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Preface

_Irish Television Drama: A Society and Its Stories_ appeared in 1987. It was a story about storytelling. It was a narrative about a society in a process of transformation, about how that drama played itself out in television drama. That story began in 1962 with the advent of indigenous television, when Radio Telefis Eireann came on air. It moved through 25 years of tumult, of a struggle to define the nature of our society and the role of television drama in relation to that.

This book is a sequel to that one. It advances the story another 15 years. It takes us into the time of the tiger. The tiger in the earlier years was just a feisty cub, smaller and poorer than the rest, but quick to maximise its assets in the game of globalisation. Then came the boom and the flow of milk and honey, cocktails and cappuccinos, megabytes of e-mail and the never ending ringing of mobile phones. There was pride and plenty, but there was also crassness and confusion and exclusion. Living it was one thing, not always as simple as it seemed, but conceptualising it and narrating it were more complex still. Television drama struggled to come to terms with the times, often with considerable confusion, but occasionally with moments of piercing clarity.

In these years much was happening in the world, raising many questions about the relationships of waves of world historical events, the daily flux of experience and the flow of television drama. There have been significant changes, for example, in the climate surrounding broadcasting and in its structures of storytelling: a shift from centralised in-house production to decentralised outsourced production and co-production; an intensified challenge to public service broadcasting from more commercial forces; the impact of new technological developments such as the internet and digitisation.

In _Irish Television Drama_ there were chapters on the nature of narrative and its role in human experience, on television as a medium of drama, on criteria for judging television drama, on contrasting approaches to media studies. I described and defended my theoretical approach as well as my concrete research methods. Subsequent chapters went through each decade, first setting out the temper of the times, then sketching the climate of broadcasting and finally looking to television drama as the stories this society was telling at this time and place in its development. I have taken as read, rather than re-iterating, my theoretical arguments and my explanation of my research methods. I have basically taken the same approach to the last 15 years as I did to the previous 25 years.

There have been some changes in my methods of research in the intervening years though. There was good news and bad news. The good news was computerisation. In those years I got into computers in a way that I never imagined when I was writing my earlier books by hand. First it was word processing for writing my books and articles. A few years later I was creating websites and multimedia productions. The internet has become basic to my connection to the wider world. For this project I found at least some information that I needed on the web. E-mail too was a valuable means of making arrangements and checking facts. The bad news was RTE restructuring: the chopping and changing in organisational structures and a scrappy pattern of drama production during this period. The absence of a drama department from 1990 created a sense of discontinuity and made it very difficult in research terms to check facts, trace files, locate continuity of institutional memory and responsibility. Somehow I made my way and found out what I needed to know. I had to learn to find my way around RTE again and that was interesting on many levels, from noting new buildings and tracking careers to discovering the story behind the scenes of what I had been watching and catching up on years of showbiz gossip.
There were still the old methods of research, of course. I had watched almost all of this drama on its original transmission and read (and sometimes wrote) the reviews. I had kept up with research in television studies. I gave papers analysing television drama at such events as the International Television Studies Conference in London in 1988 and at the Imagining Ireland Conference at the Irish Film Centre in 1993. I participated in many other such events over the years and benefitted from such interaction as arose. I taught a course on social history and television drama at Dublin City University. When it came to getting down to business on the new book, I made lists, organised interviews, read scripts, reviewed tapes and started writing. While I was writing, there was more drama in production than in many years. I looked in on *Fair City* and *No Tears* when time and circumstance allowed. Conveniently *No Tears* was shooting at DCU.

The publication of the first book has fed back into the feedback loop for the new book. The book was widely reviewed in newspapers and on the airwaves as well as in academic journals. I also got much response to it in conversations and letters. It has had a long shelf life, being cited in subsequent books, used as a reference source for programme makers and critics and as a text for media courses. It has been a text for one of my own courses at DCU. Each year there were a new set of students before me, which has kept me alert and involved in a process of communicating across generations about television, about our society, about the meaning of life, about the passing of time.

With every onrushing year events and trends that were matters of contemporary memory have come to be perceived as more distant history. Students read with near disbelief the story of *The Spike* and find it hard to imagine the RTE or Ireland of those times. All the more important then that there should be a written record. I had another reason to remember *The Spike* recently when I was on sabbatical at University of Cape Town and I witnessed something very similar happening in South Africa. *Yizo Yizo* was a television drama set in a township school. A controversy broke out over a sex scene and there were cries from individual viewers and official bodies for it to be taken off the air. Much more than the sex scene was involved, as with *The Spike*. It scrutinised the education system and the society in many aspects. The difference was that times have changed. Also the SABC and the minister for education as well as students and many viewers defended it. Perhaps RTE will go again into our schools and even into our universities as well as other such vital institutions of our society and do something courageous and creative with the drama pulsing there.

There is a shift of voice in this book. In the previous book, I used the 1st person only in the introduction and footnotes. When I was writing about Irish television in the 1960s, it was easier to write entirely in the 3rd person, as I was not living, viewing, working in Ireland during that time. I continued as I started, even though I was living and viewing here during the 1970s and 1980s. When it came to writing about the post-1987 period, it became unnatural. This book itself has been part of the public discourse about television drama and as its author I was often involved in reviewing new television drama as well as participating in various programmes and panels on the state of television drama.

This is a difficult country for a critic. It is inevitable that we review and are reviewed by people we know. Too often it degenerates into mutual backscratching or backstabbing. I have tried to set out my critical criteria and to adhere to them rigorously. I found it interesting after the publication of the previous book to find that some took this in the spirit in which it was intended, while others, whose work wasn’t even so severely criticised, were angry with me for not dealing with their work as they would have wished. Others weighed my arguments and allowed me to believe they were having some impact. My methods of research involved mixing with people whose work I was analysing. I tried to make it clear all along that I was not writing an anecdotal account, a name dropping list of actors and directors celebrating their performances, nor a glossy coffee table book taking a nostalgic trip through the archives as a PR exercise for RTE. It was and is to RTE’s credit that they commissioned the book with the clear idea that it would be an independent and academic critique. Bob Collins, now director general of RTE, has been particularly
affirmative about RTE’s responsibility to engage with constructive criticism of its work. So I too must be about my own work. This does not mean automatically accepting anyone else’s evaluation of it, but it does mean engaging with it on whatever level it deserves. I place it now among a new set of readers and hope for the best.
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Introduction

The Times: from 1987 to 2002

The world turned upside down as the last decade of the 20th century approached. Whole countries, which looked in the late 1980s as if they had a future, suddenly ceased to exist in the early 1990s, and a multiplicity of new ones took up their spaces on the map. The cold war was over and a new world order was declared. The first world reigned supreme. The second world disappeared. The third world was all but discarded. Plane loads of westerners headed for the wild east to stake their claims in a new gold rush. Experts came to advise the natives on how to create capitalism and how to build democracy, as if the two were compatible. Prisoners became presidents and presidents became prisoners.

Ireland elected a president who was not only female but feminist. Looking at the world from Ireland, the news and current affairs programmes were full of this drama, even if the fictional characters of its drama took little notice. They continued to grow vegetables and have affairs and buy titles and lived much of the time in a curiously undramatic world, but eventually they too took notice of new times.

The iconic figures of the new right gave way to more subdued successors and then to smooth designer-labelled new social democrats. The hard greed-is-good 80s were said to be giving way to the much nicer 90s. Not that you could tell if you were reading The Sunday Independent, where greed was still seen as good and so very glamorous. At least the journalists themselves thought so, propagating a new narcissism, as alien to the faith of their fathers as it was to the brief sojourn of some of them with ideas of socialism and social democracy. The Keane Edge was Ireland’s Dallas. Even they eventually toned it down, catching the new tide after its crest. There were tribunals revealing how those who told the rest of us to tighten our belts lived themselves. We found out the price of the haute cuisine and vintage wine they ate and drank, not to mention the mansions, the islands, the stables, the yachts, the helicopters, the holidays, even the shirts, they bought. We caught glimpses
of the shadowy world in which enormous amounts of money passed hands, even if they found it hard to remember such trivia.

It was an uneven development. In the east greed-is-good only got its time to triumph in the 90s. At first it seemed that obstacles had been cleared and a new creativity was possible. There was talk of a real third way. Then glasnost and perestroika gave way to mafiocracy. That was not even the worst of it, as a terrible spiral of disintegration fractured harmonious societies into murderous mini-ethnicities. In the other direction, the land of apartheid became the rainbow nation. The wretched of the earth queued for hours in the sun to vote and sang and toyi-toyi-ed and told their stories and forgave those who persecuted them, but they still lived in shacks and fainted from hunger and lived in fear. Those who persecuted them did not forgive them and lived behind electrified security gates and ate and drank the best of everything and complained about crime and corruption. Things had changed though and some who had lived in shacks went to live in leafy suburbs and drive flash cars and started to think that greed wasn’t so bad after all. Besides, there were rules and they were not made by nation states. There was no alternative, almost everyone believed, to the rules of the global economy. Public assets, at least those with the potential for profitability, had to be privatised. It might not be equitable, it might not be efficient, but it just must be. Public health, housing, transport, education were not priorities. Some institutions had intranets and pentium 4s, while others did not have electricity or running water. Virulent viruses, both those that wreaked havoc on computer systems and those that devastated human populations, spread uncontrollably. The aids statistics for Africa became alarming.

Globalisation swept all before it. Globalisation and fragmentation paradoxically went together. All the old ties that bound loosened and gave way. Local communities, political movements, nation states, public service broadcasting were a shadow of what they were, but, never mind, you could buy a big mac anywhere. The communications revolution rolled on. Anyone who couldn’t get e-mail or surf the web or send text messages on their mobile phone just wasn’t with it. The world really was connected in a whole new way through the internet.

The Asian tigers roared in youthful exuberance, strutted their stuff on the world stage and then collapsed into a shambling quotidian hunt. Then a Celtic cub came into the clearing and was much more charming and better behaved. Rising tides lifted many boats. There was a building boom. Construction workers, long queuing for the dole, had more work than they could handle and it was hard to walk ten steps without walking by a building site. Recruitment fairs were held in far flung places as a land of emigration became a place of immigration. People of many colours queued for buses on O’Connell Street. Seminars were organised on multiculturalism, refugees and racism. New forms of Irish culture stepped up and strode across the world stage, whether in song (U2, the Corrs, Sinead O’Connor) or in film (The Commitment, The Crying Game, In the Name of the Father, Dancing at Lughnasa). Temple Bar in Dublin city centre became the new left bank. New buildings and cultural institutions shot up and tourists came from far and wide. It was the place to be for film, music, multimedia, stand-up comedy and foreign stag parties.

There were changes in government in Ireland and Britain and a peace process and new institutions in Northern Ireland. There was a new level of scrutiny of older institutions of church and state. Media exposés and tribunals shed a light on the dark secrets of the days gone by. The stories of priests and bishops and their sons and lovers shocked the nation at first, but then came a torrent of tales about where consecrated hands had been and about children who carried on confused and abused. The faith of our fathers was no longer ours, no matter how well the cd sold. Nothing Sacred, Priest, Father Ted, dramas of priests fallen
from pedestals, hounded off screens by catholics in America, were lauded in Ireland. Truly nothing was sacred any more.

A sharp struggle of opposites had played itself out in the preceding decades, but what had come of this vigorous dialectic was not a vibrant new synthesis but an insipid eclecticism. Right and left were declared irrelevant and everyone crowded into the centre with nothing very interesting to say or do there. The big ideas, insofar as there were any, were the debris of previous decades. Postmodernism made the zanier recombinations seem chic sometimes, but there was really a kind of hopelessness in the flux, a desperation to fill the empty space with anything at all. Generation x and y and z felt that all the great causes had already been fought, that all the good lines had already been written, that all the good songs had already been sung. Universities were reined in to serve the needs of the market. Philosophy departments closed down, but Sophie’s World topped the best seller list in country after country.

Without communists to threaten it, the “free world” was suddenly full of aliens to expose and vampires to slay and rogue nations to deter and terrorists to decimate. The last alas were real. The scenario lived already in the popular imagination of the time with multiplying fictional images of architectural icons of political, financial and military power exploding spectacularly. Operation infinite justice sketched a manichean world of good versus evil and all were told they must take sides. If you did not stand with the US, which had the right to call the shots for the whole world, you were with the terrorists. The nation state was not what it was, but for the one surviving superpower, it could be what it wanted and no one seemed to have the power to say otherwise.

The millennium, along with the y2k scare, came and went and the year 2000 was very like 1999. 2001 was different altogether. A normal day began and catastrophe struck and the world prepared for a weird war. Jihad versus McWorld was drawing blood and creating world historical havoc. Television drama was suspended for a night then came back with what was in the can, eerily showing on the skyline buildings that were gone. The West Wing and Third Watch put new episodes into production to reflect the new reality. The playgrounds of privilege became precarious. The masters of the universe discovered their vulnerability, but did not extend their gaze much beyond vengeance and the singularity of their own suffering and still did not understand how the rest of the world lived and suffered. Capitalism appealed to non-capitalist values. God was claimed to be on both sides, as in most wars. Public servants briefly became public heroes.

Even earlier in 2001 there was talk of slowdown, even recession, as the factory closures began again. Was the time of the tiger over?
RTE from 1987 to 2002

There were many changes in the climate of broadcasting during these years. Globally there was increased concentration of media ownership and further weakening of public service broadcasting. Legislation enacted in 1988 and 1990 legalised private broadcasting and diverted revenue from the public to the private sector. RTE struggled to navigate a continued commitment to public service broadcasting while becoming more commercial in response to increased competition from many directions. Production costs continued to rise, while the licence fee didn’t and advertising revenue was capped. The justification was “to level the playing pitch”. A decade later tribunals began to throw light on shady relationships between the government of the time and the commercial interests involved. The minister responsible, Ray Burke of Fianna Fail, was discredited. Even when he was in office, Scrap Saturday characterised him as

“a confidence trickster called Rambo, due to his gung ho attitude and unpleasant demeanor”.

On his broadcasting legislation:

“While he offered the pitch for sale, he was unaware what the game was, who wanted to play, how to score or anything else. He just wanted to see RTE take a hiding”.

Amending legislation by a new government in 1993 removed the cap, but compelled RTE to spend an escalating proportion of its annual budget on independent production. This was a global trend. It was also a requirement for the BBC. The EU enforced deregulation within the EU, but subsidised audio-visual projects to reinforce European culture against ongoing tide of hollywoodisation. The Television Without Frontiers directive in 1989 created a single internal market.

Some of those who came to positions of power in broadcasting in Ireland in the 1990s were seriously committed to public service broadcasting and to rearticulating it in light of the new challenges to it, which they well understood. Michael D Higgins, Labour TD and prominent public intellectual, became minister for arts, culture and the gaeltacht in the government formed in 1993. Farrel Corcoran, professor of communications at DCU, was appointed chair of the RTE authority in 1995. Bob Collins was appointed director general of RTE in 1997. In 1995 the government published a green paper entitled Active or Passive? Broadcasting in the Future Tense.²

Both the green paper and RTE’s response to it engaged in discourse about the future of broadcasting at a high intellectual level with a vigorous polemic about what was at stake for the very character of the social order. There were sarcastic remarks made around RTE and elsewhere about obscure quotations from Habermas, but the green paper was a lucid defence of the public sphere against the market liberalism prevailing in the US and the EU. It stressed the necessity to defend public space and to address the audience primarily as citizens rather than as consumers. It championed multicultural diversity and the inclusion of all voices in a national dialogue. While RTE appreciated and accepted the commitment to public service broadcasting, it opposed its proposal to set up a superauthority that would merge the RTE authority, the IRTC (Independent Radio and Television Commission set up in 1988) and the Broadcasting Complaints Commission.³ In 1997 the government published a white paper entitled Clear Focus: Proposals for Broadcasting Legislation ⁴ giving clear legal definition to public service broadcasting and putting forward an Irish Broadcasting Commission.
However, after the election of 1997, there was a Fianna Fail-Progressive Democrat government again and Síle de Valera was a very different type of minister to Michael D Higgins. Nevertheless, during his time as minister, much happened. The hated section 31 was finally revoked in 1994. The Irish Film Board was reinstated in 1993. Telefís na Gaeilge, ThaG subsequently renamed TG4, based in Connemara, came on air in 1996, heavily subsidised by government and nurtured by RTE. The IPU (Independent Production Unit) was set up in RTE to develop, commission and fund programmes from independent production companies in 1993. Meanwhile, Tara TV and Celtic Vision brought Irish programmes to cable subscribers in Britain and America.

The much expected and long delayed arrival of Irish commercial television came in 1998. TV3 made little contribution to national culture and none in the area of drama. The bulk of its programmes were imported, some of them already available on other channels. RTE need not have worried, although it did outbid RTE for *Coronation Street*. Its indigenous programming, such as it was, was derivative, clumsy and shallow, although *Agenda* was sometimes astute. Much of the commercial sector in broadcasting, despite its stated aims of being an indigenous alternative, has passed quickly into foreign ownership.

 Basically it was a time of considerable change, which created in RTE an atmosphere of uncertainty, much of it deriving from insecurity about the funding of broadcasting. In 2001 RTE were finally granted an increase in licence fee, but it was substantially less than proposed. In these years there was a decisive shift from in-house to independent production and co-production. The line between co-production and commissioned production became porous, as many co-productions between RTE and BBC were actually made by independent production companies. The uncertainty also derived from an unresolved tension between public service and commercial pressures. Analysing the state of RTE in 1995, Fintan O’Toole observed that it sometimes seemed to be the worst of both worlds, combining a culture of caution and self-censorship with a more commercial mentality in its preoccupation with advertising revenue, competition and ratings:

> “it seemed to have all the susceptibility to political caution of a state organisation with none of the protection from market pressures and all the drive towards vulgar consumerism of a commercial station with none of the populist energy. A purely commercial station would never have dropped *Scrap Saturday*, which got huge audience and...advertising revenue. A purely public service station would never have turned RTE radio 2 …into 2FM, a pop station virtually indistinguishable from its commercial rivals…While talking about the need for innovative programmes appealing to a younger audience, RTE dropped the most successful of such programmes *Nighthawks*”

The climate of uncertainty was particularly manifest in drama production.
RTE drama

After the vigorous debate about RTE drama during the 1980s⁷, there was a sense that something decisive would be done. Instead, what followed was perhaps the most indecisive period in its history. There was constant chopping and changing. There was loss of confidence that RTE could do drama. It lurched from one experiment to another, then scrapped it and acted as if it were starting from zero with the next. There was almost a sense of desperation about it, manifested in such decisions as bringing in foreign expertise to produce indigenous drama, most strikingly in the development of the new urban serial. Instead of building on existing expertise, developing new talent, producing critical mass and doing so having a clear sense of what role RTE drama should be playing in relation to 1990s Irish society, there was a grasping at straws, followed by a barrage of criticism, followed by drama drying up for a time and then trying again.

During this period RTE produced less drama than ever and what they did produce was tamer than ever. Soap opera was the only constant through this period. The 1991 annual report acknowledged that there was a lacuna in the area of drama. There were several television discussions on the state of television drama in Ireland, particularly Down the Tube in 1989 and Feedback in 1994. I was on both and argued that RTE was floundering, that it needed focus and fire. Robert Carrickford of Equity said that Ireland was in the 3rd world as far as drama output was concerned. Eugene McCabe urged RTE to do drama of contemporary life and not do The Rose of Tralee. Michael Colgan said of RTE in 1989: “they cannot go on like this”.

Drama department and single plays

In 1988 there was still a drama department. Noel O’Briain was head of drama. There was a short lived sense of a new start for drama. Plans for the future involved expansion of Glenroe, an urban serial and a return of the single play. The midweek play slot for original television plays was launched in 1988 with 4 plays. The scripts were ones for which RTE had previously acquired rights, but for one reason or other had never gone into production.

Tom Murphy's Brigit was set in 1930s rural Ireland and shed a light on the harshness of peasant economy and emotion. It concerned a woodcutter named Seamus who was in dispute with the church over unpaid work and keeping his grandchildren away from mass in protest. When commissioned to produce a statue of Brigit to replace a statue of the saint that had been broken by a young nun in the convent, he carved from bog oak a strong and primitive image. When the mother superior demanded that he paint it, he refused, again leaving him without desperately needed payment. His wife, named Brigit, with whom his relationship had grown so cold that he had not called her by name for many years, was first angry about the money, but then came to see value in this creation and a new bond developed between them. For Fintan O’Toole:

“In Brigit, official religion is a dead thing and the story is Seamus’ attempt at a personal replacement based on the immediate life around him...The immediate struggle with the past, which Murphy dramatised in the changing world of the sixties is over, but there remains a past with which there is not so immediate a connection, the past of all the voiceless generations of the poor who have suffered in silence...Seamus in Brigit, both in his character and in his statue, is an image of all that suffering and endurance”⁸
It was a charming and meaningful folk tale. While it was not a tract for the times in an
obvious way, it did provide a stark reflection on aspects of the past with which the present
needed to bear a connection.

The others were more contemporary, but not exactly cutting edge.

Bernard Farrell's *Lotty Coyle Loves Buddy Holly* showed an older woman who didn't believe
that her later years should be spent waiting for the hearse. She found joy in pop music and
even autumn romance against the opposition of her upwardly mobile family. It was light and
unpretentious, but marred by a tendency to see manual labourers as somehow inherently
funny and by some clumsy lines and cheap laughs.

Lee Gallaher's *Errors and Omissions*, described as “a mood piece which makes its
revelations in an uncompromising way” and “in the tradition of European cinema”, looked
at the relationship of two middle aged women and the impact of the arrival of a niece who
had been travelling around Europe with her American boyfriend. However, it failed to make
its revelations to me. There seemed to be an assumption that silences somehow evoked
profundity. There was a bit of texture to it with *New Statesman*, Noel Browne’s
autobiography, Mozart’s piano concertos and bits of French in it, but somehow it felt
pointless, as they seemed parasitic self-indulgent people over-indulged by the script.

Brian Mitchell’s *The Black Knight*, a thriller set in Belfast, put RTE in an arena usually left to
the BBC and ITV. It was an unsolicited script centred on the attempt of a son of a wealthy
businessman, on his return from travelling around the continent, to create a cultural space
that would take people’s minds off the troubles and make a new life for himself. Bringing the
Berlin tradition of political cabaret to Belfast didn’t quite work though, either in the story or
in the production.

There was a sense that the drama department was trying to meet the criticism that had been
laid at its door by going back to the past instead of looking to the future. It was felt that
drama had to be organised in a new way and that the drama department had become too
conservative and too exclusive in its approach to television drama. In 1990 the drama
department was abolished. Some said that it evaporated.

**Youth and experimental drama**

From 1990 on drama was grouped with entertainment and young people’s programming.
The area of young people’s programming was a continuing source of some of the more
innovative drama, for example, with *Finbarr’s Class* running for two series in 1995 and 1996,
a *Fame* meets *The Spike* chalk opera. Also there were slots such as *Scene*, *First View* and
*Debut* (see below).

Most innovative and interesting were several series of dramas developed in workshop with
young actors written and directed by Gerard Stembridge. The first series was called
*Nothing To It*. It revolved around flatmates living in a Dublin bedsit ready to start being what
they wanted to be when they grew up, if only they could figure out what they wanted to be.
Each week they would imagine themselves into a career and their imagined lives in that
career would be played out experimentally. It was full of irony and fun, but it also touched
tellingly on Irish society at various points in exploring, even in young people’s caricatures,
the lives of gardai, politicians, civil servants, journalists, bankers, caterers and computer
experts. Woven through the series was the sort of advice given to teenagers for decades,
but sounded somewhat ridiculous by the late 1980s, by agony aunt Agnes Day (the first of
many televisual incarnations of Pauline McLynn).
The next series was called *Commonplaces* in 1988. It was organised around a fictional government scheme for community drama. In each episode, in a play within a play scenario, each of the five fictional characters, five unemployed young people, created their own fictional characters and the group would work out and enact a story around them. Among these characters were: a Slade fan searching for the one record needed to have a complete collection; a housewife addicted to valium and *Neighbors*, who was caught up in Mills & Boon and *Dynasty* fantasies for transforming her life; a pirate radio disc jockey coming to terms with the current affairs requirements in the new legalised broadcasting environment. There was also, lo and behold, a communist, a young man who sold *The Irish Socialist* on O’Connell Bridge (with Joan Baez singing *We Shall Overcome* on the soundtrack) and attended party meetings in Dublin, who withdrew for a time to the Botanic Gardens, while pursued by comrades and special branch detectives asking him to explain himself. These were improvisational without being tacky. They were humourous without being frivolous. They were marked by good performances, intelligent imagination and an authentic feel for urban life in the late eighties.

*The Truth About Claire*

More ambitious and intricate was *The Truth About Claire*, again developed in workshop and written, produced and directed by Gerard Stembridge. It was in five parts and transmitted over two nights in 1991. The surface story was that a young woman named Claire, who had married at 18, had two children and was expecting her third at 26, from a small town in Cork, came to Dublin to stay with a school friend Denise, who was an executive in an ad agency. She met various people in Denise’s circle, flew to London, had an abortion, then came home and killed herself. The first four parts consisted in interviews with four characters who knew her during the two weeks before she died when she was in Dublin.

