
**The foundation and development of Na Fianna Éireann, 1909-16**

*Marnie Hay*

This article examines the establishment and development of Na Fianna Éireann, or the Irish National Boy Scouts, in the period 1909-16. It also assesses the contributions of the organisation’s two founders Countess Constance Markievicz (1868-1927) and Bulmer Hobson (1883-1969) in the early years of its existence. Bureau of Military History witness statements, a key source for the history of the Fianna, indicate that a degree of controversy surrounds the relative importance of the pair in the foundation and control of the Fianna movement.

During the first seven years of its existence this nationalist youth organisation developed branches in at least nineteen Irish counties, mainly in the cities and larger towns of Leinster, Munster and Ulster, as well as in Glasgow and Liverpool.¹ Many members of the Fianna participated in the Easter Rising, some serving as commanders and fighters while others engaged in despatch carrying, scouting and reconnoitring. The organisation later played an active role in the Irish War of Independence (1919-21) and Civil War (1922-3). Yet despite the Fianna’s involvement in the Irish independence movement, it has not been the subject of a specialist study.²

Na Fianna Éireann was one of many ‘pseudo-military youth groups’ that proliferated in Europe in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. These organisations were not only a manifestation of the cult of discipline, training and manliness that grew out of the menace of the coming war,³ but also, perhaps, a reaction to the widely perceived *fin-de-siècle* ‘decadence’. The most famous of these youth groups is Robert Baden-Powell’s Boy Scout movement.
Baden-Powell (1857-1941), a British army officer who specialised in reconnaissance and scouting, had established the Boy Scout movement in 1908 in response to the interest that boys had shown in his 1899 army training manual, *Aids to Scouting*. He was also inspired by the model of the Boys’ Brigade. This latter organisation was founded by William Alexander Smith in 1883 in Glasgow. Smith used the novelty of military drill and discipline as a way of encouraging teenage working-class boys to continue attending his Scottish Free Church Sunday School.\(^4\) [p. 53]

Baden-Powell put less *overt* emphasis on militarism. Instead he focused on outdoor activities and personal development in order to counter what he saw as the moral and physical decline of the upcoming generation. He also wanted to train boys to be better citizens.\(^5\) Whether his main concern prior to 1920 was training citizens or future soldiers has sparked much scholarly debate.\(^6\) In any case, the Baden-Powell Boy Scouts emphasised the importance of such traits as discipline, trust, obedience, loyalty, manliness, service and self-sacrifice.\(^7\)

Na Fianna Éireann promoted these same qualities, but in an Irish nationalist rather than British imperialist context. The Irish organisation offered its members a combination of military training, outdoor activities and cultural endeavours. In light of Ireland’s history of religious division, it is not surprising that the Fianna eschewed the Bible study of the Boys’ Brigade and the non-denominational, nature-loving Christian spirituality of the Baden-Powell movement.\(^8\) Open to individuals of all creeds, the Fianna emphasised the importance of morality, but rarely made reference to religion.

Markievicz and Hobson, two Irish Protestant nationalist activists, launched Na Fianna Éireann in August 1909 in order to counteract the influence in Ireland of the
pro-British Boy Scout movement. A recent convert to nationalism, Markievicz was in her early forties and came from a landed Anglo-Irish background. Hobson, her early patron within the Irish nationalist movement, was the product of a progressive Belfast Quaker family. Though only twenty-six years of age, he was already a prominent Sinn Féin propagandist and rising member of the Irish Republican Brotherhood (I.R.B.).

Their new organisation for boys was the militarised reincarnation of a boys’ hurling club, also called Na Fianna Éireann, that Hobson had founded in Belfast in 1902. The excitement surrounding the inaugural meeting of that first incarnation of the Fianna had convinced Hobson that the fledgling organisation was something that could be moulded ‘into a strong force to help in the liberation of Ireland’. But due to lack of money and the pressures of Hobson’s various political and cultural commitments, the Belfast organisation had lapsed before it could live up to this dream. Seven years later in Dublin, he had a second chance to explore the potential for his brainchild to become a strong force to aid in the struggle for Irish freedom. This was due to the impetus provided by Markievicz.

Having read a newspaper account of the Lord Lieutenant Lord Aberdeen’s interest in a number of Boys’ Brigades and Boy Scout troops, the countess had decided to administer a nationalist antidote. She initially approached Arthur Griffith about gaining support from Sinn Féin for the establishment of a nationalist boy scout troop, but was turned down—no doubt due to her vision of a physical force troop and her existing connection with Hobson, who was Griffith’s leadership rival within the Sinn Féin movement. Ironically, Griffith had been in favour of the formation of a nationalist boys’ brigade-style organisation when Hobson, who was not yet a threat, had established the first incarnation of the Fianna in 1902.
In order to attract boy recruits, Markievicz decided to contact a schoolmaster with nationalist sympathies. She was directed to William O’Neill who taught at St Andrew’s National School on Brunswick Street (now Pearse Street). During a visit to I.R.B. leader Tom Clarke’s shop the countess mentioned that she was planning to approach O’Neill about recruiting some boys to start a nationalist boy scout troop. Clarke ‘thought it a good idea but pointed out to her that as she was a non-Catholic O’Neill might look upon her with suspicion. In fact … he might suspect proselytism.’ At Clarke’s suggestion, she asked Sean McGarry, a future president of the I.R.B. Supreme Council, to accompany her. They evidently passed muster, as O’Neill introduced them to eight or nine boys, who helped to form the nucleus of what would later become the Fianna.

