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The structural analyses of [3-( $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ )-3-I-4- $\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)$-closo-3,1,2$\mathrm{PdC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ ] or $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{P}\right)\right.$ ], (I), and [3-(PPh-$\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2}\right)$-3-Cl-4-( $\mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ )-closo-3,1,2- $\left.\mathrm{PdC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right] \quad$ or $\quad\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{16}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{B}_{9} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{P}\right)$ ], (II), show that in comparison with [3$\left(\mathrm{P} R_{3}\right)_{2}$-closo-3,1,2- $\mathrm{PdC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ ] the presence of the $4-\mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ group causes the $\left[\operatorname{Pd} X\left(\mathrm{P} R_{3}\right)\right]$ unit ( $X=$ halogen) to twist about an axis passing through the Pd atom and the directly opposite $B$ atom of the carbaborane ligand. The halogen atoms are located almost directly above a C atom in the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}$ face, and the conformations of the $\left[\mathrm{Pd} X\left(\mathrm{P} R_{3}\right)\right]$ units above the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}$ faces are not those predicted from molecular orbital calculations of the closo-3,1,2- $\mathrm{PdC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9}$ system. The fact that the variation from the predicted conformation is greater in the case of (I) than in (II) may be ascribed to the greater steric interactions induced by the I atom in (I) compared with the Cl atom in (II).

## Comment

The factors affecting the conformation of $L-M-X$ units ( $L$ and $X$ may be phosphines or other ligands) above the faces of 11-atom heteroborane ligands in metalloheteroborane clusters, such as $\left[3,3-\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$-closo-3,1,2- $\left.\mathrm{MC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right]$, (III) $)(M=\mathrm{Pd}$ or Pt ), have been subject to both experimental ( $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Connell et al., 1995, 1996) and theoretical (Mingos et al., 1978) studies. We have now continued these studies to obtain information
about the effect that a substituent on a $B$ atom in the $C_{2} B_{3}$ face adjacent to the Pd atom would have on the structure of the metalloheteroborane. We synthesized two palladium complexes with the [9-SMe ${ }_{2}$-nido- $7,8-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ ] dicarbaborane fragment as a ligand, namely [3-( $\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$-3-I-4-( $\mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ )-closo-$\left.3,1,2-\mathrm{PdC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right]$, (I), and [3-(PPhMe 2$)$-3-Cl-4-( $\mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ )-closo-$3,1,2-\mathrm{PdC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ ], (II), which are isoelectronic in cluster terms (Wade, 1976) with [ $3-\left(\mathrm{P} R_{3}\right)_{2}$-closo-3,1,2- $\mathrm{PdC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ ], (III). In complex (III), which is a [closo-3,1,2-MC $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ ] species that contains ' C atoms adjacent' [nido-7,8- $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ ] ligand fragments and no replacements of boron-bound exo H atoms by bulkier groups, the conformation of the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ plane is aligned approximately parallel to the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ vector in the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}$ face to which the Pd atom is attached, as shown in the scheme (diagram 1a). This alignment is expected on the basis of the

results of molecular orbital calculations (Mingos et al., 1978). The alternative 'perpendicular' conformation is expected for [closo-2,1,7-MC $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ ] species that have ' C atoms apart' [nido-7,9- $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ ] fragments as ligands (see diagram $1 b$ ) (Mingos et al., 1978).

