# AN <br> INTROSPECTIONBASED ANALYSIS <br> OF THE <br> POST-EDITING PROCESS 

MAEVE OLOHAN, B.A.

## A THESIS SUBMITTED TO DUBLIN CITY UNIVERSITY IN CANDIDACY FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF ARTS

SEPTEMBER 1991

I hereby declare that none of the material contained in this thesis has been used in any other submission for any other award. Further, that the contents of this thesis are the sole work of the author except where an acknowledgement has been made for any assistance received.

## SUPERVISOR: DR. JENNY WILLIAMS

SCHOOL OF APPLIED LANGUAGES
DUBLIN CITY UNIVERSITY

## $\operatorname{CON} I E N E T S$

Acknowledgments ..... v
Abstract ..... vi
List of Abbreviations ..... vii

1. INTRODUCTION ..... 1
1.1 Introductory Remarks ..... 2
1.2 Post-editing of Machine Translation ..... 4
1.3 The Thinking-aloud Technique ..... 21
1.4 The Experiment - Practical Considerations. ..... 35
2. ACTIVE-TO-PASSIVE CHANGES ..... 44
3. NOUN-TO-VERB CHANGES ..... 70
4. INTER-TU CHANGES ..... 152
5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS ..... 186
6. BIBLIOGRAPHY ..... 213
7. APPENDICES ..... 227
Appendix A - Source TextAppendix B - Source Text and Machine Translation -"Mix" Output
Appendix C - Thinking-aloud Protocols
Appendix D - Participants' Versions of Text

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank all those who have expended time and energy to be of assistance to me in researching and compiling this thesis. In particular, I would like to say "Go raibh mile maith agaibh", and "herzlichen Dank!" to the following:-

* Dr. Jenny Williams, Dublin City University;
* $\mathcal{H}$ err $\mathcal{D r}$. Hans $\mathcal{P}$. Krings, Universität $\mathcal{H}$ ildesheim;
* Herr Professor Reiner Arntz, Universität Hildeskeim;
* The project participants, who agreed to remain anonymous;
* Elke Zimmermann, Universität Hildesfeim;
* Susanne Heizmann, Universität Hildesheim;
* Mr. Frank Clarke, Dublin City Iniversity;
* Herr Otto, Ulniversität Hildesfieim;
* $\mathcal{D A A D}$ and ERASMUS student scholarsfip/excfiange programmes;
* My family, especially Fionnuala, Eitfine, $\mathcal{N}$ (easa and $\mathcal{H}$ (ugh


## ABSTRACT

This thesis analyses the post-editing process by means of concurrent verbalisations. Four participants thought aloud while post-editing MT output produced by the METAL system. The need to investigate the postediting process, and the usefulness of the thinking-aloud technique to do so, are outlined in Chapter 1. By comparing the post-edited texts produced by the participants with the version generated by METAL, three major categories of syntactic change effected in the post-editing process were isolated. These were active-to-passive, noun-to-verb, and inter-TU changes. The execution of these changes was subsequently traced through the thinking-aloud protocols, and this forms the basis of Chapters 2, 3 and 4. Finally, Chapter 5 presents ensuing conclusions and recommendations.

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| HT | Human Translation |
| :--- | :--- |
| LTM | Long Term Memory |
| METAL | Machine Evaluation and Translation of <br> Natural Language |
| MT | Machine Translation |
| N | Noun |
| NP | Noun Phrase |
| P | Preposition |
| PP | Project Participant |
| PPV | Project Participant's Version |
| RB | Reference Book |
| SL | Source Language |
| ST | Source Text |
| STM | Short Term Memory |
| TAP | Thinking-Aloud Protocol |
| TL | Target Language |
| TU | Translation Unit |
| V | Verb |
| VP | Verb Phrase |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

Many machine translation systems depend upon human post-editing, after translation, to qualitatively upgrade their output. In spite of the integral role played by post-editing, the activity itself and the cognitive processes underlying it have remained relatively hidden behind studies of the wondrous workings of the machine itself. Comparatively little is known about post-editing and the mental processes of post-editors. I have attempted, in this thesis, to throw some light on the post-editing process, using the torch of the thinking-aloud technique, and describing the view afforded by it. The light is not always strong, sometimes flickering and then projecting no more than shadowy silhouettes, but it nonetheless illuminates a previously dark corner of cognitive activity.

Four project participants (PPs) edited a text which had been translated by the METAL MT system. They emended the MT text, striving to produce a more acceptable English-language version. I identified the most prevalent syntactic changes they made to the MT text, and subsequently traced the execution of these modifications as it was reflected in thinking-aloud protocols (TAPs) for the post-editing procedure. This forms the basis for conclusions which I have drawn about the post-editing process.

This introductory Chapter 1 continues with an outline of previous studies on the subject of post-editing - studies, most of which had as their focal point the product rather than the process. The thinking-aloud method is introduced, and some of its strengths and

```
inadequacies explained. Chapter 1 also looks at some of the previous language-related applications of thinking aloud and concludes with a justification of its suitability for an examination of the post-editing process.
```

Chapter 2 is the first of three chapters dealing with syntactic changes made to the MT. The first involves active-to-passive changes, their occurrence and frequency, and the motivation for them, as discernible from the TAPs. Similarly, Chapter 3 discusses noun-to-verb changes, also enlarging upon the subject of automaticity in post-editing. Chapter 4 examines inter-TU changes, ie. those which are relevant for standards of textuality, focusing on cohesion, coherence and intertextuality.

Finally, Chapter 5 discusses the post-editing performance of the PPs and makes some recommendations on the basis of the conclusions drawn.

### 1.2 POST-EDITING OF MACHINE TRANSLATION

"The mystique of language and the thought processes that language involves preclude the elimination of the human element from any translation activity" [1].

This was the view of Ruffino, formulated as a result of his experience with the MT system Systran at the XEROX Corporation, New York. He concluded, in 1981, that machine translation is the "too early weaned child", and that its future success depended upon advances in the fields of cognitive psychology and anthropological linguistics. Neither of these fields was, in his opinion, sufficiently developed to provide us with information to program a machine to translate as the human translator [2]. Mortimer Taube, contrary to contemporary exponents of artificial intelligence, believed that:
"there was something special, ineffable, and exclusively human about translation. It's an intuitive thing...and no machine no computer - will ever be capable of human behaviour" [3].

The history of MT has shown that such views have been widely held. They have dispelled translators' fears of being ruthlessly replaced by the dreaded "thinking machine" and have had such dramatic consequences as the publication of the ALPAC Report in 1966. In this, it was concluded that, because the Georgetown University MT system "had to resort to post-editing", it was a failure:
"We do not have useful machine translation. Further, there is no immediate or predictable prospect of useful machine translation." [4]

Whether or not one believes that developments in the discipline of artificial intelligence could successfully produce MT systems which were, for example, self-learning and capable of simulating human translation, it must be acknowledged that operational and
commercially viable MT systems already exist. However, although there are perhaps limited applications for which "raw" (ie. neither pre- nor post-edited) machine output will gain acceptance, human intervention in the stages immediately preceding or following translation is, in most cases, desirable and often vital. BarHillel, in the 1950s, advocated "high quality translation by a machine-post-editor partnership" as the most fruitful area of future MT development [5]. In relation to Systran, Löffler-Laurian stresses that there are some formulations for which the machine will always fail to provide the correct or required equivalent, and:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { "c'est pourquoi la post-édition est toujours nécessaire }- \text { et } \\
& \text { le sera encore longtemps -. Sauf pour une information rapide, } \\
& \text { ponctuelle et éphémère, la traduction brute doit être post- } \\
& \text { éditée" [6]. }
\end{aligned}
$$

This corroborates Ruffino's remark about the necessity of the human element in machine translation. He explained that, at XEROX, most emphasis was placed on controlling the input through the use of a limited vocabulary and a set of writing rules, which together constituted Multinational Customised English. Indeed, there are other MT systems which also operate by imposing constraints on the lexis and/or on the syntactic structures of the input. This preediting seldom obviates the need for post-editing. However, many MT systems, and METAL is one of these, rely solely on post-editing as a means of ensuring that the MT will meet the requirements exacted of that translation, whether the primary consideration is accuracy, comprehensibility or style.

[^0]the output is expected" [7].
As outlined by Bennett and Slocum, two simultaneous goals must be achieved by an MT system:
"first, the system's output must be acceptable to the posteditor for the purpose of revision; second the cost of the total effort...must be less than the current alternative for like material - human translation followed by post-editing" [8].

Furthermore, the quality of METAL's translations is evaluated in accordance with the following definition of "correct":
"noted to be unchanged for morphological, syntactic, or semantic reasons, with respect to the original machine translation, after revision by professional post-editors is complete" [9].

This serves to underline the integral role played by post-editing in the production of a good-quality translation using the METAL MT system.

Both Slocum and Löffler-Laurian reason that human translation is generally post-edited or revised and that it is therefore normal to expect machine translation to undergo this procedure also.

Nonetheless, there appear to be marked differences between postediting machine translation and revising human translation.

Bennett and Slocum refer to some post-editors' preference for MT because they "can have more control over the result" - contrary to sentient humans, "the machine doesn't care" how radically its translation is modified. Therefore:
"judgements of 'correctness' or 'acceptability' by posteditors is [sic] likely to be more harsh when directed toward MT than when directed toward human translation" [10].

Löffler-Laurian emphasises that automatic translation is not an automatic means of producing a translation which is equivalent to one produced by a human translator, and she draws attention to MT's
"aspect a-sémantique ou a-sémiotique" [11]. If the product of MT neither aspires to nor attains equality with that of HT (human translation), the editing of one must be intrinsically different from that of the other. This point was also stressed by Bachrach based on reports of post-editors' experience at the ASLIB "Practical Experience of Machine Translation" Conference in 1981 [12]. Grammar mistakes, for example, are not usually made by humans (translating into their native language), whereas solecistic formulations are not uncommon in the case of an MT system, and indeed may occur consistently and repeatedly.

When Erwin Reifler first introduced the concept of the post-editor in 1950, he defined his/her role as being:
"to select the correct translation from the possiblities found by the computer dictionary and to rearrange the word order to suit the target language" [13].

Since then, the part played by the post-editor has frequently been described in terms of the errors occurring in the MT and warranting correction. Löffler-Laurian attempts to classify post-editing in this way and she categorises changes into:
"modifications ob1igatoires..., necessaires...et les modifications qu'on estime inutiles" [14].

Obligatory modifications apply to terminology, particularly where the MT system is unable to offer a TL equivalent and the term appears in its original form in the MT. Proper nouns which have been incorrectly translated as common nouns, and fixed idiomatic expressions or lexical items also require obligatory modification. So too do morphological errors concerning number, gender etc. Necessary modifications encompass changes which must be made, but
for which a variety of solutions exist. Finally, modifications which involve the replacement of one form with a synonymic or paraphrastic alternative are deemed unnecessary by Löffler-Laurian.

Roy Green, Principal Translator with the Commission of the European Communities, also endeavoured to classify MT errors with which post-editors were confronted. His Category I comprised minor errors. These were:
"blatant errors, easy to identify and easy to post-edit, particularly since only one or two words have to be deleted or supplied." [15]

More specifically, these included changes necessitated by the misuse or omission of the definite article, use of the wrong preposition or personal pronoun, or the wrong choice of translation when alternatives are possible. Category II covered major errors and Green listed as examples word-for-word translations of idiomatic expressions, errors which arose when the computer incorrectly identified a part of speech, and the inability to change active $S L$ verbs into the $T L$ passive. Errors in Category II were therefore "just more complex versions of Category I errors" [16].

Where errors of Categories I and II existed, post-editors had no doubts about the defectiveness of the MT and did not experience difficulties identifying the constituent(s) which required emendation. In contrast, Green designated Category III errors "Grey Areas", and these included:
"what might be termed 'doubtful translations and near misses'" [17].

He observed that, paradoxically, it was the post-editing of reasonably intelligent phrases, clauses or sentences of the MT which caused most trouble. The post-editor was faced with deciding whether to alter the MT and must then judge how extensive the modifications should be and if the MT should perhaps be disregarded and a new version produced

Bernard Lavorel conducted a survey of post-editing work undertaken by translators from the EC Commission's French translation section in Luxembourg. He assigned to four categories the error types encountered by him during his personal experience of post-editing. Beginning with the most serious and troublesome, the categories were as follows:
(1) errors concerning verb forms
(2) the mistranslation of prepositions
(3) the mistranslation of common idioms
(4) the consistent rendering of one lexical item by another whereas, in fact, use in different contexts required a variety of vocabulary.

He then summarised the experiences of six post-editing translators. The most significant difficulties they encountered (again in order of "troublesomeness") were as follows:
(1) Lack of common terminology:
"The machine does not use standard, well-known formulations. It does not use the correct expression for the context";
(2) A need for extensive rewriting. This elicited resentment from post-editors who felt that:
"The machine makes no effort to work out translation problems";
(3) The repetitive style of the MT. Post-editors felt that the MT style was forcing them to adopt a style alien to their own and it provoked comments like:
"The post-editor has no freedom of style."
(4) Miscellaneous problems. These included non-translated terms, spelling mistakes and incorrect inflection of nouns, adjectives and verbs. [18]

It is of significance that the first list constituted the author's categorisation of errors having had two years of post-editing experience. The six translators, on the other hand, post-edited over a relatively shorter period. This accounts for the latter's irritation when the MT's style was repetitive, or when the machine was incapable of producing commonly-used everday lexical items. According to Lavorel, they had not become sufficiently accustomed to dealing with MT in such a short period of time. Moreover, their reactions reflected the standards they would expect from human translation and continued, erroneously, to expect from machine translation.

With regard to repetitious style and post-editors' tolerance, Löffler-Laurian confirms Lavorel's opinion. She comments that this characteristic of MT, instead of exasperating the post-editor, should please him/her, since correction is thus facilitated and rendered more automatic or mechanic. The "réactions d'énervement" on the part of the post-editor occur because $s / h e$ :
"a oublié qu'il se trouve face a une production automatique, et il réagit comme s'il était face à un élève récalcitrant, refusant d'apprendre la leçon ou la règle" [19].

Ian Piggott, also of the Commission of the EC, has written about the importance of feedback from translators who post-edit machine translation. In his study he discovered that the most irritating phenomena for post-editors were the translation of proper nouns and
expressions, and errors of elementary style. Although not necessarily involving an increase in post-editing time, MT errors of this nature discouraged translators from making use of MT. He, too, found that:
"the more MT output a translator handles, the more proficient he becomes in making the best use of this new tool." [20]

As they become accustomed to processing MT, post-editors come to recognise typical MT errors and this can facilitate the devisal of more efficient ways of correcting these errors.

A discussion of the categorisation of specific MT lexical and syntactic errors requiring post-editing leads to the moot question of how extensive post-editing ought to be. Should the post-editor, in addition to resolving obvious grammatical and lexical incongruities, also devote time and attention to modifications of a more optional nature, the repercussions of which are primarily stylistic?

Much emphasis is placed on the importance, when translating, of considering text type and function, intention of the SL writer, and characteristics of the TL audience, and these are factors which are also relevant when post-editing. In addition, they have a bearing upon the extent to which post-editing is carried out. LöfflerLaurian deems the function of the text to be essential:
"Il convient de connaître l'usage qui doit être fait d'un texte pour le post-éditer de façon adéquate. C'est ce qu'on appelle l'adaptation. L'adaptation d'un document à sa fonction ou à sa finalité devrait se mesurer au degré de satisfaction de son utilisateur, de son lecteurconsommateur" [21].

She emphasises that the post-editing procedure must be adjusted to
suit the situation and intended readership. For example, a text, destined for publication, should be post-edited differently than the same text, were it required purely for informational value. With regard to Systran output, but presumably of potential wider application, two methods of post-editing are outlined. The first of these is conventional post-editing:
"Il s'agit d'une post-édition qui donne du texte à traduire la meilleure version possible, en prenant appui sur la traduction brute" [22].

The post-editor is to modify as much as s/he likes, with the aim of producing a text "non seulement correct mais aussi élégant", and resembling, as closely as possible, a version s/he would have produced without the intermediary of the MT.

The second post-editing technique described by Löffler-Laurian is rapid post-editing. Obviously taking less time, this method is based on the fundamental principle of keeping close to the MT and respecting its formulations. It aims to produce a correct and comprehensible translation for a reader whose primary concern is rapid receipt of information. She proposes the following general post-editing rules:
(1) "Respecter au maximum la traduction brute".