A documentary was being made about why Claire Twomey died. All through the production was the presence of the documentary film maker asking the questions during the interviews and editing them afterwards. Each of the four characters told the story from their own point of view and key scenes were enacted and re-enacted from the perspective of the character telling it. There were not only subtle differences of emphasis, but contradictory versions of events. In between, there were degrees of misapprehension and cluelessness. It started with the character least implicated in events, David, a separated man with children, a lodger in Denise’s suburban house. He was unaware of much of what was happening and after it happened wondered:

“Do you have to make it mean something?”

The second was Paul, a teacher, who campaigned in 1983 for the constitutional amendment to guarantee the right to life of the unborn. He believed that abortion was murder and tried to dissuade Claire from having an abortion. He contended that she was a victim of “the way society is going now”. The third was Colman, a freelance journalist on the make, who played one against another and tried to manipulate Claire into letting him do a documentary on her experience. He blamed everyone else. The fourth was Denise, her friend, who had been drifting through her yuppie life and found meaning in these events in that it shook everything up and made her see how pathetic it all was. In the argument that took place on the issue of abortion at the dinner table, Denise had taken on Paul, saying:

“What do you know about it on any level? How dare you sit there like some pussy faced curate?

Mother Ireland, you are rearing them yet.”

She did advise Claire to have an abortion, but argued that her death had nothing to do with abortion.
The fifth part was the documentary produced called *The Truth About Claire: An Irish Tragedy*. It was a vivid lesson in the power and possibilities of editing. The overt edit was a documentary slanted to the anti-abortion, anti-liberal, anti-urban point of view. It showed Paul, for example, whom we had seen all untogether in himself, insensitive to the needs and motives of others and tetchy and insulting to pupils, looking as if he were lucid person, sympathetic friend and committed teacher. It contrasted a wholesome family life and rural society with the hustle of individuals torn apart in the city. It did not answer the question of why Claire died, but it presented the evidence available in a certain way. As the credits came up, the soundtrack carried some of the out-takes in Claire’s own voice, which cast the story in a very different light, although still not compelling a definitive answer.

This, I believed at the time and still do looking back on it now, was what RTE could and should have been doing in its drama. It was not an argument for what is called (and caricatured) as issue-based drama. The point to me was not that it was about abortion. It was that it was about our society in a thought provoking and emotionally engaging way. It was dramatising different points of view and ways of life and orchestrating them in relation to each other. It was, to be fair, what RTE was perhaps trying to do in its other drama productions, but with diminishing effectiveness.

RTE and comedy

*Molloy*

In various experiments in comedy the basic idea was to look at Irish society and to highlight the various ways of life and attitudes to the world that existed in awkward and ironic juxtaposition with each other. Much of the discontent about RTE had to do with the dearth of comedy and of urban drama. Both *Leave It To Mrs O’Brien* and *Inside* were attempts to meet both needs simultaneously. Both failed to do either. Still RTE kept trying.

*Molloy* was a 6 part comedy serial in 1989, which revolved around the character of Mick Molloy, a 47 year old working class man who had forgotten how to work. Unemployment had become normal and even comfortable. He had been an honest worker put on the scrap heap by a firm that went bankrupt in Ireland, only to resurface in India, where it would not have to deal with trade unions and pay a living wage. He did not let bitterness over this get the better of him. He had settled into a way of life built around the consolations of reading books, watching television documentaries, philosophising about the capitalist system and enjoying the pints and craic of Dublin pub culture.

The relative equilibrium of this life was then disrupted when he was offered work. His attempt at a solution to his dilemma was to persuade his mate, who had not been so long out of work and so cosily accommodated to unemployment, to impersonate him. It all came to grief and all had their say: his long suffering wife, his hostile mother-in-law, his 5 children trying to make their own way in the world, his neighbours who had been implicated.

It was written by Paul O’Loughlin, who left school at 14, worked out various jobs, but had also been unemployed. After accumulating a pile of rejection slips, this was his first script to be accepted. This in itself was interesting in that it occurred in a broadcasting climate in which the odds against writers without previous experience and without connections were mounting. It was the sort of effort many wanted to succeed. When I first reviewed it after the second episode, I stressed everything in its favour I could find. My worst fears about it had been relieved. It did not reduce urban culture to lumpen subculture as did *Bread* on BBC or *Inside* on RTE. Unemployment was seen as basically sad and not inherently funny. Molloy had been unemployed so long as to be nearly unemployed, but he was not lumpen. The
other characters, some of whom not only lived in the city, but actually worked, encompassed a wide range and were played against each other in terms of differences in class, gender, generation and ideological attitudes.

The problem was that these tended to be expressed in a throwaway way. It was not sharp enough to be challenging. Another problem with it was that it seemed to treat the very expression of political or philosophical views as somehow deviant or escapist and certainly always male. In the relationship between Mick and Mary Molloy, she was the rock, dealing with reality. Reality was defined as bread and rashers and not economic systems. It did not cast a satirical eye on the traditional sexual division of labour, but privileged the pre-feminist female position.

There were some funny lines:

Mary Molloy (his wife): “Sure, he’s his own worst enemy.”
Maureen Ryan (his mother-in-law): “Not so long as I’m alive.”

However, on the whole, it was not funny enough to be good comedy and it didn’t have a compelling enough narrative to be good drama. The final episode, which producer-director Tom McArdle promised would be the funniest, involved the neighbors donning balaclavas and kidnapping Molloy and demanding a ransom and the wife saying that she didn’t want him back and going off on holiday with the daughter. Even looking at it again, asking if I had been too harsh when I reviewed it at the end, it seemed lame. Those involved in this production did want to hold a mirror up to our society, especially to the problems of working class life, and to say something serious and something funny about it, but perhaps should have taken longer in development with it.

Extra Extra Read All About It

The next effort at a sitcom was in 1993. It was called Extra Extra Read All About It. It was a series of 6 episodes set in the newsroom of a Sunday newspaper called The Bugle. The characters included the editor, a social columnist, an arts editor cum agony aunt (male), reporters and an accountant. It was a good idea. After all, so much of human life comes through a newsroom. There are the journalists who work there plus all those whose stories are processed there. There is the bonding and the conflict of the workplace plus scope for ironic querying of the news agenda, the possibilities of editing and so much more. It can honestly be said that RTE blew it in this case. There were gags about going tabloid and having page three girls, about trade unions, about marketing, about television listings, about office parties. The problem was that they just weren’t that funny.

The whole thing was superficial, unengaging, embarrassing. In a class of future journalists (doing the BA in Journalism at DCU) in a lecture on satirical images of journalists, I showed scenes from Extra Extra alongside scenes from Murphy Brown, Drop the Dead Donkey and The Newsroom (from CBC in Canada and shown on Network 2). Students poured scorn on RTE and I struggled to defend it against one (or more) bad productions. There was much work put into its development in workshops, but ‘creative differences’ developed and some who had been working on it left in anger and it went into production amidst all these tensions. It was panned in the press.10

Upwardly Mobile

The next sitcom ran for 4 series from 1995 to 1998. It was called Upwardly Mobile. It opened with painted scenes of Dublin and a catchy tune:
"So it's goodbye to old Arthur J
And bonjour to fine chablis
I am leaving my heart at the Ha’penny Bridge
Now it’s Belvedere for me."

The scenario was one where two households of different class origins existed next door to each other as a result of upward mobility on the part of a working class family who won the lotto. They were played against each other in comedic contrast. It had been done a number of times and RTE even did it itself in 1975 in *Up in the World*, but they possibly forgot (or didn’t read my book). This was a period of discontinuity of institutional memory when new teams were constantly seeing themselves as starting again from scratch. This particular go at it had been devised in an RTE sitcom writing seminar and passed through various hands before coming to air.

The basic story was that Dublin working class inner city northsiders won £2 million on the lotto and moved to south county suburbia. When Eddie and Molly Keogh left DeValera Mansions and arrived in Belvedere Downs, their lives came into juxtaposition with those of Anthony and Pamela Moriarty. The characters were crude stereotypes and the gags were often based on stage Dublin accents and the most superficial markers of class and gender differentiation. They did not say anything sharp or interesting about class or gender. Some of the working class characters bordered on the moronic. Sex was a matter of constant vulgar innuendo:

“I’ve always been very good with balls, Eddie”
“Mollo, a few nibbles ....”
“It’s time you stopped playing with yourself”

It was made with a live audience and did not use canned laughter on the soundtrack. There were allegations by a critic that alcohol consumption in the green room prior to recording explained the laughs for unfunny gags. This was denied by its executor producer who contended that arrangements for audience hospitality were the same as for *The Late Late Show or Kenny Live*. David Blake-Knox went on to say that the *Evening Herald* critic Peter Howick might not be a fan of the series, but 750,000 Irish people who watched it had a different view. He then remarked on the subhead given to the review “Downwardly unfunny at *Upwardly Mobile*” and swiped “So that’s the sort of gag that gets you guys laughing”.11 All the same it was sometimes hard to know how it got its laughs. For example, in a pub scene:

“I love Christmas.” (laughter)
“Shopping, that’s women’s stuff.” (laughter)

The humour did not bite. Critic Eddie Holt, after reviewing it as unfunny in its first season, came back to it in its third season and took it to task as being “too timid, too afraid to say anything meaningful about class.”12

David Blake-Knox, who had primary responsibility for the development of drama during this period, first as group head of drama, variety and young people departments and then as director of television production, as well as being executive producer of *Upwardly Mobile*, admitted that RTE did not really crack this genre. He stressed that it was a particularly difficult genre, comparing it to a pyramid and arguing that it was necessary to have the critical mass of many projects in development to have any that got to the top in quality. He pointed to the situation in Britain where there might be 100 projects in development, 30 or 40 a year going to air, 10 renewed for another year and only 2 or 3 to survive beyond that.13

**Sitcom, satire and Irish society**
This has been part of the problem in developing comedy at RTE. There is considerable humour pervading everyday life in Ireland, but there has rarely been the time and effort and finance to raise this natural resource to the level of art. If we look at any of the television comedy from abroad that we see on our screens and admire and then look at the credits at the end and see the number of writers and others whose talents have been channelled into it and compare it to an RTE production, the gap is obvious. Several years at a time were let to pass and then in the case of Molloy one inexperienced writer was left to carry it. We have been long accustomed to sharp, sophisticated and sometimes hard-hitting comedy on our television screens and we bring all the expectations this has engendered to our indigenous productions. This puts a heavy burden on RTE with an inevitable problem of scale, but until comparable resources are put into it, there will be a constant cycle of disappointment in this area. This alone does not explain it, however. It is not an adequate excuse for the timidity and the blandness, for the failure to satirise the contradictions of Irish society, particularly the pretensions of those in power, as it emerged into the era of the so-called Celtic tiger. It was starting to be said that RTE and comedy were a contradiction in terms.

RTE did have some successes in satirical production. On radio, there was Scrap Saturday from 1990 to 1992, which became central to the public discourse. Its creators Dermot Morgan and Gerry Stembridge expected that it might have a cult following, but the scale of response was unexpected. It captured the popular imagination and pushed out the parameters of what was permissible in the realm of political and social satire. It went further than any of it predecessors on the airwaves and got away with it, at least for a time. Any hostility to it was reduced to near silence, which was eerie. It may have been that the balance of forces had changed in favour of what the show was doing, but the lack of public debate about it was not healthy. It was part of a sea change in Irish society, coinciding with the election of Mary Robinson as president. What was the most explicit attack on it was done with the most astonishing ineptitude and ignorance. Madeleine Taylor Quinn TD was like a daft child stumbling into a minefield. Based on garbled accounts from her constituents and without the most elementary understanding of the satirical nature of the programme, she went on to the nation’s airwaves to complain about RTE carrying an ad for Sky News trivialising the Gulf War. From then on the programme went for her. MTQ missiles were not considered to be smart weapons.

It wasn’t only those who attacked who became targets. What was more remarkable was the way the programme took on even those who praised and promoted them, quite amazing in a society in which there was so much shameless toadying and mutual back scratching. It was Mike Murphy who gave Dermot Morgan his start as a media comedian in the days of Live Mike, and yet the jovial lightweight levelling banality of his role as arts presenter was a running theme. He enthused over Shakespeare: “he’s really in right now”. Gay Byrne too gave Dermot Morgan a most sympathetic platform on The Late Late Show and The Gay Byrne Show and played a selection of his favourite sketches at the end of the first season, yet they began portraying him as vain, cynical, manipulative, condescending and parasitic on the grief of others. Even the radio audience itself got taken on, with GB being besieged by "every menopausal old one in the country looking for a washing machine".

No one could say that they went for easy targets. All the institutions of church and state and civil society were considered fair game, including those with most power over their own lives.

“And now on RTE, your only national broadcasting station, we bring you your only national religion...’
RTE programme formats were used as vehicles, not only for satirising RTE programmes and presenters, but for opening out to the whole society as mediated by the media. Other media formats too were appropriated, such as the Hollywood trailer:

“Our Left President ....the story of a woman who gave up socialism for love”.

Newspapers were combed both for form and content, The Keane Edge column especially. No person or institution, dead or alive, home or abroad, could be considered safe, especially when Eamon Dunphy was allowed to rant about everything from the weather to the history of philosophy. Even Mother Teresa: "a tea towel on your head and good works with India's lower castes doesn't make a nun." The relationship of poetry to power was a recurrent theme: Richard Kearney's poem celebrating the accession of Noel Davern as minister of education and Brendan Kennelly’s preparation for performance at Kinsealy:

"I thought I would use the metaphor of the Toyota to suggest the powerful movement of the Haughey factor".

From a different ideological position, there was Fintan O'Toole explaining how Abbey plays would be "like my newspaper articles, only with dialogue.” Brendan and Caitriona, symbols of an Ireland on the run, came into it with a new SPUC sex video. The Provisional IRA and the GAA were targets, as was Eoghan Harris quoting Marx to Fine Gael as he coached them for the introduction of television cameras in the Dail. Politicians were a prominent presence, particularly those of the party in power. The CJ-PJ dialogues provided a picture of Charles Haughey that intuitively seemed far truer than that of public persona and much more interesting as well. In the outpouring of sugary sentimentality that filled the newspaper pages when Haughey finally did fall, when even some of our most respected commentators lost the run of themselves altogether, Morgan did not recant. Later revelations vindicated the Scrap Saturday view of Haughey all the more.

The programme was designed to break the barriers. Dermot Morgan said that he decided to go for broke, to pull no punches. He did not consider it to be a bit of harmless fun. He saw himself as "a political activist on stage" and believed that his strongest work came from his deepest anger. Scrap Saturday came out of a long smouldering rage at the state of Irish society "the pettiness, the greyness of it all", the stifling influence of the church, the excess of self-esteem radiated by politicians. There were icons that just had to be shattered and he was proud to be their iconoclast. Scrap Saturday was conceived in a deep inner necessity and brought catharsis. Not everyone got every joke. Not everybody had to get every joke. I have to admit that some of the sporting references passed me by, as some of the political, philosophical or literary references may have been lost on others. This was part of the cleverness of the programme: that it could work on a number of different levels and appeal to diverse sections of the audience. It could reach a mass audience without reducing itself to the least common denominator to do so.

What those who made it wanted was to take it to television, but it was dropped all together. RTE would only say that it had run its course and would not admit that there was any political heat. I tried at the time to pin down what was really behind this decision. Years later, probing it further, Bob Collins, now director general, told me that it got caught in a variety of currents, that there was apprehension that it had crossed the boundaries. There was heat, but it was totally ignorable and it should have been ignored, he said.

Nighthawks

Running at the same time on television was Nighthawks. This was one of the boldest and most innovative programmes RTE has ever made. It pushed hard at the boundaries of what could be done in the way of social and political satire. It was a mixed format live show set in a
fictional café, involving satirical sketches, current affairs interviews, cultural reviews, conversations, music and ironic interactions of real personalities with fictional ones. It went out on Network 2 three nights a week from 1988 to 1992. As well as its 350 episodes, it spawned several spin-offs, such as *The Confessions of Blaithin Keaveney* in 1990 and *A Song for Europe* in 1991, both of these were spoofs of the early days of Telefís Eireann. The Blaithin character was a frequent continuity persona on *Nighthawks*, appearing in black and white in dated production style, announcing features such as a documentary on an Irish priest:

“If you’ve ever wanted to know what’s up a young priest’s cassock …”

Media formats, old and new, were turned inside out. There were recurring appearances of Eamonn DeValera in MTV spots:

“Sing gloria, sing gloria
  For the one, holy, catholic and apostolic church…”

There was a fad at the time, pioneered by Paula Yeates on *The Big Breakfast* on Channel 4 and copied by various Irish journalists in the pages of *The Sunday Independent*, of doing interviews in beds. *Nighthawks* showed the president of Ireland May Robinson being interviewed by four young men in a bed explaining “I want my house to be for marginalised people” to which one of the young men replied “sounds like a bar I know”. Another had Mary R explaining that it wasn’t really necessary to memorise Paul Durcan poems, because all you had to do was to say ordinary sentences and say “backside” a lot and pause in the wrong places. There was a *Jo Maxi* competition to find a new name for the Workers Party with a trip to Moscow as the prize. There was a *Today Tonight* feature on “forgotten leaders of our time” showing how Alan Dukes, once party leader of Fine Gael, was now doing backing guitar for Lulu.

There was a documentary on a transvestite farmer, which showed Sean, dressed in frilly dresses and stilettos driving a tractor, carrying bales of hay, shovelling slurry, with the voice over talking about GATT agreements, competition from Eastern Europe, computerisation of farm management and concluding that “hard work might not be enough”. There were discussions among Irish bishops done as if they were episodes of *The Golden Girls*. It called attention to the incongruity between what bishops said and how they dressed and implied some of them were in it for the clothes. Other regular sketches showed Cork people in space and Saint Martina of Marley Park. There was an initiative to engage in male prostitution to raise funds for the GAA.

It should have gone on and on. However, various people who were working on it wanted to spin off in different directions and thought that it would be easier to do so than it proved to be. David Bake-Knox, producer and then television executive, admitted that it should have continued until there was something comparable to put in its place. *Extra Extra Read All About It* was a failed attempt to spin off of it.

The audience who had been screaming for *Scrap Saturday* or something like it on television finally got *Bull Island* in 1999. It featured political and cultural sketches. There was a recurring Dail bar setup and impersonations of prominent politicians: Charlie McCreevy, Michael Noonan, Mary O’Rourke, Mary Harney. There were scenes at home of taoiseach Bertie Ahern and his partner Celia Larkin preceded by an opening sequence in the style of *Dallas*. There were mock rituals where Dessie O’Malley would recite PD platitudes as if biblical prophecies and the audience would respond “in the national interest” as a litany. There was reference to current political issues and scandals, such as refugees and tribunals. It showed former taoiseach Charles Haughey in a big house type of prison. It reconstructed
the Dail chamber and the ceann comhairle disciplining deputies as if they were schoolchildren:

“Deputy, what did the minister just say ?"

“Do you want me to send you to the taoiseach’s office ?"

“Right, I’m putting you beside Tony Gregory for the rest of the day.”

It went for media presenters and programmes too. Paddy O’Gorman was Paddy O’Gormless. Prime Time was Slime Time. Clare McKeon’s talk show was called The Clare Witch Project and she was shown putting down her guests and exalting her own opinions and experiences. Fair City going to 4 nights a week was reduced to endless scenes of “cup of tea, Niamh ?” There were jabs at business too. Junket City: “all the time in the private sector; limited openings in the public sector”. On online banking: “Why wait in line when you can wait online…you save us the cost of employing a teller while you pay for the call.” There were swipes at many professions as well as popular clichés:

“As they say, location, location, location.”

“Who says that ?”

“People who say location a lot.”

When the new computer system was introduced to the gardai, a guard using a laptop immediately went to look up websites for The Bill and Cagney and Lacey and then sussed out programmes for keeping track of nixers and calculating overtime. The writing was uneven. It was funny, but often not funny enough. It was stronger on impersonation that satire. The humour was too gentle, more in the tradition of Hall’s Pictorial Weekly than Scrap Saturday. There remains the need to take up the reins more assuredly from Scrap Saturday and to go for sharp satire, to live dangerously, to set the horns of the bull to charge at the tiger.

There was much stopping and starting in RTE drama through this period. The return of the single play slot didn’t sustain itself. The sitcoms were not successful. The soap operas were all there was for long stretches and there were many problems with them. When presenting a paper at the Imagining Ireland conference at the Irish Film Centre in Temple Bar in 1993, I argued that RTE drama was in decline, that there was less drama than ever and what there was of it was tamer than ever.18 There was a widespread sense that RTE was not delivering when it came to drama.

Two Lives

Two Lives was supposed to be a new start. It was “to prove that RTE could do drama.”19 The idea was basic: to commission a series of original half hour plays by Irish writers according to a simple formula: each play would have two actors and one location. The project was to provide the audience with contemporary drama, to give Irish writers an opportunity to explore the medium of television, to make high quality drama on a low budget. The scheme was put to RTE by Michael Colgan of the Gate Theatre, who became the series producer. It was announced in 1993 that they planned to make 26 of them. As it turned out, there were 10.

The first three were aired in autumn 1993.

Tossed Salad by Catherine Donnelly, an advertising copywriter, was an exploration of a Dublin 4 marriage in the wake of the revelation of an affair. The dialogue recognised that it was a cliché without quite rising above it. It seemed to attempt to puncture a veneer of sophistication to reveal…what ? Perhaps Dublin 4 paralysis at what to say of itself.
In High Germany by Dermot Bolger zeroed in on the changing nature of Irish identity in an era of cosmopolitan migration. Set in a Hamburg railway station and full of soccer references, a young man contemplated his past in Ireland and his future in Germany. The second life in this scenario, the girlfriend, only appeared at the end. It was basically a monologue on a particular view of nationhood and impending fatherhood.

Gold in the Streets by Thomas Kilroy set its sights on a father-daughter relationship, but it was also on the territory of emigration. An Irish father flew from Knock to find his daughter in a London squat. The conversations over several days constantly shifted in theme and tone through confrontations and confidences. The father reflected on changing times, on how farming was finished and now they made tea for German tourists, on much more besides:

“Nothing has prepared me for nowadays.
Nowadays I never know what’s coming next”

Then there were another four in 1994.

A Mother’s Love’s a Blessing by Pat McCabe was the most innovative and acclaimed of the series. It was a black comedy set on a farm about a mother-son relationship. It began:

“The world is a sad place, make no mistake.
One minute you’re happy as Larry and the next you’re offered a machine gun to kill all around you.
She was a desperate character, that mother of mine, but she used to make lovely sandwiches.”

Pat, the son, not only spoke to his mother directly and compliantly, but behind her back defiantly:

“Yes, Detective Inspector Mammy”.

He also played other characters he imagined, including one in full combat mode. Basically the plot was that of the smothering mother and the son coming of age and struggling to break free, especially when a different sort of interest in the opposite sex emerged. This was a particularly playful and ironic expression of the theme.

Seachange by John Banville was set on a pier on Dublin Bay. It was, until the end, a monologue of a loquacious man, who had lost his memory, who had returned to the place where he was found, his only link to his past, in the presence of a woman, who remembered much, who was silent until the end, who had come to the place where her child had died.

Revenge by Anne Enright was set in Dublin, a rather beckettesque piece, which could have been subtitled “suburbia, sex and videotape”.

Black and White by Kathy Gilfillan was set in London in an apartment of minimalist expensive elegance. An Irish woman, working in an art gallery, arrived to deliver a painting bought by the American woman who lived there. The Irish woman was fascinated by the sophisticated mystery of the other.

Carol: “What do you do?”
Marie Louise “I like nice things.”

It was full of lesbian lure until the revelation of prostitution. It was ostensibly country girl coming to terms with cosmopolitan ways, but it was something other underneath it. The
production itself exuded a smug self-regarding knowingness somehow, an indulgence of the idea of consuming without producing.

*Boston Rose* by Antoine O Flaherta concerned the interplay between an American *Rose of Tralee* contestant and her Irish escort. He was a trainee chef, who wished he were a California surfer. She was looking for her Irish roots to his bemusement.

She: “Don’t you want to know where you come from?”
He: “I come from here.”

*The Celadon Cup* by Hugh Leonard was set in a hotel in Istanbul and centred on an affair where the passion was turning to poison. At first it was bubbly chat and champagne counterpointed by calls from Dublin. Although the message about the calls contained no message, the assumption was that it was the man’s wife. If he were forced to choose between the woman or his wife, the woman made it clear that she was not his to choose. A tale was told of a cup changing colour when poison was put into it. She had seen poisonous relationships and had been taught that poison cured poison.

Then in March 1998 came the 10th one: *Hell for Leather* by Roddy Doyle. This was part of an initiative to internationalise the idea and package the *Two Lives* productions with those made in other countries to the same formula and sell them abroad. In a Dublin kitchen, two women who met at the funeral of a catholic priest explored their relationships with Father Brendan. One was a catholic working class mother of three whose husband deserted her “for a slut nearly twice his age”. The other was a single protestant career woman. The catholic woman, surprised at this, asked:

“Could you not find one of your own, a vicar or an ayatollah or whatever?
It’s like robbery.”