The countess invited these boys to her home in Rathgar, and dubbed them ‘The Red Branch Knights’. With the help of nationalist activist Helena Molony, McGarry and another I.R.B. member, Patrick McCartan, she tried—unsuccessfully—to instruct them in signalling, drill and scouting while they—successfully—raided her husband’s whiskey supply. After a chaotic camping trip with the boys, the countess decided that the only way for the experiment to work was to organise the project on a more official footing.

Upon hearing about Hobson’s Belfast Fianna, Markievicz declared that a national boys’ organisation should be established in Dublin in the same spirit as the original group. Hobson pointed out that the biggest obstacle to starting such an organisation was funding. Money, however, was no object for Markievicz, and in August 1909 she secured Hobson’s assistance and rented a hall at 34 Lower Camden Street in Dublin. At his request, the Red Branch Knights became Na Fianna Éireann as a memorial to his youthful Belfast adherents.
To make his dream of creating ‘a strong force to help in the liberation of Ireland’ come true, Hobson needed Markievicz’s energy, enthusiasm, initial injection of money and, due to her gender and social position, ability to inspire the trust and confidence of the parents of the younger boys. Without her, he probably would not have attempted to resurrect the Fianna. To make her dream come true, she needed his organisational experience, the template of his previous boys’ group, and (though she may not have been aware of it) his I.R.B. connection.

I

On Monday, 16 August 1909, in the Camden Street hall, Hobson chaired a meeting ‘to form a National Boys’ Organisation to be managed by the boys themselves on national non-party lines’. Estimates suggest that between thirty and one hundred boys, ‘mostly adventurers from the Coombe and neighbourhood’, turned up for this meeting to form what became known as Na Fianna Éireann. Markievicz and a few other adults were also in attendance. In his address Hobson explained that the organisation would be run on a semi-military basis along the lines of the Boy Scout movement founded in the previous year by Baden-Powell. In fact, it was one of the immediate objectives of this new group to counteract the influence in Ireland of Baden-Powell’s pro-British body.

Michael Lonergan was about fifteen years of age when he attended the inaugural meeting of the Fianna. He later viewed this meeting held in a ‘dingy’ theatrical hall as the beginning—militarily—of the events leading up to Easter Week. The Fianna were the first nationalist group in Ireland to begin drilling in the twentieth century. They went on to train members of the I.R.B. and were among the
few men, other than ex-British soldiers, to possess the military training necessary to become officers when the Irish Volunteers were formed in 1913.

When Markievicz told Clarke about her plans to start a nationalist boys’ group and solicited the assistance of McGarry, McCartan and Hobson, she approached them not as I.R.B. members but rather as fellow nationalists. This supports Hobson’s claim that the I.R.B. was not formally consulted and did not play an official part in the establishment of the Fianna. Despite this, Hobson’s own aim was ‘to recruit suitable members of the new Fianna into the I.R.B.’

Patrick Ward, who attended the inaugural meeting of the Fianna with his friend Eamon Martin, reported that Markievicz, Hobson and Pádraig Ó Riain acted as the initial organising committee. He recalled:

‘Madame Markievicz was very intense. She was the first person who captured your notice; then Bulmer Hobson, very quiet and affable and very competent to deal with any question you would like to put, was next, apparently, in our mind in importance …. There was also Pádraig Ó Riain, a good, efficient secretary.’

Soon afterwards Ward came to reverse his assessment of the relative importance of these three individuals, instead considering Ó Riain ‘as by far the most significant member of that small committee at the time’. Ward regarded Ó Riain’s ‘intellectual and studious development’ as ten years in advance of his age, adding that he possessed ‘a quiet sense of humour and perfect sense of justice’.

Eamon Martin and Hobson agreed with this assessment of Ó Riain, a young Gaelic Leaguer. Martin recalled that though Ó Riain appeared to be about sixteen or seventeen, ‘it was quite obvious that he was well used to meetings and their procedure’ and that he had a ‘capacity for orderly organisation’. Hobson also praised Ó Riain, citing him as the ‘dominating personality in the Fianna’ during the
period 1909-16. Hard-working and a natural leader, Ó Riain was responsible, in his view, for the success of the Fianna in these critical years.\textsuperscript{28}

Accounts of this first Fianna meeting provide differing details regarding the election of an executive council. All accounts agree that Hobson was elected president. Some refer to the election of Markievicz and Ó Riain as joint secretaries while others suggest that Markievicz became vice-president and Ó Riain secretary. This discrepancy may have arisen because a number of organisational meetings were held at the time.\textsuperscript{29}

The election of the countess was controversial. Many boys were reluctant to accept a woman in office because they felt that there was no place for a female in a physical force association.\textsuperscript{30} Ironically, she was the best qualified person in the hall to teach them how to handle firearms.\textsuperscript{31} According to Hobson, he often had to point out discreetly that the boys could not take her money and at the same time deny her membership or office. However, ‘this feeling against the presence of a woman in the organisation continued in varying degrees of intensity for many years and probably never completely disappeared’.\textsuperscript{32}

While Markievicz provided an initial injection of money to start the organisation, in actual fact Na Fianna Éireann’s ongoing funding came from the boys’ own subscriptions of one penny a week and events such as concerts. In addition, Roger Casement provided gifts of money and Hobson engaged in various fundraising activities.\textsuperscript{33} For instance, between July 1911 and June 1912 Hobson’s efforts brought in £10, almost one third of the Fianna’s annual income for that year.\textsuperscript{34}

