Performance of video processing at the edge for crowd-monitoring applications
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Abstract—Video analytics has a key role to play in smart cities and connected community applications such as crowd counting, activity detection, event classification, traffic counting etc. Using a cloud-centric approach where data is funnelled to a central processor presents a number of key problems such as available bandwidth, real-time responsiveness and personal data privacy issues. With the development of edge computing, a new paradigm for smart data management is emerging. Raw video feeds can be pre-processed at the point of capture while integration and deeper analytics is performed in the cloud. In this paper we explore the capacity of video processing at the edge and shown that basic image processing can be achieved in near real-time on low-powered gateway devices. We have also investigated deep learning model capabilities for crowd counting in this context showing that its performance is highly dependent on the input size and rescaling video frames can optimise processing and performance. Increased edge processing resolves a number of issues in video analytics for crowd monitoring applications.

I. INTRODUCTION

Smarter cities utilising Internet-of-Things (IoT) technologies are required to provide a sustainable environment to accommodate the needs of the increasing urban population of tomorrow and preserve natural resources [1]. In [2] the authors define smart cities as "an urban system that uses information and communication technology (ICT) to make both its infrastructure and its public services more interactive, more accessible and more efficient". A smart city goal is to improve the quality of life of citizens while providing a sustainable environment and reducing the cost of living. This objective can be achieved by deploying sensors (cameras, microphones) across the city to capture urban data and analyse them to extract knowledge and wisdom in order to improve city management.

However, because cities are big and public areas, it represents a big challenge to manage the quantity and variety of potential sensor data including video. Three major issues are: (i) optimising network bandwidth; (ii) real-time responsiveness and (iii) preserving personal data privacy. Various applications of image processing and computer vision require high to moderate resolution data that increases the volume of data that needs to be transferred from the camera to a central processing server or cloud system. Crowd monitoring using video can provide excellent real-time data on crowd density or abnormal situations but require a fast turn around of analytics. Finally the general public is increasingly aware of the potential intrusiveness of surveillance video systems and legislation is being enacted to protect personal data requiring system to avoid the capture, transmission and storage of data where individuals are visible.

In the early stage of IoT, the majority of the infrastructures were cloud centric to exploit the computational power of shared resources and to facilitate large-scale deployment and scaling of data processing. But cloud architectures have shown some limitations in terms of bandwidth, latency and data privacy. Edge applications, also known as fog computing, have emerged as an alternative architecture to alleviate some of those issues [3]. For example, in a video-based crowd monitoring application captured frames can be transformed into meaning information (number of people in the scene, main activity) at the device level, close to point-of-capture, enhancing response-time and improving data privacy by pushing only low-bandwidth, anonymous data (numbers) to the cloud for analysis and aggregation.

Fig. 1. Croke Park sensors infrastructure

A common challenge for practical research in IoT and smart environments is the gap between laboratory prototyping and deploying in a real-world scenario. The Smart Stadium for Smarter Living project1 is a research collaboration between Insight Centre for Data analytics (Dublin City University), Intel, Microsoft, the GAA (Gaelic Athletic Association) and
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Balancing data processing between the cloud and the edge can greatly improve performance, responsiveness and cost. Raw data that is transformed into meaningful information at the edge reduces the cost of using cloud services, while heavier processing and decision making can be performed in the cloud to aggregate data and perform stronger analytics [16]. Gateway devices have the potential to enhance data processing on the edge but limited studies have been conducted on the specific trade-offs that can be made between performance and responsiveness. We focus on image processing and deep learning to explore how far we can take real-time video processing at the edge for application to crowd monitoring in a smart environment.

B. Data processing

With the large volume of data generated by smart devices, a natural platform to handle IoT application is cloud computing. It presents many advantages as it is prone to scale up, and offers infinite resources to alleviate computational weakness of the devices at the edge [17]. However, using cloud services implies offloading some workload to a third party. This can be an issue in terms of data privacy and reliability. Video data for example are highly sensitive data and with new law enforcements like the European regulation 2016/679 [18] it will become harder to outsource sensitive data.

But one of the main drawbacks of cloud computing is dealing with real-time applications. In [19] the authors have surveyed network topologies for real-time application and state that in their current form, data centres are not suitable for real-time processing due to network lag and transfer delays. This was also identified in the Smart Stadium project during an exercise to measure crowd sound on busy match days [20][21].

In [22], Bonomi et al. presented an alternative to cloud centric application which they named fog computing. The architecture they proposed uses the edge of the network to alleviate cloud limitation by carrying out some of the processing tasks on the devices themselves. This reduce the cost of using cloud computing and enhance more robust real-time applications. Nevertheless not all the devices are IP capable. In those case, gateways can be used to link the device to the rest of the network. Gateways are minimised computer like Raspberry Pi where the emphasis is put on the connectivity and weather robustness.