As they compared notes on their mutual lover, an odd picture emerged. Wearing leather jackets and going to Springsteen and Eagles concerts was one thing, but shouting “Good morning, Vietnam” at the moment of orgasm was quite another. It veered into Father Ted territory and went more for mockery than explanation or exploration. There was much scope for such mockery in the public mood after so much decades of betrayed reverence.

The reviews came in batches in 1993 and 1994. They were favourably received, some more than others, with the McCabe one most praised. None was panned, but they did fail to excite or provoke. I found them difficult to review in the way that some essays I get as a university teacher are difficult to mark. They are not bad, but they are not that good either and it seems too callous just to write “this is mediocre” on them. These plays were not stupid or clumsy or pointless, but they weren’t stimulating either. When each of them was over, I just didn’t feel much of an impulse to say anything. When I went on television on the *Feedback* programme to review them in 1994, I said that they were disappointing. I did not think that the limitations of the form was the problem. I cited the Alan Bennett series *Talking Heads*, which had only one character in each and simple sets, but the writing was insightful and ironic. They were stimulating and memorable. Michael Colgan, also on the programme, said that this was an invidious comparison, because some of the writers had written little more than a letter before (which raised the question of why they were commissioned to write for national television).

The only controversy coming out of these plays was within RTE. The producer-director grade in RTE protested against the use of a non-RTE, non-television series producer for an RTE in-house television series. They indicated that this was symptomatic of deeper problems in the development of new drama, pointing to the lack of a drama department or a coherent policy on drama and the discouragement of original ideas by RTE staff. Liam
Miller responded that the proposal for the series came from Michael Colgan and must therefore be deemed to be his intellectual property. Addressing the broader question, he argued that drama departments were no longer the norm in broadcasting organisations and that the challenge to dated and costly work practices surrounding drama production had opened greater opportunities for drama experience.21

Drama documentary and historical drama

Thou Shalt Not Kill

Making a more lasting impression were two series of drama documentaries on murders in Ireland under the series title Thou Shalt Not Kill. There were 15 cases of murder covered in these series in 1994 and 1995 presented by Cathal O’Shannon and produced by Paul Cusack. The idea was for each programme to deal not only with the immediate circumstances of a murder, but to show what society was like in a particular part of Ireland at a particular time. They spanned a spectrum from The True Story of the Colleen Bawn from 1816, giving new treatment to an old legend, to Murder in the Park, still fresh in popular memory from 1982. The story was told as O’Shannon recounted events, sometimes appearing on sites where events happened and sometimes as voice over while monochrome dramatisations acted out events. There were also interviews incutting these elements and telling crucial parts of the story. At the end, there were sometimes interesting footnotes to the story telling of subsequent developments.

The Green Tureen, for example, was the story of the murder of Hazel Mullen by Shan Mohangi, an Indian South African student studying at the College of Surgeons, in Dublin in 1963. He strangled her in a fit of possessiveness, cut up her body and attempted to burn it. For days he was searching for her with her distraught family. He was found guilty of murder and sentenced to death. On appeal, it was changed to manslaughter and he was given a sentence of 7 years, of which he served 3. He was deported to South Africa and elected an MP for the Nationalist Party. He was not re-elected in 1994, but continued in a comfortable life with a wife and three children, managing the family sugar plantation in Natal. He was interviewed and it was eerie to see him living and thriving while Hazel Mullen was long dead. These series made a lasting impression, much more so than the many glossily produced fictional murders that filled television screens every night of the week.

Most of the historical drama was done in co-production, although there were a few RTE in-house productions in this area, often done for anniversaries. The Officer from France, for example, showed the last days of Wolfe Tone, as part of RTE’s commemoration of 1798 in 1998. There was also The Battle of Kinsale, which was made according to an implicit philosophy of history that is basically history with the people left out (or the overwhelming majority of them in the history of the world). It was low budget, which might have explained how whole battles were represented by 2 guys in period costume jostling each other with sticks, but did not justify the commentary in a drama documentary treating history as if made exclusively by aristocrats, whether they be English or Spanish monarchs or Gaelic chieftains.

Making the Cut

It had been a long time since RTE had done a detective series. The whole world was doing them after all, so why not Ireland? In fact, it had been a decade since RTE had done anything in the prime time hour long serial format. The directive came, according to Niall Mathews, to do one, to make it contemporary and set it in a city other than Dublin.22 Perhaps too it was thought timely as crime stories were particularly high profile at the time with the
murder of crime journalist Veronica Guerin in 1996. There were also questions being asked about how the forces of law and order had dealt with a history of transgressions by the those in power in church and state coming forth like the eruption of a volcano. According to Diog O’Connell:

“It is no co-incidence therefore that a police drama emerged from the rubble of all these stories, whether out of a need to imitate, explain, understand or simply express.”

Making the Cut, based loosely on a novel by Jim Lusby of the same title, came forth as a 2 hour pilot in October 1997 followed by 4 one hour episodes. It was set in an unspecified Irish city played by Waterford. According to the RTE Guide:

“It tells a rough, tough and contemporary tale, stabbing into the underbelly of a world that has rarely been chronicled in an Irish drama series before… Gardai based in a provincial town investigate a brutal crime which reveals a world where nothing is as it appears, a world peopled by the weak and vulnerable on the one hand and the greedy and venal on the other.”

Quoting producer Paul Cusack:

“The thread of these stories goes from the street right through society.
From drug barons to the higher echelons of so-called respectable Irish society.
This is another side of Ireland: one you won’t find in the tourist brochures”

The pilot opened in film noir style with a police operation involving drugs dealing in a docklands location, where the arrest was botched. Then it moved to a closer look at the gardai. Detective Sgt Carl McCadden was a dirty harry cop with a dark secret, an artsy loft and a history with other men’s wives. He was partnered with Detective Moya O’Donnell, a quiet competent female new to this station. After initial difficulties, they developed a modus operandi. As the plot unfolded, various themes emerged: loner v team player, sport for youth as antidote to crime, the cop who didn’t get promotion going astray, the small fry criminal getting killed, while the big fish swims free.

In the serial following, investigation disclosed a scale of criminality rising to higher echelons of society. Driving from the provincial city to the capital, with the financial services centre rising above the river, McCadden commented:

“The lair of the Celtic tiger.
Dealers and chancers, the lot of them.”

After questioning an executive of a construction company in a high rise office, McCadden moved into a high speed car chase and dragged a guy from a car and punched him without explaining to O’Donnell. She became motivated to look into his past and his involvement with a suspect on a case involving drugs money laundering, insurance fraud and murder. This case 4 years earlier in Dublin was set out in flashback. In a moral dilemma between shielding his lover and doing his job, he chose the former. In the next episodes, O’Donnell in her turn was faced with such a choice: her heroin addict brother or her duty as a detective. She too chose the former. Both had crossed the line, but those they had set out to protect were still killed.

As the narrative moved to dénouement, a conspiracy between players in drug dealing, property development, telecommunications, law and politics was disclosed. In the face of a very corrupt society, the gardai were nearly helpless. They primed a journalist with what they knew but could not prove and she exposed the politician in line to become the next minister of telecommunications and technology at a press conference. He was then arrested by the gardai on election day. RTE news pondered the impact of revelations of corruption on
the electorate. In the final scene, several weeks later, the new minister for telecommunications and technology appeared on RTE affirming the integrity of Irish politics. When he left and got into his car, the same advisor who had been manipulating the interface between the legal face of power and its shadowy underbelly was revealed. Good did not triumph and evil carried on as the credits came up over the bright lights of Dublin and the shimmering waters of the Liffey.

Most reviews came after the pilot rather than after the whole 6 hours. It was generally thought to be a competent production of an international genre but with nothing specific enough to make it memorable. This was my own view of it as well. Some considered it to be imitative and mid-genre, because it was made more with an eye to international sales than to communicate with its domestic audience. Eddie Holt in *The Irish Times* called it the “McDonaldisation of TV drama”. It proved, he said that RTE could make a big mac with the best of them, but it was cop opera in a mode of television production dominated by accountants, where Irishness was subsumed to genre clichés, which blandified the narrative and pushed it perilously close to parody. He raised questions about the loner detective living in a loft in an urban wasteland, meeting an informer in a boxing gym and a string of stereotypes more suggestive of New York or London than Waterford. Against the stereotype, however, the sexual chemistry between the two leading crime fighters was mercifully understated. Summing it up:

“*Their 1990s Ireland … is a country in which Mr Big (a corrupt businessman) can be exposed and still walk free. It is a country in which trickle down corruption ends in violence in poor council estates, where brutalised lumpen thugs brutalise all around them. It is a country where computer comfortable cops want a result and the worst of them are bent. It is, in short, not too wide of the mark and yet it is not quite Ireland.*”

Coming back to it after the final episode (which critics rarely do), he focused on how figures such as Flanagan, the bullying bad ass, were put in perspective:

“*As the visible underbelly of the tiger, Flanagan types receive huge media attention and, as a result of sometimes brave, but more often idiotic journalism, enjoy a weird kind of celebrity in this country. That there are greater forces – political, social and economic – propping up these assholes often goes unreported. At least this drama series got that much right and that is a lot.*”

That much had to be said for *Making the Cut*. It did point to something in the character of the social order on a number of interlocking levels and not just individual goodies and baddies. However, it was vague about the nature of the problem. It seemed to be saying that crime and corruption were pervasive. Governments could come and go, certainly a particular politician’s career could take a tumble, but the same people would be pulling the strings and the same thing would go on. It did not give a satisfactory sense, though, of who was in power and how and why.

*Making the Cut* was followed in 1999 by another 4 part series called *DDU* (District Detective Unit). The plots involved attacks on prostitutes, murder of a male prostitute, blackmail, drugs, a body in a bog and a container of Romanian refugees dumped at the docks. The scriptwriters for both productions, John Brown and Eric Deacon for *Making the Cut* and Michael Russell for *DDU*, were British, their previous credits including *Morse, Prime Suspect, Between the Lines* and *A Touch of Frost*, and the writing lacked fine tuning and a sense of specificity of Irish society.

Perhaps mid-genre stereotypical generality represented the conventional assumptions of television executives who did the buying and selling on the international market, but I would contest that this is what is necessary for drama produced in one country to play well
in another. I believe that a well constructed narrative more firmly grounded in its time and place can communicate effectively across national boundaries. In any case, RTE found it more difficult than it expected to sell abroad. I did note its presence on SABC in 2001 when I was in South Africa.

These experiments came and went, but the only consistent drama production in RTE during this period was in its long running serials or soap operas.

**Glenroe**

*Glenroe* carried on through the 1990s in much the same way as it did in the 1980s. It wasn’t that a lot of things didn’t happen. There were births, deaths, marriages, affairs, disputes, crimes, businesses, projects galore. A stream of long lost relations (remember Uncle Peter?) arrived and disappeared again. There were an extraordinary number of property transactions. There was much indulgent wheeling and dealing over farms, shops, restaurants, leisure centres, even gravel pits.

"Thou shalt not commit adultery" was still on the books, but you would hardly guess it from the goings on in the byways and bedrooms of Glenroe. After teasing and testing the audience a few years before with the suggestion that Miley was the father of Davy O’Hagan (who arrived in Glenroe with Carmel from England), even Miley, would you believe it, eventually had a roll in the hay with Biddy’s cousin Fidelma. Affairs, both licit and illicit, multiplied beyond memory: Dick and Terry, Dick and Venetia, Dick and Jennifer, even Dick and Mary again, on and on. Every character was paired at one time or other with a succession of others of the opposite sex, on the spectrum of passing fancy (Sgt Roche and Shirley) to till death to us part (Dinny and Teasy). There was everything else in between, including rape (Froggie and Bernadette), teenage pregnancy (Joseph and Catherine), faked pregnancy (Dan and Jennifer) and the most unlikely pairings (Blackie and Carol). The combining and recombining was confined to heterosexual attractions however.

"Thou shalt not kill" was still the law of church and state, but that didn’t stop Ray O’Driscoll from killing his brother Oliver. Transgression was ever the stuff of drama. Only certain kinds of transgressions could be explored, however. Murder, rape, burglary, deceit and non-marital sex (as long as it was heterosexual) could enter *Glenroe*, but homosexuality, atheism or a critique of class structure could not.

Yet with all the sex and deals and gossip going on, it still seemed to much of Ireland that not much was happening in *Glenroe*. It seemed too cosily cut off from anything outside itself. The world historical events preoccupying me in the late 80s and early 90s and causing the map of the world to be redrawn were registered in *Glenroe* only in the adoption of a Romanian child by George and Shirley Manning. In its own way it did register many of the changes in Irish society over the years. It did push out the boundaries in terms of sexual behaviour as the years went on. It did in many respects show a society where lifestyles were more various and attitudes were more diverse, but it did so in a low intensity, perhaps even a lazy, way.

For example, the role of religion changed dramatically in Irish society in these years, while it changed rather undramatically in this drama. There were times when it was written with a bit of spark. Father Tim Devereux was a traditional priest who found it difficult to come to terms with newer trends, whether in the church or outside it. The best scenes were those where this was written with sensitivity to both sides of this tension. There were some scripts in 1988 written by Patrick Gilligan (of *The Spike*) where the priest reflected on how the
power of the priest was not what it used to be and he tried to find his way into newer approaches. He engaged in discussions of liberation theology and liturgical dancing. He considered the position of those who were reading *Pedagogy of the Oppressed* and insisting that the church should be on the side of the poor. He said that the rich needed to be saved as well. Then his character would be written by someone else and it would all be forgotten. He was often caught up with greyhound racing. The dogs did sometimes have cute names like “Bishop of Cork” and “Minister for Arts Culture and the Gaeltacht”. He was visited by a long lost girl friend and assured her that his vocation had nothing to do with the fate of their romance. She died and left him a substantial amount of money in yet another *Glenroe* windfall.

The laicisation of a priest would seem to be a story charged with dramatic potential, yet the handling of decision of Tim Devereux to leave the priesthood, despite having been solemnly ordained with the words “thou art a priest forever”, was underwhelming, to put it mildly. Why did he do it ? The scriptwriters didn't seem to know or care. It could have been the bank manager deciding to take early retirement. After saying that he intended to serve the community in another way, what did he decide to do ? To be a piano tuner. When this proved not to be a viable proposition, he briefly went on the dole. He was then offered a job as a green keeper on Stephen Brennan's golf course. He moved in with Stephen, as Stephen's family had long been written out, in an odd couple scenario, which had its amusing moments. He then had a vague kind of relationship with Shirley, who was widowed after George went off on an expedition to Peru in search of the habitat of the giant condor and was captured by a drug dealing criminal gang. Shirley then invited Tim to go on a cruise she won in a raffle, provoking Stephen's jealousy. He then moved in with Michelle as a lodger and started writing a novel. Michelle found the novel called *Late Flowering*, a fictionalised account of the goings on in Glenroe over the years and Venetia read from it at the Bard of Glenroe contest. This caused Father Tracey to accuse him of breaking the seal of the confessional.

The relationship between the new parish priest and the old one was interesting, although much more could have been done with it. It would have been highly unusual for a laicised priest to stay around in a parish where he had been stationed as a priest. However, it could happen. The spikey relationship between the two priests, despite its dramatic potential, was usually written superficially and played for easy laughs, rather than deeper contradictions and ironies. The new parish priest Father Tracey was also a very traditional priest in many ways, but very trendy and adventurous in other ways. He was theologically conservative and always wore clerical garb, but keen to engage in new forms of evangelical activity. He could send text messages on a mobile phone. He was not the sharpest or most sensitive, but he was bubbling with enthusiasm. He was far more activist than his predecessor had been in organising everything from stations to historical pageants. He asked Miley to be a minister of the eucharist and Denise to be an altar girl, but did not hit it off with Biddy.

Biddy, who had seemed all along the standard issue a la carte catholic, suddenly in 1996 had a crisis of faith. Why ? What set it off ? What did she think now about the questions to which catholicism once provided the answers ? Again, the scriptwriters didn't seem to have considered it very carefully. Not much was done with this story, which was part of the pattern: to avoid anything controversial or complicated most of the time, to occasionally raise something more difficult, but to deal with it superficially and then drop it. Biddy didn’t go to meetings for Denise’s first communion, said it was too much fuss and expense, then bought her a second hand dress. She objected to having stations in her home, then conceded and turned up on the night. When Marianne recovered from meningitis, Biddy credited medical science, while Miley put it down to the power of prayer. She went to Christmas mass, albeit with a bit of a sulk, and there the story more or less ended. Biddy got
on with domestic and agricultural matters and nothing much was said about religion one way or the other. Biddy died in a car crash in April 2000. There was no funeral. This was not only undramatic, but dodged the issue of liturgical rites for someone who had ceased to believe. If there had been a funeral, it probably would have been done as often in real life where everyone ignored the problem and the person is buried in the same way as the true believer.

The priest and ex-priest continued to interact. They worked on a pageant to commemorate 1798 in the local area and on FAS projects to celebrate the millennium. They disagreed about what was the proper date to mark the millennium. Eventually Tim took up with the sister of his successor. The priest registered his discomfort, but came around by the final episode when he officiated at their wedding. Tim and Gracie worked together on the parish bulletin, which was revamped to become *Glenroe Hello*. Tim’s impulse to do something like an interview with Stephen on how Vatican II had impacted on his life didn’t quite work out and they went the direction of *Hello* magazine with a cover feature complete with photo spread on the life style of the Crosby-Morans in the big house giving soft focus treatment to Venetia’s absurd aristocratic pretensions. Father Tracey thought that it was a disgrace. However, the people of the parish received it well and so did the bishop. Here was a real clash of values between the old and new Ireland, even between different versions of the new Ireland, if anyone wanted to say anything meaningful about it. This lighthearted embrace of yuppie values flew in the face not only of the faith of our fathers but the liberation theology of many believers and the progressive views of many unbelievers. There were dramatic possibilities in this, but instead it was written with a kind of smug cuteness, which failed to deal with every issue it raised. It patronised its audience where it could have articulated contrary views, so that different sections of its audience would feel that their views were represented and stimulated in the dramatic interaction. Instead it reverted to a tendency to blandify everything it touched, so as not to risk offending anyone. It could have given strong voice to diverging world views and conflicting moral values, which did exist even in rural Ireland, instead of creating a flat and false comfort zone, which it imagined its audience needed and wanted.

In an academic critique, Eoin Devereux characterised this tendency in *Glenroe* as “theatre of reassurance”. He was concerned with how the *Glenroe* audience was constructed by those involved in its production and the implications of this for how it dealt with social problems, particularly poverty. He found in his interviews with those making *Glenroe* that they made assumptions about the programme, ie, that it was entertaining and non-ideological, and about its audience, ie, that it was a monolith and that they knew what it wanted. All of these assumptions, he argued, were questionable. He cited Barbara O’Connor’s audience research against such assumptions about the audience. Younger viewers, she found, thought that the programme was lightweight and criticised its inattention to social problems.27 He quoted one scriptwriter as saying that the programme should only reflect reality “at a safe distance”. Asking the programme’s creator about the poor, Burrowes answered that it was not the role of the programme to pontificate to them but to entertain them, to give them a world into which they could escape once a week where everything was predictable. Devereux argued that, in its refusal to acknowledge the existence of an unequal social structure and in the circumscribed way it dealt with stories touching on poverty, it was patently ideological. It was ideological because it treated inequality as taken for granted, which contributed to the reification of poverty and amounted to a refusal to challenge the basis of it or to show alternatives to it.28

“Blessed are the poor, for they shall be patronised with soap opera” might be one way of paraphrasing this attitude, but it only arose when being pushed on the question of poverty. The more predominant response, I found whenever I raised questions about how society
was constructed in the serial in my own interviews and informal interactions (from 1985 to
2001) with those involved in the production of *Glenroe*, was that it was not the role of the
programme to deal with social issues. There was a growing defensiveness about social
issues. My own critique of the programme in my book on Irish television drama in 1987, in
my paper on soap opera and social order at the *Imagining Ireland* conference in 1993 and in
various television programmes about the state of television drama contributed to this
atmosphere. Those involved argued over and over that the role of the programme was to
tell, end of story. The serial was about people and their relationships. However, if
issues arose out of characters and their interactions, they inevitably said, they would deal
with them. However, this begged all the questions, I argued again and again, such as what
people found entertaining and why. It evaded dealing with the fact that the whole thing was
their construction. The characters and their interaction were their constructions. The whole
scenario could be constructed in such a way as to be either expansive or myopic in its
relation to the social order. It could either look outward at the world in tune with relevant
rhythms in the lives of interesting characters living interesting lives or it could be turned in
on the trivial details of characters who live in cozy claustrophobia (and doing so without
insight into the cosiness and claustrophobia).

Moreover, even in their own terms, they did not face up to issues which arose even in
relation to their own characters and stories and the way they constructed them. I have given
examples of such storylines to do with Tim Devereux and Biddy Byrne. I could multiply
examples. Chuck and the rest of the Boyle family from Bray were brought in supposedly to
represent the working class, the people of no property, those who had only their labour
power and no ownership of the means of production. Within a few years, Chuck was no
longer working on the Byrne farm, but came back from Australia as an entrepreneur, set up
a business in Glenroe and even employed Dick Moran. The younger Boyles all became
yuppies. There was a relentless preoccupation with people of property and their point of
view. Those on other ends of the social spectrum, the occupants of the big house and the
travellers were written with a light touch. Class was never addressed with much honesty.

This defensiveness was demonstrated in a 1997 programme on RTE celebrating 15 years of
*Glenroe*. It featured scenes from the show over the years interspersed with interviews with
various people involved in its production, particularly Wesley Burrowes and various actors.
The only academic or media critics to appear were ones who expressed unqualified
approval. Not content with oozing adulation, the programme had several swipes at absent
caricatured critics. John Waters said that Wesley Burrowes was a national treasure. Waters
declared that, if *Glenroe* did what its critics wanted, it would be unwatchable. What its critics
wanted was not represented in any way. There was another RTE programme hosted by
Bryan Murray about soap opera and their appeal populated entirely by actors and a studio
audience. Actors from British and Irish soap operas were addressed as if they were their
characters. It gushed with who fancied whom and wasn’t it all great fun. It had a smothering
effect. If you didn’t have very strong self-belief, sitting at home watching, you would think
that you must be crazy to be critical.

*Glenroe*, it must be said, had consistently high production values. There was always good
acting and directing and sometimes good writing. It had high TAM ratings. It was never
embarrassing. Its success shielded it from criticism. The attitude was: if it topped the TAMs,
and it often did, what else needed to be said. The numbers showed that people were
watching, but did not tell what they were thinking. I rarely missed an episode in its whole
run, but there was an attitude that anyone like me didn’t count. It was being made for an
audience conceived of as older, rural and conservative, by people who were mostly middle
aged, urban and liberal. They did sometimes try to push at the limits they imagined that RTE
was imposing on them	extsuperscript{29}, but this tended to be too focused on seeing how far they could go
in the area of sexual transgression, rather dramatising class inequalities or questioning received religion, rather than representing the clash of world views playing themselves out in contemporary Ireland. On the 1997 programme on 15 years of Glenroe, Luke Gibbons said that, if you wanted to show someone from Mars what Ireland was like in these years, you couldn’t do better than to show them Glenroe. Not even those making it claimed, at least when pressed, that it was a realistic portrayal of contemporary Ireland.

In January 2001 RTE announced that Glenroe would end its 18 year run in May. Cathal Goan, director of television, summoned cast and crew and thanked them for their contribution to making “one of the most loved and watched series ever produced by RTE”, but declared that it had come to a natural end and would not be renewed after its current run. In an interview with me, he addressed it on another level. It was a whimsy, he said. Whatever it was, it was not contemporary Ireland. They had done a lot of soul searching about it and considered a number of solutions, but decided that a process had brought it to a place from which it couldn't escape. Various actors were interviewed in the media, including a number of them together on The Late Late Show. They regretted its ending, believed RTE should have put more resources into it and kept it going, but basically they took it on the chin and continued to work on the final episodes.

In the final episode, Miley Byrne went back to Bracken and visited Biddy’s grave in Glenroe and discussed with his daughters the possibility of selling eggs on the internet before gathering with other characters and extras for the wedding of their former parish priest. It did register the changes in its way.

The RTE Guide, which once upon a time had articles with some kind of analysis of television, had pages and pages of pictures commemorating the show. It told the whole origin myth again: in the beginning was The Riordans … (told in my book too). It had features on the births and marriages, on Dick’s women and on collected ‘infidelities’. It didn’t get off so easily with the newspaper critics. Diarmuid Doyle’s article in The Sunday Tribune addressed the decision to axe the show. In an article entitled “Glenroe goes the way of the Dodo, like rural Ireland”, he berated the serial for playing safe and steering clear of anything resembling the reality of rural Ireland. John Boland’s column in The Irish Independent was headed “Glenroe dozing off into the sunset”. He lashed at the RTE Guide for 42 photographs plus mindless puffery instead of any analysis of what Glenroe once meant or was intended to mean as drama or sociology. Turning to the final episode:

“So you switched on the final episode to try to recapture something that would link you to those lost years when the world and Glenroe were younger – and you were younger too – but all you got were reminders of how Glenroe had long since failed as drama … nothing at all has been happening in Glenroe – not just nothing with any relevance to the way we live now, but nothing whatsoever. And so it ended.”