Na Fianna Éireann soon developed a formal organisational structure. In addition to its executive,\textsuperscript{35} the Fianna consisted of an \textit{ard-fheis} (or congress), an \textit{ard-choisde} (or central council), district councils, and \textit{sluaighe} (or troops). The \textit{ard-fheis},
which met annually, was the ‘supreme governing and legislative body of the Fianna’. It [p. 57] consisted of the *ard-choisde* and delegates from the various *sluaichte* and district councils, which were formed in 1911 in Dublin and Belfast, where there were three or more *sluaichte*. The *ard-choisde* was responsible for the general direction of Na Fianna Éireann and served as the governing body of the organisation when the *ard-fheis* was not sitting.\textsuperscript{36}

Shortly after the Fianna’s formation, unemployment forced Hobson to move back home to Belfast for a year. In his absence the countess was elected president, a position that she retained even after Hobson moved back to Dublin in 1911. Upon his return, he resumed his active involvement with the Fianna.\textsuperscript{37} He sat on the *ard-choisde* until the 1916 Rising and served as vice-president until the Fianna’s reorganisation in mid-1915.\textsuperscript{38} In this capacity, he often chaired the quarterly meetings of the Dublin-based *ard-choisde* and represented it at regional conferences, such as that of the Munster *sluaichte* held in Limerick in 1915.\textsuperscript{39}

*An Cead Sluagh*, the first Fianna troop, was born out of the inaugural meeting in August 1909. Its members met regularly in the Camden Street hall, adopting a jersey and kilt as their uniform.\textsuperscript{40} Other Fianna members chose to wear breeches and a tunic with brass buttons. Con Colbert, who was later executed for his role in the 1916 Rising, was the first instructor in elementary drill formations. Eamon Martin reported: ‘[Colbert] was no great expert at this time. By intense swotting, however, he improved as the weeks went on, and, consequently, so did the *sluagh*.’ Later on certain members of the *sluagh*, such as the dapper Michael Lonergan, emerged as natural instructors. Through their involvement with the Fianna, the boys learned elementary drill, signalling, first aid, route marching and scouting skills such as map reading, path-finding and elementary astronomy. They also learned the Irish language
and Irish history, particularly the history of battles and insurrections. As Martin explained, ‘in this way the boys were being given a reason for their own military training.’

The Fianna initially faced ‘opposition, ridicule and indifference’, even from fellow nationalists. For instance, a correspondent to Griffith’s Sinn Féin newspaper was keen to dissociate the local branches of Sinn Féin and the Gaelic League from the new boys’ group. Publications associated with Hobson, such as the I.R.B. newspaper Irish Freedom and the Irish Volunteer organ, were more supportive and published regular reports of Fianna activities. In December 1910, Irish Freedom noted:

‘The interest taken in the Fianna by young and old is gradually, if slowly, increasing as they increase in numbers. There are those who think the organisation of little importance because it is made up of boys, but such people forget that though one may be too young to be [p. 58] the possessor of that powerful weapon called a vote, nobody is too young to serve his country, and, if necessary, fight for his country.’

The young age at which Robert Emmet first attracted the notice of the British authorities was cited as a reminder of what boys could achieve.

Between 1909 and 1913 the growth of the organisation was slow and often unsteady. By January 1911 there were over 150 members of the Fianna in Belfast alone. At the second annual ard-fheis held in the summer of 1911, fifteen sluaighte were affiliated, including seven in Dublin and six in Belfast. However, many of these troops were ‘in a very disorganised condition’, and three of them soon collapsed. The situation improved over the ensuing year, and in July 1912 Ó Riain recorded the existence of 22 branches, ‘all in a very healthy condition’. Between the summers of 1911 and 1912 three new troops had been established in County Dublin,
one in the city and two in Ballybrack and Lusk. Troops also existed in Derry, Athlone, Moat, Portlaoise, Enniscorthy and Rathkeale. The Limerick sluagh, which boasted 250 members, even opened the first purpose-built Fianna hall in the country in December 1912.

Growth of the organisation was often stunted for a variety of reasons. Local members of the Ancient Order of Hibernians (A.O.H.) had broken up a sluagh in Newcastle-West. In addition, the Belfast branches had faced ‘serious difficulties’ for reasons that were not elucidated. In July 1912 Ó Riain reported that ‘one sluagh had to be suspended, and three others ceased to exist as sluaignte of the Fianna’. The Belfast district council, however, had established new troops to replace the ones lost. Also, a lack of funds limited the ard-choisde’s ability to engage in systematic organisation around the country and postponed the publication of a planned instruction handbook. Aside from affiliation fees, which amounted to £4 10s., most of its annual income for the year 1911-12 (£33 13s. 1d.) came from Dublin. It was believed that ‘great work could be done’ in County Wexford, where public opinion was favourable to the Fianna, if only the ard-choisde could afford to send an organiser there. Ultimately, publication of the Fianna handbook was delayed until 1914. Despite such setbacks, however, by 1913 the organisation had spread beyond the Dublin region to Belfast, Cork, Limerick, Derry, Waterford, Clonmel, Dundalk, Newry, Listowel and Glasgow. [p. 59]

II

The Fianna purported to be a national non-party organisation that was open to all Irish boys from the ages of eight to eighteen, no matter ‘what class or creed or party that they or their fathers belong[ed] to’. Over time, however, the organisation became
openly militant, especially after selected senior members of the Fianna were recruited into the I.R.B. when they reached the age of seventeen. Ó Riain and Colbert joined the I.R.B. at an early stage. Eamon Martin and Patrick Ward became members in 1911 while Liam Mellows, Michael Lonergan and Garry Holohan were sworn in the following year. Martin claimed that by 1913 practically every senior Fianna officer throughout the country had become a member of the I.R.B.

