A sleep bruxism detection system based on sensors in a splint – pilot clinical data
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SUMMARY It is difficult in a dental setting to accurately diagnose sleep bruxism and to objectively assess the severity, frequency or natural history of the condition in an individual patient. Yet this information is essential for the management of sleep bruxism and to plan appropriate dental treatment. The objective of this study was to clinically test a device that could be used to record bruxism events in a home environment. Pressure sensors were developed for use under the surface of an occlusal splint, and circuitry was designed to facilitate the recording and wireless transmission of the pressure sensor signal to a computer. Controlled mandibular movements were carried out in vivo to simulate bruxism and non-bruxism patterns. These patterns of force application were graphically presented to two examiners who were asked to identify the type of activity represented by the force curves. Examiners were largely able to distinguish bruxism from non-bruxism activity; the sensitivity ranged from 80% to 100% and the specificity from 75% to 100%. Using sensors in an occlusal splint, it is possible to recognise the typical tooth contact patterns seen in sleep bruxism. Such a device may be useful for monitoring sleep bruxism over an extended period at home.
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Background

Sleep bruxism is a common chronic condition affecting approximately 8% of the population (1–3). If untreated or poorly managed, bruxism can cause considerable damage to the teeth that can be very difficult to repair. Retrospective studies have shown that veneers and crowns fail faster in an untreated bruxer compared to treated bruxers or non-bruxers. A lack of scientific understanding and cost effective, validated clinical tools make it difficult to objectively diagnose and monitor bruxism (4, 5). Clinical parameters such as tooth surface loss (6), facial pain (7, 8) and self-report (9) have been found to be poorly predictive of the real presence of bruxism, its temporal profile or its severity. The commonest treatment currently provided to patients who suffer from bruxism is an occlusal splint (or ‘night guard’) (10, 11). Splints do not stop bruxism activity, but at least temporarily reduce its intensity and protect the teeth from the effects of grinding forces (12, 13). The mechanism of action of splints remains unclear, and there are no specific clinical guidelines for several aspects of their usage (14–16). There is considerable heterogeneity of clinical opinion about the appropriate duration of use, the basis for discontinuation, the most effective design and the optimum materials (17). These issues need to be addressed with clinical studies to optimise treatment for patients with bruxism.

While there is little or no data describing patient satisfaction or compliance with splint use, anecdotally most dentists report that both satisfaction and
compliance are low. Despite the potential benefits of
an occlusal splint, frequency of usage is thought to
decline over time, with comfort issues and doubt
about the ongoing necessity of use cited as the most
common reasons. This has major long-term clinical
and health economic implications, and so, there is a
need for tools that help to monitor and reinforce
patient compliance.

This study describes the clinical testing of a force
sensor-based bruxism detection system, and its perfor-
mance in detecting simulated biting patterns consist-
tently seen in bruxism patients.

Materials and methods

Details of the design and assembly of the sensor-con-
taining splint have been published (18). The force
sensor was placed within a clear acrylic splint, with
the sensor material buried approximately 1 mm below
the occlusal surface in the pre-molar/first molar
region. The splint was fitted in the mouth of one sub-
ject. Wireless communication was established between
the splint and a receiver unit connected by USB to a
laptop computer. Ten stereotyped bruxism and ten
non-bruxism movements were simulated. Five of the
bruxism movements were intended to replicate the
biting patterns seen in phasic bruxism, and five more
were intended to replicate tonic bruxism movements
or static clenching. The force of the bites was at the
maximum voluntary contraction of the subject and all
movements involved a forceful vertical closure of the
jaw. The biting patterns are summarised in Table 1.
The ten non-bruxism actions included five swallowing
movements and five of random non-functional oro-
mandibular movements, such as talking, lip smacking
and tooth tapping.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biting pattern</th>
<th>Phasic</th>
<th>Tonic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 s lead in</td>
<td>5 s lead in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bite for 2–3 s</td>
<td>Bite for 5–10 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release for 2 s</td>
<td>Release</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bite for 2–3 s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release for 2 s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bite for 2–3 s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release for 2 s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The signal output from all movements was recorded
as a text file (Microsoft Wordpad) and transported
into a spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel) where it was pre-
sented as a line graph. The x-axis of the line graph
represented time and the y-axis the A/D converted
value of the resistance change of the sensor. As force
was increased, the A/D converted value was
decreased, meaning that the graph seemed to show
the inverse of the force input pattern.