It ended, but it must be evaluated in a way that those responsible for it failed to do, at least in public. It was good in many ways, but it could have been much better. It could have been more honest with itself and its audience about how we live now and about all our contrasting views of how we live now. The soap opera may be in its conventions a cosy and conservative form, with its origins in an extremely cosy and conservative society, but it is nevertheless a form that has enormous potential to open out and to show the structure of the social order and to probe the human psyche as it shaped by the social order. There is so much time to develop character, so much scope to elaborate the twists and turns of storylines. Instead of fulfilling this potential, soap operas have tended to go round and round, recycling soap opera clichés, endlessly pairing and triangulating, rather than venturing into this almost
uncharted territory. *Glenroe* in any case did not venture there. As one RTE executive most astutely said to me, “*Glenroe* never missed an opportunity to miss an opportunity.”

**Fair City**

*Fair City* came into the world bearing an enormous burden of expectations. Since the demise of *Tolka Row*, but accelerating in succeeding decades, there was a sense of a yawning gap in the picture of Irish society emerging from RTE drama. All through the 1980s there was talk of the need for an urban serial. Indeed there was almost a sense of desperation about it, a sense that it must happen and that it must succeed. Its mandate was to be urban and contemporary and to be hard hitting in tackling social issues. When RTE announced it in its autumn schedule for 1989, it was at the centre of anticipation, not only from the media, but from the audience. Much effort and major investment had gone into its development. The documents in the archives refer to it as *Glasfin*, then as *Northsiders*, but by the time it appeared on the air, it was *Fair City*, from the song *Molly Malone*:

“In Dublin's fair city
Where the girls are so pretty …”

The opening sequence evoked Dublin, beginning with aerial shots of the city, inserting scenes of O’Connell bridge, the river, a park, a schoolyard, a betting shop, a pub, neighbors talking over hedges of corporation houses, finally homing in on the Drumcondra area, which was to be the fictional Carrigstown. The pilot opened with a succession of breakfast scenes in a number of houses with a running thread of various characters commenting on the story on the front of the *Northside People*, featuring the first anniversary of the Copeland House Community Enterprise Centre. As the day went on, these characters all converged on this centre. Throughout the day, there were scenes of Dublin as we knew it: Cumberland Street Labour Exchange (Paddy signing on), Grafton Street (Bernie on a rendezvous), Bewley’s Café (ex-lovers Bernie and Breffni meeting again) and Barry and Paul running in the park. In between there was much happening: people coming and going, phone messages being conveyed, characters gossiping about other characters, rows about relationships and about money. The pilot ended with a party at the centre with ended in a punch up, because Paddy took exception to Paul kissing Anne (not knowing that Bernie had been kissing Breffni).

Following the pilot, there were a flurry of reviews. RTE even did a vox pop on the streets of Dublin. Most people said that they couldn’t make out what was going on. Some complained about the accents, speaking in the same accents themselves. The consensus among the critics, of which I was one, was that it had got off to a frenetic start, that it was not clear who was doing what and why, but that it was promising and should be given a chance. So many characters and situations were introduced so quickly that it was impossible to make much sense of it at first viewing. I couldn’t keep track of who was related to whom and doing what, let alone why. I came home from the preview, read the potted biographies of the characters in the RTE publicity material and watched it again on transmission and it became a bit clearer. Another critic said that, even with the benefit of the press pack and seeing it twice, the relationships remained abstruse. Looking at it a third time, for the purposes of assessing the evolution of the serial, it looked very different with 12 years knowledge of the subsequent history of the characters and storylines behind me, but it was what it looked like on the night that mattered.

The pace slowed somewhat, sometimes to the point of the pedestrian. All sorts of things were tried, but the audience fell and the critics became harsher. Inside RTE, there was
consternation, but also commitment to do whatever it took to fix it. Sometimes it seemed that the desperation to make it better only made it worse. It was trying too hard to be something without being too sure what that something was. It was too bitty. There was too much happening for too little reason. It was too imitative. It was looking too much to *Eastenders* and not enough to contemporary Dublin.

By the end of first series, the centre was burnt down. Tony, the long lost son of Mags and Charlie Kelly had returned and discovered that Robert Copeland was his father. Copeland arrived to settle the insurance claim and proposed marriage to Bernie, daughter of Mags and sister of Tony. Meanwhile characters had to find alternative employment. All through the series there was much pairing and triangulating, starting with Paul and Bernie, Bernie and Breffni, Paul and Anne, but many more too. TV critic Eddie Holt wondered if *Fair City* should be called *Affair City*. In subsequent series the affairs multiplied. Bela returned from womanising in London to womanising in Dublin. He had hardly settled back to home and hearth with Rita when he had an affair with Linda, who had a baby, who then married Barry. Then Bela took up with Ciodhna, separated again from Rita and took up in succession with Irene, Tamara, Pauline, Gina and Tess. Anne took up with Paul, Jack, Frank, Shay, Liam, Dermot, Philip, Clancy, before going off to work on a cruise ship. As to Paul, who could remember all those between Bernie and Anne in the early days and Helen and Nicola and Niamh in more recent years?

There was wheeling and dealing, much of it revolving around Jack Flynn, the local shady entrepreneur, and subsequently around Eamonn Clancy, who also disappeared eventually, leaving a string of bad debts behind him, and latterly by Dermot Fahy and Sean McCann, local politicians as well as businessmen. An extraordinary numbers of characters have owned their own local businesses: a recording studio, a coffee shop, a hair salon, a pizzeria, a pub, a bistro, a sandwich bar, a law firm, a computer business, a garage, a taxi operation, a health club, a painting contractor, a cleaning service, a classic corner shop, an accountancy practice, a dresser, not to mention the black economy operations: money lending, drugs and prostitution. Although most characters were supposed to be of working class origins, hardly any of them have been wage labourers. Those that have been have worked primarily in the local businesses. Hardly any have belonged to trade unions. Those who must have been by the nature of their jobs, for example teachers such as Barry or Andrew, weren’t inclined to mention it.

There were comings and goings, births and deaths, windfalls and debts, rows and reconciliations, and so on, but there rarely seemed to a sufficient reason why. There were rapes, abortions, kidnappings, sexual harassment, blackmail, murder, but how dramatic any of it was depended utterly on how compelling was the characterisation of those involved, on how convincing was the motivation for the acts committed. It often seemed to be soap opera by the numbers.

In the course of my research in 2001, I was amazed to discover memos dating as far back as 1990 and 1991 from David Blake-Knox, who was then head of drama, variety and young people’s departments at RTÉ, to the *Fair City* production team. These addressed the problems in the writing of *Fair City* in a forthright, perceptive, and sometimes devastating fashion. He said that the writing was too often predictable and bland, the plot structures were too mechanical and the resolutions were too neat. Characterising the characters:

“Put crudely, there are too many bland or dull characters in *Fair City*. They tend to be passive in their relation to events and to lack clear definition of their emotional needs and reflexes.
Too often their actions seem dictated by the structural exigencies of the script and lacking in strong or wholly credible motivation.

and their relationships:

“Overall, it seems to me that there is a sort of vacuum at the centre of almost all these relationships. They tend to lack depth and an internal dynamic – what we see on the surface is really all there is”

As to the storylines, in the first year, they were characterised by excessive melodrama and self-conscious addressing of social issues and then in the second year, by an over-reaction to this in a kind of dull naturalism. He wanted it to avoid both pitfalls. He also addressed the nature of the Carrigstown community:

“I still find a persistent stress upon the old notion of ‘community’. It is almost as if last season’s collection of individual claustrophobic family ménages had been replaced this season by one large claustrophobic extended family. Nobody appears to work outside its parameters; they all shop in the same place; they all drink in the same pub. Sounds more like a remote village in the west of Ireland than central Dublin. In any case, the whole concept of working class community is very problematic for me – smacking as it does, of romantic condescension. At times, the picture which emerges in these scripts is of a pre-television society – living a communal life on the streets and in each other’s pockets.”

And over a year later:

“Confrontation, when it occurs, is almost entirely personal and lacking in any social resonance. This is one of the reasons that I think the series lacks a sense of place. Linda’s pregnancy is also symptomatic of the failure to connect Carrigstown with a larger society. It is as if no public dimension is allowed to intrude into her private story. There is hardly a mention – even in passing – of hospitals, social welfare, or any other practicalities. In fact, there is very little evidence of this community being connected with any of the popular institutions of modern Ireland.”

He argued that community did not have to be seen as everyone living together and thinking the same thing. Sometimes conflict could define the parameters of community. The difference in class background between characters, such as Paul and Cliodna, could be explored to clarify the type of place Carrigstown was supposed to be. He emphasised that he was not advocating heavy handed portrayal of class conflict, but that he thought that an awareness of this dimension could add dramatic perspective to storylines.

Whatever happened within the production process as a result of such a critique, it was not apparent to the viewer or critic watching the production. In autumn of 1993 when presenting my paper to the Imagining Ireland conference, it still seemed that the opening sequence evoked Dublin (I showed it on video), but I contended that Carrigstown did not feel to me like Dublin. It was more like a 1950s rural village than a 1990s city. Everybody lived in each other’s pockets and knew each other’s business. Nearly everybody worked in the immediate area. This was soap opera convention, but it was not urban life. The only serial to break with this was Brookside in its early years, where characters lived in Brookside Close, but moved about and worked in the larger city of Liverpool in a way that worked and opened up new territory for the genre, even if it collapsed back into the genre convention in the ensuing years, with nearly everybody living and working in each other’s pockets.
Those involved in the production of *Fair City*, to whom I spoke while writing that paper, answered that the budget did not allow for location shooting. I thought that *Fair City* should have been given the resources for location shooting (and if it was necessary to get rid of *Rose of Tralee* to do so, so much the better). However, I did not believe that this alone would solve the problem, which was a problem of vision. Even without location shooting, dialogue could refer outward in a way that it rarely did. Characters could come and go from the larger city and they could read books and newspapers, listen to radio, watch television, communicate by fax and e-mail. In countless ways, they could be constructed in a conscious and dynamic relationship to the wider world. For most of the first five years of *Fair City*, characters came and went, consumed their pizzas and pints, did their deals, had their flirtations and affairs, their births, marriages, separations and deaths in a so-what sort of way, without sufficient rhyme or reason, without specific texture, without particular perception.

It was striking for me to discover years after that there were critiques so similar to this, even using certain turns of phrase, that had been made several years sooner by those with so much more power than me to shape the serial. Yet, despite the 1990-1991 memos, it continued in the same mode enough for the same critique to be made by me in 1993 and for it to call forth a strong affirmative response in a number of people in the audience, including one who was centrally involved in the writing of *Fair City*. I did think that *Fair City* was improving. I cited storylines running at the time: Rita going back to school and doing her leaving cert, Bela adjusting to living in a flat out of Carrigstown, Barry's ideas about running the school, Natalie planning to come back to work after her baby was born not knowing those who smiled and told her to take care were plotting against her. There were bits of dialogue giving it more texture: Natalie referring to her baby as "yer wan kickin' away like Paul Mc Grath" and Lorraine wanting to stay in the room because it was "all part of family interaction... we learned it in life skills class".

All the same, I argued, *Fair City* and *Glenroe* needed to engage with the society in which they were was set in more ways than this. Here were some questions I asked of those who made these programmes:

- What did Biddy and Bela think about the big issues of our times?
- Did anyone in Glenroe or Carrigstown have left or right wing views?
- Had anyone noticed that the map of the world had been redrawn?
- Did anyone notice that Ireland elected a feminist president?
- Did anyone vote?
- Were the residents of Glenroe and Carrigstown the only people in Ireland with no opinion on the X case?
- Were they the only ones in the country not to make remarks about bishops and babies?
- Was everyone a religious believer?
- Would GATT agreements or structural funds allocation affect them?
- Did anyone belong to a trade union?
- Did no local TD ever come into Teasy's or Mc Coys?
- Did no one go to TCD, UCD, DCU or any 3rd level educational institution?
- Did no one work at Intel or Unidare or Aer Lingus or any large industrial enterprise?
- Why did such a disproportionate number of characters own small businesses and those few who worked for a wage work for them?
- Was Clancy supposed to represent the whole capitalist system?
It was not, I stated, that any one of these absences was that conclusive, but taken together they indicated what I at least found missing, at least the surface of what I found missing. But, even staying on the surface, I indicated some characters I would like some day to see: a married laicised priest, a nun who lived in a flat after coming under the influence of liberation theology in Latin America, a trade union official, a government programme manager, a multinational executive, a computer hacker, a philosopher (why not?), a novelist, a journalism student, a night cleaner, a carpenter who could only find work in the black economy, a person who was long term unemployed, a punter who voted PD and thought that *The Sunday Independent* was the fount of all wisdom, a communist whose life came into crisis in 1989.

Adding any such characters, I knew, would not solve the problem in itself, but written well they could open out the scenario to show the structure of the social order in terms of the rhythms of everyday lives. Some of these absences became presences in due course: both serials eventually had married laicised priests; Fidelma in *Glenroe* worked for Aer Lingus and Biddy even worked in a factory for a time; Eoghan and then Suzanne and Sarah in *Fair City* were university students and Fiachra was a university lecturer; politicians began to appear in McCoy’s pub, as did a computer hacker and a multinational executive. As to the carpenter, construction was booming. Frank and Damien did painting and decorating and had plenty of work. Hannah was a school cleaner in between working behind the counter of one family shop and then another. Even trade unions got a mention in relation to teachers and “all that time off with strikes”. Nevertheless there was still much missing.

RTE commissioned audience research reports on *Fair City* in 1990, 1995 and 2001. The overall assessment of the 1995 report was seen to mark a significant improvement on the 1990 results. The audience was averaging 750,000 per episode. The face-to-face interviews of 1387 respondents at 70 sampling points were conducted by Lansdowne Market Research in February 1995. Bela Doyle and Hannah Finnegan were the most popular characters, while Eamon Clancy, Wayne Molly and Nicola Prendergast were the least popular. The Anne Clarke-Eamon Clancy affair was considered the top storyline, whereas stories to do with Lily being haunted by Mary’s cat and Charlie breeding fish were least well received. They were asked what sort of stories they would like to see in the future from a multiple choices list: stories about older characters, stories about younger characters, social issues (specified as marital breakdown etc), romance, comedy, adult stories, glamour, crime, other (specify). The preference reported was for comedy and romance.

The 2001 report conducted by Emer Hatherell Qualitative Research was based on 8 focus groups in 4 locations. The key findings of the report included: soap operas fulfil a need for vicarious living, viewers enjoyed a more intimate relationship with the characters on *Coronation Street* as they “are taken through all aspects of these characters lives and journey through the labyrinths of their minds” (I kid you not!), the most engaging storylines dealt with affairs and social and moral dilemmas. The characters were seen as too one dimensional. It stated that Helen came across as a tough businesswoman, but viewers were exposed to few other aspects of her character. Presumably this was referring to Nicola, as Helen had been dead for two years and was not a businesswoman. The character of Eunice was seen as highly credible and evoked a very positive response in terms of both the writing and acting. The portrayal of the relationship between Kay and Malachy after her abortion received a negative assessment. Some examples of suggested storylines: Mike could have a one night stand with Jasmine, Floyd could lead Tara astray, Damien could get Suzanne pregnant, McCann could organise devious land rezoning and place the blame on Dermot. Additional characters they would like to see: “a glamorous female, a man-eating woman, a good comic, a real bad boy”. For this RTE paid £14,000 (plus vat).
My scepticism about the value of qualitative audience research was intensified by reading these reports. Far too much is concluded from far too little. What respondents say to oral multiple choice questions or in focus group scenarios is off the top of the head, selective and superficial. The samples are small. The crudity of the categories such as ‘adult stories’ and ‘glamour’ and equating social issues with marital breakdown cannot yield anything but crude results. Factual errors to do with the names of characters and length of time a series has been on air do not inspire confidence. The suggested characters and storylines are clichés.

Further, although it is obviously a matter of conflicting perceptions, I do not find the character of Eunice credible or interesting and find the acting style disruptive as it seems more appropriate to panto than to television. The portrayal of the relationship between Kay and Malachy, however, I believe, was subtle, credible and mature both in the way it was written and acted. As to who were most or least popular characters, the answers indicate more what sort of people they might like to have for friends than what sort of characters make good drama. Taking such opinions seriously would produce only pollyanna personalities and no dramatisation of human negativity and conflict. It seems bad enough that so much was spent on something so questionable and flimsy, but taking it seriously would produce only superficiality and cliché.

Coming at *Fair City* in the context of a comparative study of soap operas and society in 13 European countries in the 1990s, Scottish academic Hugh O’Donnell viewed these serials, not as texts in themselves, but as sites of a ongoing process of negotiation between producers and consumers taking place within a larger framework. Describing soap operas:

“they have an almost organic existence as they squirm and coil their way forward unpredictably through time, moving this way and that as the range of pressures exerted on them vary in intensity, sometimes carrying their audiences with them, sometimes alienating them, sometimes expiring through fatigue or dying a spectacular death” 41

Soap operas told their own story about their society at a level that transcended the factual detail of their individual storylines. This was part of a greater overarching narrative created simultaneously by all the soaps. Although competing ideologies were seldom explicated, he contended, this narrative unfolded within a Europe in which social democratic hegemony was on the defensive in the face of a neo-liberal onslaught. The tradition of public service broadcasting was challenged by the advance of new commercial channels and attitudes. He placed the soap operas he studied along a spectrum, with British soaps at one end as a refuge of the embattled social democratic world view and the newer German ones at the other end as the realm of a young affluent depthless consumerist culture in the mode of *Neighbors* or *Dallas*. In between the two extremes were all sorts of permutations, which was where he placed *Fair City*:

“the unconvincing upward mobility of *Fair City* in Ireland, where the economic opportunities opened up by the tiger economy allow erstwhile proles to open furniture-restoring workshops in lock-ups …” 42

*Fair City* placed itself within the social realist tradition of British soaps, he argued, but failed to deliver anything like the level of social comment provided by its British counterparts. This, I would contend, overstated the level of social comment in the British ones and understated that of *Fair City*. Although he cited my 1987 book on Irish television drama and my 1993 paper on soap operas in support of his negative evaluation of Irish television drama
in general and *Fair City* in particular, I found myself on the defensive and inclined to argue that our soap operas should not be compared so unfavourably with those of other European countries, particularly with those of Britain, which I have also watched consistently. I do not think that watching a few episodes in 1994 and again in 1997 formed an adequate basis for judging such a long running serial. It is difficult to feel the force of the distinction he articulated as:

"Whereas in the British soaps working class experience is displaced into petit bourgeois class positions, in *Fair City* working class experience is replaced by petit bourgeois aspirations." 43

Explaining this in relation to *Brookside* and *Eastenders*:

"the displacement of selected aspects of working class experience into (and the concomitant rejection of neo-liberal values from) the lives of petit bourgeois characters continues to be a defining feature ... the isolated, embattled and inward looking group of people who populate British soaps can be seen to represent the very real and widespread disarray of the British working class ... political issues raised by soaps such as *Eastenders* and *Brookside* are constantly deflected and contained by being placed firmly within the framework of the family ... they are also structurally diffused by the atomised, fragmented and unorganised class position of the protagonists. This is largely what gives British soaps their simultaneously progressive and conservative feel."44

and to *Coronation Street*:

"*Coronation Street* is set in a Britain which never was and never will be, a country of publicans and shop owners where problems from the real world are taken in, reworked and reconfigured: they are transformed from political issues to personal ones and dispersed into an unending flow of narrative"45

As to *Fair City*:

"It constructs, even if only by default (which is ... what hegemony is about), the new neo-liberal consensus of classless individuals moving up the ladder of personal wealth (though never very far) thanks to their own individual endeavours. The real (and very obvious) imbalances of Ireland’s tiger economy in the 1990s are nowhere to be seen. Economic power is everywhere in microscopic amounts in *Fair City*, but nowhere in substance: it is a placeless utopia, neither rural nor urban, but combining elements of the mythologies of both."46

There was much in these assessments, but they were nevertheless not quite on the mark with respect to *Fair City*. It was initiated and sustained within the tradition of public service broadcasting and it came from an impulse to give dramatic expression to the realities of contemporary Irish society. It might have done so inadequately and given too much ground to genre clichés, but it has not given unequivocal expression to neo-liberal individualism either. It has reflected commercial pressures and individualist values, but not unequivocally, not unquestioningly, not alone in the dramatic frame.

*Fair City* has felt the pressure of all ideological currents in Irish society to an extent not always obvious in the text itself. A *Nighthawks* sketch in 1991 showed a top RTE executive being asked by a journalist:

"Do you think that *Fair City* is marxist enough?"
to which he answered that they had better work on that. Those in the know knew that it was a reference (and a funny one) to alleged Workers Party influence in RTE (which has been much exaggerated). What has prevailed in *Fair City* has been a liberal social democratic point of view, no longer under strong pressure from the old right or new left and confused by the nature of the challenge from the new right. Not that those involved in its production would customarily speak or even think about the production in such explicit ideological terms. It has given expression, implicitly or explicitly to neo-liberal attitudes, in some characters and situations, but it has not constructed a neo-liberal consensus of classless individuals.

As the years moved on, *Fair City* evolved. By 2001 it was going out 4 nights a week with 30 writers, a large and efficient production team and an average audience of 650,000. By January 2002 it had aired over 1000 episodes. The new opening sequence made in 1997 was a stylish evocation of the city; panning over the pigeon house at dawn, the distillery, an older city street, people walking along the strand by Dublin Bay, the fruit stalls and bustle of Moore Street, O'Connell Street, the bridges over the shimmering River Liffey at dusk. It was the look of a city that had come up in the world, as indeed it had. It was the time of the tiger. It showed too in the look of the sets and lives of the characters. They were less downtrodden, but they were not fabulously rich with unearned wealth either. Most of them were working class in origin and had achieved a modestly comfortable standard of living through education and work and presumably through the rising tide lifting many (if not all) boats. There were still too many of them who owned and worked in local businesses.

It had more of a feel of the city about it. There was more of a sense of the larger city. Some of the characters (Nicola, Paul and Niamh) had flats outside Carrigstown, but came there to work. Malachy went off to a homeless shelter to work. Suzanne and Sarah went to university. The was some location shooting in shopping centres, night clubs, city streets. Also the outdoor set built on an RTE lot with house and shop fronts, streets and bus stop as well as the studio sets for pub, bistro, shops, flats and offices had an authentic look of contemporary Dublin about them. There was also more of a sense of the wider world in the way the script referred outward even when the cameras didn’t go there. It was intermittent and it varied with scriptwriters. One character, for example, would mention eskimos, while another came in saying that the correct term was inuits, to which the other replied:

"Are you going to call Amnesty International?"

There was in the earlier years a “no politics, no religion” rule, but this was no longer in force. There was still a certain caution in dealing with politics. The politics of the north was an area that was avoided. The notion of politics running through the serial was quite vague, but occasionally something vivid broke through. There were eventually politicians who were regular characters. Sean McCann and Dermot Fahy were local councillors and businessmen who belonged to “the party”. Although the party was never named, it was unmistakably Fianna Fail. There were references, even if only in passing, to the tribunals and the political corruption exposed in them. Sean McCann was in time discovered by Dermot Fahy to have been implicated in the corrupt practices that had been routine in his time in the party. A deal was made and he resigned and left Carrigstown and the series, rather than be publicly exposed (which would have been much more interesting). There were even mentions of actual politicians and events, such as one character telling another that if he went to prison, it wouldn’t be to Liam Lawlor’s cell.

Sometimes there were storylines that situated the local community and its characters in relation to power and property. One was about the closure of the local library, basically
about public property and who had control of it. There was a romantic connection between
Dermot and Jo, a politically articulate woman who led the opposition to the party on this
issue, but the relationship could not develop because of their different politics. She went off
to Athlone, then came back to Dublin again and they had another go. They again found
themselves on the opposite sides of political issues, however vaguely sketched these issues
were.

Another instance was a local pirate radio station, which Dermot had closed down by the
gardai, after Charlie Kelly, feeling a sense of power as a local broadcaster, started giving
out about certain politicians and naming him. Charlie went into oppositional overdrive and
started citing Marx and Engels and the Communist Manifesto. He sat down with his pint in
McCoy's and explained that state power equaled violence. When the gardai arrived to
close the station, Eunice Phelan was on air with some new agey nonsense and started singing
We Shall Overcome. It stretched credibility (for me at least) to believe that she was the sort
of person who would sing We Shall Overcome, but I was happy that some scriptwriter still
remembered it. When the incident was reported on the front page of the Northside People,
she got on her galloping high horse about paparazzi and “the sort of people who killed
Princess Diana”, which seemed more ideologically in character. When they came to court,
the judge told Charlie that one Eamon Dunphy in Ireland was enough, to which Charlie took
exception, saying that he had modelled himself on John Bowman: “scholarly, measured, well
researched, impeccably presented.”