Compounds (I) and (II) have closo 12-vertex $\mathrm{PdC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9}$ geometries based on distorted icosahedra with the Pd and C atoms adjacent, as shown in Figs. 1 and 2. Selected interatomic distances and angles are given in Table 1. In both (I) and (II), the substitution of a $\mathrm{BSMe}_{2}$ group for a $\mathrm{BH}($ exo $)$ unit causes marked twisting of the $\left[\operatorname{Pd} X\left(\operatorname{PR} R_{3}\right)\right]$ group $(X=\mathrm{I}$ or Cl$)$ above the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}$ face (see diagram 1c). The orientations of the $\left[\mathrm{PdI}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]$ and $[\mathrm{PdCl}(\mathrm{PPhMe} 2)]$ units above the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}$ faces are clearly shown in Figs. 3 and 4. The conformations of the $\mathrm{Pd}-X$ and $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ vectors above the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}$ faces have become more like the 'perpendicular' conformation that is expected for [closo-2,1,7-MC $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ ] species. It is noticeable that the twisting of the $X-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P} R_{3}$ unit away from the $\mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ ligand is somewhat greater in (I) than in (II), as can be seen in the angles between the $X-\mathrm{Pd}$ and $\mathrm{B} 4-\mathrm{S} 1$ vectors when they are projected onto the plane of the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}$ face; these are 71.7 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$
in (I) and 53.7 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ in (II). This difference is also seen in the comparison between the $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{B} 4-\mathrm{Pd} 3-X$ twist angle, which is larger in (I), at $-48.5(3)^{\circ}$, with a smaller corresponding angle in (II) of $-29.16(9)^{\circ}$. All these values would be expected to be close to zero in the absence of substitution at B4. The difference in these pairs of angles is probably due to the steric interactions in the $X-\mathrm{Pd} 3-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{B} 4-\mathrm{S} 1\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}\right)$ region and, in particular, the difference in the effective radii of I and Cl , although the differential bulk of the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ versus the $\mathrm{PPhMe}_{2}$ ligands will also affect the conformations, as will the locations of the methyl groups of the $\mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ ligand attached at atom B4.


Figure 1
A view of (I), with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $30 \%$ probability level.


Figure 2
A view of (II), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $30 \%$ probability level.

The Pd-C distances of 2.274 (6) and 2.467 (6) $\AA$ in (I) are significantly different, with the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 1$ bond considerably shorter than the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} 2$ bond. Atom C 1 is adjacent to the $\mathrm{SMe}_{2}$-substituted B 4 atom, and the shorter $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ distance may be an artefact of this configuration if extra electron density due to the presence of the S atom is available in the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{B} 4-\mathrm{C} 1$ region compared with the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{B} 7-\mathrm{C} 2$ region. The $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ distances in (II), at 2.304 (2) and 2.478 (2) $\AA$, are similar to those in (I). The $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ distances in (I) and (II) span those observed in the cation of [3-( $\left.\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right)-4-\mathrm{SMe}_{2}$-closo-$\left.3,1,2-\mathrm{PdC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ [2.371 (4) and 2.434 (4) $\AA$; Douek \& Welch, 1993]. A search of the May 2005 release of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD; Allen, 2002) for palladacarbaboranes resulted in 11 unique hits that yielded $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ distances in a wide range from 2.187 (5) $\AA$ in the $13-$ vertex bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane derivative [4-(dppe)-closo-4,1,6- $\mathrm{PdC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ ] (Alcock et al., 1987; CSD refcode FODFOT) to 2.634 (7) $\AA$ in $\left[1-\left(5-\mathrm{MeC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)-3,3-\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ closo-3,1,2- $\mathrm{PdC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ ] (Michaelidou et al., 1997; CSD refcode RUSWIL). It is noteworthy that, in the latter compound, which has a 5-methylthiophenyl substituent on atom C 1 , the conformation of the plane containing the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ unit and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ vector is near to the 'parallel' type; the angle between the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ vector and the plane containing the $\mathrm{P}-$ $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ unit projected onto the $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ plane is $26^{\circ}$ rather than $0^{\circ}$.


Figure 3
A view of the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{I}$ unit above the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}$ face with the $\mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ ligand at B4 in (I).


Figure 4
A view of the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ unit above the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}$ face with the $\mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ ligand at B4 in (II).


Figure 5
A view of a pair of molecules of (II), linked by weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ interactions. [Symmetry code: (i) $1-x, 1-y,-z$.]

The $\mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ group has slightly different orientations in (I) and (II), quantified by the values of the $\mathrm{Pd} 3-\mathrm{B} 4-\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{C} 3$ torsion angles $\left[-85.0(4)^{\circ}\right.$ in (I) and $-54.19(13)^{\circ}$ in (II)]. Presumably, this difference arises from packing effects. Examination of the intermolecular distances shows that there are no $\pi-\pi$ or $\mathrm{C}-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions in the crystal structures of (I) and (II). In (I), there is a weak intramolecular $\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{H} 16 \cdots \mathrm{I} 1$ interaction (see Table 2). In (II), there are no significant intramolecular interactions; the inversion-related shortest intermolecular interactions are shown in Fig. 5 with pairs of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ interactions leading to a weakly linked dimer (see Table 2). Such attractive interactions are consistent with the well known greater polarity and H -atom acidic character of carbaborane cluster CH (exo) units.