It is not necessary to make of the MT a text which resembles a human translation, or indeed an original text, and the MT is allowed to bear a label saying "translation".
(2) "Ne modifier que ce qui doit l'être imperativement".

If something is accurate and comprehensible it does not require modification.
(3) "Modifier de la façon la plus simple". Post-editors should be content to make simple changes if
these are sufficient.
(4) "Règle des '3 I' et des '3 C'"

The aim of post-editing is to convert what is "Infidèle et/ou Incorrect et/ou Incompréhensible" in the MT into a "Conforme et Correct et Compréhensible" form. [23]

However, in relation to rapid post-editing, Wagner in 1987 stressed that there was little demand in the Commission for this type of MT output and that, in general, clients required high-quality translations, ie. those produced by full or conventional postediting [24].

Green outlined the three major criteria for assessing MT accuracy, intelligibility and style, where "the ideal is a high standard on all three counts" [25]. However, he admitted that this was not always realistic, and gave accuracy precedence over intelligibility, particularly when the end-users were already familiar with the subject matter. Less importance is often attached to style than to speed and accuracy. Nonetheless, Green made the interesting point that not all post-editors are willing to "produce" translations which are stylistically inferior or unsatisfactory, irrespective of the customers' indifference to the presence or absence of elegance and polish in the translation they receive. This view is validated by Hutchins who states that, on the whole, users are more content with low quality texts than translators and post-editors, and that rapid post-editing was developed "in recognition that not all users want 'purple prose' versions" [26]. Moreover, Hutchins observes that, initially, posteditors tend to be overzealous in their correction of MT texts:
"They have to learn to edit without complete rewriting" [27].

The above remark by Hutchins alludes to one of the criteria which should be considered by the post-editor if post-editing is to be carried out successfully. The question of skills requirements for the purpose of post-editing is an important one, but unanimity is lacking in this regard.

Nirenburg, for example, claimed, as an important feature of the post-editing approach to MT , that the post-editor is not required to know the SL, nevertheless acknowledging that it is often necessary to resort to the $S T$ in order to edit a garbled MT [28]. Johnson and Whitelock described the task of post-editing as highlyskilled:
"the post-editor needs to be an expert in:

- the subject area
- the target language
- the text type
- contrastive knowledge" [29].

Sager also expressed the belief that the editing of MT requires a variety of skills and functions, and he highlighted the hitherto unverified assumption that:
"editing is suitably performed by translators specially trained for the job" [30].

Fournier, President of Lexi-Tech Inc., a Canadian integrated machine translation and electronic publishing firm, stated at the 1989 ASLIB "Translating and the Gomputer 11" Conference that candidates for editing positions at Lexi-Tech were given psychological tests in order to determine their suitability for a wholly automated environment [31]. Also at that conference,

Patrick Little discussed the use of METAL by Philips Kommunikations Industrie. He admitted that editing work there was not necessarily done by translators. Furthermore, upon acquisition of the MT system, Philips recruited a new team so that post-editors did not have to overcome the psychological barrier of working with machine output [32].

Piggott supplied an additional criterion to the post-editor's profile. He suggested that translators who post-edit:
"should be provided with full documentation on the system in natural language in order that they could play an active part in its improvement" [33].

In a discussion at the above-mentioned ASLIB "Practical Experience of Machine Translation" Conference, it was stressed that postediting constituted a separate skill from translating and that it took time to acquire this skill [34]. Since then, Wagner has tackled the notion of the ideal post-editor - should s/he be a translator, a revisor, a non-linguist, or a trained specialist? She decided that post-editors should possess the following qualifications:
"(1) excellent knowledge of the source language;
(2) perfect command of the target language ( $=$ mother tongue);
(3) specialised subject knowledge;
(4) WP expertise;
(5) tolerance." [35]

Wagner concluded, however, that although (1), (2) and (3) concur with qualifications required by translators and revisors, both of these can be rather intolerant of MT output. She suggested the employment of full-time post-editors, but stressed that this would only be a feasible option if organisations had better MT systems, a
small range of languages, a very narrow subject field and reduced translation standards [36]

Vasconcelles discussed the importance of word-processing skills in post-editing, with reference to SPANAM, the MT software of the Pan American Health Organisation [37]. Macros are used extensively to deal with recurrent constructions in the output, thus speeding up the post-editing process considerably. (SPANAM has, for example, a macro to change a definite article into an indefinite one, or to change "(the) N1 of (the) N2" into "N2 N1" or into the genitive "N2's N1".) She outlines what she terms "functional treatment of linguistic constructions", strongly defending the principle of changing the information structure of the MT as little as possible. This in turn means that SPANAM's post-editors must develop skills in finding solutions which leave the major pieces of information in the positions they occupied in the MT output. At SPANAM, nearly all post-editing is done by professional translators who are specially trained in post-editing techniques.

In conclusion, it would seem, firstly, that post-editing is generally classified in terms of the errors to be corrected. The classification of errors may be according to morphological, lexical, syntactic or semantic features. Alternatively, it may be based on the degree of change which the post-editor is required to make to the MT output. It should be noted here that I intend to examine the post-editing process primarily through the syntactic changes which are made the the MT text.

Secondly, although varying levels of post-editing are possible, it
is difficult for post-editing translators to produce inferiorquality output, and the number of clients prepared to accept this is limited. Consequently, most post-editing aims at the production of high-quality versions. It seems that, in general, translators are not convinced of the verity of Vasconcellos's remark that "post-editing gets to be more relaxing, and more fun, than translating from scratch" [38]. Yet, most post-editing is actually done by translators and they seem to possess the chief skills required. There is some dissention concerning the necessity of SL competence and a linguistic background for post-editing, and this is an issue to which $I$ will be returning at a later stage.

It is clear that comparatively little research has been conducted on post-editing techniques and the post-editing process.

As a result of this, the discussion of post-editing which took place at the "Practical Experience of Machine Translation" Conference ten years ago is still extremely relevant today. Then, it was emphasised:
"how little is known what post-editors actually do and what they contribute to the quality of finished translation" [39].

It is hoped that what follows will be a little enlightening in this regard.
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### 1.3 THE THINKING-ALOUD TECHNIQUE

Introspection:
"involves subjectively analyzing one's own thought processes, feelings, and sensations and extrapolating from them to the behavior of others" [1],
and constituted the fundamental method of psychological research in the late 19th century. However, it lost its pre-eminence in this sphere with the rise of behaviourism, only to be reassigned considerable status with the emergence of cognitive science or cognitivism.

Since psychology in the late 19 th century was primarily concerned with mental states and activities, introspection was regarded as an important scientific method and indeed may have been used excessively. At the beginning of this century, structuralism also favoured introspection, the proponents believing that introspections:
"could be as repeatable and measurable phenomena as overt behaviors, provided only that they are obtained under sufficiently rigorously controlled conditions" [2].

However, many psychologists decided that if a discipline were to be a science:
"its elements should be as observable as the physicist's cloud chamber or the chemist's flask" [3].

This point of view signified the temporary shelving of introspective studies, superseded by behaviourist stimulus-response theories, ie. by theories concerned with the observable facets of behaviour. During this period of behaviourist thinking, certain aspects of learning and cognition were virtually ignored, due to their prior and inevitable interrelation with introspectionism.

Language was one of these aspects and its abandonment formed the basis of the major criticisms levied at behaviourism on the occasion of the Hixon Symposium in 1948. Furthermore, Lashley, one of the dominant critics, asserted that:
"the problems raised by the organisation of language seem to me to be characteristic of almost all other cerebral activity" [4].

The need for a fresh approach was strongly felt, a need which was subsequently fulfilled by cognitive psychology. According to Gardner, the major accomplishment of this relatively new science has been:
"the clear demonstration of the validity of positing a level of mental representation: a set of constructs that can be invoked for the explanation of cognitive phenomena, ranging from visual perception to story comprehension" [5].

Thus, attention is focused on the:
"internal structures and processes which represent our knowledge of the world and how to deal with it" [6], and introspection is generally thought to serve a useful purpose here, particularly since developments in methodology have enhanced the verifiability of data.

Of course, there is controversy concerning the degree of efficacy of introspective methods, and arguments challenging their validity are not unfounded. Donald Norman outlines the general problems posed by the use of introspection:
(1) Our ability to communicate thoughts to another person is quite limited;
(2) Thought patterns are richer than can be conveyed in words;
(3) We do not really know just what operations one really has access to, or to what extent one is aware of one's own mental processes. [7]

Before discussing the significance of such arguments and the appropriateness of thinking aloud to examine post-editing, the distinction between various types of introspection should be made, since some are indeed less satisfactory than others.

The two forms of introspection which reflect cognitive processes most closely are concurrent and retrospective verbalisations. As the terms suggest, the former involves talking or thinking aloud in the course of executing a task:
"where the cognitive processes, described as successive states of heeded information, are verbalized directly" [8].

The second constitutes providing a report, just after a task has been completed, relating to the information heeded during the task and subsequently retrieved, usually from long term memory (LTM). This retrieval may include an element of selection or interpretation, and may therefore not be entirely consistent with the processes involved in the task. In thinking aloud, on the other hand, the information contained in the verbalisations is extracted from short term memory (STM), and there is thus less possibility of distortion.

Ericsson and Simon specify the three main criticisms of the validity of thinking-aloud reports:
(1) The Effect-of-Verbalisation Argument:
"giving verbalisations concurrently with the cognitive processes, or even knowing that one is to give retrospective reports after the experiment, changes the performance and hence the cognitive processes studied";
(2) The Incompleteness Argument:
"the subject may fail to verbalize a considerable part of the information that passes through his STM, or that he
uses in the task he is performing";
(3) The Epiphenomenality or Irrelevance Argument:
"the verbalisations may report an activity that occurs in parallel with, but independent of, the actual thought process, hence provides no reliable information about the latter". [9]

Ericsson and Simon refute these arguments, providing manifold proofs from a variety of introspective experiments to corroborate their standpoint. With respect to Argument 1, they point out that, in fact, the thinking-aloud activity is not entirely removed from human experiences in everyday life. They also make a distinction between verbalisations of Levels 1, 2 and 3. Level 1 involves the "vocalization of covert articulatory or oral encodings", in contrast to Level 2, which incorporates "description, or rather explication of the thought content". Finally, Level 3 verbalisation "requires the subject to explain his thought processes or thoughts" [10]. Ericsson and Simon review studies which compare the performance of tasks, both with and without the thinking-aloud instruction, and find that:
"the observable structure of cognitive processes is not affected significantly by the instruction to think aloud when the experimental conditions are consistent with the the criteria for Level 1 or 2 verbalizations" [11].

However, when subjects are told how to verbalise, ie. when asked to verbalise information they would not normally heed (Level 3 verbalisation), thought processes may indeed be influenced or changed.

Argument 2 possibly constitutes the most frequent objection raised to the veridicality, and hence reliability, of thinking-aloud reports. By explaining the human information processing model they
have adopted, Ericsson and Simon contend that thinking-aloud reports are based on information currently held in STM. They stress that some processes are automatic, that the intermediate steps of these processes are not contained in STM and that they therefore cannot appear in the thinking-aloud protocols (TAPs). Moreover, it is possible for information, once in STM, to remain unreported - if an intermediate result in a sequence of processes causes a direct execution of other processes that make full demands on STM, then the intermediate result may not be reflected in the verbalisations. Admitting the existence of these exceptions, Ericsson and Simon conclude that:
"the information that is heeded during performance of a task, is the information that is reportable; and the information that is reported is information that is heeded" [12].

The third criticism levied at thinking aloud was that these verbal reports are epiphenomenal, ie. without direct pertinence to the cognitive processes in operation. Again, by examining a wealth of experimental evidence, Ericsson and Simon find this to be untrue: "human subjects are not schizophrenic creatures who produce a stream of words, parallel but irrelevant to the cognitive task they are performing" [13].

In fact, their evidence confirms the notion that thinking-aloud protocols reveal what information is being heeded during the task. This information, in turn, provides an insight into the strategies being employed and the inferences being drawn in the course of the task performance.

Having outlined the various forms of introspection and the most important arguments for and against their use, I intend to
concentrate on the language-related applications of introspective methods. These began in the late seventies and gained in magnitude and popularity during the last decade. The focal point has been second language research. Various studies dealt with reading, writing, learning and communication strategies, lexical inferencing etc., and exploited various forms of introspection. Extensive research projects in the eighties employed thinking-aloud methods to further knowledge in the field of second language research through the medium of translation, while also providing valuable insights into the hitherto neglected translation process. The latter are of most relevance here and merit a brief outline.

Dechert and Sandrock attempted to reconstruct, with meticulous attention to temporal measurements, Sandrock's translation into German of a relatively unchallenging English-language text, and on the basis of this, drew conclusions about the translation process. With regard to the usefulness of the thinking-aloud method, Dechert asserts that if a process is "proceduralized", ie. automatised, it is not accessible for verbalisation, and introspection yields no information. If this is not the case, ie. if the activity or process is a "declarative" one:
"verbal protocols in connection with a careful study of temporal variables and speech errors...may open up our view into the inner workings of speech production" [14].

Pamela Gerloff instructed six native speakers of English to translate two short French-language texts into English, without the use of reference books. Gerloff chose a translation task because of the need, as she saw it, to examine the translation process, and in order to:
"unravel elusive questions about the nature of the cognitive operations that lie behind comprehension and production and the relationships that exist between these two processes" [15].

She subsequently focused on the unit of analysis used in translating the text, ranging from morphemic or syllabic analysis to discourse or group level analyses. Gerloff also endeavoured to categorise the text-processing strategies of language learners.

Hölscher and Möhle also used thinking-aloud protocols from seven subjects translating a French-language text into their native language, German. They analysed the planning processes occurring during translation which, in turn, allowed them:

> "insights into normally unobservable processing and, at the same time, into the proficiency level of learners, insights which could not have been obtained by analysing task results and ignoring underlying procedures" [16].

Lörscher used oral translation as the basis for his experiment, assuming that:
"this procedure reveals more aspects of the language production process, and thus the translation process, than would written translations" [17].

Fifteen subjects were asked to translate into their second language and, using the text produced and the data contained in the thinking-aloud protocols, Lörscher reconstructed strategies underlying the translation process.

Færch and Kasper combined introspection and translation to examine the simultaneous acquisition of two languages by one learner. They thus observed, for example, a more comprehensive knowledge of one language than of the other, and different degrees of activation of explicit rules or knowledge in both languages. They could explain
their observations in terms of the teaching traditions in the native country, differences in the linguistic rule systems of the two target languages, and disparities in the exposure of the learner to the two languages [18].

Krings conducted extensive studies on the translation process proper in order to discover "was in den Köpfen von Übersetzern vorgeht" [19]. From a total of eight subjects who were native speakers of German and advanced learners of French, he asked four to translate a German text into French, and four to translate a French text into German. The thinking-aloud protocols produced in the experiment underwent considerable analysis. He paid particular attention to translation problems, as reflected in the TAPs, and developed a model of the translation process based on the translation strategies employed, where the latter are defined as: "potentiell bewußte Pläne eines Übersetzers zur Lösung konkreter Übersetzungsprobleme im Rahmen einer konkreten Übersetzungsaufgabe" [20].

One of his preoccupations was with translational competence, as measurable through observation of translation strategies. Although he developed a model of the psycholinguistic process of translation, his subjects, like those of the studies already mentioned, were primarily second language learners and had prior experience of translation only "as a didactic method in foreign language learning and teaching", as distinct from "translation as an intermediating function". This is the differentiation made by Börsch [21]. (I would posit a third kind of translation; namely didactically oriented translation employed in the training of translators, rather than solely as a language exercise for second
language learners.)

Königs also examined the psycholinguistic aspect of translation into the native language using the thinking-aloud technique, and with the assistance of language learners who possessed varying degrees of foreign-language competence, and professional translators. He attempted to discover:
"was zwischen der Aufnahme des Ausgangstextes durch den Übersetzer und der Fertigstellung des Zieltextes - ebenfalls durch den Übersetzer - eigentlich passiert" [22],
and made a distinction between "Adhoc- und Rest-Block", the former involving the activation, on the part of the translator, of an individual, almost automatic one-to-one mental correspondence, and the latter encompassing everything not contained in the "AdhocBlock". Königs made suggestions for the future of "Übersetzungsdidaktik", recommending the promotion of both productoriented and process-oriented approaches to translation, and making proposals for translator training and the role of translation in foreign language teaching.