At the third annual *ard-fheis* in July 1912, the constitution was amended to assert that the object of the Fianna was to re-establish the independence of Ireland and that this object was to be achieved through ‘the training of the youth of Ireland, mentally and physically … by teaching scouting and military exercises, Irish history, and the Irish language’. Members promised ‘to work for the Independence of Ireland, never to join England’s armed forces, and to obey [their] superior officers’.

It was no coincidence that 1912 was the year that Hobson started a special Fianna circle of the I.R.B. after his election as the Dublin Centre of that secret society. This new Fianna circle was known as the John Mitchel Literary and Debating Society with Colbert as head. The membership included Ó Riain, Martin, Lonergan, Holohan, Sean Heuston, Desmond Ryan and the Mellows brothers, Liam and Barney.

The 1912 annual congress was revolutionary in another way. Resolutions were carried by small majorities to admit girls to the organisation and to instruct district councils to establish girls’ *sluaighte*. These resolutions generated a great deal of discussion with emotions running high. Boys who were against the admission of the opposite sex argued that there should be a separate organisation founded for girls. In the end the resolution to admit girls was carried by only one vote. Even though she was reputed not to like girls, the countess was the one who managed to carry the proposal to open the Fianna to her own gender. The suffragist newspaper, *Irish*
Citizen, reported that this feminist advance was later flouted by ‘the newly-elected committee of the Fianna, which [endeavoured], by delay and obstruction, to thwart the expressed wishes of the congress which elected it’.\(^{61}\) Implying that the *ard-fheis*’s decision to open the Fianna to girls was invalid because some troops were not represented at the event, the *ard-choisde* held a plebiscite among the *sluaighde* in which a majority (12 to 5) voted in favour of changing the constitution back to its original ‘boys only’ condition. Six of the twelve branches that voted against the inclusion of girls in the organisation were based in the Dublin area. Of the five *sluaighde* who supported female membership, three were listed as being based in Belfast, while the two others had names associated with Ulster.\(^{62}\)

In fact, a girls’ branch of the Fianna had existed in Belfast since 1911, though it had faced ‘endless opposition’ before it became affiliated with the Belfast district council.\(^{63}\) According to the newspaper *Bean na hÉireann* it was named in honour of the late Belfast poet Ethna Carbery. When Markievicz had given the oration at the annual Manchester Martyrs commemoration in November 1911 in Belfast, this girls’ *sluagh* had presented an exhibition of drill that ‘received general approbation’.\(^{64}\) The establishment of a girls’ *sluagh* may have been a nationalist reaction to the formation in Belfast in 1910 of what was probably the only pre-war Girl Guide company in Ireland.\(^{65}\)

By the summer of 1912, Belfast’s one and only girls’ troop was known as the Betsy Gray *sluagh*, after a heroine of the 1798 rebellion. It is unclear whether the Ethna Carbery branch had changed its name or had folded and been replaced with a new girls’ troop.\(^{66}\) In any case, James Connolly’s daughters Nora and Ina became leading members.\(^{67}\)
Ernest Blythe, a Belfast member of the I.R.B. and future Free State finance minister, later contested the evidence provided by the results of the 1912 plebiscite, in which the Betsy Gray sluagh was among the Belfast troops that voted in favour of female membership. He contended that ‘the Belfast boys tried to get rid of the girls’ branch every year at the organisation’s ard-fheis, and [that] it survived only because of the support of the other groups “who had no girls to annoy them”’. Although the Belfast girls’ sluagh was barred from affiliating with the ard-choisde, representatives, such as the Connolly sisters, continued to attend [p. 61] the annual ard-fheis. Despite calls for a separate girls’ organisation to be established nationally, Markievicz did not undertake the task.

The fourth annual ard-fheis in July 1913 may not have been controversial on the surface, but behind the scenes Fianna officers who were also members of the I.R.B. had gained control of the organisation (and were to hold sway until 1923). From 1913 onwards it became the practice that the night before the ard-fheis all Fianna officers who were I.R.B. members attended a meeting of the Fianna circle at which ‘all matters of policy were decided’. Martin recalled that ‘certain resolutions of no great importance were left open for free voting but apart from the discussion arising out of these the rest was all so much eye-wash’. Although these young men—they were hardly boys anymore—found it expedient to retain the countess as president, Martin revealed that ‘she really had no voice in shaping policy and was overruled or out voted whenever her ideas ran counter to the decisions of this group’. While Martin believed that Markievicz was oblivious to the take-over by I.R.B. members, her biographer Jacqueline Van Voris claimed that the countess ‘was aware of it almost at once’ and often expressed her disapproval of secret societies. Markievicz had her own cadre within the Fianna, who were known as ‘Madame’s
boys’ or the ‘Surrey House clique’, in reference to her home on Leinster Road in Rathmines, where they gathered.\textsuperscript{74}