III. CROWD MONITORING AT THE EDGE

Highly congested urban areas present many challenges for city planners. Monitoring crowd movement can help understanding crowd patterns to avoid congested areas and ensure people move in a safe, secure and predictable manner, for example before the game kick-off in a stadium. This has potential to improve quality of experience but also, critically, crowd safety as illustrated by recent deaths in situations of crowd panic or congestion such as Shanghai 2014 new-year eve where 34 people tragically lost their life.

Crowd monitoring uses image processing and the data captured are highly sensitive. Outsourcing storage and processing to the cloud is not suitable. However, when performing crowd analytics only metadata such as the crowd density, activity or the number of people present matter. The image in itself is not meaningful. Therefore, video processing at the edge improve responsiveness while ensuring better data privacy.

A. Algorithms

To assess the capabilities of real-time video processing at the edge, three algorithms of different complexity are tested. All the algorithms are written in python. For the deep learning model, pre-trained models are used. Table I summarises the different algorithms tested.

Crowd density: The frame are ingested and converted to greyscale to extract the background of the scene and compute interesting point locations corresponding to objects in the scene. The crowd density is estimated by splitting the frame into a grid and computing the percentage of grid cells that are occupied by one or more tracked points. This algorithm has a low complexity.

ResNet50: Object detection is an integral part of image processing and known to be computationally demanding. Residual network architecture has been introduce by He et al. [23] for object recognition. It is among the best performing state-of-the-art deep learning model for image classification. It presents a medium complexity and works with low resolution images.

Crowd Counting: ResnetCrowd was developed by Marsden et al. [24] to achieve crowd counting. It is based on a ResNet18 [23] architecture and uses an heat map approach to estimate the number of people in a scene. High resolution images are divided into patches and fed to the ResnetCrowd to count the number of people. Each individual result is summed to compute total crowd counting. This model achieves state-of-the-art results and presents a high complexity with significant compute requirements.
TABLE I
CROWD MONITORING ALGORITHMS — ALL THE ALGORITHMS ARE IMPLEMENTED IN PYTHON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Algorithm Complexity</th>
<th>Deep Learning</th>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Data size (pixels)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crowd Density Estimation</td>
<td>Extract background of image to estimate the density of people in the scene</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>OpenCV-python, Numpy</td>
<td>1024×728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResNet50 from Keras</td>
<td>ResNet50 default Keras model application with ImageNet weights</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Numpy, Keras, Tensorflow, pillow</td>
<td>224×224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowd Counting</td>
<td>Crowd counting from Keras ResNet50 model with custom weights</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Numpy, Keras, OpenCV-python</td>
<td>1024×728</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II
CPU SPECIFICATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Release date</th>
<th>#core (#thread)</th>
<th>CPU</th>
<th>cache</th>
<th>TDP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laptop</td>
<td>Intel Core i5-3210M</td>
<td>Q2 2012</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>2.50 GHz</td>
<td>3MB SmartCache</td>
<td>35W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway</td>
<td>Intel Atom E3825</td>
<td>Q4 2013</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>1.33 GHz</td>
<td>1MB L2</td>
<td>6W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Data

The IP camera was emulated with a Python RESTful web service sending images every second using the HTTP protocol. For the Crowd Density estimation and Crowd counting algorithms, the data consisted of 10 images with high resolution (1024×728 pixels) extracted from the Shanghaitech Part_B dataset [25]. The Shanghai street scenes containing between 23 people and 476 people. For the ResNet50 model, 10 images from the ImageNet dataset [26] with low resolution (224×224 pixels) were used.

C. Technologies

1) Hardware:
The performance of each algorithm was tested on two different machines, a laptop and DELL Edge gateway 5000 with respectively an Intel i5-3210M (medium) and Intel Atom E3825 (low) CPU. The main differences between the two CPUs are highlighted in Table II. We intentionally choose a i5 CPU to have a fair point of comparison with the Atom CPU. There is no doubt that an i7 or a Xeon CPU would have outperform the E3825 by far. The gateway runs with Ubuntu Core 16.04 OS.

2) Software:
The software was implemented in Python using a multi-threaded approach to ingest raw images from the emulated IP camera, pre-process the frame and transfer the result to the Event Hub service in Azure as shown in figure 1. The runtime was monitor with the `timeit` library. Docker was used to deploy the solution on the gateway. The software was run multiple times on the laptop to ensure consistency before to be deploy on the gateway.

D. Results

1) CPUs performance comparison:
The results are presented in Table III. The crowd density estimation algorithm performs equally well on a middle and low CPU; the algorithm is not CPU-bound. Basic image pre-processing can be done at the edge to ease the cloud workflow. However when the complexity of the algorithm increase there is a significant difference between the two CPUs.

When the complexity of the algorithm is high but input data has low resolution (ResNet50), the performance is better on the middle CPU but the runtime on the gateway is acceptable (3 seconds per frame). But when the complexity of the algorithm is high and the image resolution is high (ResnetCrowd), the low CPU lacks of computational power. In both case, increasing the complexity have a runtime impact around 5 times worst on the E3825 than the i5-3210M CPU. This can be explained by the CPU architecture.