Hönig also conducted experiments using thinking-aloud and retrospective methods. He was not convinced of the usefulness of the thinking-aloud method to provide adequate information about the translation process and advocated its use only in conjunction with retrospective probing. He questioned the validity of some of the studies already mentioned, carried out with the help of subjects possessing little experience of translation. With particular reference to Krings's "Was in den Köpfen von Übersetzern vorgeht", Hönig asks:
"Ist jeder, der stimuliert wird, einen Text in eine andere

Sprache zu übertragen, schon ein Übersetzer? Müssen nicht vielmehr gewisse Einsichten in den Übersetzungsvorgang und bestimmte Techniken des Übersetzens exlernt sein, bevor die durch Intro- oder Retrospektion gewonnenen Daten Erkenntnisse über die mentalen Vorgänge beim Ubersetzen ermöglichen?" [23]. Some of the studies mentioned above are criticised for what Hönig sees as the underlying assumption - in his words, "Fiktion" - that there exists:
"'natürliches' und 'ursprüngliches' Übersetzen...,das durch Reflektion und Anwendung von Übersetzungsstrategien weniger 'echt' wird" [24].

Unlike Krings and Königs, who examined the translation process in order to reconstruct it in terms of translation strategies, Hönig believes that only if subjects already have translation strategies at their disposal, can their translation processes be accessed and analysed.

These are the most significant studies to date where thinking-aloud methods have been used in conjunction with translation to achieve various aims. For this thesis, the most important conclusion to be drawn from these is the generally recognised suitability (despite Hönig's objections) of this method to examine the translation process. On the basis of this, it can be concluded that the thinking-aloud technique can also be used to analyse the postediting process.

Krings highlights several reasons why the thinking-aloud method is suited to the investigation of the cognitive processes involved in translation, and his arguments can also be applied to the mental processes at work in post-editing. Ericsson and Simon's categorisation of verbalisations into Levels 1, 2 and 3 has already been mentioned. Krings claims that, because translating - and I
add, post-editing - are undeniably linguistic processes:
"verbalisations externalize linguistically-structured information and can normally do without an additional process of verbal encoding" [25].

They therefore belong to Level 1 - the type of verbalisation which, according to Ericsson and Simon, will not change the structure and course of the task processes, ie. of the translating or postediting processes. Krings also draws attention to the fact that the thinking-aloud technique:
"does not demand abstraction, selection or inference processes on the part of the subjects" [26].

It must be stressed that most of those who conducted the above experiments using the thinking-aloud method accepted that not all the mental processes underlying a particular task will be manifest in the TAPs. Evidence supports Krings's affirmance that:
"verbale Daten zwar einen Zugang zu den kognitiven
Prozessen ermöglichen, keineswegs aber direkte Abbildungen dieser Prozesse sind" [27]

Those who employed the thinking-aloud technique therefore concentrated upon the information which was available to them, rather than that which was not. Secondly, some of the conclusions about the cognitive processes associated with a task inevitably involve deduction or inference based on the data supplied by the TAPs. This examination of the post-editing process was carried out in recognition of these factors, and in the firm belief that thinking aloud constituted the most useful method at my disposal for this investigation. Finally, Greene and Cromer assert that:
"language is thought to serve an internal mediating function, allowing people to monitor and direct their thought processes and generalise them from one mode of thought to another" [28].

This point of view further corroborates the notion that thinkingaloud methods can be employed successfully to examine the previously neglected cognitive processes involved in post-editing an activity which, although differing from translation, is nonetheless a linguistic and language-processing task.
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### 1.4 THE EXPERIMENT - PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Aware of the need to examine the post-editing process and convinced of the appropriateness of thinking-aloud protocols to do this, I began preparations for the experiment. It was to be based on output produced by the METAL MT system, to which I had access at the Universität Hildesheim. I first selected a text upon which to found my investigations. It was necessary to use a text type which one would realistically expect to be machine-translated in commercial applications of MT systems such as METAL. I was also concerned with using a complete, authentic, German-language text of approximately 300 words; thus short enough to be post-edited in one session, and without - I hoped - participants becoming tired or restless; and long enough for the TAPs to bring a variety of issues to the surface. In view of these considerations I chose a "Gebrauchsanweisung" for the "Braun control-sensor toaster HT 55 Type 4104" (see Appendix A). It is not unrealistic to assume that texts of this nature are often translated by machine - indeed one only has to read some of the very suspect English-language operating instructions for various imported devices, gadgets, machines, etc., to be reassured of this.

After inputting the text prior to translation, I selected the order in which METAL should access its dictionaries to find equivalents; first Common Technical Vocabulary (CTV), and second Electronic Engineering (EE). METAL produced a list of unknown terms, for which I subsequently made dictionary entries. These were:
die Bräunung, der Doppelschlitz-Toaster, EWG,

```
funkentstört,
das Herunterdrücken,
hochschieben,
nächstniedrig,
toasten,
der Toaster,
die Oberflächentemperatur.
```

METAL also gave me a list of unknown compounds for which it offered default translation suggestions, compiled from its English-language equivalents of the individual components of the compounds. Since my preoccupation in the subsequent analysis was to be with syntax, I adopted Matthews's notion of lexical co-variance, according to which the choice of lexical item does not influence syntactic structure and is subject only to lexical collocation [1]. However, I deemed it necessary to make dictionary entries for some of these compounds, although I did not alter those terms, the MT translations of which I judged to be collocationally clumsy but perfectly comprehensible. Examples of these were:

| Erstgebrauch | $->$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| primary use, |  |
| Brotsorten | $->$ |
| bread sorts, |  |
| Krümelschublade $->$ | crumb compartment. |

The compounds which I entered into METAL's dictionaries are listed below, together with METAL's unacceptable default translations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Brotscheibe } & -> \\
\text { bread disk, } \\
\text { Brötchenaufsatz } & \rightarrow \text { roll superstructure, } \\
\text { Federbüge1 } & \rightarrow \\
\text { spring coat hanger. }
\end{array}
$$

Had this not been done, the MT of Translation Unit 24 , for example, would have read: "The roll superstructure has to lock with the spring coat hangers". By encasing "Braun" in brackets, I ensured that the maker's name would not be translated, thus avoiding generation of "the brown toaster".

Having completed the preliminary steps, I allowed the text to be
translated. METAL divides texts to be translated into Translation Units (TUs), the boundaries for which are full stops, colons and semi-colons. This text therefore comprised thirty-three TUs. I opted to give the participants both the ST and the MT, since I felt that this would correspond more closely to a real-life post-editing situation, and my aim was not to examine guessing strategies on the part of the post-editors. For this reason I used the "mix" output of the text, ie. each $T U$ of the source text immediately followed by the target-language translation for that TU (see Appendix B). The implications of this form of output will be discussed at a later stage.

I asked four people to participate in the project (PPs - project participants). All four were native speakers of English, but were chosen for their varied backgrounds in translation experience and German-1anguage competence.

PPs I and II were female students who had completed three years of a four-year degree course which aims to train translators. They had taken courses in specialised translation of a rather general nature, in the fields of economics and science/technology. They had also studied both of these subjects, as well as linguistics and literature, for two years, with general language classes incorporating "Landeskunde". In addition, they had completed a one-year course in terminology. German and French were their foreign languages, and both had spent nine months at a German university immediately prior to the experiment. They possessed no professional/commercial experience of translating.


#### Abstract

PP III was a male student of a technical translation "Diplom"course at a German university. He had been living in Germany for twenty years (ie. since he was twelve years of age), and his command of spoken and written German was flawless. He was approaching his final exams and had studied for six years, gaining varied experience of freelance translating during that time. The course he was completing involved highly specialised technical translation, with extensive background studies in a range of technical subjects, linguistics and terminological studies, "Landeskunde", and general language classes. The languages he was studying were English and French.


PP IV was a male lecturer at a German university and had lived and worked in Germany for a number of years. He taught English language and cultural studies and had some prior experience of professional translating. His knowledge of the German language was also excellent.

The experiments were carried out separately and individually. I explained to each of the four participants what thinking aloud entailed and they all completed a short practice session of approximately ten minutes' duration, working on a text similar to the one to be used in the experiment.

In the experiments proper, participants were seated at a table, upon which was placed a microphone. I sat in a corner of the room, not facing the participants, and with the recording machine within reach, so that $I$ could turn the cassettes when required.

The participants had dictionaries at their disposal:
Reference Book 1 M Collins English Dictionary,
RB 2 - Wahrig Deutsches Wörterbuch,
RB 3 - Collins German-English English-German Dictionary,
RB 4 . Wörterbuch der Technik Deutsch-Englisch, Verlag W. Girardet,
RB 5 . Wörterbuch der Technik Englisch-Deutsch, Verlag W. Girardet,
RB 6 - Roget's Thesaurus, Everyman edition, and participants' use of these during the experiment was noted.

Before receiving the text to be post-edited, participants were presented with written instructions informing them that they were about to be given a German-language ST with an English-language MT, and that their task would be to edit the MT, using the ST and aiming to produce a good written translation, thinking aloud throughout the experiment.

They were also informed that they should refrain from communicating with me during the experiment, and they were given opportunity to ask questions before the experiment began. They were told that they were free to use the reference books provided. Participants were also made aware that no time limit would be imposed, since it was more important that they finish their post-editing of the text.

When they were ready to begin, I gave them the text and began recording. At the top of the first page of the text was the additional instruction:
"Aim to produce a text which could be used in the instructions booklet accompanying the toaster"

Thus, if a distinction is made between translating or post-editing for publication on the one hand, and simply to convey general informational content on the other hand, the former was required in
this experiment.

When the four experiments had been completed, I transcribed the cassettes to produce thinking-aloud protocols or TAPs (see Appendix C). These took the form of a continuous stream or series of words, the only punctuation being question and exclamation marks. Mispronounced or half-uttered words and other speech errors were reproduced as accurately as possible. Passages which were completely unintelligible on the cassette were designated by a question mark enclosed in round brackets, and if a particular utterance was discernable but unclear, the utterance was preceded by a question mark and placed in round brackets to indicate that my interpretation may not be correct. Numbers were written in word form, and abbreviations, when verbalised as individual letters, were written as such. For example, when both letters "e" and "g" were enunciated rather than verbalising "for example", this appeared in the TAP as "E G". Participants' consultation of reference books was also recorded with the reference book number (ie. RB 2), the entry consulted, and page number(s) on which the entry was located.

Unfilled pauses, ie. silent pauses, were timed in seconds and tenths of seconds, and those longer than one second were noted in the TAPs. Thus, 3.5 in the transcript represents a pause of three-and-a-half seconds. Filled pauses (hm, em, aha, etc.) were assigned functions as follows:
$h m(r) \quad$ reflection/deliberation
hm(a) ■ agreement
hm(d) ■ disagreement
hm(am) ■ amusement

```
hm(s) . surprise
hm(u) ! understanding
hm(n) noticing/realisation
```

Other sounds were described in parentheses, eg. (laughs), (sighs), (yawns), etc., and when words were uttered slowly, syllable by syllable - as often occurred when participants were verbalising what they were simultaneously writing - they were denoted by hyphens between the syllables (eg. temp-er-a-ture). Cassette changes were also indicated in the TAPs.

The division of the post-editing process into three stages is adopted from Krings's division of the translation process. The "Hauptlauf" is the main editing phase, as distinct from the initial reading, note-taking, etc. ("Vorlauf"), and the final phase, where the generated text may be completed, corrected, changed, or simply read ("Nachlauf"). Processing which takes place during the Nachlauf is distinguished from that of the Hauptlauf when the processing of TUs are discussed in the following chapters.

The syntactic analysis of Chapters 2, 3, and 4 was effected by first comparing the versions produced by the participants - the PPVs - with the MT version (see Appendix D for a typed representation of the original PPVs). This enabled me to identify three major categories of syntactic change carried out by the PPs -active-to-passive, noun-to-verb, and inter-TU changes. The execution of a change is traced through the TAPs when the change has been made by at least two PPs, and the insights into the postediting process which are afforded by the TAPs are discussed.

In order to further facilitate this syntactic analysis described in
the following chapters, I assigned utterances to the following broad categories. It was not envisaged that these categories should constitute a complete reconstruction of all strategies and processes involved in post-editing. They merely permitted a clear, tabular representation of the processing of each translation unit:

| RD.MT | - reads from machine translation |
| :---: | :---: |
| RPT.MT | - repeats part of machine translation already read |
| CONT.RD.MT | - continues reading from machine translation |
| RD.STXT | ( reads from source text |
| RPT.STXT | - repeats part of source text already read |
| CONT.RD.STXT | - continues reading from source text |
| SUGG.TR. 1 | - makes first suggestion replacing/editing part of machine translation |
| RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | - repeats first suggestion replacing/editing part of machine translation |
| CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | continues the suggestion already made replacing/editing part of machine translation |
| SUGG.TR.2, 3, etc. | makes second, third, etc. suggestion replacing/editing part of machine translation |
| RD. RB | reads from reference book |
| RPT.RB | - repeats something previously read from reference book |
| CONT.RD.RB | - continues to read from reference book |
| COMM. | - makes comment not described by any of above categories |
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## 2. ACTIVE-TO-PASSIVE CHANGES

## 2. ACTIVE-TO-PASSIVE CHANGES

The table below provides an overview of the location, frequency and occurrence of active-to-passive changes. This is followed by an analysis of the TAPs for those TUs in which two or more PPs carried out these changes.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { LOCATION } \\ (\mathrm{TU}) \end{gathered}$ | FREQUENCY (NO. OF PPs) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OCCURRENCE } \\ & \text { (PPs) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 2 | III | IV |
| 6 | 1 |  | IV |
| 7 | 2 | I | IV |
| 8 | 1 |  | IV |
| 19 | 1 | I |  |
| 24 | 2 | I II |  |
| 26 | 4 | I II III | IV |
| 28 | 1 |  | IV |
| 29 | 1 | I |  |
| 31 | 1 | I |  |
| 32 | 1 |  | IV |

## TRANSLATION UNIT 26

ST: Das Einschalten erfolgt ebenfalls mit der Lifttaste
MT: Switching on also occurs with the lift key

Here all four PPs produced a sentence in the passive voice in their translations. The versions differ in that two PPs began their
sentence with an infinitival construction which has its semantic origins in the nominalised "switching on". They then continued their versions with a main passive clause, the subject of which is the English equivalent of "Lifttaste". The structure of the sentence is reversed in the other two versions, both of which place the "Lifttaste" equivalent in the topic slot.
"Erfolgen", according to Pape-Müller, when used with a nominalised form derived from a verb, constitutes a passive and has a corresponding active sentence. She used the following example to illustrate this point:
"Passiv: Die Aushändigung des Ausweises erfolgt durch die Polizei
Aktiv: Die Polizei händigt den Ausweis aus" [1].

Following from this, the ST of this TU would be a passive form with a corresponding active form: "die Lifttaste schaltet (den Toaster) ein". However, although correct from a purely syntactic point of view, this sentence is semantically inaccurate. It is therefore difficult to determine whether PPs may have considered this to be passive usage of "erfolgen". Nonetheless, the English MT is in the active voice and the versions produced by the participants are passive. Thus, a valid active-to-passive change has taken place.

PP I
PPV: Again to initiate this toasting process, the lift button must be pressed

STEP CATEGORY
UTTERANCE
PAUSE

1. COMM
2. RD.STXT
twenty-six
1.3
das Einschalten
1.6
3. RD.STXT erfolgt ebenfalls mis mit der

Lifttaste

| 4. | RD. MT | switching on also occurs with the lift key | 1.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. | RPT. STXT | erfolgt ebenfalls mit der Lifttaste |  |
| 6. | RPT.MT | switching on also occurs with the lift key | 2.1 |
| 7. | RPT. STXT | das Einschalten | 1.5 |
| 8. | RPT.MT | switching on also occurs with the lift key | 1.6 |
| 9. | RPT.STXT | das Einschalten | 3.6 |
| 10. | RPT.STXT | ebenfalls | 4.7 |
| 11 | COMM | okay switching on for this is alright | 4.9 |
| 12. | SUGG.TR. 1 | again switch on this process | 1.6 |
| 13. | SUGG.TR. 2 | again | 4.2 |
| 14. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | to initiate this | 2.6 |
| 15. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | toasting process | 2.3 |
| 16. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | toasting process | 2.2 |
| 17. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | the lift key | 1.5 |
| 18. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | lift key |  |
| 19. | SUGG.TR. 3 | lift button | 1.3 |
| 20. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | must be pressed | 7.6 |

Steps 2,7 and 9 are the same as are 4,6 and 8 , and Steps 15 and 16 are also identical. Gerloff deems this sort of repetition and retracing of text portions to be:
"strategic devices used to solve particular problems comprehending the original text or producing the translation" [2].