III

In July 1913, the same month that the \textit{ard-fheis} was held, Hobson suggested to the Dublin Centres Board of the I.R.B. that members should start drilling in preparation for the formation of a military body along the lines of the Ulster Volunteer Force established in January of that year. Drilling began in the summer of 1913 at 41 Parnell Square in Dublin, the base of the Irish National Foresters, where Ó Ríain’s father worked as caretaker. Members of the Fianna circle of the I.R.B., including Lonergan, Ó Ríain, Colbert and Martin, served as drill instructors.\textsuperscript{75} Lonergan later boasted that he ‘was the first man in Ireland who taught Patrick Pearse to “form fours”.’\textsuperscript{76}

When Hobson helped to form the Irish Volunteers in November 1913, he recruited five senior members of the Fianna to the Provisional Committee of the new body: Ó Ríain, Colbert, Martin, Lonergan and Liam Mellows. These young men visited various halls in the evenings, instructing the officers and directing the course of training. Not surprisingly, most of these officers were members of the I.R.B. who had received training from these senior Fianna members prior to the formation of the Volunteers.\textsuperscript{77} [p. 62]

In addition to providing officers and instructors to the new body, the Fianna contributed numerous rank and file Volunteers. The Fianna introduced a new rule in which members who had reached the age of eighteen but had not achieved the rank of lieutenant were automatically transferred to the Volunteers. Martin deemed the arrangement a success: ‘It gave to the Volunteers [recruits] who were already fully trained and for the Fianna it solved the problem of the young men of eighteen years
and over, for whom there were not sufficient officer positions. For instance, Patrick Ward was instructed to leave the Fianna and join the Volunteers shortly after the establishment of the latter body.

The militant mindset and military training promoted by the Fianna was as valuable to the Irish Volunteers as it was to the I.R.B. Patrick Pearse, writing in *Irish Freedom*, was among those who recognised the value of the Fianna:

‘We believe that Na Fianna Éireann have kept the military spirit alive in Ireland during the past four years, and that if the Fianna had not been founded in 1909, the Volunteers of 1913 would never have arisen. In a sense, then, the Fianna have been the pioneers of the Volunteers; and it is from the ranks of the Fianna that the Volunteers must be recruited.’

Pearse’s reasoning could be extended to argue that the Easter Rising might not have been possible without the foundation of the Fianna in 1909.

Naturally, Hobson was also a keen advocate of the mutual benefits of the Fianna and the Volunteers. In an address to a conference of the Munster *sluaigthe* in 1915, he ‘appealed for a reciprocity between Volunteers and Fianna, and pointed out how the future of the Volunteer movement would depend upon the training and spirit of the boys of to-day’. He also encouraged Volunteer officers to form boy scout troops associated with their companies, pointing out how the scouts could be of value to the adult organisation. As in the case of the I.R.B., there was an unofficial link between the Fianna and the Irish Volunteers from the inception of the latter organisation. However, although co-operation between the two organisations was naturally close, there was no formal affiliation between the two until after the Easter Rising.
There were also connections between the Fianna and Cumann na mBan, which was formed in April 1914 as the women’s counterpart to the Irish Volunteers. In Belfast the Betsy Gray sluagh became a recruiting ground for the branch of Cumann na mBan founded there by Nora Connolly. Connolly’s influence and Fianna training helped to ensure that the Belfast members were often the best shots in the new women’s organisation. Fianna officer Seamus Pounch trained Cumann na mBan members in Dublin, while Margaret Skinnider, a Cumann na mBan member who participated in the Easter Rising, trained and drilled the Fianna in Glasgow. Cumann na mBan eventually formed a girl scout organisation, the Cailíní, in 1933.

IV

The year 1913 saw a further expansion of the Fianna around the country after Liam Mellows was sent on the road between April and November as the Fianna’s new full-time organiser and instructor. Although a special fund was set up for his maintenance, his expenses were few because he travelled around the country on his own Irish-built bicycle and stayed with people connected to the I.R.B. Eamon Martin credited the increasing growth of the Fianna from 1913 onwards as a ‘testimony’ to Mellows’s work in establishing new branches and giving existing troops a boost. For instance, new sluaghte were established in Wexford and Tullamore in 1913. The Tuam and Liverpool branches may have been formed around this time as well.

The momentum continued into 1914. Between January and October of that year eleven new sluaghte were formed in Gorey, Ballybunion, Cashel, Tipperary, Carrick-on-Suir, Mitchelstown, Athy, Sandyford, Clontarf, Chapelizod and Tallaght. It could be argued, however, that this growth was also connected to the establishment of the Volunteer movement, as many sons of Volunteers joined the Fianna.
By February 1914 the Dublin sluaighe had formed a battalion consisting of two companies, ‘A’ and ‘B’, possibly to bring themselves in line with the emerging organisational structure of the Volunteers. The Dublin Battalion again re-organised, expanding into three companies in July 1914. By the following month it had over 350 members. The Limerick branch, in contrast, had decreased from a high of 250 boys down to about 160 by May 1914.

The year 1914 also saw the opening of a Fianna headquarters at 12 D’Olier Street and the launch of two Fianna publications. In that year the ard-choisde finally issued the Fianna handbook in order to instruct and guide members of the Fianna. The first Fianna Christmas annual also appeared in 1914. Nodlaig na bhFiann, which was edited by Fianna members Patsy O’Connor and Percy Reynolds, was not published by Fianna headquarters but did have official sanction. Buoyed by its financial success, the pair established an unofficial monthly paper entitled Fianna in February 1915.

