer which was annealed without source material in the furnace using the fast temperature ramp rate (FRTP).

Figure 2: HAADF-STEM image of a drop-coated seed layer annealed using FRTP (insert) higher magnification HAADF-STEM showing an original crystallite imbedded in the surface SiO$_2$.

A clear transformation is seen in the seed layer from the drop coating process as the small seed crystals become embedded in the amorphous interface. During the high temperature treatment there is an over-growth of the seeds by the SiO$_2$ layer (as confirmed below). It is well known that at high temperatures silicon wafers can be oxidised, forming much thicker oxide layers than the native oxide present. This growth in thermal oxide is sufficient to encapsulate the small seed crystals rendering them inactive for nanorod nucleation. This suggests that the buffer layer must have a minimum particle size / thickness ratio during VPT deposition, to prevent the SiO$_2$ overgrowth inhibiting the ZnO nucleation process. Furthermore the size of the particles embedded in the substrate in figure 2 suggests crystal coalescence may also be taking place since the sizes of the particles are significantly larger than those previously reported.$^{20-21}$ This phenomenon can also be seen in the FE-SEM image shown in the supporting information figure S3, where individual particles cannot be resolved as they are subsurface features but a clear contrast in the image identifies the embedded particle position. EDX analysis of
these seeds shows the composition as a ZnO/SiO₂ mixing. While the silicon signal is unavoidable due to the small particle size of the embedded seeds, it cannot be ruled out that the seeds also undergo a chemical reaction forming a ZnₓSi₁₋ₓOᵧ type compound at high temperatures as similar reactions have been observed before.²³ For ease of description ZnₓSi₁₋ₓOᵧ will be used in the remainder of the discussion to refer to the mixed oxide interfaces composed of ZnO and SiO₂.

3.2. Growth of aligned ZnO nanorod by CBD

The many factors that affect the final morphology of CBD grown nanorods have been discussed extensively elsewhere²², ²⁴⁻²⁵ and are therefore not the focus of our work. However the interface between the CBD deposited nanorods and the drop-coated seed layer was examined by HRTEM to further understand the growth process and subsequent changes that occur during CBD growth. Between the base of the nanorods and the silicon substrate there is layer composed in part by amorphous silica (as demonstrated later) formed from the silicon native, as seen in figure 3.

Figure 3: HRTEM image of CBD grown nanorod showing the amorphous SiO₂ interface and inside its corresponding Fast Fourier transform (FFT) confirming growth direction and wurtzite structure.
From the very base of the CBD nanorods the crystalline quality is good and no significant evidence of structural defects is noticed. The HRTEM image and its corresponding FFT shown in figure 3 prove the rods have hexagonal structure and are principally growing along the c-axis (0001 direction). Similar crystal quality is observed at the base and tip of the rods. In general it appears as if the nanorods “sink” slightly into the silica layer, however this is more likely due to slight etching and redeposition of the SiO$_2$ layer in between nanorods during the CBD growth as the silica interface is somewhat soluble in the basic reaction solution. The CBD nanorod growth begins with a narrow diameter, typically around 15 nm, probably corresponding to the diameter of a single underlying seed particle. In the early stages of growth the rod diameter increases. This transition occurs within the first 10 nm of growth. This can also clearly be seen in the FESEM images shown in figure 1(b). The increase in diameter at the base of the rod is related to the initial conditions of the chemical bath. Previously it has been recognised that the degree of solution supersaturation influences the morphology of ZnO crystals grown by CBD via the nucleation mechanism. At low supersaturation levels the growth process is dominated by heterogeneous nucleation leading to lateral growth while at higher supersaturation growth is dominated by the homogeneous nucleation leading to crystal elongation. Given that the seeded substrates were submerged in the reaction solution prior to heating the initial rod diameter increase is attributed to the initially low zinc hydroxide saturation. Following the CBD growth on the seed buffer layer a nanorod density of ~2 – 4 x 10$^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$ is obtained, a lower value compared to the seed density of ~3 – 8 x 10$^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$, due to the large nanorod diameter compared to the seed diameter.