Krings, on the other hand, asserts that repetition of the ST or of the translation produced by the participant does not indicate the presence of problems or difficulties. Instead this activity is "Teil des übersetzerischen Regelvorgehens" [3]. Similarly, this activity constitutes a normal part of the post-editing process, as indicated by the TAPs. PP I, in particular, tends to repeat both the English and German versions of a TU or parts of a TU, sometimes several times, before proceeding to formulate her own version. She does this even when no difficulties have been encountered.

In this study I have adopted most of Krings's "Problemindikatoren"
[4]. His category of primary problem indicators comprises explicit
or implicit identification of a problem by the participant, the use of reference books, and omissions in the translation produced by the participant. The second category is a little more controversial, and the indicators most pertinent in this analysis of the post-editing TAPs are negative evaluations of the PPV, unfilled pauses, and paralinguistic features.

The infinitival construction in the final version began as an imperative in Step 12 and became infinitival in Step 14. The semantic component beginning the MT and this PP's version may therefore be considered the same, although the first is nominalised and the second verbal. This choice of infinitival phrase to begin the sentence has some relevance for the subsequent introduction of the passive in the final step (20). There is nothing to suggest that PP I chose to convert the active MT to a passive. On the contrary, the use of the passive form constitutes the most logical and syntactically acceptable method of completing this sentence. This fact may account for its introduction which occurs without hesitation (pause of 1.3 seconds) and in the absence of problem indicators as outlined above. PP I made no changes to her version of this TU in the Nachlauf phase.

PP II
PPV: The lift key can also be used to switch on the toaster

STEP CATEGORY

1. RD.MT switching on also occurs with the lift key
2. RPT.MT switching on em(r) --you can also switch on you
5.3

PAUSE

-     -         - 

2.3

| 6. SUGG.TR. 2 | the lift key can also switch on the |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | toaster |

PP II has not audibly referred to the $S T$ and has required considerably fewer steps and less time in order to edit the MT of this TU.

The progression from active to passive takes place in a very different manner here. In contrast to the case of PP $I$, the use of the passive has not been predetermined by the introduction of an infinitival phrase to begin the sentence. Of interest here is the fact that PP II also attempts to begin her version with the same semantic component as PP I, ie. "switching on ... occurs", corresponding to the German nominalisation "das Einschalten erfolgt". This she does in Step 4 with her first translation suggestion, an active modal, the subject of which is "you", ie. the reader. This is closely followed by another suggestion which is also active but with a different subject, namely "the lift key". Having apparently found the subject with which she wishes to begin her TU, PP II has no option but to use the passive, since the second suggestion - like the corresponding German sentence which figured briefly in the discussion of the passive nature of "erfolgen" - although grammatically acceptable, lacks semantic credibility. Therefore, after some hesitation (9.2 seconds), she finally makes her third suggestion, in the passive - one which is then adhered to during the Nachlauf phase. Thus the semantic ordering of the sentence is no longer the same as that of PP I's version or of the first translation suggested by PP II. By
choosing "the lift key" to occupy the position of topic in her version, PP II, too, made the subsequent use of the passive almost inevitable. It may be noted at this point that PP II exhibits a tendency to avoid using the passive, and in many cases seems to seek recourse to this form only if no other option is available.

```
PP III
```

PPV: The lift button is also used to switch on the toaster
STEP CATEGORY UTTERANCE PAUSE

| 1 | RD.STXT | das Einschalten erfolgt ebenfalls mit der Lifttaste |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | RD.MT | switching on also occurs with the lift key |  |
| 3 | COMM. | no I'd make a passive out of this em(r) | 6.6 |
| 4. | COMM. | eh(r) no | 1.4 |
| 5. | SUGG.TR. 1 | the lift | 2.1 |
| 6. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | key | 1.0 |
| 7. | SUGG.TR. 2 | switch | --- |
| 8. | SUGG.TR. 3 | button | --- |

9. RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 the lift 1.1
10. COMM. no lift button sounds like a

Fahrstuhl 1.8
11. RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 the lift .--
12. COMM. ah I'll have to put key just now I
think I'll have to look up Taste 9.0
13. RD.RB Taste push-button key key press button signalling key
key I always think of a little piano or a something on a typewriter 1.3
15. RPT.SUGG.TR.1 the lift 1.2
16. RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 key
-..
17. COMM. I'm going to call it a lift button I don't care if it sounds like a Fahrstuhl
1.3
18. RPT.SUGG.TR.1 the lift 2.4
19. CONT.SUGG.TR. 3 button is 1.9
20. CONT.SUGG.TR. 3 also 1.5
21. CONT.SUGG.TR. 3 used to switch on the toaster 7.0
22. RPT.SUGG.TR.3 it's also used to switch on the toaster
1.4

PP III, unlike other participants, anmnounces his decision to
passivise (Step 3). He gives no further explanation as to what has motivated his decision. He may perhaps be drawing on some knowledge about the linguistic forms of this text type. Alternatively he may feel that the English equivalent of "Lifttaste" should become the subject and topic of the sentence, and realises that this would be the effect achieved by using the passive. His version remains unchanged in the Nachlauf.

PP IV
PPV: To start toasting the lift key should be depressed as in normal operation

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM. | number twenty-six | 2.2 |
| 2. | RD. STXT | das Einschalten erfolgt ebenfalls mit der Lifttaste |  |
| 3. | COMM. | oh yeh | 1.6 |
| 4. | COMM. | em(r) | 5.5 |
| 5. | SUGG.TR. 1 | the | 3.5 |
| 6. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | 1ift | 1.7 |
| 7 | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | key | 1.1 |
| 8. | COMM. | or whatever it was I said before | 2.5 |
| 9. | COMM. | see if I can find that quickly what I said before | 7.0 |
| 10. | COMM. | so I did use lift key before hm(r) | 1.1 |
| 11. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | the lift key | 2.9 |
| 12. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | should be | 1.9 |
| 13. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | depressed | 4.6 |
| 14. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | the lift key should be depressed | 8.3 |
| 15. | SUGG.TR. 2 | to start? | --- |
| 16. | COMM. | yes |  |
| 17. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | to start toasting | 4.7 |
| 18. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | the lift key should be depressed | 1.6 |
| 19. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | as in | 1.6 |
| 20. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | normal | 1.7 |
| 21. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | operation | 2.5 |

PP IV exhibits some indecision with regard to the choice of an English-language equivalent of "Lifttaste" but initially begins his version of the $T U$ with this. This choice of subject/topic necessitates use of the passive, which is therefore introduced in

Step 12 without hesitation. No alternative verbal construction is offered, since none is both syntactically and semantically possible. Although PP IV later (ie. in Step 17) decides to place the infinitival phrase at the head of the $T U$ and to add some additional information, presumably to make his version more comprehensible, the passive nevertheless remains.

In summary, PP III was the only participant who expressed awareness of the nature of the syntactic change he was carrying out but the TAP does not reveal why this conscious decision was made. In the cases of the other PPs, there is no evidence of deliberate use of the passive. In fact, the selection of the semantic element to be topicalised governed the further syntactic development of the $T U$, and hence the introduction of the passive.

Due to the fact that participants were not wholly preoccupied with the syntactic aspect of the TU but were also attempting to correct and replace lexical items in the $M T$, it is not possible to refer to the following temporal statistics as accurately reflecting the times taken by PPs to execute the active-passive change. However they are undoubtedly of relevance in considering the general behaviour of participants and the speed with which they process and post-edit the MT and I am therefore presenting overleaf the total pause time, the number of pauses and the average pause length for this TU.

| PP | TOTAL PAUSE TIME | NO. OF PAUSES | AVERAGE PAUSE LENGTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 45.2 sec . | 17 | 2.6 sec . |
| II | 29.9 sec . | 5 | 6.0 sec. |
| III | 41.0 sec . | 15 | 2.7 sec . |
| IV | 56.0 sec . | 18 | 3.1 sec . |

Although emphasis has been given to the fact that conclusions may not be drawn on the basis of these values alone, they may constitute a source of further information when viewed and evaluated in conjunction with the content of the TAPs. It can be seen, for example, that PP II's average pause length is twice that of the other participants and this may be an indication of the uncertainty which was displayed only by PP II in the search for a suitable verbal construction. PPs III and IV were confronted with other difficulties in this $T U$, namely finding a lexical equivalent for "Lifttaste", and in spite of this, their TAPs display relatively short pause lengths.

## TRANSLATION UNIT 5

ST: Der Braun Toaster läßt sich nur bei eingerasteter Krümelschublade betreiben

MT: The Braun toaster lets only operate in the case of locked crumb compartment

The ST of this TU is, according to Pape-Müller, a "recessive" passive, usually used in German to designate characteristics rather than actions. She defines these forms as:
"grammatische Passivprädikate, bei denen die konvertierte aktivische Nominativergänzung nicht ausgedrückt werden kann" [5].
(The corresponding active sentence for this TU would be "man kann den Toaster nur bei eingerasteter Krümelschublade betreiben.) The passivity of this $T U$ is however not recognised by METAL, and the MT is therefore an active-voice ungrammatical sentence. Two PPs chose to convert this into a sentence in the active voice and the translations produced by the other two PPs are passive. It may be useful to examine the TAPs of all four PPs for this TU in order to ascertain if possible, why one or other alternative was selected. PPs III and IV opted for passive, PPs I and II for active forms.

PPV: The Braun toaster can only be operated when the crumb compartment is locked

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | COMM. | five | 1.2 |
| 2. | RD.STXT | der Braun Toaster laßt sich nur eingerasteter Krümelschublade? | 2.1 |
| 3. | RD.MT | Braun to lets only operate |  |
| 4 | COMM. | ahah(n) that's eh(r) | 2.0 |
| 5 | CONT. COMM | German grammar they've got in here | 1.3 |
| 6 | SUGG.TR. 1 | Braun | 3.1 |
| 7. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | toaster | 1.4 |
| 8. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | can only be operated |  |
| 9. | COMM. | of course it would have to be | 2.9 |
| 10. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | Braun toaster | 1.5 |
| 11. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | can only be operated | 3.3 |
| 12. | COMM. | and |  |
| 13. | RD.MT | in the case of | -- |
| 14. | COMM. | ahah( $n$ )! that was the problem with the bei which you can't usually do very well in English literally anyway |  |
| 15. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | the Braun operating | --- |
| 16. | COMM. | eh(r) |  |
| 17. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | toaster can only be operated | 1.0 |
| 18. | COMM. | I would just say when the crumb compartment if that's what you want to call it | 1.0 |
| 19. | COMM. | crumb drawer would sound too stupid | -- - |

```
20. CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 when the crumb compartment is
21. COMM. well
22. CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 locked ---
23. COMM. for eingerastet 1.5
24. COMM. yeh that would be okay 3.3
```

PP III shows from Steps 4 and 5 that he is aware that the English version is a literal rendering of the German. Steps 8 and 9, the suggested passive and comment ("of course it would have to be"), together with the lack of deliberation indicate an awareness on the part of PP III of the passive nature of "sich betreiben lassen" and its correspondence to a modal passive construction in English

This is the only verbal construction suggested here and does not undergo change in the final correction phase.

PP IV
PPV: The toaster can only be operated after the crumb compartment has been closed

STEP CATEGORY

1. COMM
2. RD.STXT
3. RD.MT
4. COMM
5. CONT.RD.MT
6. COMM.
7. COMM.
8. SUGG.TR. 1
9. COMM.

UTTERANCE
number five
bei eingerasteter Krümelschublade betreiben
the Braun toaster
oh God!
lets only operate in the case of locked crumb compartment so this has obviously got to be changed
em( $r$ )
the toaster
2.5

Bered
I've left out Braun because I always think that this is extraneous anyway re keeping repeating the maker's name --. the toaster can only be 3.2
11. CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 operated instead of lets 2.4 em(r) 5.1
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 13. COMM. } & \text { em(r) } & 5.1 \\ \text { 14. CONT.SUGG.TR. } 1 & \text { after } & 2.0\end{array}$
15. CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 the 1.7
16. CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 crumb 2.3
17. COMM. em(r) well 1.1
18. CONT.SUGG.TR. compartment 2.3
1.3
-. -
--
-- -
1.4
1.1
10. CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 the toaster can only be
-
1

PAUSE

| 19. | COMM. | I suppose that's alright it's a bit unusual but on the other hand it depends what it's like and I haven't got a picture of the damned thing so I don't know really whether it is a compartment or a drawer | 2.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | the Braun toaster can only be operated after the crumb compartment | 1.4 |
| 21. | COMM. | em(r) | 1.0 |
| 22. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | after the crumb compartment | 1.6 |
| 23. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | has | 1.0 |
| 24. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | been | 1.3 |
| 25. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | closed |  |
| 26. | COMM. | I prefer to locked locked is a bit sort of exaggerated | 1.2 |

PP IV proceeds in a similar manner to PP III. The ungrammaticality of the MT elicits the exclamation of Step 4 and the comment in Step 6. Steps 10 and 11 see the introduction of the passive which the participant proposes to replace "lets" (Step 12), thus opting to keep the passive of the $S T$ in his version. Again this may reflect an awareness of the correspondence of the "sich + infinitive + lassen" construction with an English modal passive construction.

PP I

PPV: The Braun toaster only operates when the crumb compartment is locked

STEP CATEGORY
UTTERANCE
PAUSE

Hauptlauf

| 1 | RD. STXT | der Braun Toaster läßt sich ein | 1.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | RD. STXT | eingerast Krümelschublade betreiben | 1.5 |
| 3. | RD. MT | lets only operate in the case of locked crumb compartment |  |
| 4. | RPT.MT | lets only operate |  |
| 5. | COMM. | that's wrong | 20.3 |
| 6. | COMM. | puh! |  |
| 7. | RPT.STXT | der Braun läßt sich |  |
| 8. | RPT.MT | the Braun toaster lets only operate in the case of locked crumb compartment |  |
| 9. | COMM. | God! | 1.0 |

2. RD.STXT eingerast Krümelschublade betreiben 1.5
3. RD.MT lets only operate in the case of
locked crumb compartment ---
lets only operate ---
that's wrong 20.3
der Braun lảßt sich -.-
the Braun toaster lets only operate
in the case of locked crumb
compartment
the Braun toaster
1.0
-..

| 11. | RPT. STXT | 1äßt sich | 2.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | only operates |  |
| 13. | RPT. STXT | nur bei eingerasteter Krümelschublade | 4.6 |
| 14. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | Braun toaster | 6.9 |
| 15. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | only operates | 3.0 |
| 16. | RPT.MT | in the case of locked crumb compartment |  |
| 17. | COMM. | $\mathrm{hmhm}(\mathrm{r})$ | 10.0 |
| 18. | RPT.STXT | eingerasteter | 47.3 |
| 19. | RPT.STXT | eingerasteter | -- |
| 20. | RD.RB | einrasten | 1.5 |
| 21. | RD.RB | to engage | 1.7 |
| 22. | RPT.MT | lets only operate in the case of locked crumb | 4.4 |
| 23. | SUGG.TR. 2 | the Braun toaster operates only | 2.5 |
| 24. | RPT.MT | in the case | 2.3 |
| 25. | RPT.STXT | eingerasteter | 7.2 |
| 26. | COMM. | hmhm ( r ) | 5.2 |
| 27. | RPT.MT | the Braun toaster | 7.8 |
| 28. | RPT.MT | locked | 8.4 |
| 29. | RPT.STXT | Krümelschublade | 13.8 |
| 30. | COMM. | $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ come back to it |  |

Nachlauf

| 1. | COMM. | five |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | RD.MT | the Braun toaster | 1.9 |
| 3. | RD.STXT | der Braun Toaster läßt sich nur bei eingerast betreiben |  |
| 4. | RD. MT | the Braun toaster lets only operate in the case of locked crumb compartment | 1.7 |
| 5. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | the Braun toaster only operates | 7.3 |
| 6 | RPT.STXT | bei eingerasteter Krümelschublade | 1.7 |
| 7 | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | the Braun toaster only operates | 6.2 |
| 8 | RPT.SUGG.TR.1 | Braun toaster only operates | 8.5 |
| 9 | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | when | 2.0 |
| 10. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | the crumb compartment | 9.4 |
| 11. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | is locked | 1.9 |
| 12. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | the Braun toaster only operates when the crumb compartment is |  |
|  |  | locked | 5.9 |
| 13. | COMM. | hm (r) | 6.3 |
| 14. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | operates when the crumb compartment |  |
| 15. | COMM. | hm (a) | 1.4 |
| 16. | SUGG.TR. 2 | is fixed |  |
| 17. | COMM. | no | 4.3 |
| 18. | COMM. | fixed wouldn't be good there |  |
| 19. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | is | 5.5 |
| 20. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | Braun toaster only operates when the crumb compartment is | 9.9 |
| 21. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | Braun toaster only operates when the crumb com | 6.2 |
| 22. | COMM. | maybe it is locked I can't think of anything else | 2.4 |

In Steps 3 and 4 PP I reads the MT and in Step 5 deems "lets only operate" to be incorrect. The long pause after Step 5 and the exclamations of Steps 6 and 9 may be indicative of comprehension difficulties. After short deliberation an active verbal construction is introduced in Step 12. The longer pause (6.9 seconds) preceding repetition of "only operates" in Step 15 may correspond to uncertainty about her translation. However, apart from a slight variation of "operates only" in Step 23 which subsequently disappears, PP I does not change this version, despite a further 22 steps dealing with this TU in the Nachlauf. Here the nominalised "bei eingerasteter Krümelschublade" proves to be rather problematic and is discussed in the following chapter dealing with noun-to-verb changes.