Another struggle was a rent strike and eviction involving private property. Tara McCann,
sharing a flat with other 20-somethings Jasmine, Jason and Jerry, worked for a (vague)
charity and spoke of power and wealth and inequality in a radical way. Even when “going
girly” in an effort to attract Jimmy Doyle, prompting Jerry to remark:

“so there’s a little Claudia Schiffer inside the Che Guevara”

she wondered if the £25 frilly top she bought was made in a sweat shop where workers got
paid 25p. However, it was not clear how deep her politics went and how much of it was
sublimation of oedipal tensions with her father. The politician and landlord was her father.
Another of her causes was animal rights, but her activity here was also related to her father’s
financial and sexual ties.

An important story reflecting the evolving relationship of Ireland to the politics of the wider
world was the entry of a refugee into Carrigstown. Ashti was a Kurdish teacher who fled to
Ireland, feeling caught between the Turkish authorities and those in armed struggle against
them. At first, the only work he could find was selling The Big Issues on the streets of Dublin.
Then he came to work in Phelan’s shop and Eunice, going through a regression therapy
phase, imagined that he was the reincarnation of a husband she had in a previous life, and
Christy began to see his inheritance diverted. Eunice denounced her son as racist on Radio
Carrigstown. I think that it was a mistake to tie the refugee story to reincarnation fantasies. It
was also marred by discordant acting styles, which weakened its impact. Nevertheless, it
did highlight the difficult lives asylum seekers left behind, in this case including torture, the
ruthlessness of those who profiteered on their transit and the suspicions surrounding them
on arrival.

Carrigstown slowly became slightly multiracial. Black extras began to sit up at the bar in
McCoy’s pub. A black woman named Venus O’Brien was a vixen who seduced Brian Maher
away from love struck Farrah Phelan. The actress complained about the role and her
appearance by the show. In 2002 an African doctor arrived, set up as a GP in the area and took
up with Nicola. Arriving to find that he had been with her daughter overnight, Nicola’s mother made it clear that she did not find inter-racial sex acceptable, but it was a measure of changing times to see how hard it was for her to find an acceptable vocabulary to say so.

Character development improved. Some were still too bland, but some had edge and represented interesting dimensions of contemporary Ireland. Although characters (or their writers) almost never articulated any sort of ideological self-consciousness, they nevertheless embodied a number of ideological positions that played themselves out in both society and soap opera. Nicola Prendergast was an excellent embodiment of the yuppie mentality. She was never a caricature. She was well nuanced and kept nasty (against the tendency to soften long running characters) and competitive. She was also vulnerable and human, but there was always a hard edge to her. Although she never said so, I imagined her to be someone who would vote PD and quote approvingly from opinion columns in The Sunday Independent. In contrast, other characters gave occasional expression to a social critique to the left: Charlie Kelly, Tara McCann, Malachy Costello and Barry O’Hanlon. This was never been developed very far, but there were hints that some scriptwriters have something they might want to say through them.

Not surprisingly, the older characters represented traditionalist Ireland in a society not very respectful of its traditions. Mary O’Hanlon, now dead, was closer to the Irish mothers that populated Tolka Row and The Riordans. You could imagine her being able to talk to Rita Nolan or Mary Riordan and knowing where she was in the world. However, living in Dublin in the 1990s, she had no idea. Her son Barry had entered the seminary and she was set to be the mother of a priest, but he left and she had no idea how to communicate with him or with most of the other people she found around her. Paschal Mulvey was perhaps the strongest exponent of old values. He served with the Irish army in the Congo, but his identification with the military was far reaching, as became apparent when he took to giving night classes in military history. Students who were living in the area went along for the laugh, but Paschal found it all quite unfunny. Eunice Phelan, like Mary, found it impossible to be the matriarch she wanted to be and failed to have any meaningful influence on her sons. Her mind was a dustbin of contradictory half-baked ideas, mixing old fashioned catholicism with tarot cards, horoscopes, reincarnation and celebrity gossip.

The women have been relatively liberated, in the sense that none of them is a full time housewife, living off a man’s wages and a man’s identity. Some are accomplished and ambitious. Others don’t have glamourous careers, but they do a day’s work, even if it is working behind the counter of a pub, sandwich bar or corner shop. There were lapses, such as Carol becoming a kept woman, whining about being bored watching Oprah all day and not even knowing that she had become a gangster’s moll. There were other women living off their sexuality, Shelley doing so knowingly and shamelessly using it to get her way and set up a business and Tracey being seduced into prostitution through weakness and stupidity. At the other end of the scale, Annette was a solicitor who decided that she wanted a child. After almost seducing a young man for his sperm, she opted for an anonymous donor, only to discover it couldn’t be done in Ireland without a partner and went to England for “another Irish solution to an Irish problem”. Going against stereotypes in their jobs were Robin, a car mechanic, and Tess, a taxi driver. The discourse about gender and the workplace was knowing, sometimes playfully, sometimes not. In discussing what sort of person their new boss might be, Niamh asked Paul if he would prefer a man or a woman. He replied: a man. She asked why. “To keep the lad quota up”, he said, “Besides with a man there are no mood swings or hidden agendas”. With Conor, however, the tone was not so lighthearted and a sexual harassment storyline ensued.
The kinds of relationships explored have been more various. Eoghan Healy was an important character in exploring alternative paths. He came into Carrigstown as a student (if I remember correctly, DCU was named as the university) working his way through college. He was on good terms with the females his age and attractive to them. He talked through his coming out with them. Carrigstown took it in its stride and he subsequently became a teacher in the local school. He was conscientious in his work and in his relationships, but this did not exactly reap rewards. He developed a relationship with Liam, who was bisexual and married, and did not deal with him very honestly. Then he took up with Andrew, a fellow teacher, who had a partner dying of aids, who wanted help to die when the time came. Andrew would not do so, but Eoghan did. Simon’s relatives denounced Eoghan in a crowded school hall. Not only was his career as a teacher in ruins, but he was questioned by the gardai and the last we heard the case was being forwarded for prosecution. Moreover, Andrew was cool and not supportive. What ever became of Eoghan and this case? He has gone from Carrigstown, but could he not send an e-mail to another character to let us know? Storylines involving Eoghan, particularly about his coming out, received particularly high ratings. The progress of these stories was the subject of much comment in Gay Community News.\(^{48}\)

The norms of sexual morality have shifted dramatically in both soap opera and society. There might be stray eyebrows raised about Eoghan, but the dominant point of view in how his character and situations were constructed was that he was a person of high moral character, whereas those who messed with him were not. More recently a lesbian character came on the scene. Camille, a high executive in Transglobal, entered into a marriage of convenience with Conor. Conor proposed to Nicola that they carry on their affair, despite the shock to her upon discovering his marriage. He proposed a relationship of “no conventions, no restrictions”. Nicola’s assertion that it was against her principles did not come across as stemming from the 6th commandment, but from yuppie respectability and property relations. Nicola’s mother spoke not of sin, but of not bringing her up “to be a loser” and advised her “to get the business side of it under control”.

On abortion, there have been two stories. Niamh became pregnant by Leo and went to England to do what many Irish women have done, without much soul searching. A more maturely explored and morally nuanced story came when Kay and Malachy, who treated the news of pregnancy with joy, found themselves on the horns of an agonising ethical and emotional dilemma after the amniocentesis. The child would be severely disabled. With great regret, Kay went to England and had an abortion. Malachy could not accept it. The edgy painful relationship between them after it was dealt with in a protracted and sophisticated way, although it did not seem to be popular with the audience.

For most of the time, the characters lived their lies outside the norms of catholic sexual morality with very little in the way of moral discourse about it. The Irish state has legalised divorce, but the church has not changed its teaching, but it did not deter characters from divorcing and hoping to remarry (Paul and Nicola, for example). The adulterous affair between Dolores in an advanced stage of pregnancy and Frank in the house doing work on new baby’s nursery definitely pushed at the boundaries of transgression. So too was Billy’s view that “sex is a commodity to be sold like anything else”.

*Fair City* has tacitly tracked the secularisation of Irish society. It was assumed that most of the characters were catholic, but that it impacted little on their lives. There was never a parish priest as a core character. It was part of the back story of Barry O’Hanlon when the serial began that he had intended to be a priest and he has consistently acted as a sort of secularised variant. As with many ex-priests or ex-seminarians, the impulse to be responsible for others, to articulate higher values, was still there, with or without a deity. He
was first the manager of the community centre, then a teacher and finally headmaster of the local school. He resisted his mother’s efforts to get him to teach at his old school, staffed by a religious order.

The first time a priest entered Carrigstown as a core character was when Malachy Costello appeared, as the nephew of Eunice Phelan, on leave from the foreign missions. He had come from the Philippines and went off again to Brazil. He was one of those priests who believed that they belonged with the poor and oppressed. He was regarded by his order as a bit of a loose cannon and inclined to cross the line in relating religion to politics. His discussions with his religious superior and with various characters from time to time in McCoy’s pub have been among the more reflective bits of dialogue to occur in the series. He has been one of the most interesting characters that *Fair City* has developed. He became involved in a relationship to Kay McCoy and eventually became laicised and married. It was not happily ever after. He could not settle into private domesticity or confine his role in the community to being a publican. He still felt a sense of vocation and a pull to those struggling from below. The laicisation story was done far more credibly than in *Glenroe*. His subsequent preoccupation with greyhounds and gambling seemed more like recycled *Glenroe* cliché than meaningful exploration of character.

The representation of criminality in *Fair City* has been in contrast to other dramatisations of crime and criminals of this period. There were no less than three full scale dramatic productions of the life of the notorious Dublin criminal boss, Martin Cahill, who was shot dead in 1994. John Boorman’s feature film *The General* and BBC-Northern Ireland’s *Vicious Circle* gave a romanticised portrayal of Cahill as a pidgeon fancying Robin Hood. The omnipresent Brendan Gleeson, who played Cahill in Boorman’s film, also played a romanticised criminal in *I Went Down*. This has been a persistent tendency in Irish film and television (as argued in my earlier book) of liberal indulgence of lumpen life and a tendency to make criminality chic and cute. It also manifested itself in other 1990s Irish productions, such as *Making Ends Meet* and *Ordinary Decent Criminal*.

In *Making the Cut* and *Fair City* RTE departed from this and gave a darker portrayal. The characterisation of Billy Meehan was better grounded and more plausible. He was working class in origin, nice looking and could turn on a certain kind of charm when he wanted. He played mind games on those he drew into his web and could change from the hail-fellow-well-met persona to an insidious intimidator in a flash. The pull of his psychological seductions and the ruthlessness of his purposes were clearly shown. There was a certain implicit sympathy for those he drew into criminality, Leo, Lorcan, Carol, Tracey, but they were not indulged as blameless. Billy was not indulged at all in the text. The storylines involving drugs, prostitution, murder were played out in overt reference to real events in the current affairs of the time. At one point Leo said:

“Look what happened to Veronica Guerin”

and a detective delivered a put down to Billy as

“You’re not the general, Billy.”

There was also a knowing reference to past and present media representations of law and crime. Pauline pleaded with Leo:

“This isn’t *High Noon*, you know”
and Billy in cajoling-menacing mode put it to Leo:

“It’s not exactly The Sopranos, is it?”

This was not Dublin in the rare old times. It was not the fair city of fish mongers, of cockles and mussels, alive-alive-o. No, this was a Dublin of hotmail and health clubs, of sex in the city, of clubbing and cocaine, of refugees and racism, of crime and compassion, of poverty and property, of books and websites and universities. They were ready for the millennium bug and the euro changeover. These were the descendants of Molly Malone. Little Oisin went to yoga classes. Charlie, whose ancestor was a cooper in Guinness in 1832, drank his pints and observed that the Little Book of Calm should be the Little Book of Profit. It’s all marketing these days, he thought. Unlike any previous RTE serials, Fair City had a website.49

What sort of picture of contemporary Ireland has emerged from Fair City, I asked various people involved in the production of it. It's The Evening Herald, said Kevin McHugh, its script editor from 1995. It is dealing with the problems of ordinary people along the social spectrum ranging from the criminal to the yuppie. Asked about restrictions, he replied that the only pressure was the pre-watershed slot. This had not kept it from dealing with aids, abortion, homosexuality. There was sometimes negative audience reaction, such as the scene when two gay men were about to kiss and another when Dr Jack made a remark about nurses and bedpans (which brought outraged calls from nurses). However, he believed that

“You can tell any story, if you tell it fully, properly, organically”50

John Lynch, who was executive producer in the mid-90s, said that it was a view of working class people becoming less downtrodden and more aware of the world around them.51 Niall Mathews, who was executive producer in 1991-1992 and again since 1998, emphasized how much it had evolved.

“It was like turning a tank around”.

In the beginning, there was an assumption that an urban serial meant lots of rows, so there were rows and rows, but they were based on nothing. There was no depth. Over the years, they built a pool of writers, added credibility to storylines, improved production values, increased the audience. About how it represented contemporary Ireland, he answered that he didn’t directly set out to do that. In response to my argument about the potentiality of the soap opera in relation to the social order, he welcomed me to pitch any storyline that would enhance it.52

To the same question, Cathal Goan, present director of television, commented “It’s about 70% there”.53 Bob Collins, director general, looked at its evolution and argued that it had eventually claimed its space and reflected urban life at work and at home. It had tackled difficult issues, such as abortion, which had brought criticism, but it was the degree of acceptance that was more striking.54
Ros na Run

There was another new soap opera on the scene during this time. It was rural, but took a more robust approach to rural life than Glenroe. Ros na Run (the headland of the secrets) was set in Dublin and in the Connemara Gaeltacht in the west of Ireland. It was made in the Irish language with English subtitles. It began in 1992 with 6 episodes on RTE. The initial story began with Sean, a Dublin yuppie, taking up a job as manager of a chocolate factory in Connemara. He had never taken the Irish he learned at school seriously and tended to use it only when abroad. He was delighted to find Caitríona, an old college friend, working in the local radio station there, not realising at first that she was involved with Micheal, the foreman at the factory, who believed he should have got his job. Caitríona was determined to set up a no-holds-barred comment line. He seemed to walk into trouble wherever he went. A thorn in his side was Liam, the son in the b&B where he was residing. Liam was into heavy metal and motorbikes and stole Sean’s keys and stayed in his Dublin flat. The old language cassette Sean was using to bring his rusty Irish up to scratch was woefully inadequate to talk of Metallica and Nintendo in the 90s Gaeltacht. The ratings were high and the critics, including myself, welcomed it, thought it showed promise, although it remained to be seen “whether or not this new soap can really work up a proper lather” as one critic put it.

The suds drained away, as it turned out, as Udaras na Gaeltachta withdrew funding and Telefís na Gaeilige took longer than anticipated to come on air. There were also differences of opinion as to its method of production. Cathal Goan, the ceannasai (chief executive) of TnaG, wanted to build a permanent set, whereas Con Bush, the original producer, wanted it less locked into sets and more using single camera on real locations. The set was built in Connemara. The serial then began again in 1996 when it became a flagship production of the new TnaG (now TG4). In 2001 it was going out twice a week with an omnibus edition as well.

The return of “the not so sleepy village in the wild west of Ireland” bore a resemblance to the old image of the Gaeltacht as a place of bainin jumpers and tin whistles and ceilís, but it was also a land of e-mail and mobile phones and multimedia installations and the morning after pill. When the morning after pill didn’t work, it was somewhere where a student called an abortion clinic, saying there were numbers all over UCG, and took a plane from Galway to London. Nevertheless, if Ciara believed it was her right to choose, Nancy thought it was murder and said so. It was a place where two men could have a long term homosexual relationship and raise a child, but was still a place where someone remembered that it was once a mortal sin. Ros na Run too has shown the secularisation of Irish society. Religion seemed to play little role in it, but it was still there. There was not a priest among core characters, but sometimes an extra came in as a priest to bless the new life boat or something like that. There were obvious differences in attitude to religion between characters. When Bernie’s mother spoke of prayer, she replied:

“Things are complicated enough without bringing God into it.”

The contrast between the old and the new manifested itself in all sorts of ways. Sometimes it was very deliberate and self-conscious, using a student project on how life had changed in Ros na Run. Other times it was casual. When older characters used quaint turns of phrase and expressed quaint notions, the young ones just rolled their eyes and proceeded to say and do things in their own way. Sometimes they took them on. Labhras (some called him
Chicken Larry, the windbag manager of the radio station, complained that the youth were neglecting the genitive case. Liam thought that he was a grammar fascist, but rather than confront him, the younger staff set him up for a fall. The co-workers in the radio station discussed who would be shop steward, or mother of chapel said one, it being the NUJ. They dealt lightly with those in power, whether far or near, sometimes through confrontation, but more often through irony and cunning.

It was described as a place of “scandals, secrets, schemes, surprises”. Even scandals from former times, still secrets, hatched schemes and threatened surprises. Maire, wanting to honour her ancestor who fought in the war of independence, persuaded her son Liam to do a radio programme on him and proposed a memorial. She went on about “how people suffered in those days” and “those who went out and fought for freedom” and how young people should know. Catriona, having a go at Sinead, whom she was accusing of running an abortion referral service, remarked that there might be no young people in times to come. Meanwhile, Coïlin felt provoked to reveal that her ancestor was an informer. Coïlin was often the voice of the past. When he was young, children were encouraged to write for the folklore society. The maistear had rejected his writings as too political. He found out how bitter the truth could be. His brother revealed to him that it was their father who shot another person in the village. He showed Sile his own version of the true story of Ros na Run and she told him it was better than Angela’s Ashes.

All sorts of plot lines have been and will be pursued. There has always been a greater freedom in Irish language programmes than English ones. There is an atmosphere of freedom surrounding TG4 drama. Interviewing Eilis ni Dhuibhne, who was writing for both Glenroe and Ros na Run, it was striking to note the difference in discussing the process of one vis a vis the other. On Ros na Run, she said, it was possible to do anything.

Another TnaG drama to find an admiring audience was C U Burn, a 9 part sitcom series in 1996 set in Donegal about 2 brothers, who were the local undertakers, who set up the world’s first turf burning crematorium. Written, produced and directed by Niall Mac Eamharcaigh, it took a surreal and irreverent stance. Their ambition to expand and modernise led them to run foul of their customers and the authorities with plots featuring funerals using dangerously over-laden currachs, a politician dying on the job, drug smuggling in coffins and the confusion ensuing when two corpses were mixed up and returned to the wrong families. Its black humour made few concessions to political correctness.

Other TnaG/TG4 drama has been sparse, but there have been several: Gleann Ceo, a series set in a Donegal village whose garda station faced closure; Muintir na Darach (People of the Oak) a series tracing the adventures of 3 friends trying to raise money for an adventure holiday who stumbled upon the story of 3 monks entrapped during a Viking raid a thousand years before; Kaislean Klaus about a German who bought his dream house in Connemara. Others were single plays, such as Draiocht (Magic), written and starring Gabriel Byrne, about tensions between parents from the point of view of an 11 year old boy, as the army father was about to go off to the Congo in 1960s and Lipservice by Paul Mercier, about a muinteoir and students in a Dublin secondary school during oral Irish exams.

Scealta o Theach na Mbocht or Tales from the Poorhouse was a co-production made by Cresendo Concepts for TnaG and RTE. It was written by Eugene McCabe and produced by Louis Lentin in 1998. It was premised on the belief that:

“We cannot truly know the past. We can only imagine it.”
This was the product of truthful imagination. It was not expensive, but it was substantial and memorable. There was basically only one voice and one set in each. The interlocking stories were set in a workhouse in South Ulster in 1848. Each told the story of the famine as it impacted on one area from a different point of view. The 4 half hour dramas were: The Orphan, The Master, The Landlord, The Mother.
RTE, independent production and co-production

As the years went on, less and less drama was being produced in house and more and more of it was being co-produced or commissioned. The escalating costs of drama production made co-production more imperative. Also legislation required commissioning independent production. RTE was required to invest a certain percentage of its production budget (up to 20% by 1999) in independent production. The Independent Production Unit was set up in 1994 and commissioned a number of programmes in a number of genre, including drama. Even co-productions came to be outsourced to independent production companies. The independent sector was building up in Ireland in the 1980s and grew even more rapidly in the 1990s. The growth of this sector was also supported by various schemes supported by the European Union and the Irish Film Board.

Some had literary sources. Maeve Binchy's novel *Echoes* was made by Working Title for RTE and Channel 4 in 4 parts in 1988. It was set in the 1950s in County Waterford. It was soft, but vividly evocative of the times. There were the archetypal authoritarian nuns shaping the consciousness of all those quivering young women. Gone forever now are all those Sister Immaculatas and how they might ask of you:

“What hope is there for any girl who can't keep fresh water in the vase for Our Lady's flowers?”

As to the plot, the *Irish Independent* critic called it “Enid Blyton meets *The Thorn Birds*”. It twittered along harmoniously, he thought, “then turned into a cacophony of suicidal screams and adulterous grunts”. Other Maeve Binchy novels seen on television during these years were *The Lilac Bus* and *Circle of Friends*.

Then there was Gandon Productions re-make of *The Real Charlotte* by Somerville and Ross. It was the company of Niall McCarthy, who had been RTE head of drama in the 1980s. It was expensive and it looked good, but why? There was so little drama in production at the time, so why re-make what was made before? There were also the *Dick Francis Mysteries* co-produced by RTE and Comedia in 1990.

Television over the decades relied less and less on theatrical sources, but there were still instances of it. *Beckett on Film* was a big project undertaken by Blue Angel and Tyrone Productions for RTE and Channel 4 with the Irish Film Board. It employed a panoply of leading international directors to film all 19 plays of Samuel Beckett. RTE and Channel 4 transmitted them in a televisual extravaganza in March 2001. They were also given cinematic screening at the Irish Film Centre in February 2001, occasioning discussion of the relationship between theatre and film. However, most who saw them saw them on television. Probably many taped them, because they were worthy and should be archived, but never got around to watching them. The use of close up and concentration on the subtleties of facial expression was an obvious way that both film and television could do something distinctive in giving new production to these plays.

Directors let loose and tried all sorts of innovative ways of bringing the distinctiveness of another medium to the texts. Neil Jordan, for example, in *Not I* reduced the whole visual dimension to the mouth to give a sense of the disembodied voice. The transition to television was bound to be much more radical than cinema, because the stark abstraction, the decontextualised time and space and the existentialist futility, must have seemed very
strange within the flow of the television schedule. It would have been very weird coming upon any of them unawares when zapping through the channels. I would like to have experimented with that, but I was in Cape Town giving e-mail instructions about taping them, and saw them, like many others, on tape. They did not top the TAMS, but were undertaken by RTE in their interpretation of their responsibilities as public service broadcasters. There were some curmudgeonly letters to editors scoffing at the project and wondering if anybody was actually watching them, but the project was generally appreciated. As to substantive analysis of the plays, there are other sources for that. The secondary literature on Beckett is enormous and a book on television drama is unlikely to add much to it. Personally I found Beckett’s plays most evocative when going through my existentialist phase, but after moving on, not in the direction of postmodernism, less so. Beckett’s work does match the postmodernist mentality and so still have much contemporary resonance.

There were a steady stream of short dramas under the Short Cuts scheme co-funded by Irish Film Board (Bord Scannan na hEireann) and transmitted by RTE. They were designed particularly to encourage new talent and to emphasise visual flair and a fresh look at contemporary Ireland. Occasionally they were done by more experienced writers and directors. Before I Sleep was written and directed by Paul Mercier and was a day in the life of an unemployed Dubliner on an odyssey through the city. The Breakfast was written and directed by Peter Sheridan about a boy delivering breakfast to a christian brother, finding him dead and eating the breakfast. Some themes dealt with in others: bullying, orgasm, homosexuality, hunger strikes, debt, crime, international romances, greyhounds, ballroom dancing.

There was also the First View and then the Debut slot for up and coming directors. Some themes of these: a gay youth imagining coming out, a father disappointed at a son not into football, dying of aids. Straight to Video, made by Hill 16 and shot on digital video, was a comic series of faux video diaries.

There were a number of feature films funded by RTE or BSE or both, which received cinematic distribution as well as television transmission. Some of these were: Korea, Guiltrip, Ailsa, Snakes and Ladders, Last of the High Kings, The Sun, the Moon and the Stars, The Disappearance of Finbarr, November Afternoon, Ordinary Decent Criminal, Trojan Eddie, Sweety Barrett, Boys and Men, The Boy from Mercury, I Went Down, Saltwater and Nora. A number of these were seen primarily and reviewed as films, whereas others were primarily seen on television. The themes were various: suffocating relationships in an army town (Guiltrip); brother-sister incest (November Afternoon); criminals on the romp (I Went Down).

The Real Time slot on RTE featured: Just in Time, a Sampson Films production about 2 couples over an awkward candlelit dinner full of evasions, repetitions, silences; Making Ends Meet, an Indi Films crime caper about a kid in trouble in school who idolised his father who had to hurry his robberies to sign on the dole; and Double Carpet, a Pegasus Productions story about horse, gambling, relationships and gambling on relationships. J J Biker was about a haemophiliac dying of aids who wanted to drive a motorbike before he died.

Some international co-productions were set in several countries and dealt with migration patterns and even the cosmopolitan character of contemporary life as well as the things that slip through its cracks. Sara was a French-English bilingual 6 part series set in Camargue in which Sara, brought up since infancy by gypsies, was reunited with her Irish family, co-produced by RTE, France 3 and Edinburgh Film and Video Production in 1995. Flesh and
Blood in 1998 was another Irish-French co-production set in Ireland and France about two estranged brothers.