In June 1914 Hobson’s role within the I.R.B. diminished after he defied I.R.B. orders and supported, for strategic reasons, the acceptance of Irish Parliamentary Party leader John Redmond’s nominees onto the Provisional Committee of the Irish Volunteers. Redmond, alarmed by the growing popularity of the Volunteers, had threatened to split the movement if twenty-five of his nominees were not co-opted to this governing committee. In Hobson’s view, the Volunteer movement was not yet strong enough to withstand a split. Convinced that a strong minority group could secretly control the committee, Hobson not only voted in favour of the co-option of the nominees, but influenced others to vote in the same manner, ensuring the acceptance of the nominees. Although his actions succeeded in postponing a split in the Volunteer movement until after the outbreak of the First World War, they earned
him the wrath of Tom Clarke and Sean MacDermott, the most powerful members of the I.R.B. executive.

Hobson’s falling-out with Clarke and MacDermott does not appear to have affected his role within the Fianna nor diminished the position of the Fianna officers within the I.R.B., with the possible exception of Ó Riain, a close friend of Hobson’s. In October 1914, Hobson was re-elected vice-president at the fifth annual Fianna *ard-fheis*. According to Garry Holohan, ‘the Fianna officers with, I should think, the exception of Con Colbert, still had implicit faith in Bulmer Hobson, while at the same time we enjoyed the full confidence and friendship of Tom Clarke and Sean McDermott’. Martin suggested that Hobson’s role in the Fianna was not affected by his bitter conflict with Clarke and MacDermott because his ‘counsel and guidance’ had ‘so impressed and influenced the young men of the [Fianna], all of whom held him in high esteem’. Martin, who voted against the acceptance of Redmond’s nominees, and Holohan ultimately agreed that Hobson’s actions were justified. In Holohan’s opinion, Hobson, Clarke and MacDermott ‘were all equally sincere in their efforts to advance the movement as they thought best’.

Shortly after the co-option of Redmond’s nominees to the Volunteer committee, the Fianna had the opportunity to put their skills to work in a real-life military manoeuvre when they helped in the landing of arms and ammunition at Howth on 26 July 1914. About 200 members of the Fianna participated in the event. Hobson, who organised the landing, instructed them to bring their trek-cart loaded with wooden batons to Howth and to distribute these batons to the Volunteers for protection in case of police interference. Six Fianna members were responsible for signalling, both in Morse code and semaphore, to the Asgard from the Hill of Howth. When the yacht docked at the pier, the Fianna were ordered to fill their
trek-cart with 2,000 rounds of ammunition and bring it back to Dublin. Hobson felt that the Fianna ‘were the only body with sufficient discipline to be entrusted with ammunition’ at that time.\textsuperscript{101} When a second shipment of arms arrived in Kilcoole on the night of 1 August 1914, Holohan and Ward were among the Fianna members who helped to land the cargo and transport it to Dublin.\textsuperscript{102}

Hobson excluded Markievicz and the female members of the Fianna from the Howth gun-running, provoking their anger and disappointment. Ina Connolly recalled: ‘It really looked as if we were not trusted….Had I been a boy I would not have been overlooked.’\textsuperscript{103} However, she and her sister Nora, who were camping with the countess and some Fianna boys in the Wicklow hills that weekend, were later asked to smuggle guns up to Belfast, a risky task that they completed successfully.\textsuperscript{104}

\textbf{V}

The split in the Irish Volunteers in September 1914 impacted on membership numbers in the Fianna, both positively and negatively. The split occurred after Redmond, without consultation, urged the Volunteers to fight in the First World War. While a majority followed Redmond under the new name, National Volunteers, and joined the British Army, a minority adhered to the force’s original aims and name. After the split Redmond’s supporters in the Irish Parliamentary Party denounced the Irish Volunteers and the Fianna, particularly for their stance against recruitment into the British military. Ó Riain commented:

‘Not being satisfied with their efforts to disrupt the adult Volunteer organisation, many of the party politicians who pollute the public life of our country showed unusual zeal in attributing Sinn Féin tendencies to the Fianna. A self-governing boys’ organisation is inconceivable to the mentality of the machine politician.’\textsuperscript{105}
For instance, the mayor of Kilkenny allegedly urged ‘boys not to sign the Fianna declaration’ and to secede from the organisation. In Belfast ‘the same sort of tactics’ were pursued by ‘an ignorant section’ of the A.O.H.106

Ó Riain noted that such publicity attracted ‘hundreds of recruits’ in places like Dublin, where the Fianna organisation was strong.107 By November 1914 the Dublin Battalion boasted 500 members.108 However, while Waterford ‘weathered the storm that … wrecked more than one of our country sluáighe’, other places were not so resilient.109 For example, in June 1915 only three Belfast troops were described as ‘hard at work’110 and by November 1915 membership in the Limerick sluagh was down to sixty boys.111

Despite this dip in numbers, Ó Riain reported in April 1915 that the first five years of the Fianna’s existence had placed the organisation on a sound basis. But there was still much more work to be done because ‘only a small fraction of the boys of Ireland’ had pledged themselves to the Fianna. Ó Riain warned members that they could not ‘afford to go plodding along slowly’ as they had previously. Alluding to the possibility that Britain’s weakness during the First World War might be Ireland’s opportunity, he predicted that ‘great changes’ in the country’s [p. 66] national life were ahead and advised the Fianna to ‘be organised and strong’ in order to prevent their movement from ‘being submerged by coming crises’.112