PP II

PPV: The Braun toaster only works when the crumb compartment is locked

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | RD. STXT | der Braun To Braun Toaster läßt sich | 1.5 |
| 2. | RD. MT | the Braun toaster lets only operate in the case of locked | 1.2 |
| 3. | RD. MT | crumb compartment? | 2.7 |
| 4. | COMM. | $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ doesn't make sense direct translation from the German? | 2.3 |
| 5. | COMM. | you don't need the lets |  |
| 6. | SUGG.TR. 1 | the Braun toaster | 9.7 |
| 7. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | the Braun toaster operates | 1.6 |
| 8. | RPT.STXT | bei eingerasteter Krümelschublade | 6.0 |
| 9. | SUGG.TR. 2 | the Braun toaster only works when | 2.0 |
| 10. | RPT.MT | in the case of locked crumb compartment | -- - |
| 11. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | when the crumb compartment is locked? | --- |
| 12. | RPT. STXT | eingerasteter | 13.2 |
| 13. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | when the | 2.5 |


| 14. | COMM. | oops! |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 15. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | is locked |
| 16. | COMM. | oops! |
| 17. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | crumb compartment is locked |
| 18. | COMM. | just look up einrasten |
| 19. | COMM. | hm(r) |
| 20. | RD.RB | to engage |
| 21. | COMM. | em(r) |
| 22. | RPT.STXT | bei eingerasteter Krümelschublade |
| 23. | SUGG.TR.3 | engaged |
| 24. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | locked |

PP II in Step 4 also acknowledges the ungrammaticality of the MT. However, in contrast to PP IV who introduced a version "instead of lets", thus presumably recognising the origin and significance of the "lets", PP II decides "you don't need the lets" (Step 5) and consequently discards it. This move, combined with the pause before Step 7 (9.7 seconds) would suggest a lack of understanding of the recessive passive construction in the ST. In spite of this, PP II appears to infer the overall meaning of the $T U$ and, although perhaps not producing a stylistically high-quality version, succeeds in rendering this overall meaning accurately. Her suggestion of Step 7 is an active version. In Step 9 the verb "work" is substituted for "operate".

| PP | TOTAL PAUSE TIME | NO. OF PAUSES | AVERAGE PAUSE LENGTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 234.7 sec. | 37 | 6.3 sec . |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | (153.2 Hauptlauf) | (20) | (7.7) |
|  | (81.5 Nachlauf) | (17) | (4.8) |
| II | 104.5 sec. | 19 | 5.5 sec . |
| III | 25.6 sec . | 13 | 2.0 sec . |
| IV | 33.9 sec . | 18 | 1.9 sec . |

Due to the fact that "bei eingerasteter Krümelschublade" (in the case of locked crumb compartment) was slightly problematic in this TU, the time factors may not correspond directly to the presence or absence of problems in post-editing the MT of "laßt sich betreiben". Nevertheless, there is a remarkable disparity in the total pause times of PPs I and II and those of PPs III and IV. This, in my opinion mirrors to some extent a lack of comprehension or recognition of the German "reflexive infinitive + lassen" form, on the part of PPs I and II, and the resulting related inability to to convert this to what may be considered its counterpart or corresponding construction in English, ie. a modal passive.

## TRANSLATION UNIT 7

ST: Sie können alle Brotsorten toasten
MT: You can toast all bread sorts

This is a TU which is in the active voice in both the $S T$ and the MT. Moreover, the MT may be considered syntactically correct (the rendering of "Brotsorten" being a purely lexical issue). In spite of the syntactic correctness of "you can toast all...", two PPs (I and IV) chose to use the passive in their translations whereas the other two PPs (II and III) seemed satisfied with the active formulation.

PP I
PPV: All types of bread may be toasted

STEP CATEGORY UTTERANCE
PAUSE

1. COMM. okay number seven 2.3
2. RD.STXT Sie können alle
-..

| 3. | COMM. | hm (r) | .-- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 4. | RD.MT | you can toast all bread sorts | 1.1 |
| 5. RPT.STXT | Sie können alle | 5.1 |  |
| 6. | SUGG.TR.1 | all types of bread may be toasted | 10.5 |

PP I deals with this TU quite quickly (as indeed do all of the PPs). Her initial and primary concern may be seen to be the translation of "Brotsorten" and this is probably the reason for the pause of 5.1 seconds before Step 6. She reformulates the TU (Step 6), placing "all types of bread" in the topic position and using the passive. This may constitute a natural progression from the concentration of efforts on finding an English equivalent of this lexical item, for which METAL has offered an unacceptable translation. PP I neither repeats nor calls into question the quality of her version, but continues with the next TU. No changes were made in the Nachlauf phase.

PP IV
PPV: All sorts of bread can be toasted in this model

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM. | right number seven | --- |
| 2. | RD.STXT | Sie können alle Brotsorten toasten | -- - |
| 3. | RD. MT | you can toast all bread sorts |  |
| 4. | COMM. | so | 1.7 |
| 5. | COMM. | em(r) probably it would be the other way round in English | 1.3 |
| 6. | SUGG.TR. 1 | all sorts | 1.2 |
| 7. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | of bread | 2.4 |
| 8. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | can | 1.8 |
| 9. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | be | 1.8 |
| 10. | COMM. | em(r) | --- |
| 11. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | toasted | 2.3 |
| 12. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | in this model | 2.3 |

PP IV, in contrast to PP I, clarifies his choice of the passive version in Step 5: "probably it would be the other way round in

English". This comment illustrates that his choice here was not prompted by a "passive-in preference-to-active" motive but rather by some, possibly unconscious, awareness of thematic structure and text type.

Beedham insists that the passive is not motivated by a rule of topicalisation which makes the object of an active sentence topic by bringing it to the front of the sentence and turning it into a grammatical subject [6]. He quotes statistical studies by Schoenthal and Uhlírová to illustrate the tautologous nature of the supposition that subjectivisation is equivalent to topicalisation [7]. In Schoenthal's selected corpus, one third of the subjects of passive sentences were non-thematic and in Uhlirova's case only 50\% of the subjects were topics. However, Stein maintains that:
"the passive is an information focus switching device in English" [8].

Quirk et al. state that one of the passive's communicative values in English is to promote textual cohesion. By keeping the thematic elements of consecutive sentences in a text as identical as possible, tighter textual cohesion may be achieved. The passive permits this while keeping the case relations of nouns/noun phrases intact [9]. The relevance of syntactic changes for the textual features of cohesion and coherence, and the PPs' awareness of text type (or indeed lack of this) will be discussed in Chapter 4.

Despite the controversy surrounding the notion of passive usage being motivated by topicalisation, it cannot be denied that PP IV has chosen the passive in this $T U$, not for its own sake, ie. as an alternative to an active-voice sentence, but because he wished to
change the ordering of elements in the sentence. In this way he brought the English equivalent of "Brotsorten" to the beginning so that this then became the apparent subject or "pseudosubject" of the sentence and, arguably, the topic.

## PP II

PPV: You can toast all types of bread

| STEP CATEGORY | UTTERANGE | PAUSE |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1. | RD.MT | you can toast all bread sorts | 3.5 |
| 2. | RPT.MT | all bread sorts? | $\ldots$. |
| 3. | SUGG.TR.1 | all types of bread | 11.8 |

PP III

PPV: You can toast all kinds of bread

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM. | number seven |  |
| 2. | RD. STXT | Sie künnen alle Brotsorten toasten | - - |
| 3. | RD.MT | you can toast all bread sorts | --- |
| 4. | COMM. | haha (am) | 1.2 |
| 5. | SUGG.TR. 1 | you can toast | 1.2 |
| 6. | COMM. | eh(r) | 2.7 |
| 7. | COMM. | I think we'd just say | -- |
| 8. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | all types of bread | --- |
| 9. | COMM. | or | --- |
| 10 | SUGG.TR. 2 | all kinds of bread | 6.8 |

PPs II and III did not consider changing either constituent ordering or voice in their versions, completing their post-editing of the $T U$ in three and ten steps respectively. It could be posited that PP II was unlikely to change a syntactically and sematically correct active sentence into a passive one when she apparently has personal preference for active forms. PP III suggests two possible translations for "Brotsorten" but exhibits no doubts as to the acceptability of the active formulation of the MT.

The marked difference below in the figures for PP IV and those for the other PPs occurs as a result of differences in text-processing techniques. PPs I, II and III (PP III possibly to a lesser extent) formulated their versions before proceeding to write them down, whereas the verbalisation and writing of PP IV's final version took place concurrently, hence the large number of short pauses. The temporal variables for this $T U$ are as follows:


## TRANSLATION UNIT 24

ST: Der Brötchenaufsatz muß mit den Federbügeln einrasten
MT: The grid for rolls has to lock with the spring clamps

This, like TU 7, is active in both the $S T$ and the $M T$, and once again two PPs (this time $I$ and II) used the passive in their translations, whereas III and IV adopted the active construction used in the MT.

PP I
PPV: The grid for rolls has to be connected with the spring clamps

| STEP CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 . ~ C O M M . ~$ | twenty-four | 2.0 |


| 2. | RD. STXT | der Brötch der Brötchenaufsatz muß mit den Federbügeln einrasten | 1.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. | RD. MT | the grid for rolls has to lock up | 1.3 |
| 4. | RD.MT | has to lock with the spring clamps | 2.7 |
| 5. | COMM. | oh dear | 7.4 |
| 6. | RPT.STXT | der Brötchenaufsatz | 1.0 |
| 7. | COMM. | aufsetzen | 1.7 |
| 8. | RPT.STXT | muß mit den Federbügeln einrasten | 3.6 |
| 9. | COMM. | einrasten is to engage isn't that what I said? | 7.2 |
| 10. | RPT.STXT | der Brötchenaufsatz muß mit den Federbügeln einrasten | 9.6 |
| 11. | RPT.MT | the grid for rolls has to lock | 1.1 |
| 12. | RPT.MT | with the spring clamps | 3.8 |
| 13. | COMM. | Aufsatz | 2.2 |
| 14 | RPT.MT | spring clamps? | 11.6 |
| 15 | RPT.STXT | Brötchenaufsatz | 27.3 |
| 16 | COMM. | let's see | --- |
| 17. | RD.RB | composition | 1.5 |
| 18. | CONT.RD.RB | top or upper part attachment | 11.4 |
| 19. | COMM. | hm(r) | -- |
| 20. | RPT.STXT | der Brötchenaufsatz | 3.4 |
| 21. | RPT.RD.RB | (? composition) | 1.9 |
| 22. | RPT.STXT | einrasten | 2.1 |
| 23. | RPT.STXT | Federbüge1n | --- |
| 24 | RPT.MT | the spring clamps | 22.8 |
| 25. | RD. RB | feather quill | 1.9 |
| 26. | CONT.RD.RB | quill spring | 3.9 |
| 27. | COMM. | Bügel | 17.3 |
| 28. | RD.RB | Büge1 | 3.1 |
| 29. | RD.RB | coat-hanger stirrup | 1.5 |
| 30. | CONT.RD.RB | bow collector | 3.2 |
| 31. | CONT.RD.RB | clamp | 2.1 |
| 32. | COMM. | hm(r) isn't much help to me | 3.8 |
| 33. | RPT.MT | the grid for rolls | 2.8 |
| 34. | COMM. | (sighs) | 2.8 |
| 35. | RPT.STXT | einrasten | 2.6 |
| 36. | COMM. | (sighs) oh I don't know what they | 2.8 |
| 37. | RPT.MT | the grid for rolls | 5.3 |
| 38. | RPT.MT | the grid | 2.6 |
| 39. | SUGG.TR. 1 | the section of the toaster | 1.8 |
| 40. | SUGG.TR. 2 | the grid | 2.5 |
| 41. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | grid for rolls | 1.3 |
| 42. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | has to lock | --. |
| 43. | SUGG.TR. 3 | has to be connected with the spring clamps | 2.3 |
| 44. | COMM. | (sighs) | 2.0 |
| 45. | RPT.MT | grid rolls | --- |
| 46. | COMM. | I don't know what this means rolls are $r$ o 1 s yes bread rolls |  |
| 47. | SUGG.TR. 4 | bread rolls | 4.2 |
| 48. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 4 | has to be connected | 6.9 |
| 49. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 4 | with the spring | 1.8 |
| 50. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 4 | clamps | 3.1 |

The processing of this TU by PP I is rather long, comprising 50 steps including two reference-book consultations and much deliberation about English equivalents for Brötchenaufsatz and Federbügeln. In Step 43, the passive "has to be connected with" immediately follows the active MT version and is not changed. The use of the passive seems to occur here as a result of the
introduction by PP I of a lexical item to replace "lock". Her choice is "connect" which is more likely to be used passively than actively, particularly in conjunction with the auxiliary modal.

PP II

PPV: The grid for rolls must be locked with the spring clamps

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | RD. MT | the grid for rolls has to lock |  |
|  |  | with the spring clamps | 2.6 |
| 2. | COMM. | (yawns) | 3.5 |
| 3. | RD. STXT | der Brötchenaufsatz muß mit den |  |
|  |  | Federbügeln einrasten | 6.9 |
| 4. | RPT.MT | the grid for rolls | 4.1 |
| 5. | RPT.STXT | muß mit den Federbügeln? | 3.3 |
| 6. | RPT.MT | spring clamps | 2.6 |
| 7. | RPT.STXT | Brötchenaufsatz | 9.8 |
| 8. | RPT.STXT | Brötchenaufsatz | -- - |
| 9. | RPT.MT | grid for rolls | 14.5 |
| 10. | COMM. | or | --- |
| 11. | SUGG.TR. 1 | must | -- - |
| 12. | RPT.MT | has to lock | --- |
| 13. | SUGG.TR. 2 | must be locked with the spring clamps | 12.9 |
| Cassette change |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7.3 |
| 14. | COMM. | I suppose Federbügeln is right | 1.0 |
| 15. | RPT.MT | spring clamps | 1.2 |
| 16. | COMM. | a technical word | 6.2 |
| 17. | RD.RB | Aufsatz | 32.1 |
| 18. | RPT.RD.RB | Aufsatz was bit on top | 1.9 |
| 19. | COMM. | this must be an extra part | --- |
| 20. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | the grid must be locked | 1.2 |
| 21. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | with the spring clamps | 2.2 |

PP II introduces the passive in Step 13, also immediately following the active MT version, but using a different auxiliary verb. The use of "must" instead of "has to" may have prompted the introduction of the passive.