Relative Strangers in 2000 was a 4 part serial developed by the IPU in RTE produced by Little Bird with Tatfilm of Dusseldorf. It opened showing the lives of an Irish family living in Germany. Maureen Lessing was celebrating her 50th birthday, obviously highly regarded by her co-workers in a British forces psychiatric unit and living a comfortable domestic life with her husband, son and daughter in Dusseldorf. Her husband’s sudden death, a heart attack when driving on a motorway, changed everything. Sorting out his affairs, all sorts of things came to light that did not make sense at first. Eventually, following the trail back to Ireland, she discovered that he had another family. Liza Becker, she discovered, was an Austrian woman living in Bray with a son. Strangers to each other, they confronted the traumas of bereavement, deception and impoverishment. Adding to the drama was fatal disease, with the twist that the child of the one woman was suffering from leukaemia and the only hope for his life was a possible bone marrow donation by one of the children of the other. The landscape of contemporary life, the characterisation, the production were all credibly stitched together. It was well received. It also resulted in a large number of calls from people wanting information on how to become bone marrow donors.

**Co-production and troubles drama**

Over the years there were a number of British-Irish co-productions giving a dramatic treatment to the troubles past and present. The Treaty was an RTE-Thames drama documentary marking the 70th anniversary of the Anglo-Irish treaty of 1921 in 1991. It was meticulous and credible in its reconstruction of the negotiations. Events at Drimaghleen was a BBC Northern Ireland and RTE drama-documentary piecing together tragic events of 1988 made in 1991. Force of Duty, a BBCNI and RTE psychological-political drama in 1992, conveyed the breakdown and subsequent suicide of an RUC detective under pressure following the killing of his colleague.

Rebel Heart, a Picture Palace production for BBCNI, RTE, IFB and Irish Screen in 2000, was a 4 part serial on the Irish rebellion written by Ronan Bennett. The historical events from the Easter rising in 1916, through the war of independence to the treaty in 1921 were focused on the life of one volunteer “torn between love and the fight for freedom”. It was a Mills and Boon version of the Irish rebellion. The central relationship between Ernie Coyne and Ita Feeney, a rebellious lass shooting a gun and showing her thigh in Stephen's Green during Easter week, was love at first sight. It featured James Connolly saying “There's going to be a bloodbath” with the relish of a pre-adolescent boy playing power rangers. It gave crude and clichéd treatment to class, sex and nation. It was expensive and empty. It was embarrassing. It was a waste of resources. BBC, RTE, everybody involved, should have known better. It bombed in ratings and reviews, at least in Ireland. However, the BBC shop website selling the video quotes rave reviews from British sources.
the famine. She was practically polishing her diaphragm, observed Gene Kerrigan, while he was still working his way up to 1947.64

_Eureka Street_, although it claimed that all stories were love stories, was more a troubles farce. It was a 4 part adaptation of a Robert McLiam Wilson novel made by Euphoria Films for BBCNI and RTE. It was, we were told, “Belfast as you’ve never seen it before”. This was true enough. It subordinated orange and green to black comedy. Jake Jackson, from a catholic background, practiced his trade as a repo man with ecumenical equanimity. Chuckie Lurgan, his best friend, was an unemployed and overweight prod obsessed with cars, money and fame, all of which he seemed to have no hope of ever having. Until one day when he hatched a bold scheme: a giant dildo offer for £9.99, which brought in hundreds of cheques, which he cashed, and sent back refund cheques with “giant dildo refund” stamped on them. Of course, no one would cash them. On the strength of having turned an initial investment of £22.47 into £42,743, he applied for a £1.2 million grant from the Ulster Development Board.

There was a hilarious scene where Chuckie went before this board and pulled off the most stunning bluff, full of pseudo-radical management jargon, presenting a business plan “so innovative, so radical”, “a golden opportunity”, “a new Ulster”. Until now, he explained, profit was king, but “let me paint a different picture for you”: production and distribution, not separate, all one, unit profit at point of sale, export opportunities, a multinational corporation centred in Belfast. All this with no mention whatsoever of any product or service. He got the money and bought a big flashy car, expensive clothes, drink for his friends. Basically he spent and consumed. He became a media star, got a girl and, before long, still producing nothing, an American was prepared to invest £20 million in Lurgan Enterprises.

The troubles were there all the time and there to be sent up as well. In the pub, where Chuckie was celebrating his windfall with his friends, there was a poetry reading of a republican ex-prisoner. One poem (in Irish translated into English) was:

"From a sniper to a British soldier who is about to die
You never saw me …for 800 years…
stealing my wealth, tormenting my people…"

Getting into an argument with the translator, Jake expressed his alienation from the various forces of national liberation, to which she said: “we just want to express our culture.” to which he said: “preferably with kalashnikovs”. The hard men on both sides were parodied in many ways. A threat on a door read: “YOUR DED.”

It was basically more of a peace process drama however. It showed the embers of the troubles smouldering, sometimes flaring, but the whole mood and the whole scam was built on the atmosphere of building anew from the rubble. One scene was a ceasefire party and another showed the announcement of news of the Good Friday agreement. On a television current affairs programme, Chuckie, who never concerned himself much with politics, gave vague answers to specific questions and was hailed as a great new political visionary and was asked if he was going to form a new political party. With bewilderment and bluff, and some real conviction too, he argued that the problem of Northern Ireland was lack of jobs, that people were fed up with all the sectarian shite. Then he launched into Martin Luther King “I have a dream” mode… where the sons of the Ulster planatation and people who like Riverdance would live together. The final episode ended with a voice over from Jake:

“I don’t know what got into Chuckie that year…
But despite everything that had happened and would happen, anything seemed possible.”
It caught the mood of 1999. It was well received and won awards.

Another somewhat lighthearted treatment of the troubles made by BBCNI in association with RTE in 1994 was Henri about a 10 year old protestant girl travelling to Belfast to play the accordion in a music festival, with a last minute change in accommodation arrangements resulting in her staying with a catholic family. It also won awards. There were a number of other troubles dramas made by BBCNI without RTE (see below).

Co-production and historical drama

Drama of famine and emigration

Other co-productions went further back into Irish history for their subject matter.

The Hanging Gale was a 4 part serial made by Little Bird for BBCNI and RTE in 1995. It was set in Donegal in 1846 during the famine and featured the McGann brothers as the Phelan brothers struggling to save the land and lives of their family. It opened with ribbonmen assassinating the English land agent. The new land agent was a different sort, one who experienced moral dilemmas and sympathised with the people whose lives were ravaged by potato blight, harsh rents, violent evictions and wasting hunger. Nevertheless, his loyalty to the landlord triumphed over his qualms of conscience. Meanwhile, the brothers had their own choices to make: to fight for their homes or go to the poorhouse, to go on the run or to gaol, to pray for better times or engage in rebellion. It was a worthy production, but somehow it was too glossy and too full of daring do to convey effectively the desperation and devastation of the dispossessed.

Somehow the simpler and less expensive productions such as Tales from the Poorhouse in 1998 and The Poorhouse in 1996 conveyed more movingly and memorably the impact of the famine on the poor. The latter by Ocean Films for RTE was set in sepia and told the tale of a girl who was raped and died in childbirth from the point of view of a poorhouse undertaker who was consumed with guilt about what he might have done to help her and prepared her body meticulously for burial. The end of it, which re-imagined her and her baby in 20th century Ireland, while he looked on from the 1840s, was a bit odd and unnecessary, but it was an effective production.

Some co-productions took the stories of the poor of 19th century Ireland who emigrated as their basis. Random Passage was an 8 part serial in 2001 tracing the journey of Mary Bundle, an orphan in a Waterford workhouse, based on books by Bernice Morgan Random Passage and Waiting for Time. The finance of £12 million came from 22 different sources, including RTE and IFB, 70% from Canadian sources, much of it from the government of Newfoundland, where much of the story was set. The lush scenery and music showing life in 1805 Ireland was cinematic cliché, as were scenes in an English workhouse, the transatlantic passage, arrival in St John’s and the servant-master-mistress relationship in an early bourgeois household. It was harshly reviewed on this account. For Shane Hegarty:

“Random Passage is quite possibly the worst thing RTE will show this year, a relentlessly awful costume drama that trades on the fact that, because there are several extras in old costumes, it has got a love affair and because the action takes place in two countries, then it must be a sweeping epic... Every fifth generation Irish emigrant’s half-baked idea of Ireland is thrown into a big blender, and squeezed out the other end as a fine blancmange that the producers hope will be gobbled up by viewers in the international territories. You’ll see evictions! You’ll see potatoes! There’s a workhouse! Large families! Boat journeys! British baddies-boo! Feisty colleens-hooray! Terrible, realist poverty! Terrible, realistic poverty that luckily leaves everybody with nice teeth!”65
The teeth were definitely way too perfect for the lives the characters were supposed to have led. I did think that the early part of it, especially where it was set in Ireland, was twee. However, I thought that other parts of it were stronger and truer. The impression of the winters in the wilds of Newfoundland and of the relentlessly difficult lives of those who were building a settlement from scratch was memorable. It had a clarity about the workings of an early market economy, of the gap between those who lived a life of exceedingly hard labour and those who manipulated and appropriated their labour. It also gave a non-clichéd version of the complicity of catholicism with primitive capitalism.

Another emigration tale, which was also panned, was King of Grass Castles, an Australian-Irish co-production in 1998, a 4 part serial based on a Molly Durack novel. It was the rags to riches saga by the numbers: lush scenes of Galway Bay, peasant poverty, famine, eviction, passage, striking gold. It was not that there was nothing left to say about this, been there, seen that, the origin myth of many Irish Australians. It was that it reduced it to the clichés. It added no new angles, no new insights. It had not only been done before, but it had been done better, so why do this one?

Land of Hope, for example, was a 10 part Australian serial made by JNP Films in 1988 following the fortunes of an Irish family from 1890 to 1972. It not only shadowed the political history of Australia during those years, but made a stab at grappling with the big ideas and global movements of those decades: capital versus labour, capitalism versus socialism, even communism, and feminism were dramatised intelligently. Personal and ideological conflicts surrounding the rise of the labour movement, the impact of the October revolution, the ban on the communist party, the protests against the Vietnam war, the challenge of the women’s movement were vividly recounted.

Drama and 20th century history

The ability to sketch social change through the lives of particular characters, when done well, is the stuff of great drama. An Australian production by Roadshow, in which ABC, RTE and Channel 4 were co-producers, which did this particularly well was Brides of Christ in 1991. The 6 part series traced the lives of two young women who entered the convent in 1962 (as did I) and registered the impact of Vatican II on the life of nuns. It captured as well as the whole atmosphere of questioning received ideas and values that swept the world in the 1960s in a sensitive and fresh way. Brenda Fricker was Sister Agnes, voice of the old order, who had a difficult time on her hands when even novices started talking back. A particularly moving scene was one where an old nun took off her habit, knowing that it was for the last time.

Amongst Women

A much acclaimed serial was the adaptation of the much acclaimed novel by John McGahern, Amongst Women. This was a tale of patriarchal domination intricately and insightfully observed. It opened in 1947 on a family in rural Ireland reciting the rosary. The mother had died and the father and his 5 children moved through their mundane routines. As if the world of the Catholic Church and Irish rural society were not already claustrophobic enough in the 1950s, the Morans lived in an even more claustrophobic milieu in which:

“The family is the heart of everything. Without it, we’re nothing.”

“Raising a family is the most important job a man can undertake.”
Every fiat of this most severe father was justified as “in the best interests of the family”. There were to be no other considerations. Michael Moran was a devout catholic. He was a citizen of a local community. He was a man who fought for the state in the war of independence. However, church and town and nation were inevitable forces, like nature, but not to be trusted. The family, turned in on itself, under control, was seen as the secure haven from all the rest. All the children and his second wife each negotiated their identity and their lives vis a vis his power over them. It was honest and relentless and memorable. It was well written, well acted, well made in every way. It deservedly won many awards.

**Falling for a Dancer**

*Falling For a Dancer* was also a Parallel Films production for BBCNI in association with RTE and IFB in 1998. It was also a 4 part serial which was an adaptation of a novel. It was also on the same territory in that it was set in Ireland in past decades in a patriarchal catholic rural household in which the mother had died and a second wife was brought into a difficult domestic setup. The script and novel, both written by Deirdre Purcell, was set in the 1930s in Cork. It began with a young woman, who found herself pregnant with the child of a travelling actor, faced with the choice between a magdelan laundry or arranged marriage to a widower with 4 children in the wilds of the Beara peninsula. It was well made and gave a vivid sense of bourgeois catholic life in Cork city, the horrors of the workhouse “for shop girls and servants”, the harsh realities of rural life in west Cork. The problem with it was the Mills and Boon love a first sight storyline. Arriving in torrential rain, Mossy Sheehan took one look at Elizabeth Sullivan, carrying the child of one man and married that day to another, and was devoted to her forever. Despite all rebuffs and obstacles, he won the hand of the fair not-maiden. The serial ended with a stereotypical happy ever after wedding.

**A Love Divided**

*A Love Divided* was a Parallel Films production for RTE and BBC Scotland in association with the Irish Film Board in 1998. It was set in Fethard-on-Sea in Wexford in the 1950s and based on a true story. When Sean Cloney, a catholic farmer, married Sheila, who was protestant, she signed the ne temere pledge that was standard at that time, whereby the protestant partner to a mixed marriage promised that the children would be brought up as catholics. It showed them living a happy life on their farm and in their village. On Sundays, everyone in the village would be on the main town square. Sean and his daughters would attend mass at the catholic church and Sheila and her father and sister would attend the protestant service.

The trouble began when it was time for the oldest child to start school. Sheila balked at being bullied and the whole town, except for the publican who was a non-believer who had fought in the war of independence, advised her not to make trouble. Even her protestant pastor said: “we keep our heads down and our mouths shut”. The catholic priest, who had been shown railing against the lack of religious freedom “behind the iron curtain”, took the child out of the protestant school. Sheila fled with her children, first to Belfast and then to Scotland. Sean went with the parish priest to the bishop, who advised him to say prayers for the conversion of heretics and schismatics. The priest organised a boycott against all protestants in the town and life became nasty, even violent. It was in the national press. Eventually Sean found Sheila and returned with her and the children and stood up to the priest. They did not send their children to school and kept themselves to themselves. The vatican and the government forced the priest to end the boycott. In 1998 Bishop Comiskey formally apologised for the role played by the church in 1957. The family survived, but their relationship to the community had changed forever. Indeed the community itself changed forever. It was an extreme episode, but the picture it drew of catholicism in the 1950s was
absolutely accurate. For those who did not live through it, this drama was there to show them.

Co-production and contemporary drama

Family

Perhaps the darkest family drama of all was Family. This 4 part series by Roddy Doyle, written for television, was a BBC-RTE co-production in 1994 set in 1990s Dublin. Each episode moved the story on in time, but each foregrounded a different member of the Spencer family.

Episode 1 Charlo showed us the world of the father. Charlo Spencer was shown at home with his wife and 4 children and out and about socialising and engaging in criminal activity. He was seen eating, drinking, robbing, riding (2 different women), masturbating, partying, brutalising everything and everyone he touched. From him emitted a constant and casual cruelty. He radiated menace. The home was a battleground. Even when being momentarily jolly, a sense of fear registered on his children’s faces, knowing that seeming benevolence could transmute into malevolence in an instant. Dublin looked dark and life seemed stark in this world of unemployment, petty crime and pointlessness.

Episode 2 John Paul looked at this world through the life of the older son. At 13, John Paul Spencer was a Charlo in the making. While fearing his father, he wanted his attention and approval. He was full of bravado and bluff. In school he was class clown and troublemaker. He was already squaring up, not only to teachers, but to the gardai, when they came to the house looking for stolen goods. With his peers, he bragged about running away from home, watching porn videos and “getting his hole”. However, in other scenes, we saw the vulnerability he tried so hard to hide. When his parents fought, he would reach for his inhaler. At one point, he was so stressed that he wet himself and cried. Later out in the dark, with bonfire burning and friends horsing around, he collapsed in a fit.

Episode 3 Nicola took up the story of the oldest daughter from her first day at work as a machinst in a large clothing factory. The other women in the factory and on the bus discussed sex in a bawdy way and fantasised about doing it with film stars. With her boyfriend, who was unemployed, there was tension from her having and his not having money and from Charlo squaring up against him and leering at her. She was trying to find herself and make her way, but without a very firm basis. She was only semi-articulate. Her answer to most questions was: “It was all right.” Unless it was her little sister, often asking precocious questions about sex, to which she usually replied “Shut up”. There was constant tension and fighting in the house with a bit of temporary respite now and again as they watched Fair City on TV. Through this episode, there were lascivious looks and hints of incest. Even the hint of it was the last straw for Paula, who turned the tables and assaulted Charlo. She literally kicked him out and packed all his possessions and put them out in the rain. From then on, life changed in the Spencer household. It didn’t exactly become a haven of peace and light, but the tension began to ease. At first Paula’s extended family occupied the house to protect them in case Charlo returned. There were aunts, uncles, cousins everywhere and huge amounts of food were ordered. Eventually they all went home and a new normality began to establish itself.

Episode 4 Paula concerned the life of the mother. Intercut with opening credits and aerial views of the city was Paula Spencer singing karaoke, singing a love song, the words utterly
discordant with the reality of her life. In the supermarket, she didn’t have enough money for groceries. Visiting her sisters, they told her that it had been 2 months and they were sick of her whinging. Then, lightening up, they all agreed that she needed a good ride. Even more, she needed a job and she got one and then two, “twice as many as he ever had”. One was night cleaning in a city centre office and the other was one day a week cleaning a house in Howth for a professional couple. The second did not last as she stood up to the condescending manner of the woman giving her orders and told her to clean her own house. Just after, she confronted the principal of the school over John Paul’s tattoo.

Coming and going and doing her night cleaning job, the sound track played “Any day now, I shall be released.” And then “I will survive”. When Charlo arrived at the door, 3 days late with something for John Paul’s birthday and tried to talk his way back in, she struggled against weakening. When ingratiating hadn’t work, he mocked her for being a scrubber. As it ended, she sat at the dinner table with her 4 children and asked John Paul to say grace. ”It’s stupid”, he said. Then he said he didn’t know it. She insisted and he stumbled through. All said “amen” and ate. It wasn’t so much a turning to religion. Indeed the absence of religion is a striking feature of Roddy Doyle’s novels and plays. It was a reaching for order, even if it was off the shelf. It was like the words of karaoke songs. It was saying that they would survive. Life would still be difficult, but it had got better and maybe it would even get better again. Roddy Doyle took the story from there in a novel called The Woman Who Walked Into Doors as he imagined her getting herself together to the point where she would sit at the kitchen table and begin to write her story to bring understanding and order to it.

Family stirred people up more than any television drama had done in a long time. There were calls to the Childline and Women’s Aid helplines after episodes aired from those who recognised their truth in this fiction. Others came forward and told their stories on the media. The audience soared to 1.2 million. The critics praised it enthusiastically. Brendan Glacken in The Irish Times observed that it came days after the Eurovision Song Contest, which gave such an attractive picture of Ireland, a night after rescreening of Doyle’s The Snapper, which gave upbeat comic treatment of urban working class life and after years of Glenroe and Fair City, which went for soft treatment of difficult social issues. It was as if Bambi had been screened regularly for 10 years to be suddenly replaced by The Godfather. He thought that RTE drama (although it was basically a BBC production) had come of age or at least it occupied heights unoccupied for 25 years.

It was not all praise and plain sailing however. Far from it. There were denunciations from politicians, priests, teachers and community groups and calls upon the author to respond. Priests gave sermons against it for undermining the sanctity of marriage. Doyle admitted that this was exactly what he was doing. As to teachers, his own union condemned the portrayal of a teacher hitting a student. Doyle said that this was to swallow the lie that no teacher ever hit a student. When it came to the community groups and the politicians coming in behind them, this was the most protracted controversy. The series was shot primarily in the Ballymun area of Dublin. Although it was never referred to as Ballymun in the script, the tower blocks of Ballymun were recognisable and didn’t look like anywhere else in Ireland. However the fictional place was on the DART line, which the real Ballymun wasn’t. Doyle and the BBC saw it as a sort of universal landscape. However, the residents of Ballymun, particularly the community activists, saw it as Ballymun and judged the drama by documentary standards. They argued that their community was being stereotyped and held up to ridicule. They contended that it was a gross misrepresentation of working class life in the area and that such depiction did them harm:

“Ballymun is a community facing many disadvantages; it suffers an unemployment rate of 2.5 times the national average. The overwhelming majority of people in the area want to work,
they want a decent future for their children. Ballymun is a vibrant community with over 90 groups active in the area. However, every time a programme such as *Family* is aired, it undermines the image of our community in the eyes of prospective employers, possible investors and the public at large.” 68

Much has changed in Ballymun. With improving economic times, both investment and employment have risen. The Ballymun Regeneration Project has been a bold and democratic exercise in town planning and the towers are due for demolition. *Family* will stand as a realistic dramatisation of what life was like for many who lived in a certain time and a certain place. It was never meant to imply that everyone in Ballymun had such problems or that no one outside of Ballymun had such problems. I live in the Ballymun area myself and come across community activists more than criminals, but I would defend the veracity and validity of the series and believe that much of the controversy was talking at cross purposes and mangled in a mixing of registers.

*Scene*

*Scene* was a series of RTE co-productions with BBC (schools division) in 1996.

*Edward No Hands* by Dermot Bolger was about a 15 year old boy who hung himself. It showed him growing up under pressure of macho attitudes from his father, telling him when he could barely ride a bike to do it with no hands. He was the target of bullies at school and tried doing bullying and making up stories about himself and his father and about himself and a girl to impress the bullies (and himself). When the girl confronted him with his lies, he committed suicide. As he jumped from a tree with a rope around his neck, he said “Look, da, no hands”. RTE postponed transmission, as it was scheduled to go out during a week of media debate about the suicide of Dublin poet and aids activist Pat Tierney.

*Radio Waves* by Bernard Farrell was about a young woman, who had been to university, coming back to her home town to look after her widowed mother and take up a job in the local radio station. She set about organising issue oriented programmes about women’s health, widow’s pensions, traveller accommodation and unemployment. She shook things up and met with opposition. Her mother, who believed that “a woman on her own is an embarrassment”, was mortified at her “giving cheek to councillors”. The station manager was ever more displeased and she lost her job. As she left town, however, there were indications that she had made her mark on her mother, friend and co-worker.

*Career Opportunities* by Declan Hughes was about a young woman in a big office who got fired when she resisted sexual advances from her boss and about different attitudes to sexual harassment.

These were modest but focused and competent plays, made to stimulate discussion in a school environment, but also to cause reflection in a more general audience.

*Paths to Freedom*

*Paths to Freedom* was a 6 part series by Grand Pictures for RTE in 2000 done in faux documentary style tracking the trials and tribulations of two ex-prisoners. The fly-on-the-wall camera crews followed the two from the time of their release. Jeremy, a consultant gynaecologist from south county Dublin, spent a year in Mountjoy for a drunk driving
episode that resulted in paralysis for an asylum seeker. Rats, an unemployed poet and musician, from inner city Dublin, was a frequent offender.

Their lives offered themselves to constant contrast in terms of class. Jeremy’s difficulties related to getting his book Women Inside Out published, an interview about it with Gerry Ryan not going as planned, facing a committee judging his fitness to practice and investigating the refugee he paralysed. His wife sided with him, saying that the refugee probably engineered the accident. Rats found it hard to hold down a job, first having a go at security, then smiley burgers. His wife left him and went off to Belfast with his kids and her lover. He refused to continue the documentary unless the crew helped him make a video for his new band Sperm.com. At one point, they both returned to Mountjoy to address inmates on the effects of prison and release. Jeremy’s considered response: “before this unmitigated fiasco, I had a handicap of 9, now it’s 15 and I’ve lost my no claims bonus.” Rats, on the other hand, had some fond memories of prison, particularly the opportunity to take courses such as “repressed spiritualism and the struggle of the inner child in the workplace”. Over 6 episodes, it was unevenly amusing, but it definitely had its moments.

Black Day at Black Rock

Black Day at Black Rock in 2001 took the issue of refugees, increasingly prominent in the public discourse during this time, head on. It came out of an actual incident, which got writer and director Gerard Stembridge steamed up. He sought an RTE commission to do something about it, which he got without hesitation. It was set in a small Irish town where 30 refugees were about to arrive. In various sites in the town, the shop, the school, the hairdressers, the hotel, the school, the streets, the homes, all were having their say.

Almost every possible position was taken by somebody. Certainly every possible racist cliché was trotted out. These people, “busloads of ballubas”, would bring disease, aids and whatever. They “the sweepings of whatever country dumped them on us” were criminals and chancers, who couldn’t speak the language, but knew every sort of scam. They would be lounging around in luxury, sponging on the taxpayers. They would go to the local school and young black bucks would be going for the town’s daughters and “Sex for these lads wouldn’t be the holy sacrament it is for us.”