3.3. Morphological changes of the CBD buffer layer during the VPT deposition

We now consider the final step of the process, the VPT deposition of nanorods on the CBD buffer layer. Typically this was performed using the furnace FRTP (supporting information S1). FESEM analysis as shown in figure 4(a), demonstrate that the nanorods grow perpendicular to the substrate, following the alignment of the underlying CBD buffer layer, likewise HRTEM image and corresponding FFT (figure 4(d)) confirm the high quality of the material, the wurtzite structure and c-axis orientation of
the nanorods. HAADF-STEM analysis of material scrapped from the substrate surface (figure 4(c)) reveals that the nanorod compositions are uniform along their lengths. The length and diameter of the nanorods varies from sample to sample but are typical 1-3 µm in length with diameters distributed around 100 nm. An important fact is the reduction of the number of nanorods that emerges from the CBD buffer layer. Quantitatively, the VPT nanorod density reduces from $2.5 \cdot 10^7$ cm$^{-2}$ to $2.5 \cdot 10^8$ cm$^{-2}$, that is, two orders of magnitude less than the CBD nanorod density. The VPT nanorods not only increase in diameter, but also in the average rod-to-rod distance. The origin of the reduction in rod density is now examined in terms of role of the buffer layer.

Figure 4: (a) FE-SEM image of a VPT grown nanorod array via FRTP on a CBD buffer layer (b) CTEM image of a sample grown in an identical fashion (c) HAADF-STEM of VPT grown nanorods scraped
from the substrate surface. (d) HRTEM and its corresponding FFT of a single VPT grown nanorod confirming c-axis and wurtzite structure.

The VPT growth process significantly affects both the base of the VPT grown nanorods and the underlying CBD buffer layer. Firstly, as can be seen in the CTEM image in figure 4(b), the base of the nanorods consist of cones which are formed by the fusion of several CBD nanorods and covered with ZnO during the VPT deposition. During the VPT growth Zn vapour is deposited as ZnO which fills the gaps between neighboring CBD nanorods in the buffer layer giving rise to only one nanorod which emerges from the conical base. The conical bases change depending on both the temperature ramp rate and the position of the substrate with respect to the source material. At the slow temperature ramp rate (SRTP) the conical base height extends (in length) much further up the rod than the original CBD rod height but significantly, only a minor increase in the base diameter is also observed with respect to CBD objects. Secondly, when the substrate is closer to the source powder, using the FRTP, the conical base appears a lot wider at the bottom and longer as compared to other samples prepared using FRTP with substrates further from the source powder. This suggests that the temperature profile influences the change in growth conditions from 2D to 1D which is consistent with other reports on the effect of temperature ramp on VPT growth.26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth Conditions</th>
<th>Height (nm)</th>
<th>Width (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FRTP</td>
<td>260 ± 40</td>
<td>340 ± 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRTP with the substrate place close to the source powder</td>
<td>510 ± 90</td>
<td>400 ± 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRTP</td>
<td>500 ± 45</td>
<td>125 ± 50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The variation in conical base width and height for samples grown with varying conditions.

Table 1 summarises the morphological variations in the conical base observed for the different temperature profiles. While the growth parameters have an influence on the base of the structures, no significant variation in the diameter of final VPT nanorods has been observed. Li et al. reported that both the buffer layer surface roughness and the crystallinity affects the nucleation of nanorods during
VPT growth on PLD deposited buffer layers. When the surface roughness was small, an intermediate continuous network was formed. With higher surface roughness, the diffusion of zinc vapour across the surface is reduced and no obvious continuous network was formed. While some evidence of intermediate network formation can be seen in our samples (supporting information figure S4), rods also appear to grow directly from the CBD nanorods with conical bases. The origin of the variation in intermediate network formation versus conical base formation is not explicitly clear. While the network formation may result from overlapping conical bases, it may also be due to favourable nucleation ridges in the CBD buffer layer, leading to wall like structures during the high vapour saturation levels achieved in the initial stages of VPT growth. Furthermore, varying the number of drop coats, which in turn varies the CBD buffer layer density, does not appear to significantly enhance or reduce the intermediate network formation. The nucleation process is further complicated, by the fact that the CBD buffer layer undergoes substantial morphological changes during VPT growth.