PP III, in 20 steps, substitutes "must snap" and then "must snap shut" for "has to lock" but at no time suggests a passive version. PP IV (34 steps) chose to omit the modal verb and instead used "locks into place". These choices would seem to indicate that the use of active or passive in this $T U$ was very much dependent on the verb selected to render "einrasten". It may also be noted that, unlike the situation in previous TUs, the introduction of the passive in the English version of this $T U$ in no way influences the overall syntactic structure of the TU and has no bearing on topicalisation. In this TU, active and passive are almost interchangeable and selection of one or other form is apparently based on personal preference or collocation of a syntactic structure with a particular lexical item.

## CONCLUSION

Having traced the active-to-passive changes in the TAPs and drawn conclusions about the motivation which prompted these changes, several observations may be made. It has become quite clear that in many cases the passive was not chosen as an alternative to the active voice. In fact, it can be said that often the passive was used but not chosen at all. Its use was influenced by sentence structure, ordering of clauses and choice of subject/topic. In

```
those cases where the passive was selected as an alternative to active, subjective preference or knowledge of syntactic norms of this particular text type seem to have formed the basis for this selection.
```

It may also be noted that in those TUs in which only one PP made an active to passive change this $P P$ was either $P P I$ or $P P$ IV. This corroborates the notion, already posited, that certain syntactic changes are made purely on the basis of personal preference and the judging of these syntactic forms to be stylistically superior.
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## 3. <br> NOUN-TO-VERB CHANGES

3. NOUN-TO-VERB CHANGES
"Ganze Satzinhalte können durch Substantive wiedergegeben werden. Durch Anwendung von Substantiven wird oft ein verwickelter Satzbau vermieden und eine Verdichtung der Aussage erreicht...Heute besteht verbreitet eine allgemeine Neigung, sich substantivisch auszudrücken" [1].

This statement by Jung incorporates just one of the motives for the use of nouns and nominalisation in German, and it may be a relevant one when considering the role this syntactic feature plays in the text under scrutiny here. Whatever the motivation, such use of nouns or nominalisation, although widespread in German, cannot always succeed in English.

The table overleaf provides an overview of the location, frequency and occurrence of syntactic changes involving the conversion of a nominal construction, ie. a noun, noun phrase or prepositional phrase ( $P+N P$ ) in the MT to a verbal form in the participants' post-edited versions. This is followed by an analysis of the TAPs for those TUs in which two or more PPs effected such a change.


According to Greenwood, the largest category of noun-to-verb shifts in German - English translation involve German structures of the pattern "preposition + noun" or "preposition + article + noun" [2]. Friederich, too, describes these structures as "kennzeichnend für das Deutsche" [3]. Greenwood's corpus, the analysis of which enabled him to arrive at this and other conclusions, consisted of international agreements and EC directives. However, these
structures are equally abundant in the instructions text type. In this analysis, prepositional noun phrases also comprise the largest category of nominal forms which became verbal in the post-editing process. In fact, 16 of the 17 instances of noun-to-verb changes in the table above involved "preposition + noun" structures.

These prepositional noun phrases, although occurring in English, are considerably more prevalent in German. Friederich, in "Technik des Übersetzens", alludes to this stylistic feature and states that participles, gerunds, infinitives and subordinate clauses are often to be found in English where prepositional phrases are used in German [4]. METAL's ability to recognise variance in the roles which certain syntactic features play in different languages is unavoidably limited. As a result of this, the English MT it produces reflects, sometimes to an unacceptable extent, the syntactic features of the source language, German. Therefore, prepositional phrases in the ST invariably become prepositional phrases in the MT, irrespective of the ensuing clumsiness or indeed ungrammaticality of the MT. In many cases, these structures have undergone changes in the post-editing done by the participants, and have indeed been converted to the corresponding English structures suggested by Friederich. These changes merit inclusion in this section because prepositional phrases comprise prepositions and nouns/noun phrases and are thus nominal constructions, and their corresponding forms in the PPVs, namely participles, gerunds, infinitives and subordinate clauses, are verbal.

I intend to begin by discussing the TUs in which prepositional
phrases figure in the MT and have been modified by the PPs to form verbal constructions. I have categorised these according to the prepositions occurring in the German ST ("bei", "vor", "durch", "für" and "ohne"). These are then followed by the TU (26) in which a noun, occurring without prepositions, has been converted to a verbal form.
"BEI + NOUN PHRASE"

METAL's rendering of "bei + NP" is seldom successful. "In the case of + NP"/"when + NP" replace "bei + NP", when the NP consists of "adjective $+N$ " or "article $+N$ ". On one occasion, "bei + N" is rendered by "during $+N$ ". Snell comments in "German - English Prose Translation" that "there is no standard English equivalent for this preposition at all" [5]. It occurs in a total of nine ST TUs, and in seven instances two or more participants decided to change the ensuing MT nominal construction into a verbal one. These are outlined below.
(i) TRANSLATION UNIT 5

ST: Der Braun Toaster läßt sich nur bei eingerasteter Krümelschublade betreiben

MT: The Braun toaster lets only operate in the case of locked crumb compartment

PP I
PPV: The Braun toaster only operates when the crumb compartment is locked

PP II
PPV: The Braun toaster only works when the crumb compartment is locked

## PP III

PPV: The Braun toaster can only be operated when the crumb compartment is locked

## PP IV

PPV: The toaster can only be operated after the crumb compartment has been closed

In this TU, all four PPs effected a noun-to-verb change. PP I (page 78) has considerable difficulty post-editing this TU, grappling first with the "lets only operate" ("läßt sich...betreiben") construction discussed in the preceding chapter. Having found a solution for this syntactic problem, she is then confronted with a lexical one, namely, how to translate "eingerastet". After 30 steps, PP I decides to temporarily abandon this TU and returns to it in the Nachlauf phase. In Step 9 (N) she introduces a "when..." subordinate clause and continues to search for an English equivalent of "einrasten".

It is difficult to ascertain whether the hesitation and uncertainty displayed here by this PP is prompted purely by the difficult "einrasten" or whether "in the case of" is also problematic. In the Hauptlauf, PP I does not attempt to suggest a translation for the second part of the TU (ie. "in the case of locked crumb compartment") and, had the "when..." subordinate clause occurred to her at that point, this would perhaps be apparent from the TAP. The TU would then have been almost complete (as in Step 20(N): "the Braun toaster only operates when the crumb compartment is"), lacking only a suitable rendering of "eingerastet". This was not
the case, however, and the fact that the PP did not reach this stage in the Hauptlauf could indicate an inability to think of a replacement structure for "in the case of". In the Nachlauf, this replacement subordinate clause is the first suggestion made for this part of the TU. This is probably attributable to the fact that PP I had now reached the end of the text and had therefore already dealt with the "in the case of" form several times.

PP II (page 79), in contrast to $P P I$, introduces a subordinate clause quite quickly (in Step 9) and then tackles the lexical item "locked". There is no evidence here to suggest that this construction is problematic, or that the PP considers any form other than a "when..." subordinate clause to replace "in the case of + NP".

PP III (page 79) recognises the origin of "in the case of" and acknowledges the inadequacy of a literal translation into English of "bei": "that was the problem with the bei which you can't usually do very well in English literally anyway" (Step 14). He suggests a subordinate clause introduced by "when" in Step 18. Here too, a "when..." clause seems to be the only possibility considered.

PP IV (page 80), unlike the other participants, uses a subordinate clause introduced by "after" and begins this in Step 14. The other PPs employed active copular clauses but PP IV uses a past passive. PP IV's tendency to use the passive was highlighted in Chapter 2. Its occurrence here has possibly prompted the use of "after" to introduce the clause. All other participants employed "when" in
their versions.

Greenwood observed that, in his corpus, the third largest category of noun-to-verb shifts in moving from German to English involve the recasting of the sentence in English so that the German noun phrase was expressed by means of a clause [6], and this has also been the outcome of the post-editing process here.

PP I
PPV: The Braun toaster only operates when the crumb compartment is locked
STEP CATEGORY UTTERANCE PAUSE

Hauptlauf

| 1. | RD. STXT | der Braun Toaster labt sich ein | 1.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | RD. STXT | eingerast Krumelschublade betreiben | 1.5 |
| 3 | RD.MT | lets only operate in the case of locked crumb compartment |  |
| 4. | RPT.MT | lets only operate |  |
| 5. | COMM. | that's wrong | 20.3 |
| 6. | COMM . | puhl |  |
| 7 | RPT.STXT | der Braun laßt sich |  |
| 8 | RPT.MT | the Braun toaster lets only operate in the case of locked crumb compartment |  |
| 9. | COMM. | God! | 1.0 |
| 10. | SUGG.TR. 1 | the Braun toaster |  |
| 11. | RPT.STXT | labt sich | 2.7 |
| 12. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | only operates |  |
| 13 | RPT.STXT | nur bei eingerasteter Krünelschublade | 4.6 |
| 14. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | Braun toaster | 6.9 |
| 15. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | only operates | 3.0 |
| 16. | RPT.MT | in the case of locked crumb compartment |  |
| 17. | COMM. | hmhm( r ) | 10.0 |
| 18. | RPT, STXT | eingerasteter | 47.3 |
| 19. | RPT.STXT | eingerasteter | ... |
| 20. | RD.RB | einrasten | 1.5 |
| 21. | RD.RB | to engage | 1.7 |
| 22. | RPT.MT | lets only operate in the case of locked crumb | 4.4 |
| 23. | SUGG.TR. 2 | the Braun toaster operates only | 2.5 |
| 24. | RPT.MT | in the case | 2.3 |
| 25. | RPT.STXT | eingerastater | 7.2 |
| 26. | COMM. | hmhar ( r ) | 5.2 |
| 27. | RPT.MT | the Braun toaster | 7.8 |
| 28. | RPT.MT | locked | 8.4 |
| 29. | RPT.STXT | Krümelschublade | 13.8 |
| 30. | COMM. | hm(r) come back to it |  |

Nachlauf

| 1 | COMM. | five |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | RD.MT | the Braun toaster | 1.9 |
| 3 | RD. STXT | der Braun Toaster labt sich nur bei eingerast betreiben |  |
| 4. | RD.MT | the Braun toaster lets only operate in the case of locked crumb compartment | 1.7 |
| 5. | RPT.SUGG.TR.1 | the Braun toaster only operates | 7.3 |
| 6. | RPT.STXT | bei eingerasteter Krūmelschublade | 1.7 |
| 7. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | the Braun toaster only operates | 6.2 |
| 8 | RPT. SUGG. TR. 1 | Braun toaster only operates | 8.5 |
| 9 | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | when | 2.0 |
| 10 | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | the crumb compartment | 9.4 |
| 11 | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | is locked | 1.9 |
| 12. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | the Braun toaster only operates when the crumb compartment is |  |
| 13. | COMM. | hm(r) | 6.3 |
| 14. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | operates when the crumb compartment is locked |  |
| 15 | COMM. | hri(a) | 1.4 |
| 16. | SUGG.TR. 2 | is fixed |  |
| 17. | COMM. | no | 4.3 |
| 18. | COMM | fixed wouldn't be good there | ... |
| 19. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | is | 5.5 |
| 20. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | Braun toaster only operates when the crumb compartment is | 9.9 |
| 21. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | Braun Eoaster only operates when the crumb com | 6.2 |
| 22. | COMM | maybe it is locked I can't think of anything else | 2.4 |

PP II
PPV: The Braun toaster only works when the crumb compartment is locked

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | RD. STXT | der Braun To Braun Toaster last sich | 1.5 |
| 2. | RD.MT | the Braun toaster lets only operate |  |
|  |  | in the case of locked | 1.2 |
| 3. | RD.MT | crumb compartment? | 2.7 |
| 4. | COMM. | $h m(r)$ doesn't make sense direct translation from the German? | 2.3 |
| 5. | COMM. | you don't need the lets | --- |
| 6. | SUGG.TR. 1 | the Braun toaster | 9.7 |
| 7. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | the Braun toaster operates | 1.6 |
| 8. | RPT.STXT | bei eingerasteter Krūmelschublade | 6.0 |
| 9. | SUGG.TR. 2 | the Braun toaster only works when | 2.0 |
| 10. | RPT.MT | in the case of locked crumb compartment |  |
| 11. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | when the crumb compartment is locked? |  |
| 12. | RPT.STXT | eingerasteter | 13.2 |
| 13. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | when the | 2.5 |
| 14. | COMM. | oops 1 | 14.6 |
| 15. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | is locked | 5.2 |
| 16. | COMM. | oops! | 11.2 |
| 17. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 2 | crumb compartment is locked | 1.5 |
| 18. | COMM. | Just look up einrasten | 18.6 |
| 19. | COMM. | $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ | 1.8 |
| 20. | RD.RB | to engage | 5.1 |
| 21. | COMM. | em( $r$ ) | 3.5 |
| 22. | RPT.STXT | bei eingerasteter Krümelschublade | -. - |
| 23. | SUGG.TR. 3 | engaged |  |
| 24. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | locked | 2.4 |

PP III
PPV: The Braun toaster can only be operated when the crumb compartment is locked
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { STEP CATEGORY } & \text { UTTERANCE } & \text { PAUSE } \\ & & & \\ \text { 1. } & \text { COMM. } & \text { five } \\ \text { 2. } & \text { der Braun Toaster laBt sich nur }\end{array}\right)$

PR IV
9PV: The toaster can only be operated after the crumb compartaent has beon closed

| STEP | Category | UTTERANCE | Pause |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMA | number five | 1.3 |
| 2. | RD.STXT | bei aingerasteter Kramelschublade betreiben |  |
| 3. | RD.MT | the Braun tosster | ... |
| 4. | COMM | oh Godl |  |
| 5. | CONT. RD.KT | lats only operate in the case of locked crumb compartment |  |
| 6. | COMM. | so thla has obviously got to be changed | 2.5 |
| 7. | comar. | en(r) | 1.4 |
| 6. | SUGG.TR. 1 | the toaster | 1.1 |
| 9. | COMM. | I've left out Braun because I always think that this is extraneous anyway re keeping repeating the maker's name |  |
| 10. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | the toaster can only be | 3.2 |
| 11. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | oparated | - |
| 12. | COMP. | instead of lets | 2.4 |
| 13. | COMM. | eti (r) | 5.1 |
| 14. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | after | 2.0 |
| 15. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | the | 1.7 |
| 16. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | cruab | 2.3 |
| 17. | COMN. | em(r) well | 1.1 |
| 18. | CONT. SUGG.TR. | compartment | 2.3 |
| 19. | COMM. | I suppose that's alright it's a bit unusual but on the ocher hand it depends what it's like and I haven't got a picture of the damned thing so I don't know really whechar it is a compartment or a drawer | 2.3 |
| 20. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | the Braun toaster can only be operated after the cruab compartment | 1.4 |
| 21. | COLPA. | em( r$)$ | 1.0 |
| 22. | RPT SUGG. TR. 1 | after the crumb compartment | 1.6 |
| 23. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | has | 1.0 |
| 24. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | been | 1.3 |
| 25. | CONT SUGG. TR. 1 | closed | -.. |
| 26. | COMA. | I prefer to locked locked is a bit sort of exaggerated | 1.2 |

TRANSLATION UNIT 9

ST: Die Lifttaste wird beim Einschalten elektromagnetisch festgehalten und nach Beendigung des Toastvorgangs automatisch angehoben

MT: The lift key is observed electro-magnetically (electromagnetic) in the case of switching on and is lifted automatically at the completion of the toasting process

This TU contains two prepositional noun phrases which undergo changes in the post-editing process. "In the case of switching on" occurs in the MT as a result of the use of "bei", and was converted by all four PPs into a verbal construction. The second one is "at the completion of the toasting process", which was converted by only one $P P$ into a verbal form.