Who was going to protect poor pensioners from being assaulted in their beds by them? What about their own poor? Before they knew it, the town would be overrun by these people. Why so many? Why their town? There was no democratic consultation. It was tyranny, taking advantage of a small town that didn’t have its own TD. Meanwhile, they bought Aunt Jemima pancakes and Uncle Ben’s rice. They loved nachos and Italian breadsticks. They put their pennies in the mission box in the shop. The school was named for St Martin de Porres. They did like Denzel Washington films. The travel agency specialised in exotic locations. The doctor and gombeen’s wife booked their tryst in Bali where, they were assured, the locals were really friendly.

On the other side was a 60s radical, who grew organic food, huffing and puffing in righteousness and in full rhetorical flight against all the arguments of the rest. In the school, when the youth were asked, they thought that it would be something different “a bit of craic”. In the hotel, where the refugees would stay, the owner asserted that it was a chance to do the right thing, to broaden the horizons of the young people. Of course, she would be paid £25 per person per night. £25 x 30 x 7x 52 xxx, the locals calculated with envy and rage. The teacher, preparing to chair the town meeting, spoke to the civil servants in charge of the placement. He spoke of doing the right thing and broadening horizons and they spoke of complying with EU directives. He explained his teaching methods, of using everyday
texts to illuminate historical situations, and they asked if it helped with exams. He asked if they might tell some of the stories of the refugees, as it might help locals to connect with them. No, that would not do, they said, the stories had not been verified and the statistic was that 70% were not telling the truth.

At the town meeting that night, all of these argumentative strategies were repeated with a few more added to put a more sophisticated face on it. Over the years a “delicate balance” had been struck between natives and friendly foreigners, but 30 in one fell swoop would disrupt this delicate balance. Another spoke of his passionate desire to do the right thing, not only for themselves, but for these poor people. The town didn’t have the facilities. It would be too much of a burden on the doctor. They needed to take care of their own poor and homeless. The town simpleton asked, as he was primed, could he live in Ard na Ri as well and have £15 pocket money? That would be a matter for the department of social welfare or the local health board, not the department of justice, the civil servants replied.

The organic radical got up to cast scorn, but no one wanted to hear. Then her son rose and asked simply why were people afraid. To him, it all sounded interesting and exciting. Why shouldn’t everybody mix together and why shouldn’t that become normal? The teacher then read a letter from a famine emigrant who wrote home after arriving in America about how difficult it all was, all mixing together, not knowing whom you could trust, including your own, missing home, but still seeing that it was an adventure, a chance. Later that night the hotel burned down with the town’s poor and homeless man being set up to look as if he did it and to die in it. The Celtic Coaches bus arrived full of black people, all clean and chatty and ready for whatever life might offer. The firemen declared the hotel inhabitable and the bus turned back and the locals who got their way laughed. Meanwhile the doctor and gombeen wife went off saying “we can go anywhere” while the soundtrack belted “son of a gun, we’ll have big fun, on the bayo”.

A debate on the drama took place on the RTE radio arts programme Rattlebag. On it was a man from Clogheen, who had been active during its convulsions over refugees being sent to their town, who recognised his town and its people in the drama and was enthusiastic about the portrayal. Diarmuid Doyle of The Sunday Tribune heard the radio debate in a taxi with the driver agreeing with the man from Clogheen, saying “it must be very hard for a small town of 1500 people to suddenly have 30 baloobas living amongst them”. Possibly influenced by hearing the radio debate before viewing the drama (the vagaries of videotape!), when he came to reviewing it, he wrote:

“In trying to satirise small town Ireland and its attitude to refugees, Stembridge has provided it with a working manual on racism, a convincing and welcome reflection of its battle to save itself from the ‘blackies’. One may argue that it isn’t Stembridge’s fault if people missed the irony and satire at the heart of his drama, but this is prime time television…it needs to be scripted …to ensure that the message of the programme is clear to the overwhelming majority of people who watch it. While some people complained at the Fargo-like condescension towards redneck culchies they perceived in Black Day at Black Rock, others clearly believed that RTE had provided them with a validation of their anti-refugee arguments…it came uncomfortably close to making the argument it set out to destroy….it suffered from the fact that everybody, no matter what side of the argument they were on, was a caricature.”

Taken one by one, character by character, declaration by declaration, each one was someone who could exist saying what could and would be said. Taken all together and juxtaposed to each other this was the condensation that is satirical drama. The dominant point of view was that of the young people in the town, particularly the voice of one who spoke at the town meeting, open to multiplicity and adventure. The fact that the position to which the author was most opposed was mostly fully aired and done so in a way that these
very people recognised themselves was to be commended. Nevertheless they were shown to engage in deception and manipulation and murder. The drama was anything but a vindication. This was cutting edge contemporary drama.

**Autumn 2001: a new start?**

The autumn 2001 season brought a sense of a new turn in drama for RTE. There was more new contemporary drama announced than for any season for a very long time.

**On Home Ground**

*On Home Ground*, the flagship production of the season, moved into the *Glenroe* slot. It was an 8 part serial of one hour episodes made by Little Bird for RTE and set in and around a GAA club in the fictional Irish town of Kildoran. They hadn’t won the county championship since 1962. After 10 years of coaching without success, Fergal ‘Gale Force’ Collins was under pressure. It was hard to imagine that he was ever a gale force, but some characters who remembered thought so.

Critics writing after the first episode took a let’s-give-it-a-chance stance. It looked well. It was in its way Ireland as we knew it. Nothing much happened, but it had a confident unhurried mood and it established characters. Comparisons were drawn with *Ballykissangel* (*Ballykissangel* with balls), *Playing the Field* and *Dream Team*. The day after there were even reviews on the sports pages, an unusual place for me to be checking out for my research agenda. Kevin O’Shaughnessy thought that for all its cinematic qualities, its football was Mary Poppins gentle, passionless and pedestrian. Tom Humphries said that it was a breakthrough, depicting GAA men “as something other than hurley wielding fundamentalists with drink problems and poor dental work”. Indeed in the same paper, Hugh Linehan commented on the glossy sheen and implausibly well-groomed characters, while impressed with its picture of a quintessentially modern Ireland in a satellite boomtown on a radial route out of its capital. John Boland thought that it would have to develop a dramatic pulse if we were to keep watching and in the first episode there was none.

There was none in the subsequent episodes either. It was cool and contemporary in its way with mobile phones, camcorders, new estates, night clubbing, whatever, but it was still cozy dozy television. Characters revealed no hidden depths or even remarkable surfaces. There were some points of interest in the way it related past to present, although I found it hard to appreciate how holding up a cup in the town square all those years ago could loom so large after so many years and so many other more important things happening. I have lived a different kind of life, but this drama could perhaps have bridged that gap a bit. There was a scene when an older GAA activist was explaining it to a young female journalist:

> "It binds the whole community together. That’s the thing about sport."

Playing a tape from the time, he observed:

> "The way he says their names...listen...making gods of country lads."

In another scene, the younger generation were referring to the priest after whom Foley Field was named. One said that he was killed by the black and tans, while another said that he was riding a farmer’s wife and the farmer had him shot. There was an intriguing back story concerning a prominent GAA family and an alienated brother, whose daughter appeared as the representative of a multinational high tech company who had the decision to make about whether the local club would be the recipient of corporate sponsorship. It was said of her father, now dead, that he hated the church and DeValera and the GAA. Why
It never came effectively into play. Perhaps I imagined it, but I thought I saw and heard a scene in a Dublin flat where RTE news was on and reporting the events of September 11. However, nobody said anything about it and it made the obsession with 1962 seem even more disproportionate.

Since this time, Ireland got caught up in a national-international drama (or mini-series) surrounding its participation in the world cup, both on and off the pitch. Sport obviously meets some huge human needs, but it has come to loom so large in contemporary culture and to displace so many other institutions and activities that have fulfilled and could fulfill those needs that searching questions could be asked about it. There is such major human investment in it, economic and emotional, that needs critical probing. Television drama could contribute as much to this as academic seminars. There is more drama on this terrain these days, but it is not illuminating it to any significant degree.

The Cassidy's

RTE was still trying to crack comedy. The Cassidy's, a 6 part sitcom by Graph Films for RTE, bore the burden of breaking the sitcom hex that somehow has plagued RTE. It didn't. It was about 3 orphaned siblings in their 20s sharing a suburban house. Barry was an actor who had not yet got his big break. Emma was trying to sort out the relationship of having a career to being a woman, although she had no idea what to do about men. Lisa was a UCD student trying to write a novel, only she couldn't figure out about what and how. There were some good gags, for example, Barry getting so caught up with a new task scheduler that he couldn't go for an audition, because it was during his scheduled time to call around and look for auditions. However, the consensus among critics and others was that RTE still had not made a credible sitcom. Students were scathing about it. Indeed, there was a questioning of the sitcom genre and laugh track in favour of the comedy drama, particularly as The Cassidy's invited comparison with Bachelor's Walk, which was scheduled just after it.

Bachelor's Walk

Bachelor's Walk was an 8 part comedy drama made on location around Dublin on digital video with a verite look, an Accomplice Films production for RTE and BBC. It was three 30-somethings trying to find love (and sex too) in the city. Written and directed by John and Kieran Carney and Tom Hall, it was a semi-autobiographical venture.

Bachelor #1 Raymond was a 2nd string film critic relegated to reviewing Rugrats or Pokemon in Dublin while the top critic was off in Cannes. He owned a crumbling townhouse on the quays, but could not squeeze rent out of his dosser housemates. His ex arrived back from the US, having moved on with her career, and he found it hard to read the signals about the nature of their relationship now. Bachelor # 2 Michael walked around dressed as a dishevelled barrister, but spent his days in pubs and bookies and rarely set foot anywhere near the courts. He risked his relationship with Jane, because she wanted to be grown up and move on and he did not. Bachelor # 3 Barry was a total chancer, seducing schoolgirls and talking absolute crap. After blowing it on the FAS computer course, which he had to take to continue on the dole, he launched into rhetorical flight about "vision", taking an unfortunate Croatian with him. The first step was to brainstorm, he said, to get ideas down on paper, but did they have any paper? No. They proceeded to a cyber café, where Barry asked if it could handle the overspill needs of their company. Although there was no company and the overspill was one, he enquired if there was a corporate rate. His e-mail address was bazballs@hotmail.com. Basically, none of them had been able to make transition from university, where they were once full of promise. With every passing year, they were falling more and more behind. They certainly hadn't cracked the marketplace.
More importantly, they hadn’t quite got on track with adult life, although they occasionally made the odd foray into it.

The females in the series were a constant contrast, even the schoolgirl, much more together and moving on in life. A fourth housemate was Alison, torn between the blooming relationship with Raymond and her finance, a doctor in Donegal. The characters moved through Dublin, and in one episode Donegal, in a way that captured the sense of it for those who were struggling to find their way in it. It was a critical and popular success. Although the minister for health, Micheal Martin, complained about the main characters smoking in it, he admitted that he had not actually seen it. It became must-see tv for those weeks. It ended with the 3 bachelors sitting in a room realising or half-realising how ludicrous their adult lives were, while listening to Tom Waits singing “Kentucky Avenue”, a melancholic evocation of childhood, of male bravado and hopeful adventure:

"Let's fill our pockets with macadamia nuts
And go over to Bobby Goodmanson's and jump off the roof...

Then we'll spit on Ronnie Arnold and flip him the bird
And slash the tires on the school bus, now don't say a word...

I'll take the spokes from your wheelchair and a magpie’s wings
And I'll tie them to your shoulders and your feet..."

A montage of other characters appeared wistfully moving on, intercut with the 3 lads sitting in the living room with tears streaming down their cheeks, while Tom Waits rasped on. It was a brave and unexpected way to end what was billed as a comedy drama. Then, utterly discordantly, while the credits came up, Tom Waits was faded down and the continuity announcer chirped up that it was the end of those boys, but next week you could see another set of boys in the slot, so tune in to Men Behaving Badly. It had to be seen and heard to convey how clueless and out of kilter it was. The mood, so carefully constructed, was so carelessly broken. It was a weird reminder that television is not the programme but the flow.

Any Time Now

Moving from a core of three 30-something males to three 30-something females was Any Time Now, a 6 part series made by Comet Films for BBCNI and RTE and shown in 2002. A failed actress arrived back from the US after the death of her weatherman father to find a wicked stepmother on the scene. An unemployed single mother decided that she wanted her husband and her job back, both in a newspaper arts section. A successful property developer, who had a job, a house, a finance and knew her way around boom town, fell into the arms of her friend’s ex and fell out briefly with her friend. The city came across as up and coming, thrusting and vibrant, which was more than could be said of the characters, who were soft, self-obsessed and superficial, but not very interestingly so.

The authors wanted to see people like themselves on television, which was fair enough, we all do, but they failed to probe either character or milieu in a way that made the rest of us much want to see them there. The contrast, which could not entirely have been intended, between the energy and adventure of the city, and the lazy cozy schoolgirl relationship of the 3 main characters, somehow jarred. There were some swipes at the nasty and grasping nature of the property industry and the frothiness of the new cappuccino culture, but they seemed unable to put their finger on what was wrong with it. It did look well. The outdoor shooting of Dublin captured the dynamism of the 21st century city, but scenes inside newspaper offices or television studios didn’t really convey a sense of what went on there. The happy ever after ending, with the friends sticking together through thick and thin, and
each of them getting what she wanted, was twee. It was aiming for the territory of Cold Feet, Big Bad World and This Life, but it did not arrive there. It lacked the grit, the ironic observation.

**Fergus’s Wedding**

Fergus’s Wedding was an attempt to cast an ironic eye on the more ludicrous layers of life in tiger town. Fergus from Rathfarnum, running a café called Ferguccino’s and looking for romance in the personal ads and suburban swinging scene, found Penny, an English travel agent and woman of his dreams. A 6 part comedy drama made by Ian Fitzgibbon and Michael McElhatton, who had delivered the semi-successful Paths to Freedom, it did not quite hit its target. It was generally found to be too parodic at baseline to set up parodic contrast (or something like that). It was hard to say why it didn’t quite work in the way it intended. It did have its moments though. I thought that it did almost work in its own weird way. There was the running contrast between the conventional wedding being planned, according to the strictures of the old-fashioned Irish mammy and authoritarian priest who would be officiating, and the suburban swinging “lifestyle choice” of Fergus and Penny. This truly was a la carte catholicism. There were some mad scenes, such as where Fergus was explaining to Tony what was a ‘mature’ approach to swinging or where the tribunal judge in s & m gear regretted that he couldn’t attend the wedding, because he was having a hip replacement that week. The throwaway sex talk passed without much comment from the guardians of the nation's morals. For example, Fergus responding to an overture from Penny, conceded:

“Ok, but doggy style if you don't mind, because me hip is giving me ferocious gyp”

Where was the audience that hounded The Spike off the air after a mild scene of a nude model in an art class? Had the children whose teachers organised them to write letters of protest to RTE all grown up to be cappuccino swilling swingers or what? Had Ireland outgrown its prurient obsession with sex? Had it learned to laugh?

**No Tears**

There were no laughs in No Tears. The bleakest of the new productions, it was a thinly fictionalised account of real events in the 1990s, a 4 part serial made by Little Bird and Comet for RTE. It opened with a montage of actuality television from the 1990s, with scenes from RTE News, Prime Time and The Late Late Show, with outraged voices and repeated phrases such as “public health scandal” and “human tragedy”. Then came the opening credits. After that it was back to a fictional scene of a young woman giving birth in Dublin in 1977. Then it moved to an older woman and her family on a farm in Donegal in 1986. It showed both women were trying to get on with their everyday lives, but feeling very very tired. The first episode foregrounded the younger woman, who was experiencing an inexplicable and crippling fatigue and dramatised her helplessness as her life inexorably unravelled. Both women eventually heard news via radio that suddenly explained what had seemed inexplicable.

From there subsequent episodes opened out on the lives of a number of women who discovered that they had been infected with hepatitis-c by contaminated blood products when given anti-d injections years before. It showed these women, who had been individual, alone and powerless, coming together and discovering the power of collective action. They formed a campaign group dedicated to revealing the truth and taking on the authorities. The drama traced their ups and down, their achievements and disappointments. It came to light that over a thousand women had been infected by contaminated blood products through the negligence of the BTSB (blood transfusion service board). They did not
just step up and demand truth and justice and get it. As if the original injustice done to then was not bad enough, many obstacles were placed in their way. The character Grainne McFadden, recognisably Bridget McColl, was forced into an unsatisfactory settlement on her deathbed. The minister of health, referred to as ‘the minister’ in the drama, obviously Michael Noonan, came out of it very badly.

There was much public discourse surrounding the drama before, during and after transmission, from talk show slots featuring Brenda Fricker (who played Grainne McFadden) to doorstepping demands on Michael Noonan to give an account of himself. Noonan veered from ominous remarks about its legal riskiness to effusive apology to the family of Bridget McColl and other victims. Much of the talk had to do with the timing of transmission. Michael Noonan had since become leader of Fine Gael and there was a general election about to be called. As it happened, Fine Gael did very badly and Noonan resigned. While the confused position of Fine Gael within the changing ideological spectrum of Irish politics was the primary reason, it cannot be denied that this drama could have been a contributory factor. It made a story that had already been in the public domain far more vivid in terms of specific lives injured, undermined, exhausted, terminated, bereaved.

There was no happy ever after ending to this drama. Why it was called No Tears I do not know. Both the events dramatised and the dramatisation of the events undoubtedly caused many tears to flow. Anyone watching the death and funeral scenes in the final episode would have been hard put to keep their eyes dry.

As long as life generates highs and lows, ironies and tragedies, television drama should provoke both laughs and tears. The new productions had both. Whatever the pluses and minuses of the 2001-2002 productions, there was a new rhythm to drama production. “We need to get back into the swing of it” said Gerard Stembridge, “and that needs critical mass”. In the 90s there was a tendency “to swing from depression and harsh criticism to euphoria at something half good.” The changeover in management and attitude in RTE augured well. The financial situation in RTE with denial of the requested increase in licence fee and the general economic downturn, augured badly.
**British production of Irish television drama**

Much of the British television drama set in Ireland over the years was about the troubles, whether historical roots or contemporary manifestations. One was called *Troubles*. This was a 2 part adaptation of the novel by JG Farrell produced by Little Bird for LWT in 1988. It was set in 1919 and dwelled on the world of the Anglo-Irish ascendancy as their power ebbed away and the forces of republicanism threatened. Much of it was in the mood of *The Irish RM*. There was the Englishman abroad trying to come to terms with the natives:

“Surely there is no need to abandon one’s reason simply because one is in Ireland?”

When reviewing it on radio, I had lost patience by this time with this sort of endless indulgence of big house dottiness. It was a surreal scenario, but to what purpose? It was comic exaggeration, but it did not click as satire. It certainly communicated no sense whatsoever of what the troubles were all about.

The troubles dramas varied in setting, approach and point of view. Addressing the content and context of this in his doctoral thesis and later in his book *Screening Ireland*, Lance Pettitt summarised:

“Much of this fictional exposition has...struggled in an institutional environment circumscribed by periodic direct censorship, restrictive codes of practice and a deeper cultural myopia. Unsurprisingly, television drama about Northern Ireland has tended to endorse the political status quo. On occasion the hegemonic views of political and cultural elites have been challenged, but effective interventions using drama from within influential cultural institutions such as the BBC have been intermittent, not an organised campaign by ‘the Brits Out of Telly Centre’. Nor have individual programmes ‘glorified the IRA’ at the expense of the RUC. Nor has there been...a ‘masked posture’ against the British presence in Northern Ireland. However, the creative disidence of many writers and directors tends to lead them away from received ideas and formal political ideologies, to the possibility of imagining situations and emotions beyond mere verisimilitude, historical fact or autobiographical experience. Many...have offered qualified questioning if not radical revisions of their cultural inheritances and political ideologies...in some cases TV drama has pre-empted political events of the future, allowed fresh insights into the past and displayed the capacity to make people interrogate received ideas.”

The television drama of the troubles did much to portray the texture of everyday life underlying the news reports and to give political ideologies a human face and voice. Looking at them chronologically: *Final Run* was a 4 part BBC thriller in 1988 about a computer expert in a Belfast bank, who diverted funds to the IRA, was caught and turned informer in prison. Episodes recounted his problems with police, wife and son in setting up a new identity in England. *Crossfire*, a 5 part BBC serial withdrawn in 1987 was transmitted in 1988. An episode of the BBC legal series *Blind Justice* in 1988 dealt with the involvement of MI5 in an IRA case with many twists and turns of plot. *An Unreported Incident* (BBC 1988) by David Martin concentrated on a chat show host who found himself interviewing a man he shot in a border incident some years before. *Elephant* (BBC 1989) by Alan Clark was premised on the wry observation that for those living in Northern Ireland the troubles were as easy to ignore as an elephant in your living room. It dealt with sectarian murders. *Chinese Whispers* (BBC 1989) by Maurice Leitch was about a psychiatric nurse in a mental ward and how the madness of the troubles impinged on other forms of madness. *Beyond the Pale* (BBC 1989) by William Trevor revealed the impact of the troubles on 4 English friends on holiday and their stereotypes of the Irish.

*A Safe House* (BBC 1990) was about the arrest of Irish people in the aftermath of the Guilford and Woolwich pub bombings in 1974 and the subsequent scapegoating of the Maguire
family. Also in 1990 were ITN drama-documentaries: *Dear Sarah*, covering the same ground; *Who Bombed Birmingham?* on the Birmingham 6; and *Shoot to Kill* on the investigation into counter-terrorist activities of the RUC and MI5 covered by the never published Stalker report. Much controversy surrounded *Shoot to Kill* and UTV did not air it.

*Children of the North* was a 4 part BBC thriller in 1991 based on a trilogy of novels by MS Power. It was postponed several months, as it was thought to be inappropriate to show undercover operations in Northern Ireland while British forces were engaged in Iraq. It showed elements within the IRA, RUC and British Army intelligence engaged in delicate peace moves and facing opposition on their own side. The IRA commander acting as go between was murdered on orders of the hard line chief of staff. Nevertheless, tabloids and tories said that it was IRA propaganda. *Love Lies Bleeding* by Ronan Bennett (BBC 1993) was also about a split within the IRA over ceasefire and peace moves. However, in this the pro-peace faction resorted to murder of hardliners to achieve peace, in a plot full of paradox and questions about means and ends. The play became controversial even before transmission, when it became known that Bennett, a former republican prisoner, was commissioned to write it. *Breed of Heroes* (BBC 1994) by Charles Wood, based on a novel by Alan Judd, concerned a group of British Army officers on tour of duty in Belfast in 1971. When the heroics they expected were not required, they coped with being pelted with rocks by kids and sniped at by the IRA by retreating into the rituals of the officers mess.

In 1994 there was an IRA ceasefire and the difficulties in the peace process were played out in drama as well as in life and news. *Life After Life* (BBC 1995) by Graham Reid portrayed the problems of adjustment of a republican ex-prisoner released into ceasefire Belfast after a life sentence. Instead of a hero’s welcome, honouring his sacrifice, he found that life had moved on, that politics was moving to a different agenda and there seemed to be no place for him. *The Precious Blood* (BBC 1996) also by Graham Reid turned to the dilemmas of a loyalist paramilitary prisoner turned born again “by the power of the blood” protestant evangelist when coming to know a woman still searching for the identity of an IRA man who killed her husband 12 years before, knowing that he was the assassin of her husband, who was a police informer in the UVF. Her traumatised son launched a frenzied attack on the local UVF headquarters and was stoned in the street to near death. It confronted difficult questions of truth and reconciliation on the ground, another dimension of the peace process, aside from official negotiations. Survivors still had to live with their losses, while knowing that the person who destroyed their lives could be passing them in the streets. *A Rap at the Door* (BBC 1999) by Pearse Elliott was also about coming to terms with the past during the peace process. Told in the form of 3 monologues from the children of a mother who disappeared 15 years before after answering a knock on the door. It was reminiscent of the fate of Jean McConville, a widowed mother of 10 who was lifted by the IRA in the belief that she was an informer.

In January 2002 there were 2 full scale documentary dramas marking the 30th anniversary of 30 January 1972, known in Ireland as Bloody Sunday. Paul Greengrass’s *Bloody Sunday* zeroed in on the 24 hours of the event itself, focusing on Ivan Cooper MP as he made his rounds of the Bogside as the community made its preparations for a civil rights march. Jimmy’s Mc Govern’s *Sunday* anchored the story in the family of John Young who died that day and took the narrative forward to the denial of truth and justice that was the Widgery Tribunal. Neither probed character very deeply or created a single moment of narrative pleasure. Both were extremely effective, however, in conveying the communal tragedy of the day and its legacy. There were unforgettable scenes of mayhem in the streets, of people running, screaming, pleading, dying, grieving. There were images of pumped-up paras, matched by images of peaceful protestors in the beginning and by images of young lads taking the IRA oath of allegiance at the end.
Dealing with the troubles and the peace process in a comic as opposed to tragic mode have been productions such as Foreign Bodies, a BBCN1 sitcom by Bernard Farrell and Graham Reid, which went for 2 series in the late 80s; Arise and Go Now (BBC 1991) by Owen O'Neill, an alternative comedian, gave an alternative comic account of the troubles, particularly on the havoc caused by bungling IRA men in a small town; So you think you’ve got troubles? an Alomo-BBC 1991 sitcom about a London Jewish manager transferred by his company to Belfast; Safe and Sound a Witzend-BBCNI 6 part sitcom about the lives and loves of 2 garage mechanics in 1996 and Eureka Street (above) in 1999.