To prepare for these coming crises, the Dublin district council expanded its battalion into nine companies.113 In addition, the Fianna re-organised its governing body at the sixth annual ard-fheis in July 1915.114 Captain Eamon Martin proposed resolutions that provoked a lengthy discussion that had probably been rehearsed at an I.R.B. meeting beforehand. He suggested that the presidency of the Fianna should be abolished and replaced with a Fianna Chief who would be appointed to hold military
command of the entire organisation and that the *ard-choisde* should be replaced by a ‘competent’ headquarters’ staff, which would help the Fianna Chief run the organisation. Martin asserted ‘that the anomaly of having a president instead of a military chief at the head of their organisation was ludicrous’ in a movement that over the years had become ‘essentially a military one’. He added that over the past six months, the general secretary, rather than the *ard-choisde*, had been doing most of the work at its D’Olier Street headquarters. Due to the growth of the Fianna, the workload was now too great to be done efficiently by one or two boys.

Hobson opposed the resolutions, pointing out that if they were passed, the *ard-fheis* would be handing over ‘the government of the Fianna to a half-dozen Fianna officers’. He argued that ‘there was a civil side in the Fianna which was of even greater importance than the military one’. Hobson may have been ‘scripted’ at the I.R.B. meeting prior to the *ard-fheis* to play the part of devil’s advocate. Alternatively, he may have feared that the I.R.B. would draw the Fianna into a premature insurrection. Although Clarke and MacDermott kept Hobson, whom they no longer trusted, in the dark about their precise plans for a rising, he had been informed of the decision to stage an insurrection before the end of the war.\(^{115}\)

Through discussion, the proposed changes were eventually crafted into a compromise. The *ard-fheis* decided to retain Markievicz’s position as president and elect an *ard-choisde* of twelve members, which was instructed to appoint an *ard fhéinne* (Fianna Chief) and headquarters’ staff at their first meeting.\(^{116}\) The subsequent appointments of Ó Riain as *ard fhéinne* and Hobson as chief of staff hint at the deterioration in relations between Markievicz and Hobson.

When the Fianna were established in 1909, Hobson and Markievicz were close nationalist associates. He had been her first mentor within the Sinn Féin movement. In
late 1909, along with Helena Molony, the pair even ran a short-lived commune at Belcamp Park in Raheny where Fianna boys held weekend camps and shooting practices. Over time, however, their relationship suffered due to political differences. Markievicz and Molony resented the control that the I.R.B. had over their nationalist men friends. They were incensed in July 1911 when these young men, on I.R.B. orders, journeyed to Wolfe Tone’s grave in Bodenstown to commemorate the anniversary of his death instead of staying in Dublin to participate in street protests against the royal visit. They assumed that they had convinced Hobson to join them on the streets, and so felt doubly betrayed when he too accompanied the Bodenstown crowd. Markievicz later accused her one-time mentor of being ‘one of those who preferred the limelight and laurels to be won by a fierce speech at a rebel’s graveside to the possibility of getting a hammering from the police or being arrested’. Hobson’s opposition to active I.R.B. support for the workers during the 1913 Lockout and his acceptance of Redmond’s nominees onto the Provisional Committee of the Irish Volunteers also had an adverse effect on his relationship with the countess. Thus, the seemingly incongruous appointments of Ó Riain, a natural organiser, to a role that was ‘really no more than an honour’ and father figure Hobson to a managerial position were designed to appease Markievicz. Martin explained: ‘[w]hile Madame [Markievicz] might feel hurt because she herself was not given the title of ard fhéinne she would tolerate it going to Pádraig, but by this time she would never have stood for it going to Bulmer. Therefore, these two positions were filled in this way because it was still considered expedient that Madame should not be too openly antagonised.’

In fact, Hobson became only nominal chief of staff. Instead Ó Riain directed and coordinated the work of the various departments. In addition, Markievicz’s re-election
to the position of president had been arranged behind the scenes in order to ensure that she was not eligible for appointment to headquarters’ staff.121

VI

Under its new organisational structure, the Fianna continued to extend and intensify its military training programme. In the period from the summer of 1915 to the spring of 1916 many members felt that the Fianna, along with their republican colleagues in the I.R.B. and the Irish Volunteers, ‘were moving rapidly towards a climax’, which, as it turned out, was the Easter Rising.

Martin was one of the first Fianna members to get any ‘definite information’ about the planned insurrection. He heard about it on the Sunday prior to Easter 1916.122 That same night Hobson gave an impromptu speech at a concert organised by Cumann na mBan. In ‘guarded language’, he ‘warned’ the Volunteers in the audience ‘of the extreme danger of being drawn into precipitate action’, which he believed ‘could only have the effect of bringing the Volunteer movement to an end’. He added that ‘no man had a right to risk the fortunes of the country in [p. 68] order to create for himself a niche in history’.123 Hobson was only in favour of insurrection if Germany provided enough assistance to guarantee military success or if Britain attempted to suppress the Volunteers. Instead he favoured a campaign of guerrilla warfare.