## PP I

PPV: The lift button is electro-magnetically controlled ie. it is held in place after being pressed down and automatically pops up at the completion of the toasting process

When pressed down, the lift button is held in place electromagnetically and pops up automatically on completion of the toasting process

PP II
PPV: The lift key is kept depressed by an electromagnet when the toaster is switched on and rises automatically when the toasting process is completed

## PP III

PPV: The lift button is held by an electromagnet when the toaster is switched on and is lifted automatically after toasting

PP IV
PPV: The lift key is electromagnetically controlled after being pushed down/depressed and automatically returns to its
normal position after toasting

PP I (pages 84-5) generated two written versions of this TU. The second was produced after the first, both in the TAP as well as in written form. It begins in Step 76 and is presumably the more pertinent one. However, PP I demonstrates no dissatisfaction in relation to the first version, either verbally or on paper (eg. by putting it in parenthesis).
"In the case of switching on" becomes "when switched on" in Step 15 but this is changed to the gerundial "after being switched down" (probably meant to be "...switched on") in Steps 53 and 54 and then "after being pressed down" in Step 55. In the second version this becomes "when pressed down" (Step 76), a contracted passive clause. The progression from reading the ST in Step 14 to the first translation suggestion for this part of the TU was immediate. This suggestion was later discarded but it would seem to indicate that PP I's initial solution for "bei + NP" is usually a "when..." clause, possibly based on previous translation experience or training

PP II (page 86) tentatively suggests a "when..." clause in Step 16 to replace "in the case of switching on". This choice is subsequently consolidated in Step 34. In fact, PP II also introduces a "when..." clause for "on completion of the toasting process", thus producing parallelism in the syntactic form of the TU. In PP III's case (page 87), Step 21 sees the use of a "when..." subordinate clause instead of "in the case of" and PP III comments on this as being "better" (Step 22).

PP IV (page 88) uses a gerundial construction introduced by "after" (Steps 19-21) in place of the "in the case of" phrase. This corresponds to the behaviour of participants in TU 5, where PPs $I$, II, and III used "when..." clauses and PP IV opted for an "after..." clause. In this TU, PP I's version also contained an "after..." clause but PPs II and III used "when..." clauses.

PP I
PPV: The lift button is electro-magnetically controlled fe. it is held in place after being pressed down and automatically pops up at the completion of the toasting process

When pressed down, the lift button is held in place electromagnetically and pops up automatically on completion of the toasting process

| STEP | CATEGORY | utterance | pause |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM. | nine | 1.4 |
| 2. | RD.STXT | die Lifttaste wird beim Einschalten elektromagnetisch festgehalten und automatisch angehoben | 1.2 |
| 3. | RD.MT | the lift key is observed | 2.8 |
| 4. | CONT.RD.MT | electromag magnetically in the case of switching on and is lifted automatically at the completion of the the toasting process | . |
| 5. | COMM. | hm(a) I'd say that sounds okay but | -* |
| 6. | RPT.MT | the lift key is observed | 1.6 |
| 7. | RPT.STXT | beim Einschalten festgehalten |  |
| 8. | COMM. | nol |  |
| 9. | RPT.MT | the lift key is observed | 1.7 |
| 10. | SUGG.TR. 1 | is controlled? | 2.0 |
| 11. | RPT.STXT | festgehalten? | --. |
| 12. | COMM. | hmhen (a) |  |
| 13. | SUGG.TR. 2 | maintained | 1.1 |
| 14. | RPT.MT | in the case of switching on | *. |
| 15. | SUGG.TR. 3 | when switched on and is lifted automatically | 1.2 |
| 16. | RPT.MT | at the completion of the toasting process | 4.9 |
| 17. | RPT.MT | the lift key | 7.6 |
| 18. | RPT.MT | the lift key | 1.8 |
| 19. | COMM. | do you have a key on a toaster? | 2.1 |
| 20. | COMM. | hmhro(a) | 2.2 |
| 21 | SUGG.TR. 4 | the lift button is observed | 4.3 |
| 22. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 4 | the lift button | 6.5 |
| 23. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 4 | is | 1.5 |
| 24. | RPT. SUGG. 1 | controlled? | 3.3 |
| 25. | CONT. SUGG. 1 | electromagnetically controlled | 2.6 |
| 26. | COMM. | hm( $r$ ) is that right? | 6.7 |
| 27. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | is controlled | 6.5 |
| 28. | COMM. | hm(a) I think it's okay |  |
| 29. | RPT. SUGG. 1 | is electromagnetically controlled | 1.7 |
| 30. | COMM. | it's not very clear though | 2.6 |
| 31. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 1 | electromagnetically controlled | 11.9 |
| 32. | RPT.STXT | beim Einschalten? | 12.0 |
| 33. | RPT.MT | is lifted automatically |  |
| 34. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | the lift button is electromagnetically controlled | 9.5 |
| 35. | RPT.STXT | beim Einschalten | --- |
| 36. | COMM. | does that mean of the whole toaster or of the button | 1.7 |
| 37. | CONT. COMM. | itself? | 1.5 |
| 38. | COMM. | (tuts) | 3.0 |
| 39. | COMM. | $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ | --- |
| 40. | COMM. | of the toaster I'd say | --- |
| 41. | RPT.SUGG. TR. 1 | the lift button is electromagnetically controll | 1.3 |
| 42. | RPT.STXT | festgehalten | 2.7 |
| 43. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 2 | maintained | 7.8 |
| 44. | COMPA. | hmhm ( r ) | $\cdots$ |
| 45. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | the lift button is electromagnetically controlled |  |
| 46. | COMM. | maybe that's okay | 5.3 |
| 47. | COMM. | beim Einschalten just means for the button therefore is electromagnetically |  |


|  |  | controlled could be okay could cover the whole thing | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | RPT.STXT | und nach Beendigung des Toast |  |
| 49. | COMM | $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ | 1.7 |
| 50. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | and is lifted automatically at the completion of the toasting process | 27.1 |
| 51. | CONT . SUGG.TR. 1 | 1e | 3.7 |
| 52. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | it is held in place | 5.3 |
| 53. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | after being | 1.3 |
| 54 | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | switched down? | -. |
| 55. | SUGG. TR. 5 | pressed down? | 5.0 |
| 56. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 5 | pressed down | 6.3 |
| 57. | COMM. | $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ |  |
| 58. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 5 | and is lifted automatically | 1.0 |
| 59. | SUGG.TR. 6 | and automatically | 11.3 |
| 60. | COMM. | (Eighs) | .-- |
| 61. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 6 | and automatically pops up |  |
| 62. | COMM. | $\mathrm{hra}(\mathrm{r})$ | 8.7 |
| 63. | COMM. | hin( r ) | 4.7 |
| 64 | COMM. | I think pops up | 4.8 |
| 65 | RPT.MT | at the completion |  |
| 66. | CONT . SUGG.TR. 6 | after toasting | 10.5 |
| 67. | COMM. | (sighs) | 7.8 |
| 68. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 6 | at the completion of the toasting process |  |
| 69. | COMM. | I think is okay | 1.8 |
| 70. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 6 | the completion | 1.6 |
| 71. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 6 | of the toasting process | 2.7 |
| 72. | COMM. | $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ | 1.4 |
| 73. | COMM. | that sounds awful |  |
| 74. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 6 | the lift bution is electromagnetically controlled le it is held in place after being pressed down and automatically pop pops up at the completion of the toasting process |  |
| 75. | COMM. | $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ | 5.6 |
| 76. | SUGG.TR. 7 | when pressed down the lift button is held in place by an electromagnetic process | 1.2 |
| 77. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 7 | and automatically pops up after completion of the toasting process | 1.0 |
| 78. | COMA | two processes no | 2.6 |
| 79. | COMM. | hmi ( r ) | 3.4 |
| 80. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 7 | when pressed down | 5.7 |
| 81 | CONT.SUGG.TR. 7 | the lift button | 2.2 |
| 82. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 7 | is held in place | 7.0 |
| 83. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 7 | in place electromagnetically |  |
| 84. | COMM. | hom(a) sounds okay I think | 1.3 |
| 85. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 7 | electromagnetically | 4.2 |
| 86 | CONT. SUGG.TR. 7 | and automatically |  |
| 87. | SUGG.TR. 8 | pops up automatically? | 1.8 |
| 88 | COMM. | automatically should come before the verb |  |
| 89. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 8 | pops up | 2.3 |
| 90. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 8 | automatically | 4.6 |
| 91. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 8 | at the completion | --- |
| 92. | SUGG.TR. 9 | on completion | 1.7 |
| 93. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 9 | on completion | 4.4 |
| 94. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 9 | of the toasting process | 1.5 |
| 95. | COMM | that sounds better still don't know what the lift button is though | 1.2 |

PPV: The lift key is kept depressed by an electromagnet when the toaster is switched on and rises automatically when the toasting process is completed

| STEP | Category | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COHM. | oksy |  |
| 2. | RD.MT | the lift key is observed electromagnetically | 3.5 |
| 3. | CONT.RD.MT | In the case of switching on is lifted automatically at the completion |  |
| 4. | RPT.MT | lift key is observed electro | 1.6 |
| 5. | RPT.MT | observed? | 2.5 |
| 6. | RPT.MT | in the case of switching on and is lifted automatically at the completion of toasting | 2.5 |
| 7. | RD. STXT | wird beim Ein | 1.1 |
| 8. | RD. STXT | festgehalten | 1.3 |
| 9. | COMM, | that means like it's actually kept down |  |
| 10. | SUGG.TR. 1 | depressed | 7.9 |
| 11. | COMM. | so |  |
| 12. | SUGG.TR. 2 | the lift | 2.3 |
| 13. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | key | 1.6 |
| 14. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | is | 2.1 |
| 15. | COHM. | would you say | 9.5 |
| 16. | SUGG.TR. 3 | when the toaster is switched | -.. |
| 17. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 3 | $1 s$ |  |
| 18 | COMM. | festhalten | 4.5 |
| 19. | SUGG.TR. 4 | is kept down | 12.4 |
| 20. | RPT.ITT | is observed | 3.4 |
| 21. | COMM. | festhalten | -.. |
| 22. | COMA. | hm( $r$ ) can't think of anything | 9.2 |
| 23. | RD.RB | festhalten keep a firm hold on keep hold to hold on to to stress to hold to detain to record to capture | 4.0 |
| 24 | COMM. | hm(r) | 9.6 |
| 25. | SUGG.TR. 5 | is kept | 2.2 |
| 26 | CONT.SUGG.TR. 5 | depressed | 2.8 |
| 27 | COHM. | em( $r$ ) | 1.8 |
| 28. | RPT.STXT | elektromagnetisch | 1.4 |
| 29. | RPT.MT | electromagnetically | 8.4 |
| 30. | SUGG.TR. 6 | by | 2.6 |
| 31. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 6 | by an electromagnet? | 7.6 |
| 32. | COMM. | hm ( r ) | 1.0 |
| 33. | RPT.MT | In the case of switching on | --- |
| 34. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 3 | when the toaster is switched on | 10.7 |
| 35. | RPT.MT | and is lifted automatically at the completion of the toasting | 3.3 |
| 36. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 3 | and rises automatically? | 10.2 |
| 37. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 3 | at the completion of the toasting process | 2.2 |
| 38. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 3 | and rises | 1.3 |
| 39. | SUGG.TR. 7 | when the toasting process is | 5.1 |
| 40. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 7 | is completed | 10.4 |


| PPV: | The lift button is held by an electromagnet when the toaster is switched on and is lifted automatically after toasting |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP | category | UTTERANCE | PaUSE |
| 1. | COMM. | number nine |  |
| 2. | RD. STXT | die Lifttaste Wird beiv Einschalten elektromagnetisch festgehalten und na Beendigung des Toastworganges automatisch angehoben | 2.5 |
| 3. | COMM. | eh(r) festhalten to observe | 1.0 |
| 4. | COMM. | das wollen wir 'nal festhalten em( $r$ ) -yeh they made a mistake there | 1.5 |
| 5. | COMM. | well | 3.0 |
| 6. | RD.MT | the lift | 1.7 |
| 7. | CONT.RD.MT | key | -.- |
| 8. | COMM. | sounds strange in English |  |
| 9. | RPT.MT | the lift keyl | 1.7 |
| 10. | COMM. | I would probably call it a lift butt really | 4.0 |
| 11. | COMM. | em( r ) | 2.7 |
| 12. | SUGG.TR. 1 | is | 2.4 |
| 13 | COMM. | eh( 5 ) |  |
| 14. | COMPA. | well I would just say |  |
| 15. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | is held | 2.8 |
| 16 | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | electromagnetically | 1.1 |
| 17. | COMM. | in brackets they've got <br> electromagnetic yeh that's the eh(r) | 1.1 |
| 18 | CONT. COMM. | the adjective though | 1.9 |
| 19 | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | electromagnetically | 6.2 |
| 20 | COMM. | eh(r) |  |
| 21 | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | when the toaster is switched on |  |
| 22 | COMM. | would be better | 6.7 |
| 23. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | on and | 3.5 |
| 24. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | is | 1.3 |
| 25. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | lifted automatically | .-. |
| 26. | COMM. | yeh I'll do the same as they've done here | 1.2 |
| 27. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | automarically | 2.1 |
| 28. | RD. MT | at the completion of the toasting process |  |
| 29. | COMM. | well that sounds too highfalutin | -- |
| 30. | RPT.SUGG.IR. 1 | is lifted automatically | 1.0 |
| 31 | COMM. | $\mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r})$ |  |
| 32. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | when the toast is ready | *-- |
| 33. | COMM. | (laughs) | --- |
| 34 | COMM. | no eh(r) | --- |
| 35. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 1 | and is lifted automatically | 1.2 |
| 36. | SUGG.TR. 2 | after toasting! |  |
| 37. | COMM. | it's as simple as that | 10.9 |

PP IV
PPV: The lift key is eleceromagnetically controlled after being pushed down/depressed and automatically returns to its normal position after toasting

| STEP | category | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM. | number nine |  |
| 2. | RD.STXT | die Lifttaste wird beim Einschalten elektromagnetisch festgehalten und nach Beendigung des Toastvorganges automatisch angehoben | 2.6 |
| 3. | COMM. | ah ja | 4.6 |
| 4. | COMM. | ahah(a) ahah(a) | 28.8 |
| 5. | COMA. | so it does look as though I'n going to have to | 1.0 |
| 6. | CONT. COMM. | find some peculiar word for lifttaste | 12.0 |
| 7. | COMM. | (sighs) | 8.2 |
| 8. | COMA. | hm(r) | 4.7 |
| 9. | COMM. | (sighs) | 9.9 |
| 10. | COMM. | okay let's assume that it is in fact some sort of lift key | 4.8 |
| 11. | CONT. COMM. | . Similar to a typewriter | 1.7 |
| 12. | CONT. COMM. | key. | 3.7 |
| 13. | CONT. COMM. | so for want of a better idea and knowing jolly well that it won't be in the dictionary I shall use this expression | 1.7 |
| 14. | SUGG.TR. 1 | the lift key | 3.5 |
| 15. | COMM. | em( r ) | 2.5 |
| 16. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | is | 3.6 |
| 17 | CONT. SUGG .TR. 1 | electro-mag-net-ic-ally | 2.0 |
| 18. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | controlled | 3.5 |
| 19. | COMM. | em( r ) | 2.2 |
| 20. | CONT. SUGG .TR. 1 | after | 2.0 |
| 21. | CONT.SUGG. TR. 1 | being | 3.9 |
| 22. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | pushed down | 2.5 |
| 23. | COMM. | and I write an alternative |  |
| 24. | CONT. SUGG . TR. 1 | depressed |  |
| 25. | COMM. | because if it is a sort of lever then pushed down would be better | 1.0 |
| 26. | CONT . COMM. | and if it's more a a button rather than a lever or a key instead of a lever then depressed would be better but that's something I can't know so that choice of word | 1.9 |
| 27. | CONT . COMM | would | 1.1 |
| 28. | CONT . COMM. | eh( $r$ ) have to be according to what the thing looks like in reality | 1.6 |
| 29 | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | the key |  |
| 30. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | the lift key is electromet is electromagnetically controlled after |  |
|  |  | being pushed down or depressed | 1.0 |
| 31. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | and | 2.5 |
| 32. | COMM. | eh(r) |  |
| 33. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | returns to its normal position | 2.5 |
| 34. | COMM | now |  |
| 35. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 1 | returns to its normal | 1.7 |
| 36 | RPT. SUGG. TR. 1 | position | 1.1 |
| 37. | COMM. | I prefer that to all this rubbish here about | 1.9 |
| 38. | RD.MT | lifted automatically | -.- |
| 39 | COMR . | although I suppose I'll have to put that in |  |
| 40. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | the lift key is ultram is electromagnetically controlled after being pushed down after being depress and returns to its normal | sed |
| 41. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | and automatically returns to its normal position | 1.1 |
| 42. | COMM. | yeh |  |
| 43. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 1 | and automatically | --- |
| 44. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | auto-mat-ic-ally returns to its normal position after toasting | 4.7 |
| 45. | COMM. | that should be enough | 4.3 |

ST: Wie bei allen Doppelschlitz-Toastern kann es beim Toasten von nur einer Scheibe Unterschiede in der Bräunung beider Seiten geben

MT: As there can be differences in the browning of both pages (side) in the case of all two-slice toasters when the toasting only a slice

There are two "preposition + noun phrases" in this TU. "When the toasting" ("beim Toasten") is, of course, grammatically incorrect and must be changed. The second - "in the case of all two-slice toasters" - is replaced by a "when/if/after..." clause in only one PP's version, the other participants employing non-verbal phrases to convey the "bei + NP".