The twenty unlabelled graphs were printed on A4
paper; a random sequence programme was used to
generate a random sequence of the graphs. Two sets
of the graphs were assembled into a booklet. The two
examiners were shown the primary characteristics of
each stereotyped movement pattern. In each of these
graphs, the critical elements in discerning the type of
pattern depicted are the number of force inputs, the
number of phases or repetitions, the duration of the
pressure and likely variations or artefacts that might
occur. It was also explained where possible similarities
existed that might lead to confusion.

Once the principal investigator was satisfied that
the examiners understood the relevant signal charac-
teristics, they were then presented with the test book-
let and asked to categorise each of the twenty graphs
as bruxism or non-bruxism. This exercise was
repeated with the graphs in a different random order
2 weeks later (Fig. 1).

Results and discussion

Examples of the force output graphs for phasic brux-
ism, clenching and swallowing are shown in Fig. 2–4.
These represent the force profile detected by the sen-
sors during each of these jaw movements. The move-
ments during phasic bruxism were stereotyped to
replicate the temporal patterns of mandibular muscle
activity normally seen during RMMA episodes, as
defined by the bruxism research diagnostic criteria of
Lavigne et al. (19).

The breakdown of correct and incorrect identifica-
tion of the signals, and the calculated sensitivity and
specificity are shown in Tables 2 and 3. The dental
examiners in this study had significant prior knowl-
dge and understanding of the clinical and physiologi-
cal basis for bruxism, although they had no prior
experience in viewing or scoring bruxism on sleep
study traces. While identification of these test signals
was reasonably robust, there were challenges in

immediately distinguishing signals that appeared atypical in temporal pattern or where the amplitude of force detected seemed low. Hence, using the manual analysis of signals described, some intra- and interexaminer variation was seen. Despite the randomisation of the sequence of unlabelled force graphs, it is difficult to completely eliminate bias or error as the examiners may have guessed that the sample contained a number of bruxing and non-bruxing events.

The data set recorded in this study was small and was intended as early proof of concept of a prototype device. Manual, visual assessment was therefore

Fig. 1. (a) First prototype splint with electronics exposed before hermetic sealing (b) splint containing sensors and wireless receiver circuit.

Fig. 2. In vivo response of wireless sensor-containing occlusal splint to forces simulating phasic bruxism, as described in Table 1. The x-axis shows the time line of the recording, and the y-axis is the analogue-to-digital value of the device output, which represents the resistance of the sensor.

Fig. 3. In vivo response of wireless sensor-containing occlusal splint to forces simulating tonic clenching, as described in Table 1. The x-axis shows the time line of the recording, and the y-axis is the analogue-to-digital value of the device output, which represents the resistance of the sensor.

Fig. 4. In vivo response of wireless sensor-containing occlusal splint to forces simulating swallowing. The x-axis shows the time line of the recording, and the y-axis is the analogue-to-digital value of the device output, which represents the resistance of the sensor.
Table 2. Identification of bruxism and non-bruxism events by Examiner 1. The 95% Confidence Limits for true sensitivity were [62.5, 97.5]. The 95% Confidence Limits for true specificity were [62.5, 97.5]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>True status</th>
<th>Examiner 1 Positive</th>
<th>Examiner 1 Negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examiner's estimate Positive</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Identification of bruxism and non-bruxism events by Examiner 2. The 95% Confidence Limits for true sensitivity were [100, 100]. The 95% Confidence Limits for true specificity were [56, 94]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>True status</th>
<th>Examiner 2 Positive</th>
<th>Examiner 2 Negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examiner's estimate Positive</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