The most extended effort at troubles / peace process comedy was Give My Head Peace, a BBCNI sitcom running since 1998. Written and performed by the zany Hole in the Wall Gang, its basic setup was a satirical version of love across orange and green divide. The core characters were members of two working class families, one loyalist and the other republican, who were related through mixed marriage. The primary targets of parodic sendup were the ideologies of nationalism and unionism and lives lived under their spell. The protestant work ethic was articulated by a loudmouthed layabout. It mocked the hollowness of much of the political discourse in various ways. Sometimes it was by using the language of political negotiation in domestic disputes, talking about “totality of relations” and “a 3 stranded approach” to the most mundane matters. Sometimes it was treating irreverently what was usually treated reverently, such as Ma beating John Hume off with a brush as he launched into “his interminable single transferable speech”. The debate about the future of the police force was dealt with by having the catholic Emer recruited into the RUC under pressure to reform its ranks in terms of both religious affiliation and gender, her being rapidly promoted to chief constable and going on an authoritarian power trip, even arresting Mo Mowlam, secretary of state, for having an out of date car tax disk.

The series assumed a high degree of intertextual reference, not only to the politics of the north in news and current affairs discourse, but to a wide range of literary, theatrical, cinematic and televisual genres. The names of episodes, for example, assumed knowledge of numerous texts: Saving Ryan’s Daughter, Luke Back in Anger, The Talented Mr Ripple, The Importance of Being Protestant, The Sectarian Candidate, Bonfire of the Insanities. The episode on Emer in the RUC was done in the style of the ITN police series The Bill. In another episode, Andy and his orange friend set out to march on Ballykissangel, the name of a fictional village in another BBCNI series. Taking on Hollywood, another episode had an American actor arrive to research the role of Gerry Adams, despite being blond, beardless and utterly unable to master a Belfast accent. It undermined the old Northern Ireland and implicitly hoped to be clearing the ground for the new.

As the Beast Sleeps, adapted from the Gary Mitchell play, was a BBC production described as having the dimensions of Greek tragedy and set in the climate following the Good Friday agreement centring on a gunman forced to choose between old loyalties and new realities.

Not all the British production of television drama set in Ireland dealt with the troubles. The Temptation of Eileen Hughes was an adaptation of a Brian Moore story by BBC in 1988. Last of a Dyin’ Race, a UTV comedy by Christina Reid in 1988, concerned the clash of old and new in the way of funeral customs. It dealt with separation of the sexes and the effect of class and upward mobility. Monkeys (BBCNI 1989) was a Paul Muldoon play about the life and arrest of businessman John DeLorean. The Hen House (BBCNI 1989) was a Frank McGuinness play about a woman keeping to herself on a smallholding in Donegal, who got caught up in a game of hide and seek and exposure of secrets. The Englishman’s Wife (BBCNI 1990) by Holly Chandler was about a mother and daughter in poor economic circumstances in County Tyrone. August Saturday (BBCNI 1990) was a William Trevor story of a group of friends who met once a month for dinner and the impact of the return of a friend who hadn’t attended in 15 years.
All Things Bright and Beautiful (BBCNI 1994) by Barry Devlin about a 10 year old altar boy subject to power of suggestion seeing visions. Runway 1 by Barry Devlin was a 2 part thriller about a pair of Irish policemen caught up in an international conspiracy involving sex, guns, drugs and beef. Loving (BBCNI 1996) was an upstairs-downstairs big house drama set in 1941 based on a Henry Green novel. A Man of No Importance (BBCNI 1996) by Barry Devlin was about a bus conductor reciting Oscar Wilde in Dublin in the 1960s. Vicious Circle (BBCNI 1999) by Kieran Prendiville, was another Martin Cahill biopic.

Sinners (BBCNI 2002) was set in one of the horrific magdelan laundries of past decades, where girls who “got into trouble” were sent to give birth, do penance and provide free labour under the authority of sometimes sadistic nuns. The inequality of treatment of males and females who transgressed was sharply highlighted. The central character, Anne Marie, gave birth to the son of herself and her brother. He prospered while she was punished. The mental and physical suffering of such young women was credibly conveyed.

There were also a number of series of short dramas going under the umbrella of Northern Lights made by independent production companies for BBCNI. Some of these were also shown on RTE in its Debut slot.

Ballykissangel

Ballykissangel was a BBCNI comedy series running from 1996 to 2001. It was set in the south rather than the north and its humour was more whimsical than satirical. A picturesque production made in County Wicklow, created by Kieran Prendiville, it deployed a fine Irish cast on Irish soil to create a foreign fantasy of Irish life. It opened with the arrival of an English priest destined to play straight man to the many crafty Irish locals. It was supposed to be contemporary, and indeed in many ways it was, to gauge it by fax machines, satellite dishes, mobile phones and websites, but other things about it set it decades back. It wasn’t just the obvious things, such as priests wearing roman collars and black suits and being central to village life as well as old biddies and scheming gombeens and comely colleens and devious boyos. It was the will o’ the wisp feel to it, the warm and witty gentility of it, that harkened back, not so much to real village life of previous time, but a Hollywood version of it. As The Irish Times review characterised it:

“Ballykissangel will do nothing to break the thought patterns of the English towards the Irish. Set in an Irish village that’s about 40 years behind Glenroe, it’s super-light in a heavy-handed sort of way. Avoca, starring as the village of the title, looks splendid, but the rogish eccentrics are unbelievable. This is Son of Darby O’Gill country with generous measures of The Quiet Man and Finian’s Rainbow, just to be sure, to be sure...There is an irritating jauntiness...It might be justifiable, if it were hilariously funny, but it’s not... this is cozy drama for the contended.”

Such tended to be the attitude to it in Ireland, but it was much more highly rated in Britian, America and elsewhere. It proved a winner for the BBC, not only of awards, but in international sales. It seemed to correspond to an Ireland of the imagination for much of the world. The stereotypes were not way over the top or obnoxious, but more gentle and playful. It was in its way very well made. It evolved through several series, as various characters and writers came and went. It became somewhat more serious for a while, particularly with the deaths of Assumpta and then Ambrose. It also had declarations of unbelief in God from core characters, such as Assumpta and Brian.

Catholicism was central to the series, but was not taken very seriously by it. It was a source of laughs basically, starting with the air-conditioned fax-equipped confessional in series 1 to the confession online website in series 6 and many other gags in between. When the new
Aussie priest came across a shrine, the garda commented: “It doesn’t move apparently”. A revealing bit of dialogue in divulging the stance of the series itself came when the Aussie priest asked the local kid why he set up the confession website in the God.com episode:

Fr. Vincent Sheehan “I didn’t think that this was such a religious country any more."

Dermot Dooley: “It’s not, but the yanks still think it is.”

**Father Ted**

If BallyK found catholicism to be mildly funny, then Father Ted found it hilarious. Created by Graham Linehan and Arthur Mathews and produced by Hat Trick for Channel 4, Father Ted ran from 1995 to 1998. It has often been said, even written in newspapers, that RTE turned down Father Ted, but the fact is that they were never offered it. It was subsequently shown on RTE and it was enormously popular in Ireland, whether they watched it on Channel 4 or RTE or both.

It was set in a parochial house on the fictional Craggy Island in a real-surreal Ireland. In this house, full of catholic kitsch, lived 3 priests and their housekeeper and through it trooped many more priests, bishops, nuns, pop stars, parishioners and lots of rabbits, all of them weird and wonderful caricatures.

Father Ted himself, masterfully and manically played by Dermot Morgan, was a middle aged smart ass cynic, who had been banished to Craggy Island for financial irregularities, something to do with money raised to send a sick child to Lourdes “only resting” in Ted’s own account. Father Jack Hackett, much older, had obviously been sent to the outer reaches of church life because he was an alcoholic and reprobate. Father Dougal Maguire, much younger, seemed not to understand even the most basic tenets of catholic theology, but had somehow become a priest. At times he asked Ted, quite innocently, if he believed in God or if he believed in an afterlife, and responded:

“Gee, I wish I had your faith, Ted.”

Whatever his offence was, it had caused irreparable damage to several nuns. He had a shirt that said:

“It’s a priest thing.
You wouldn’t understand.”

Mrs Doyle meanwhile tended to their every need, especially with tea and egg sandwiches and ferraro roches. At one stage, she was even giving Father Dougal a bath. The activities of the priests were multifarious and often mad: compering a “lovely girls competition”, picketing a blasphemous film (thus giving it unprecedented popularity), entering the eurovision song contest, getting trapped in a lingerie department.

In an episode entitled Tentacles of Doom, they received a letter announcing that the holy stone of Clonrichert was to be upgraded to a class 2 relic and that no less than 3 bishops would be arriving for the ceremony. Ted was worried about the impression Jack might make and undertook to coach him to say something other than “drink” “feck” or “girls” and to say, in answer to any question “That would be an ecumenical matter”, confessing that he often said that when he didn’t know what else to say and observing:

“That’s the great thing about catholicism. It’s so vague that nobody really knows what it’s about.”
When the bishops arrived, they each ranted on their favourite topic, such as the role of the laity or anticlerical bias in the media:

“You can barely open a newspaper these days without reading some anticlerical article by some bearded leftie…”

On the way back from the ceremony, each of the bishops engaged in conversation with one of the priests on his pet theme. Bishop O’Neill asked Dougal if he ever had any doubts. At first Dougal did not know what he meant. The bishop pressed him. Then Dougal started listing the things he found hard to believe:

“You know the way God made us all, right?
and he’s looking down from heaven and everything?
and then his son came down and saved everyone and all that?
... and when we die we’re all going to go to heaven?
That’s the bit I have trouble believing in.”

Suddenly the bishop started to wonder if any of it made any sense. Meanwhile, another bishop was going on about his heart attack and how it made him think about death and the other was still ranting about the media. Back to Dougal:

“So, if God existed forever, what did he do with all that time, like before he made the earth and everything?”

The bishop was stumped. By the time they reached the parochial house, Bishop O’Neill announced that he had reached some interesting conclusions:

“It’s all nonsense, isn’t it?”
“What is?”
“Religion… I’ve been struggling for some time, but Father McGuire clarified the whole thing for me.
God, heaven, hell …it’s all a load of rubbish… everlasting life and big demons with hot pokers, I don’t think so.”

Crediting Dougal with this revelation, he told Ted:

“This man, treasure him, Father Crilly, he has wisdom far beyond his years.”

A few minutes later, Bishop O’Neill had become “Eddie” and was wearing jeans and waistcoat with a bandana around his head. A van full of hippies arrived to pick him up to go off to India. Meanwhile, Bishop Jordan had died of a heart attack and was taken out in a coffin and Bishop Facks was taken to hospital to have the holy stone removed from where Father Jack had shoved it. At the end of it all, Ted said to Dougal:

“Went pretty well, I thought.”

When I first saw the first episode, I have to admit, I did not think that it worked. It seemed more slapstick than satire. It seemed to be too far over the top in its exaggeration to be intelligently parodic. However, once I had become more attuned to the characters and the setup, I began to find it very funny. Not only that, but I found each episode even funnier on second or third viewing. Perhaps because priests had been treated with such reverence, it had to go in hard and create a menagerie of mad caricatures to get across how crazy a creature a priest was.

Dougal: “God, I’ve heard about those cults, Ted. People dressing up in black and saying our lord’s going to come back and save us all.”
Ted: “No, Dougal, That’s us. That’s catholicism.”
Dougal: “Oh right.”

On another occasion:

Ted: “I’m not a fascist. I’m a priest. Fascists dress up in black and tell people what to do, whereas priests…”

In every episode, there were multiple ironies. When Father Jack died, a nun at the wake was gushing to a black priest about the wonderful level of commitment of the African church, to which he said:

“Sure, I wouldn’t know. I’m from Donegal!”

When Dougal was asked to perform the last rites, he confessed that he didn’t know how, but then decided to wing it:

“Of course, you’re up there now with Our Lord and Stalin and Bob Marley and my own parents…
Hello mammy and daddy.”

When they were picketing a forbidden film, thus giving it unprecedented popularity, their sign read:

“Down with this type of thing.”

There were many references to Irish culture in the 1990s. When Dougal kept calling Ted “ya big bollox” or “ya big gobshite”, Ted demanded to know:

“Dougal, have you been reading those Roddy Doyle books again?”

The revelations about Bishop Eamonn Casey were mirrored in a story about Bishop Brennan, showing him cavorting on a beach with his lover and son while wearing the full ceremonial robes of a bishop. One visitor to Craggy Island was an Irish feminist pop star modelled on Sinead O’Connor. She referred to scandals over paedophile priests and Ted reassured her:

“Well, we’re not all like that, Niamh. Say, if there’s 200 million priests in the world and 5% of them are paedophiles, that’s still only 10 million.”

Lest anyone think that catholicism should not be treated as farce, here the reality subsequently outstripped the fiction, when Sinead O’Connor was ordained a priest in Lourdes, renamed Mother Berndette and announced that anyone who wanted her to perform liturgies should contact her record label. This was even more bold than any of the stream of boring priests or scheming priests or adolescent delinquent priests or manically talking, singing, dancing priests who flowed through this series.

The series was controversial, but more abroad than in Ireland. Some Irish emigrants wrote in *The Irish Post* that it was disrespectful to clergy, degrading to Irish people generally and pandered to British stereotypes of the Irish for profit. Defending it against such criticisms, Lance Pettitt argued that it was a measure of Irish self-confidence that it could take the stereotypes of the coloniser, repossess and explode them. It also provided a release for the resentment catholics felt toward the church in the wake of a wave of clerical scandals.

Addressing the various readings of the show:
The culturally specific vernacular of *Father Ted* could not only be misread by English viewers – reinforcing existing prejudices – but by first and second generation Irish viewers in Britain and the USA who held simplistic notions of how popular media stereotypes circulate. Such complainants failed to see that there were alternative approaches to positive imaging … The divisiveness of *Father Ted* is ludic, tracing the shifting contours of postcolonial representation and reinscribing the boundaries of social conflict. It shows how media texts become meaningful in ways that are dependent upon specific combinations of residual and emergent representations, and particular cultural cross-overs being interpreted at any given time by situated audiences within and without Ireland.80

There has always been sensitivity about representation of Irishness for foreign audiences. There was a kind of stage Irishry to it, but it was a knowing kind. Indeed it was stage Irishness itself being satirised.

It was more controversial for its representation of catholicism than of nationality, but only in America were objections to it able to exert any real pressure. There was disquiet among traditional catholics and their clergy. In Ireland, it was muted, reflecting the decline in the cultural power and moral authority of the church. There was no way they were in a position to mount the sort of pressure on RTE (much less Channel 4) that they could in previous decades (as recounted in my earlier book). When you think of the furour caused by the nude scene in *The Spike* and then think of scenes in *Father Ted*, including a priest in a bathtub being bathed by his housekeeper and a bishop and priest waking up nude in bed together, you get a sense of how much had changed. A lot of catholics, including priests, said that they liked it, that it was healthy and well intended.

An American jesuit, who discovered it in the schedule when in Britain, asked about it in his order and was told it was “a silly piece of rubbish”. He watched it, enjoyed it and wrote a thesis on it, which included audience research among priests.81 There were various shades of negativity, but the response, especially among his fellow jesuits, was overwhelmingly negative. The author, Steve Baird SJ, took a positive view, believing that priests had to learn something from it, as it was so popular, particularly among the younger audience. He admitted that he found it both funny and frightening to recognise types of priests he had known.

Such an effort to be open minded and to have a sense of humour and to be self critical was fine, but it was open to question as to how far it faced up to the extreme alienation from catholicism characterising the programme and its audience. The dominant point of view was that of lapsed catholics. Like much satire, it could be appreciated at different levels, but the maximal appreciation of its humour depended on simultaneous familiarity with catholicism and pleasure in seeing what was once a matter for absolute reverence being treated irreverently and parodied. It might have been another sitcom about priests and their housekeeper, but it could hardly have been more different than *Leave It To Mrs O’Brien*.

Of those working on the programme most were lapsed catholics. Of writers and core cast, only Frank Kelly, who played Father Jack, was a practicing catholic. Dermot Morgan often described himself as “a severely lapsed catholic”. Whatever about the more benign interpretations put on it by others, Morgan did not believe that it should not offend.82 He had grown up catholic in Ireland and even considered being a priest. He popped up as Father Brian Trendy on *The Live Mike* satirising liberal priests. His anger at the church grew with the years and he was severely alienated from it.83 Much of the energy of his portrayal came from his anger and alienation. He meant the satire to bite.

When he died suddenly in 1998, just as the 3rd series of *Father Ted* had been produced but not yet transmitted, he was mourned by the nation in a way that was more genuine than any public figure in my memory. He was embraced by the church as a wayward child. Michael
Paul Gallagher SJ, who had been his teacher at UCD, did at least acknowledge the irony in his homily. Steve Baird SJ wrote:

“Dermot Morgan and Father Ted will live on in our hearts. Morgan is now with the God he was so troubled by...please pray for us left behind”

Everyone must come to terms with loss in his own way and according to his or her own world view, but the dissidence of Dermot Morgan was too neatly rehabilitated into the conventional. As I attended his funeral and listened to the prayers said over him in Glasnevin, I did not believe that he would have approved of the easy assimilation.

By now Father Ted as a character (as well as a series) and Dermot Morgan as a performer have achieved cult status. He is an icon of iconoclasm. Of all the television drama set in Ireland, perhaps ever, this has etched itself deepest in folk memory, both nationally and internationally. It has created a population of archetypal characters and points of ongoing communal reference. It has resonated as myth in the way that television sometimes can.

Adding to its afterlife there are books, videotapes, dvds and websites. In these years world wide web has been a burgeoning medium of popular culture with multiple interactions with other media, including television. Type Father Ted into a search engine and see how many webpages pop up. All around the world there are tedheads, who continue the cult of this series.

**The Ambassador**

Back to BBC Northern Ireland, which proved such a prolific producer of Irish television drama in the 1990s, another ambitious project was The Ambassador. This was a series built around the life and work of a British ambassador to Ireland, billed (by its producers) as the “television event of the year” (1998). It was filmed on location in Dublin “set in the most vibrant city in Europe”. This was the capital city of Celtic tiger Ireland. Indeed, the streetscapes, parks and harbours of Dublin rarely looked more enticing.

It was very much a peace process drama in the whole mood of the series, as well as in the plots and patterns of resolution. There was an atmosphere of respect and co-operation between the two countries, whatever tensions arose when national interests were in conflict. The politics of it was vague in the sense that governments of Britain and Ireland were not very explicitly delineated in either party or ideological terms. Nevertheless the ideological centre of gravity of the series was strongly centrist in every way, very much in tune with the mood of the times. The Irish foreign minister Kevin Flaherty (Owen Roe) locked horns with the British ambassador in every episode where events made it inevitable: an Irish trawler sunk (accidentally) by a British submarine, ownership of Rockall, competition for commercial contracts, loyalist versus republican ideologies playing themselves out in Northern Ireland. Their relationship was forthright and pragmatic and compromise was always on the cards. When Flaherty pleaded:

“I’m asking you to go beyond: never apologise; never explain”

chances were that she would. Both were tough but fair, more or less. In the original proposal, the Irish foreign minister was to be an “unprincipled rogue”, whereas by the time it came to air, his character was drawn very much like that of the British ambassador, matched in every way, allowing for differences of gender, class and nationality. After the debacle of BBC apologising to the Irish people over the Eastenders episode set in Ireland, the BBC was being careful.
There was also the woman in a man’s world angle. The ambassador was Harriet Smith, ably played by Pauline Collins, a formidable professional woman as well as a mother of two sons. There were usually subplots involving her family life and potential conflicts between her professional and personal life, but very often these were resolved in a way that that personal angle illuminated the professional or vice versa. Sometimes she seemed like a wonder woman, speaking 6 languages (including Arabic, Japanese and Russian), mastering details of international law, resolving the trickiest of diplomatic dilemmas, foiling devious plots of spies and civil servants, speaking sympathetically to ordinary citizens and being a caring mother. It was not all tied up unproblematically however. Indeed the back story was that her husband was killed by a car bomb in the Middle East meant for her. Her older son Nate, who was “reading” politics at Trinity, had not forgiven her for this and was constantly creating difficulties for her over it. Her positioning was articulated in a conversation between 2 MI6 operatives:

Stone: “Just don’t underestimate the ambassador. She’s her own person.”

Milburn: “She’s her country’s person. That’s why she’s here.”

In each of the 12 episodes in the 2 series of 1998 and 1999, the interweaving of subplots was intricate and skilful. There were often individuals in distress: a British wife of a Saudi diplomat seeking refuge, a girl framed for drug struggling, her secretary held hostage, her mentor dying, her deputy accused of murder, her lover kidnapped, her sons feeling neglected. These were counterbalanced, not only with other short term diplomatic exigencies, but with long term political and commercial interests of several nations, as well as fundamental moral choices. There was an attempt to see all the world in a grain of sand in these stories. The resolutions often involved considerable intellectual and moral ambiguity:

Smith: “The world’s a confused place. I can’t make it any clearer. We stumble through never really sure who the bad guys are, desperate to make things black and white. They never are.”

Sometimes it seemed smug, not so much when plot resolutions were pat as when they were not, when there seemed to be a complacency about centrist ambiguity. There was talk about telling the truth and doing the right thing. There was questioning of whether ends justified means. This level of discourse was generally raised and left hanging.

It did deal with difficult issues, such as that of the British nuclear industry and its responsibility for high levels of radioactivity in the Irish Sea and consequent deaths of Irish citizens. There were two alternative episodes written surrounding the death of child of leukaemia. In the one that was chosen, a grief stricken father from County Louth broke into the embassy and held a secretary hostage, demanding that the British government take responsibility and close the nuclear plant in Cumbria. The alternative episode, written but not made, had the ambassador arriving at the embassy to a silent protest of an eerie procession of blown up photos of leukaemia victims. A case in the European Court had just fallen through a loophole and fell on technical grounds. The father of a dying child joined with a Greenpeace type of group and boarded British merchant vessel with processed nuclear fuel on board. At a dinner party in the embassy taking place at the same time, Flaherty refused the prawns saying “I wouldn’t eat those. Make you glow in the dark.” Also in this episode he was telling of his trip to Brussels during the week:

“The place is totally bloody Kafka, full of low flyers, all somewhere between 40 and death. They miss Mrs. Thatcher swinging her handbag in there.”

In another episode, she was prevailed upon by an MI6 operative to intervene when her former mentor was about to publish his memoirs. The dialogue was hard hitting:
Beauchamp: “I’ve had an exciting privileged life … But then again, one could argue that I’ve spent most of it, a good 40 years, colluding with the powers of darkness.”

Smith: “Isn’t that a rather harsh description?”

Beauchamp: “I’m serious … I’ve done my duty, but by definition that duty has often entailed moral compromise, economy with the truth, even outright denial of it.”

Smith: “Whereas now you believe that truth is everything?”

Beauchamp: “What I feel is an overwhelming need to atone in some way for my past sins of omission and acquiescence by, yes, setting the truth free. No more secrets. No more lies. Tell it like it really was. My conscience nags me with a forthright question, Harriet. What end has my 40 years of diplomacy actually achieved? Is the world a better place?”

Smith: “Surely we’re trying to stop it being a worse place.”

Beauchamp: “I see no evidence that I’ve achieved even that limited objective. Have you?”

Smith: “I like to think so.”

Beauchamp: “So did I. It was a delusion.”

There was also the voice of MI6 in this episode:

“Decisions, choices, are made, moral choices, appalling choices, and yes, they are made in secret. The war against terrorism is not a cliché. It’s a fact, and in fighting it, one has to be as ruthless as the terrorists themselves. Fight fire with fire. Or be consumed.”

As this book was being written, the war against terrorism came to centre stage in an unscheduled global drama.
Conclusion

When I concluded *Irish Television Drama: A Society and Its Stories* in 1987, I believed that there had been a sharp debate about television drama that I hoped had cleared the way for drama that would deal with dilemmas at the cutting edge of contemporary experience, drama that would stop ending up in conventional cul de sacs. On the whole, such hopes were disappointed. Much of what came was more of the same: too derivative, too myopic, too mundane. It was trying too little, then trying too hard, without being clear enough about what it was trying to do.

However, there was drama that tracked the tiger. There was drama that stimulated critical reflection on the path our society was pursuing, such as *The Truth About Claire, Family, Black Day at Black Rock, No Tears, Bachelor’s Walk*, sometimes even *Fair City*, and in its own weird and wonderful way *Father Ted*. There was also drama that scrutinised the past in a way that assimilated it more honestly into our present: *A Love Divided, Sinners, Amongst Women*.

There were enormous changes in the mode of production of television drama, which created much of the uncertainty and disorientation, but there were deeper reasons for such confusion too. There was a postmodernist paralysis in conceptualising contemporary experience. This was a global trend and Ireland moved to global rhythms as never before.

Nevertheless, different ways of seeing the world and doing drama emerged from the vortex and made television drama that shed light on what it was to be standing in Ireland looking at the world as it emerged into the 21st century.
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