## Experimental

Reactions were carried out under an inert atmosphere, and products were isolated and manipulated in air. The reagents $\mathrm{Tl}\left[9-\mathrm{SMe}_{2}-7,8-\right.$ nido- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right]$ (Hamilton \& Welch, 1991), $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPhMe}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$ (Jenkins \& Shaw, 1966) and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right]$ (Bailey \& Mason, 1968) were prepared according to literature methods. For the synthesis of (I), a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right](0.044 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ was added to a solution of $\mathrm{Tl}\left[9-\mathrm{SMe}_{2}-7,8\right.$-nido $\left.-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right](0.02 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.05 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The mixture was heated at reflux temperature for 2.5 h . The dark-green solution was concentrated under reduced pressure (rotatory film evaporator, 298 K ) and subjected to preparative thin-layer chromatography (TLC; $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-$ hexane, 3:2). The major band was extracted into $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-hexane (3:2) as dark-green block-shaped crystals of (I) ( $0.028 \mathrm{~g}, 81.3 \%$ ). Analysis found: C 38.4, H 5.0, I $18.1 \%$; $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~B}_{9}$ IPPdS requires: C $38.35, \mathrm{H} 4.5$, I $18.4 \%$. For the synthesis of (II), a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPhMe}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right](0.1365 \mathrm{~g}, 0.301 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 20 ml ) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{Tl}\left[9-\mathrm{SMe}_{2}-7,8\right.$-nido$\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right](0.121 \mathrm{~g}, 0.304 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 30 ml ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 h . The purple solution was concentrated under reduced pressure (rotatory film evaporator, $308 \mathrm{~K})$ and subjected to preparative $\mathrm{TLC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$-hexane, $\left.4: 1\right)$. The
major band was extracted into $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-hexane (1:1), affording purple crystals of (II) ( 0.143 g , $75.9 \%$ ). Analysis found: C 30.7, H 5.9, S 6.85\%; $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{CIPPdS}$ requires: C 30.4, H 5.8, S $6.8 \%$. For details of the IR and NMR spectra for (I) and (II), see the archived CIF.

## Compound (I)

Crystal data
$\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~B} 9 \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{P}\right)\right]$
$M_{r}=689.12$
Orthorhombic, Pbca
$a=10.9576(5) \AA$
$b=16.8316$ (10) A
$c=30.2015(17) \AA$
$V=5570.2(5) \AA^{3}$
$Z=8$
$D_{x}=1.643 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$

## Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4
diffractometer
$\theta / 2 \theta$ scans
Absorption correction: $\psi$ scan (ABSCOR in NRCVAX; Gabe et al., 1989)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.708, T_{\text {max }}=0.751$
6057 measured reflections 6057 independent reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.037$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.162$
$S=1.00$
6057 reflections
318 parameters

## Compound (II)

Crystal data
$\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{P}\right)\right]$
$M_{r}=473.54$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$
$a=12.8117$ (8) A
$b=9.2670$ (7) $\AA$
$c=18.2948$ (10) $\AA$
$\beta=96.567(5)^{\circ}$
$V=2157.8$ (2) $\AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

## Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4
diffractometer
$\theta / 2 \theta$ scans
Absorption correction: $\psi$ scan (ABSCOR in NRCVAX; Gabe et al., 1989)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.684, T_{\text {max }}=0.760$
6506 measured reflections
6265 independent reflections

## Refinement

[^0]Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 25 reflections
$\theta=9.9-16.0^{\circ}$
$\mu=1.92 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=294$ (1) K
Block, dark green
$0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.15 \mathrm{~mm}$

3546 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.0$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=27.0^{\circ}$
$h=0 \rightarrow 13$
$k=0 \rightarrow 21$
$l=0 \rightarrow 38$
3 standard reflections every 250 reflections intensity decay: none

H -atom parameters constrained
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.1025 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\max }=1.29 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-1.93$ e $\AA^{-3}$
$D_{x}=1.458 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 25 reflections
$\theta=10.0-16.5^{\circ}$
$\mu=1.15 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=294$ (1) K
Block, purple
$0.33 \times 0.25 \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$