Martin did not hear about Hobson’s guerrilla warfare plan until after the Rising. Even though he viewed this plan as ‘sound and practicable’, Martin maintained that he would still have supported an insurrection, explaining that Pearse’s doctrine of the blood sacrifice ‘had a greater appeal for those who had become tired of waiting for favourable opportunities’. Martin, like others, felt it would be ‘shameful and disastrous’ if the First World War ended before any attempt was made to take
advantage of Britain’s difficulty. Thus, ‘even a glorious failure would be better than
no attempt at all’.\textsuperscript{124} As Hobson did not share this enthusiasm for a blood sacrifice and
the triumph of failure, the Easter Rising proved to be the parting of the ways between
him and his young associates in the Fianna.

In contrast to Hobson, many former and current members of the Fianna did
participate in the Rising as commanders, fighters, despatch carriers and scouts. Seven
were killed in action.\textsuperscript{125} Among the fifteen leaders executed for their part in the Rising
were two young men connected with the Fianna. Sean Heuston, who was director of
training at Fianna headquarters and vice-commandant of the Dublin battalion, took
charge of the Mendicity Institute at Usher’s Island, while Colbert was second in
command at Marrowbone Lane Distillery and took command at the surrender.
Heuston and Colbert were executed on 8 May 1916.\textsuperscript{126} Markievicz, who had been one
of the commanders at Stephen’s Green and the College of Surgeons, was saved from
their fate by her gender.

Some members of the Fianna, including Volunteer leader Eoin MacNeill’s son
Niall, were court-martialed by the organisation for not taking part in the Rising.
Markievicz, Barney Mellows and a third (unnamed) Fianna officer exonerated Niall
MacNeill on the grounds that he had been under his father’s influence. MacNeill saw
such court-martials as a ‘face-saving’ device to keep certain useful people in the
Fianna movement.\textsuperscript{127}

The Fianna reorganised shortly after the Easter Rising and attracted an all-time
high of over 30,000 members by June 1917.\textsuperscript{128} After the Civil War the organisation
revamped itself yet again in 1924: it returned to the original \textit{sluagh} system and issued
a new handbook. The influence of the I.R.B. having faded away, the countess was
able to assert control until her death in 1927.\textsuperscript{129} The organisation tried to emphasise its
educational, rather than military, value in a newly independent Irish Free State, where the Baden-Powell Boy Scouts continued to attract higher [p. 69] membership figures, and in Northern Ireland where Fianna *sluaithe*, despite having been outlawed in 1923, remained in existence.

The active role of the Fianna in the Easter Rising, and later in the War of Independence and on the anti-treaty side during the Civil War, was (and still is) a source of great pride for the organisation. Various commemoration publications featured reprints of articles by Markievicz, Pearse, James Connolly and Roger Casement and photographs of Fianna members who were executed or killed in action. Not surprisingly, Hobson’s name was conspicuous by its absence. Even the 1959 souvenir programme of the fiftieth anniversary of the founding of the Fianna made no mention of his contribution to the organisation in the period 1909-16. Although individual members of the Fianna, such as Martin and Holohan, thought that Hobson had been unjustly accused of cowardice and treason as a result of his opposition to the Rising, the organisation as a whole appears to have found it expedient—at least for awhile—to airbrush him out of the picture after 1916. The 1988 *Fianna Éireann handbook* acknowledged Hobson as one of the organisation’s two founders, but placed greater emphasis on the contribution of Markievicz, who not only was involved over a longer period of time, but also served as a more fitting role model in view of the modern-day Fianna’s commitment to republicanism and socialism.

VII

A degree of controversy surrounds the foundation and control of the Fianna in the period 1909-16. Over three decades later Helena Molony expressed concern that ‘a certain section’ were still trying to prove that the countess was ‘only a tool’ used by
Hobson—possibly even the I.R.B.—to start the nationalist youth organisation.

Molony added:

‘I would like it to be understood that she was eighty per cent responsible for it. I am not saying that she did not get valuable help. In fact, she could not have succeeded if she had not got help; but the driving work of carrying it on was hers.’¹³⁶

Witness statements to the Bureau of Military History from former members of the Fianna acknowledge the importance of Markievicz’s contribution, but indicate that real control within the organisation lay with the boys who had been recruited into the I.R.B. The following observation from Martin is typical of the witness statements:

‘While it is true she was ‘used’ it is nevertheless true I believe that without her it is doubtful [p. 70] if Bulmer Hobson or anyone else would have embarked upon the project. I believe it needed Madame’s enthusiasm, her tremendous energy and above all the abiding faith of such a noble character to have brought the dream to fruition.’¹³⁷

In light of Markievicz’s antipathy towards Hobson and the I.R.B., it is not surprising that Molony was concerned that ‘a certain section’ were trying to shift the credit for the Fianna toward Hobson and the I.R.B. and away from her friend.¹³⁸

Markievicz’s energy, enthusiasm and money were essential to the establishment of Na Fianna Éireann. In addition to serving as a flamboyant focal point for the organisation, she was able to provide instruction in the use of firearms and bases for shooting practice and camping. Her gender and social position were also reassuring to the parents of younger boys. Hobson brought to the Fianna his considerable organisational and propagandist experience, the template of his previous Belfast boys’ group, and his connections to the I.R.B. and later the Irish Volunteers.

The efforts of Markievicz and Hobson would have come to nothing, however, if it had
not been for the boys and girls themselves. The eagerness, activity, skill and commitment of Ó Riain, Colbert, Martin, the Mellows brothers, Heuston, Holohan, the Connolly sisters and others ensured that the Fianna made an important contribution, both military and educational, to the struggle for Irish independence both before and after Easter 1916.139 [p. 71]
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