To further understand what happens to the CBD buffer layer during VPT growth, CBD buffer layers were annealed in identical conditions (but without Zn source material) to those used for the VPT deposition using both FRTP and SRTP. FE-SEM images before and after annealing can be seen in figure 5.
Figure 5: FESEM images of a CBD buffer layer (a) 30° view (insert: plan view) prior to annealing (b) post annealing using SRTP (c) post annealing using FRTP. Scale bar in all images is 500nm

Prior to annealing, the CBD buffer layer consists of distinct hexagonal shaped nanorods, approximately 350 nm long. Post annealing using SRTP conditions, many of the rods have fused with neighbouring rods forming thicker irregular shaped structures. The lateral facets of the rods appear
rougher as compared to their unannealed counterparts. In addition clearly faceted voids appear in the
base of the CBD film. At the faster temperature ramp rate FRTP, the films undergo an even more
dramatic transformation. The hexagonal faceting of individual rods are no visible, with only a granular
fused film remaining. The lateral facets can no longer be observed accurately as all the rods have
substantially deformed. Thermal stress cracks formed during sample cooling are also observed,
indicating the complete fusion of the CBD film. Faceted voids in the buffer layer, are also clearly
visible, and are regularly found both in VPT grown samples and SRTP annealed buffer layers. At high
temperatures, the annealed layer of CBD deposited rods undergo a transformation from hexagonal
shaped rods to a diamond like shape, with occasional faceted voids between structures, examples of
which are shown in the supporting information (figure S5) and figure 6(b). This transformation might be
due to partial sublimation of the ZnO buffer and its transport along the wire length. It has been known
for quite some time that the chemical etching and sublimation rate of ZnO varies between the different
faces of the ZnO crystal due to the anisotropic nature of the wurtzite crystal.\textsuperscript{27,28} In addition the presence
of surface adsorbed impurities such as water, can vary the surface free energy, further altering the initial
sublimation rate of the different crystal faces.\textsuperscript{27} While Leonard \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{27} ascribed attenuated initial
sublimation rates of ZnO at high temperatures to surface adsorbed impurities such as water. Ntep \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{29}
found that the presence of water in the gaseous mixture actually assisted the sublimation process. Given
that the buffer layers are grown in solution, water and other surface impurities are undoubtedly present.
In either case what is clear is that the various facets of ZnO can undergo sublimation at different rates
and that the reported temperatures necessary for sublimation to occur are achieved in our experiments.
Although the changes seen during annealing are instructive in terms of understanding the origin of
effects seen during VPT growth, we do note, in relation to sublimation processes, that during the VPT
process, the higher vapour pressure coming from the source limits the sublimation yield and as a result
this may restrict the morphological changes on the original CBD nanorod compared to the annealing
process. To compound the complexity of the structural transformations observed, it has been reported
that ZnO nanostructures can have melting temperatures well below that of the bulk material.\textsuperscript{30-32} At
present this phenomenon is poorly understood. Using the theoretical model put forward by Guisbiers et al.\textsuperscript{33} using the maximum and minimum differences between the surface tensions in the liquid and solid phases \((\gamma_l - \gamma_s) = \text{max/min value}\) yields a predicted melting temperature range of 1764 -1883°C for hexagonal nanorods with a 20 nm side length and height of 300 nm, well above the temperatures reached during our experiments. However partial melting cannot be completely ruled out as the cause of the structural transformations and faceted void formation, as other reports have indicated that nanoparticles can melt at temperatures significantly lower than those predicted by Guisbiers’s model.\textsuperscript{30}

Regardless of the precise microscopic mechanism, the key point is that the material moves from the upper basal facet and prismatic facets of the nanorod and deposits on the pyramidal facets during VPT growth / annealing, creating diamond shaped structures, leading to the partial fusing of several wires. As the atoms movement also happens during annealing where no additional zinc source vapour is being provided, it must be the case that an important aspect affecting the increase in rod diameter during VPT growth must be from material already present, i.e. material redistribution as discussed above. The fused CBD rods provide a wider base on which the subsequent VPT deposition and coverage occurs to create the conical bases. Depending on the supersaturation levels in VPT step, the growth mode reverts back to 1-D more or less quickly, thus affecting the shape of the cone at the base of the nanorods. Despite the conflicting reports on the influence of melting on ZnO nanostructures at temperatures less than bulk values, we believe rather that this transformation is primarily due to the partial sublimation, transport and redeposition of the ZnO in the nanorods because a similar effect is observed at the base of the CBD rods.

Sharp faceted edges have been seen at the interface between the SiO\textsubscript{2} and the nanorod bases, which is not seen prior to high temperature treatments (compare figure 6(a) and 6(b)), which would suggest that the interface is formed by the diffusion of zinc vapour rather than the transport of material by amorphous liquid droplets. During annealing ZnO is also removed from planes of the rod base and subsequently diffuses into the silica interface layer, as shown by the schematic in figure 6(c).
Figure 6: HAADF-STEM images of (a) the base of a CBD nanorod prior to VPT deposition (b) of a faceted void formed in an annealed CBD buffer layer (c) schematic representation of the diffusion of material along the CBD buffer layer during VPT growth / annealing.