considered appropriate at this early stage. For data sets gathered during overnight recordings in long-term clinical studies, manual scoring would be unfeasible. A broader sample of overnight recordings from a large number of patients would be required to devise algorithms that were adequately robust to allow automated signal analysis. In constructing a force-based algorithm to allow more automated analysis of RMMA activity, it is necessary to initially characterise the relevant signals in detail and to ensure that the force detection system is capable of recording oro-mandibular activity with the required clarity.

Previous authors have used force detection systems embedded in mouthguards, although these studies had different objectives. Takeuchi et al. (20) examined the correlation between occlusal pressure signals and EMG activity by observing stereotyped movements of varying durations and patterns. Detailed analysis of the temporal relationship between EMG and pressure sensors showed that the latter provided excellent data on grinding movements, surpassing the signal quality of EMG. EMG had a clearer signal for clenching movements, however. This was related to the characteristics of the polymer sensor used, it being more suitable to the detection of dynamic on-off forces than static sustained clenches. The sensors used in the current study had no such limitations and were capable of detecting clenching more reliably than any other movement. Baba et al. (21) used a device similar to Takeuchi’s in order to make overnight recordings in the ambulatory environment and establish that bruxism can be recorded successfully at home an occlusal force device. Watanabe et al. (22) extended the monitoring time to 3 weeks and the sample size to 12 bruxers; the purpose was to assess the presence of a correlation between certain psychological parameters and bruxism activity.

There were a number of technical features that the device used in these previous studies had in common. The devices used a digital sensing approach, where the number of events occurring over a pre-defined threshold value was counted. Hence, it would be difficult to characterise the force profile of typical types of bruxism activity or other common functional/parafunctional movements seen during sleep. The splint used in the present study contained sensors that could be customised according to the expected force profile and dynamic range. Most of the previously described devices had wires protruding from the mouth, a factor which could affect sleeping patterns and limit their use. Similarly, EMG-based detection of bruxism at home has been shown to be feasible, although the same issues with wired devices apply (23–25). By contrast, the hard PMMA device in the present study was wireless, albeit with a slightly increased bulk to accommodate the power source.

Nishigawa et al. (26) measured the bite force of 15 subjects using a slightly different technical approach. They used strain-gauge transducers incorporated in a simple acrylic bite-guard/splint that was wired to an external receiver. The results from this testing system were unique, in that they attempted to quantify exact mechanical bite forces of the subjects during specific bruxism episodes. The average force seen was 220 N with a maximum force of 896 N in one subject.

The focus of previous bruxism detection devices was on the analysis of simplified force signals to gather summary data on the frequency of bruxism events. The objective of this pilot study was to design and test a device that had the capacity to diagnose and analyse bruxism patterns in greater detail.
Improved ability to distinguish different types of movement and their temporal characteristics offers three potential benefits. Firstly, it allows more concrete confirmation that the patterns of bruxism – as set out in the research diagnostic criteria (19) – are present in putative bruxism events and theoretically reduces the likelihood of artefacts and errors. Currently, bruxism movements are categorised as such primarily on the basis of their duration and pattern of EMG activity. Automated categorisation of these signals with some currently available sleep study devices may overestimate the severity of bruxism. The inclusion of a very detailed force signature in the analysis of these signals might improve sensitivity and specificity. Secondly, the use of a calibrated analogue sensor facilitates estimation of forces encountered during bruxism. This is potentially relevant clinically in treatment planning, particularly if the location and magnitude of the force could be identified. Finally, more detailed analysis of bruxism overnight in the ambient setting will provide valuable information on the true nature of the contacts involved and the variations seen within and between individuals.
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