With only two cores the Atom E3825 is not capable of real multi-threading. Moreover, adjacent L2 and L3 memory size is larger in the i5-3210M which results in a better support of high performance computing. Note that I/O-bound algorithms do not require high performance computing; therefore the difference in runtime for the crowd density is explained by the dimensions of the input image. With low resolution images, the crowd density algorithm performs equally well on both CPUs. It is also important to note that we observed a 2 minute average delay between the ingestion of the data and the Microsoft Azure cloud reception due to the choice of the HTTP protocol known to be slower than MQTT.

2) Downscaling input data:
The size of the input image has an important impact on the performance. To push further, we investigated if a good trade-off between the model accuracy and runtime could be found by rescaling the input frame for the crowd counting algorithm. The images were rescaled by a factor of 5 from 100% (full-size image) up to 25% size image. The `cv2.resize()` function was used to shrink the frame with the INTERAREA interpolation parameter. Figure 3 shows the different accuracy of the model using the mean square error (MSE) and mean absolute error (MAE) of the miscount number of people obtained while figure 4 pictures the speed improvement when rescaling the image.

Both the speed and accuracy presents a linear relationship with the size of the input. However, reducing the input size
TABLE III
CPU PERFORMANCE COMPARISON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Laptop</th>
<th>Gateway</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frames per second</td>
<td>Seconds per frame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowd Density</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResNet50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowd Counting</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

can have a positive impact on the accuracy. The 90% image is an interesting result. It performs 31% better than the full-size image (MSE of 36 compare to 53 and MAE of 25 compare to 31) with a 22% time decrease (167 s/frame instead of 219 s/frame).

A good trade-off can be found. The 80% image is a good candidate with a 7% decrease in accuracy and 31% decrease in runtime. Nevertheless it is still taking two and a half minutes (150 seconds) to process one frame.

IV. DISCUSSION

Video processing at the edge has already been integrated in several smart city applications such as smart lights systems [10]. It presents many advantages mainly regarding application responsiveness and data storage and privacy. Edge computing has an important role to play for a sustainable data management. For many years It was put aside because of its computational limitation but with the progress of technology it is now possible to enhance advanced processing at the edge of an IoT network.

A fine tuning of the data pipeline where the processing is balanced between cloud and edge computing, is a key element for smart applications and several parameters need to be taken into account. When designing a solution, real-time requirement and the sensitivity of the data should be carefully considered to choose the right trade-off.

For example, from a crowd monitoring perspective most of the CCTV cameras capture only one image per second. When computing the crowd density or the crowd counting, real-time processing (approximately 25 frames per second) is not crucial. Extracting those features every half minute is sufficient. However, other applications like autonomous driving require an extreme responsiveness and therefore the computational limitation of the edge along with network latency is an issue.

But regarding data privacy, video analytics at the edge has many benefits. The raw data captured by CCTVs are highly sensitive. To perform data-driven decision making just a high level representation of those data such as the density of the crowd or the activity of the crowd is needed. Computing those informations at the edge reduced the cost of using cloud services and ensure a better anonymity of the data.

V. CONCLUSION

In the early stage, smart city applications were relying on a cloud centric approach. This design has shown its limitations (high costs in terms of bandwidth, latency and energy consumption) and a new paradigm has emerged where data processing is balanced between the cloud and the edge.

In this paper we have explored video analytics at the edge using a Dell Edge Gateway 5000. Basic image processing which are not CPU-bound are performing equally good on a low and middle range CPU devices. They can process more than one frame per second where most CCTVs only capture one image per second which make them suitable for near real-time crowd monitoring. However, with the algorithm complexity increasing, the size of the frame highly impacts the performance. It can increase by a factor of 5 the processing time at the edge. Carefully choosing the input size is important as a good trade-off between the model accuracy and the runtime performance can be found saving up to 30% time.

In the future work, the crowd monitoring solution will be stressed out in Croke Park. We will also explored further rescaling methods to fasten deep learning processing. Other hardware solutions exist to enhance computing at the edge.

![Fig. 3. MSE and MAE](image1)
![Fig. 4. Runtime](image2)
Movidius, an Intel company, has released a computational USB stick to provide a computer vision solution for low CPU devices.

Processing video at the edge enhance real-time analytics as well as better data-privacy; sensitive images can be anonymised at capture point. In this paper we have reviewed the capacity of edge video analytics. We have shown that video analytics can be performed but the application responsiveness highly depends of the input data size. Rescaling video frames is a good way of reducing the processing time for complex algorithms. Therefore to balance processing between the cloud and the edge some parameters such as the responsiveness of the application desire and sensitivity degree of data need to be taken into account.
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Fig. 5. Trade-off speed vs accuracy