The thermal induced structural transformation is clearly also responsible for the void formation. During VPT growth or annealing, the newly forming diamond like rods can come into contact with neighbouring rods and fuse along their sides, creating faceted caverns in the buffer layer. As a consequence, the formation of voids in the buffer layer during VPT growth is dependent on the density of the CBD deposited buffer layer prior to growth which in turn is dependent on the number of drop-coats used to prepare the seed layer and the growth conditions during the CBD deposition step.\textsuperscript{25, 34}

3.4. Effect on the adapting layer

In our experiments the ZnO was initially grown by CBD on silicon, without removing the native oxide. The intermediate layer between the CBD rods and the substrate (figure 3) indicate that the smooth native oxide is not significantly disturbed by the CBD process. Consequently, the bases of the CBD nanorods are generally flat with respect to the native oxide and are of good crystalline quality. Nevertheless, after VPT growth or any annealing process the nature of this intermediate layer changes becoming thicker and being composed of two different regions with three distinct interfaces. HRTEM micrographs of the SRTP rod/adapting layer interface and corresponding FFT reconstructions confirm a
good crystalline quality in the onset of rod growth. More important, the FFT of the adapting layer (see figure 7) also yields a weak diffraction pattern implying the formation of a mixture of crystalline phases in this amorphous region, as detailed below.

Figure 7: HRTEM of a VPT grown sample at the SiO$_2$-ZnO interface and corresponding FFT of the SiO$_2$ and ZnO regions

The two layers that make up the adapting layer were examined by EELS and EDX. EDX analysis of a profile across the adapting layer from the substrate shows that zinc is not the dominant component, but amorphous SiO$_2$. The individual spatially resolved EEL spectra across the interface (figure 8(a)) display the characteristic signal of the Zn-L and O-K edges. Firstly they indicate that a sharp interface between the silicon and SiO$_2$ exists, which is also clearly visible in the HAADF-STEM image in figure 8(b). From the SiO$_2$ layer, (indicated as 3 in Figure 8(a)), the oxygen signal gradually decreases until it has reached a steady value corresponding to the onset of the ZnO nanorod (point 1). The Zn-L signal begins before the O-K signal has reached this steady state (see point 2) suggesting that either just the base of the nanorod is oxygen rich compared to the bulk of the rod or that the area also contains a significant amount of other oxygen containing species. Thus, when scanning the sample from the substrate to the
ZnO base, the Zn-L edge signal doesn’t vary significantly but the O-K signal of the recorded spectra does experience a progressive red-shift in the adapting layer. This can be better appreciated by following the arrows which mark the energy of the oxygen edges at each layer. In addition, the Si-L signal taken at the same area also undergoes a strong signal modification between the Si substrate and the adapting layer (see figure 8 (c) point 4 compared with the others) and moreover, a slight peak change with decreasing energy when comparing the two sub-layers defined inside the adapting area (point 2 with respect to point 3). These results suggest that the area observed is something more than ZnO polycrystalline particles immersed in an amorphous SiO₂ matrix and that new zinc silicate species could have been formed where the oxidation state of Si and Zn atoms remain constant. In the literature we have found up to three different compounds combining Zn-Si-O.\textsuperscript{35} Nevertheless, the accepted phase diagram of ZnO-SiO\textsubscript{2} only considers the thermodynamically stable intermediate compound Zn\textsubscript{2}SiO\textsubscript{4} (Willemite), which melts congruently at 1512 °C and forms two eutectics with SiO\textsubscript{2} and with ZnO.\textsuperscript{36} Hence, the most probable compound is Zn\textsubscript{2}SiO\textsubscript{4}, which can be formed at 770 °C. Furthermore, FFT reconstruction of HRTEM images for several SiO\textsubscript{2}-ZnO interfaces reveal the existence of intense spots with interplanar spacing in agreement with the values for Zn\textsubscript{2}SiO\textsubscript{4} crystals. Based on these results, we propose that a mixed crystal of ZnO, SiO\textsubscript{2} and Zn\textsubscript{2}SiO\textsubscript{4} occurs in this region. Similar results of the mixed formation of Zn\textsubscript{2}SiO\textsubscript{4} crystal and amorphous SiO\textsubscript{2} during annealing treatments have been reported in annealed ZnO/Si systems.\textsuperscript{37} Taking into account all the EELS and HRTEM data, we conclude that the adapting layer follows this stacking sequence: Si / SiO\textsubscript{2} / Zn\textsubscript{2}SiO\textsubscript{4} – ZnO immersed in SiO\textsubscript{2}/ ZnO.
Figure 8: a) Individual core loss EEL spectra from several points at the Si substrate/wires interface, which are labeled in b), HAADF-STEM image of this region. The points 1-4 correspond to ZnO wire base, Zn$_x$Si$_{1-x}$O$_y$, SiO$_2$ layers and Si substrate, respectively. c) Low loss spectra of this same region exhibiting different Si-L signals.