5023 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.020$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=30.0^{\circ}$
$h=0 \rightarrow 18$
$k=0 \rightarrow 13$
$l=-25 \rightarrow 25$
3 standard reflections every 250 reflections intensity decay: none
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0335 P)^{2}\right.$
$+0.6303 P]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.70 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.51 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXL97
Extinction coefficient: 0.00185 (18)

Table 1
Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ for compounds (I) and (II).
$X=\mathrm{I} 1$ in (I) and Cl 1 in (II).

|  | $(\mathrm{I})$ | $(\mathrm{II})$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Pd3-X | $2.6739(7)$ | $2.3751(5)$ |
| Pd3-P1 | $2.2507(17)$ | $2.2275(5)$ |
| Pd3-C1 | $2.274(6)$ | $2.304(2)$ |
| Pd3-C2 | $2.467(6)$ | $2.478(2)$ |
| Pd3-B4 | $2.315(7)$ | $2.278(2)$ |
| Pd3-B7 | $2.269(8)$ | $2.212(2)$ |
| Pd3-B8 | $2.254(7)$ | $2.229(2)$ |
| S1-B4 | $1.899(7)$ | $1.896(2)$ |
| C1-C2 | $1.584(9)$ | $1.561(2)$ |
| C1-B4 | $1.693(8)$ | $1.697(3)$ |
| C2-B7 | $1.644(10)$ | $1.686(3)$ |
| B4-B8 | $1.766(10)$ | $1.764(3)$ |
|  |  |  |
| $X-$ Pd3-P1 | $95.06(5)$ | $92.00(2)$ |
| $X-$ Pd3-C1 | $90.64(16)$ | $97.52(5)$ |
| $X-$ Pd3-C2 | $106.07(16)$ | $116.77(5)$ |
| $X-$ Pd3-B4 | $110.47(16)$ | $108.25(5)$ |
| $X-\mathrm{Pd} 3-\mathrm{B} 7$ | $143.2(2)$ | $148.67(6)$ |
| $X-\mathrm{Pd} 3-\mathrm{B} 8$ | $155.09(19)$ | $148.67(6)$ |
| P1-Pd3-C1 | $169.28(16)$ | $163.51(5)$ |
| P1-Pd3-C2 | $130.57(16)$ | $125.64(4)$ |
| P1-Pd3-B4 | $140.80(16)$ | $144.32(5)$ |
| P1-Pd3-B7 | $100.3(2)$ | $95.72(6)$ |
| P1-Pd3-B8 | $102.97(19)$ | $103.23(6)$ |
| Pd3-B4-S1 | $104.2(3)$ | $106.64(9)$ |

Table 2
Hydrogen bond parameters ( $\AA{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ ) for compounds (I) and (II).

|  | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (I) | $\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{H} 16 \cdots \mathrm{I} 1$ | 0.86 | 2.98 | $3.831(9)$ | 153 |
| (II) | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.86 | 2.60 | $3.540(2)$ | 142 |

Symmetry code: (i) $1-x, 1-y,-z$.

Molecule (I) crystallized in the orthorhombic system; space group Pbca was assigned from the systematic absences. Molecule (II) crystallized in the monoclinic system; space group $P 2_{1} / n$ was assigned from the systematic absences. In both compounds, H atoms were treated as riding atoms, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances of $0.93,0.96$ and $1.10 \AA$, and $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ distances of $1.10 \AA$, and with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})$ values set at
$1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B})$ or $1.5 U_{\mathrm{eq}}$ (methyl C). The maxima and minima in the final difference maps for (I) were adjacent to the I atom.

For both compounds, data collection: DIFRAC (Gabe \& White, 1993) with profile analysis; cell refinement: DIFRAC; data reduction: DATRD2 in NRCVAX94 (Gabe et al., 1989); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997) in WinGX (Version 1.70.01; Farrugia, 1999); molecular graphics: PLATON (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97 and PRPKAPPA (Ferguson, 1999).

JDK thanks the UK EPSRC for instrument support, and Dr B. Stibr for his friendly interest and for a sample of Tl[9-SMe $2^{-}$ 7,8-nido- $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ ].

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SK1861). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
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