The amorphous adapting layer has also been observed for samples annealed without VPT growth, indicating that the presence of Zn in the adapting layer is not solely due to Zn vapour from the carbothermal reduction reaction. In fact, the close similarity between samples either annealed or following VPT growth indicates that the main source of Zn in the adapting layer is probably due to a partial consumption of the CBD deposited rods into the interface. With this in mind it is interesting to note that there are some differences observed in the adapting layers between samples grown by VPT at both temperature ramp rates and those by CBD followed by annealing, a comparison of which is shown in the supporting information (figure S6). The interface layer of samples annealed is significantly rougher that those grown by VPT. The most uniform interface layer is achieved with the lower temperature ramp rate, thus optimising the interface between the substrate and the rod. The thickest interface layer occurs in samples grown by VPT with the FTRP. This is attributed to the higher temperatures achieved from the beginning of the step, which in turn leads to a more rapid growth of the ZnO/SiO$_2$ adapting layer. The most uneven adapting layers are observed in the samples annealed without
the source powder suggesting that the Zn vapour has a moderating effect on the oxygen partial pressure leading to a more uniform growth of the SiO₂ interface. The most uniform adapting layers were obtained using the STRP.

The complex adapting layer under the bases of the hexagonal ZnO nanorods has been discovered to be a mixed phase that includes a ternary compound of the Zn silicate type. Our results indicate that a significant atomic diffusion between the ZnO film and the silicon substrate occurs during high temperature growth by VPT process or annealing above 800 °C. The exact consequences of the formation of this complex interlayer between the silicon substrate and the nanorod array and its impact on their potential use in future devices is beyond the focus of this work. Furthermore, there have been as yet, to our knowledge, few studies devoted to this topic. Consequently further studies are needed to elucidate exactly how this layer will affect both the electrical and optical properties of nanorods grown by the present method.

4. Conclusions

In this work we have studied VPT deposition of high quality ZnO nanorods on CBD buffer layers and the effects of the growth process on the seed and buffer layers. Thin drop-coated ZnO seed layers on silicon are unsuitable for direct high temperature deposition because the small seeds from the drop coating process suffer a transformation by becoming embedded in the SiO₂ interface leading to an overgrowth of the seeds by the SiO₂ layer, clearly indicating that there is a critical particle size and film thickness necessary for the aligned growth of ZnO nanorods by VPT at high temperatures. The buffer layer also undergoes significant transformations including structural changes which, in conjunction with different Zn vapour pressures and furnace temperatures, lead to the formation of conical nanorod bases in a complex interplay of competing factors. These bases are formed via fusion of several CBD nanorods giving rise to a single high quality nanorod which emerges from this conical base. Consequently, a reduction in the ultimate nanorod density occurs with respect to the original CBD nanorod density. Despite a degree of residual uncertainty in terms of the microscopic processes involved
in sublimation and melting, the outcomes from high temperature annealing treatments suggest that the origin of the structural changes in the buffer layer comes from partial sublimation during high temperature growth. Finally, the adapting layer between the substrate and the buffer layer also changes during high temperature growth, forming two distinct regions separated by sharp interfaces with the sequence Si / SiO$_2$ / ZnO-Zn$_2$SiO$_4$-SiO$_2$ / ZnO. This is a particularly important factor if ZnO nanorods grown by VPT are to be incorporated into electronic devices, where the substrate is expected to function as an electrical contact to the nanorod also.
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Zinc Oxide nanorods were grown by vapour phase transport on silicon using chemical bath deposited buffer layers to control c-axis alignment. In this work we examine the role of the buffer-layer and the changes that it undergoes during high temperature growth. We also examine the interface between the substrate and nanorod and the formation of new interfacial areas during growth.