## PP I

PPV: When toasting only 1 slice of bread in a two-slice toaster, there can be differences in the degree of browning of the two sides

PP II
PPV: If you use a two-slice toaster to toast one slice of bread the two sides could be toasted to different degrees

PP III
PPV: As with all double toasters there can be differences between both sides when toasting one slice of bread only

PP IV
PPV: Differences in the degree of toasting can be found in all double-size toasters when only one slice of bread is inserted

PP I's exclamations (page 92) of Steps 4 ("ugh"!), 6 ("goodness"!)
and 11 ("oh goodness"!) reflect a lack of understanding of the ungrammatical MT. All becomes clear in Step 14, however. Here,
upon reading the $S T$ once again, the $P P$ begins to post-edit this $T U$. She begins by seeking an alternative structure for "when the toasting" and tentatively starts her version with "if you're" (Step 16). She then tackles "in the case of..." with what by now obviously constitutes this PP's standard structure for "bei + NP"; namely a "when..." clause (Step 18).

She then reverts to her original starting point for this $T U$ : "when the toasting only a slice", and converts the "if..." clause begun in Step 16 into a "when..." clause, as was present in the MT but without the definite article (Step 23). In Step 25 she comments that this is a better way to start the $T U$ and "in the case of all two-slice toasters" becomes "in a two-slice toaster", an adverbial phrase of the "when..." clause. This reordering of the sentence constituents actually entails a shift in meaning or at least in emphasis. The ST focused on the fact that the existence of a difference in browning of the two slices of bread is perfectly normal (ie. a statement in defence of the Braun model), and PP I's version is neutrally stating that such a difference exists.

PP II (page 93) misreads the MT in Step 15, unconsciously omitting the inappropriate definite article. She then consciously discards the definite article (Step 17), stating that it was not needed, and thereby produces a verbal clause. In Steps 32 and 33 the MT "when the toasting only a slice" and "in the case of all two-slice toasters" are incorporated into "when a two-slice toaster is used to toast one slice". In Steps 36 and 37 this version is superseded, not surprisingly - considering PP II's personal

```
preferences - by an active version: "if you use a two-slice toaster to toast only one slice". "When the toasting..." has thus become an infinitival construction: "to toast".
```

PP III (page 94) finds the MT of this TU "totally ridiculous" (Step 8). He begins his version with the post-editing of "in the case of all two-slice toasters" and returns the "as" ("wie") to its correct position. In Steps 29 and 30 he produces a correct "when..." clause and comments in the final step (34) on the original MT "when the toasting only a slice": "God knows where they got that from". This indicates that he has not realised that the MT is a nominal construction, a literal translation of the German ("bei dem - Toasten" $\rightarrow$ "when - the - toasting"), but sees this MT as containing an inexplicable and unwarranted definite article.

The initial reaction of PP IV (page 95): "this is hopeless" (Step 5) is similar to those of PPs I and III. "In the case of..." becomes "in all..." in Step 12 and the "when the toasting..." is changed in Steps 19-22 to "when only one slice of bread is inserted".

PP I
PPV: When toasting only 1 slice of bread in a two-slice toaster there can be differences in the degree of browning of the two sides

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | Pause |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM. | fifteen | 2.7 |
| 2. | RD.STXT | wie bei allen Doppelschlitz-Toastern |  |
|  |  | kann es beim Toasten nu von nur einer |  |
|  |  | Scheibe Unterschiede in der Braunung beider Seiten geben | 2.0 |
| 3. | RD.MT | as there can be no differences in the browning of both of both pages |  |
| 4. | COMM. | ughl |  |
| 5. | RD.MT | in the case of all two-slice toasters when the toast the toasting only a |  |
|  |  | slice |  |
| 6. | COMM. | goodness | 1.6 |
| 7 | COMA. | (sighs) |  |
| 8. | RPT.MT | as there can be differences |  |
| 9. | RPT.MT | as there can be differences in the browning of both sides in the case of | 1.3 |
| 10. | RPT.MT | when the toasting only a slice | --- |
| 11. | COMM. | oh goodness 1 | 1.9 |
| 12. | RPT.STXT | wie bei allen Doppelschlitz-Toastern kann es beim Toasten | 2.2 |
| 13. | RPT.STXT | von nur einer Scheibe Unterschiede in der Braunung | 7 |
| 14. | COMM. | oh I see! | 8.2 |
| 15. | COMM. | so |  |
| 16 | SUGG.TR. 1 | If you're |  |
| 17. | RPT.MT | in the case of | 4.8 |
| 18. | SUGG.TR. 2 | when using a toaster | 2.0 |
| 19. | RPT.MT | a two-slice toaster |  |
| 20. | RPT.STXT | Doppelschlitz-Toaster |  |
| 21. | COMM. | hm (a) |  |
| 22. | RPT.MT | two-slice toaster | 3.1 |
| 23. | SUGG.TR. 3 | when toasting only one slice of bread in a two-slice toaster | 1.7 |
| 24. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 3 | there can be differences | 2.2 |
| 25. | COMM. | okay that's a better way to start it off |  |
| 26. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 3 | when toasting | 2.5 |
| 27. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 3 | only one slice | 2.3 |
| 28. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 3 | of bread | 3.1 |
| 29. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 3 | in a two-slice toaster | 6.2 |
| 30. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 3 | there can | 6.0 |
| 31. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 3 | be differences | 4.3 |
| 32. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 3 | in the degree of browning | 8.9 |
| 33. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 3 | in the degree of browning | 3.0 |
| 34. | CONT SUGG. TR. 3 | of each side | 6.0 |
| 35. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 3 | when toasting only one slice of bread in a two-slice toaster there can be differences in the degree of browning | 2.2 |
| 36. | SUGG.TR. 4 | of the two sides | 8.3 |
| 37. | COMM | okay that'll do | -.. |

PP 11
PPV: If you use a twolice toagtar to toast one slice of bread the two sides could be roasted to different degrees

| STEP | category | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMP. | em( $r$ ) |  |
| 2. | RD.MT | as there can be differences in the browning of both pages |  |
| 3. | comm. | tu's definitely sides |  |
| 4. | RPT.MT | there can be no difference in the browning | 1.4 |
| 5. | RD. STXT. | Seiten | 3.9 |
| 6. | RPT, MT | as there can be no difference in the browning of both | 1.0 |
| 7. | CONT.RD.MT | sides in the case of all two-slice toasters | 1.0 |
| 8 | CONT.RD.MT | when the toasting only a slice? | 1.3 |
| 9. | COMM. | oh Godl doesn't make sense | 4.6 |
| 10. | COTM. | the German |  |
| 11. | RD. STXT | vie bei allen Doppelschlitz-Toastern kann es bein Toasten von mur einer Scheibe Unterschiede in der Bratunung beider Seiten geben | 1.3 |
| 12. | RPT.STXT. | wie bei allen Doppelschlitz-To Doppelschlitz-Toastern | 2.6 |
| 13 | RPT.MT | as in the case of all two | 2.6 |
| 14. | RPT. HT | two-slice toasters? | 6.1 |
| 15. | RPT.MT | when tose | ... |
| 16. | RPT. MT | vhen the toasting |  |
| 17. | SUGG.TR. 1 | when toasting only a slice |  |
| 18. | COMP. | don't need the the | 1.6 |
| 19. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | toasting |  |
| 20. | RPT. STXT | einer Scheibe |  |
| 21. | RPT. MT | a slica | 6.2 |
| 22. | RPT.MT | be difference in the browning of both sides | 3.4 |
| 23. | RPT. STXT | Doppelschlitz-Toaster |  |
| 24. | RPT. MT | two-slice toasters? | 2.0 |
| 25 | COMM. | naver knev that | 6.6 |
| 26. | COMM . | okay |  |
| 21. | SUGG.TR. 1 | there can be a difference | 1.7 |
| 28. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | browning | 1.0 |
| 29. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | both sides |  |
| 30. | RPT. SUGC. TR. 1 | there can be a difference in browning of both sides | 3.7 |
| 31. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | bread | 3.2 |
| 32. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | when | 6.0 |
| 33. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | a two-slice toaster is used to toast one slice |  |
| 34. | comps. | or | 1.4 |
| 35 | COMM. | could use it |  |
| 36. | SUGG.TR. 2 | if you use a two-slice toaster | 1.2 |
| 37 | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | toast only one slice | B. 0 |
| 38 | RPT.STXT | kann es bein Toasten von nur einer Scheibe Unterschiede in der Braunung beider Seiten geben |  |
| 39 | COMM. | okay |  |
| 40 | RPT. SUGG.TR. 2 | if you use | 1.2 |
| 41. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | a two-slice toaster? | 10.6 |
| 42. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 2 | to toast | 1.6 |
| 43. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | one slice of bread | 12.7 |
| 44. | COMM. | him (r) |  |
| 45. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | there can be a difference | 7.9 |
| 46. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | In the | 1.2 |
| 47. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | browning | 3.5 |
| 48. | RPT. STXT | kann eg bein Toasten von nur einer Scheibe Unterschiede |  |
| 49. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 2 | use a two-slice roaster to eosst one slice of bread there can be differences in the browning of | 3.3 |
| 50. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | both sides | 6.8 |
| 51. | comm. | don't know whether my translation's any better |  |
| 52 | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | if you use a two-slice toaster to toast one slice of bread | 1.0 |
| 53. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | browning of both sides | 2.3 |
| 54 | RPT. SUGG. TR. 2 | there can be differences in the browning | 1.4 |
| 55. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 2 | of both sides | 8.8 |
| 56. | comm. | or |  |
| 57. | SUGG.TR. 3 | two sides could be toasted to different degrees | 1.0 |
| 58. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 2 | If you use a two-slice toster to toast one slice of braad | $14.3$ |
| 59. | COMM. | change | =... |
| 60 | RPT . SUGG . TR. 3 | the two | 1.6 |
| 61 | RPT. SUGG. TR. 3 | sides | 1.7 |
| 62 | RPT. SUGG. TR. 3 | could be toasted | 2.0 |
| 63. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 3 | to different | 2.6 |
| 64. | RPT. SUGG . TR. 3 | degrees | 2.3 |

PPV: As with all double toasters there can be differences between both sides when toasting one slice of bread only

STEP CATEGORY
UTTERANCE PAUSE

| 1. | RD.STXT | wie bei allen Doppelschlitz-Toastern Scheibe Unterschiede in der Braunung beider Seiten geben | 2.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | RD.MT | as there can be diffarences in the browning of both pages side! |  |
| 3. | COMA. | (laughs) |  |
| 4. | CONT. COMA. | and side they've even got in the singular |  |
| 5. | COMM. | hn( r ) |  |
| 6. | RD.MT | in the case of all two-slice toasters then the toasting only a slice | 1.8 |
| 7. | COMM. | oh that's a total pandimoniun eh(r) | -.. |
| 8. | COMM. | yeh that's totally ridiculous in English | 1.2 |
| 9. | COMM. | so em(r) | 1.6 |
| 10. | COMM. | I'd rather do it like |  |
| 11. | SUGG. TR. 1 | as with all | 2.8 |
| 12. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | double tosters | 6.3 |
| 13. | COMM. | or | 1.0 |
| 14. | COMM. | perhaps call it | 2.7 |
| 15. | SUGG.TR. 2 | coasters for | 2.3 |
| 16. | COMM. | hmm( r ) | 4.8 |
| 17. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | toasters for toasting | 1.1 |
| 18. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | two slices of bread at the same time | ... |
| 19. | COMM. | that's all too long I'll just leave the double toasters |  |
| 20. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | as with all double | 1.3 |
| 21. | COMM. | $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ | 2.4 |
| 22. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | toasters | 3.4 |
| 23. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | there can | 2.3 |
| 24. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | be | 7.2 |
| 25. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | differences | 3.5 |
| 26. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | between | 2.9 |
| 27. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | both sides | ... |
| 28. | COMM. | (sighs) | 4.5 |
| 29. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | when | 3.1 |
| 30. | CONT. SUGG .TR. 1 | toasting one slice of bread only | 4.3 |
| 31. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | as with all double toasters there can be differences between both sides when toasting a slice of bread | 1.6 |
| 32. | CONT.sUGG.TR. 1 | only | 2.7 |
| 33. | COMM. | yeh they've done von nur einer Scheibe when tossting on only a slice of course that's wrong |  |
| 34. | RPT.MT | when the toasting only a slice | 1.3 |
| 35. | COMM. | God knows where they got that from | 1.4 |

PP IV
PPV: Differences in the degree of toasting can be found in all double-size toasters when only one slice of bread is inserted

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PaUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM. | number fifteen |  |
| 2. | RD. STXT | wie bei allen Doppelschlitz-Toastern | 1.7 |
| 3. | RD.STXT | kann es beim Toasten von nur einer Scheibe | 1.1 |
| 4. | RD. STXT | Unterschiede in der Braunung beider Seiten geben | 4.4 |
| 5. | COMM. | ah yeh this is hopeless so number fifteen | 2.6 |
| 6. | COMM. | em( $r$ ) | 3.0 |
| 7. | SUGG.TR. 1 | differences | 3.7 |
| 8. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | in the degree | 2.7 |
| 9. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | of toasting | 2.4 |
| 10. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | can be | 2.0 |
| 11. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | found | 2.8 |
| 12. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | in all | 3.2 |
| 13. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | double | 4.3 |
| 14. | COMM. | $\mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r})$ | 17.3 |
| 15. | COMM. | I think I shall write cos I can't remember what it says on the box of the toaster that I once bought in England |  |
| 16. | RPT.SUGG.TR. | differences in the degree of toasting can be found in all double-size toasters |  |
| 17. | COMM. | I shall call it double-size and not double-slit or slot because that sounds very peculiar |  |
| 18. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | differences in the degree of toasting can be found in all double-size toasters | 1.4 |
| 19. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | when | 1.6 |
| 20. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | only | 1.0 |
| 21. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | one | 1.3 |
| 22. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | slice of bread is inserted | 2.3 |
| 23. | COMM. | just check the German again | 1.0 |
| 24. | RPT.STXT | wie bei allen Doppelschlitz-Toastern kann es beim Toasten von nur einer Scheibe Unterschiede in der Braunung beider Seiten geben |  |
| 25. | COMM. | yeh that's enough | 1.0 |

## (iv) TRANSLATION UNIT 16

ST: Sie sollten daher beim Toasten von nur einer Scheibe die nächstniedrigere Einstellung der Bräunung wählen

MT: You were to therefore select the one setting lower of the browning when the toasting only a slice

TU 16 contains exactly the same problem of "when the toasting..." which, in TU 15, was converted to two contracted active "when..." clauses, one passive clause and one infinitival construction, introduced by an "if..." clause. In this TU the nominal structure is dealt with in similar fashion by all four PPs.

PP I
PPV: Therefore, when toasting 1 slice of bread, you should select one setting lower for the degree of browning

PP II

PPV: When toasting only one slice of bread you should therefore use one browning setting lower

PP III
PPV: When toasting one slice only you should therefore select one setting lower

PP IV
PPV: Select one setting lower on the dial/knob when toasting a single slice of bread

PP I (page 98) converts the ungrammatical "when the toasting" to a verbal "when..." clause (Step 11). This conversion simply requires the omission of the definite article from the MT. PP II (page 99) once again inaccurately reads the MT (Step 3), omitting the definite article and thereby unconsciously correcting the
ungrammatical MT. In Step 13 she begins to repeat the MT: "when the to", and instantly corrects this, consciously now. These two steps are repeated and finally she expands the corrected MT to "when toasting only one slice of bread" (Steps 19 and 20).

PP III (page 99) once again asks: "what's this about when the toasting?" (Steps 9 and 10), and comments: "it's all wrong!" Like PP II, he begins his version with the "when..." clause. PP IV (page 100), unlike the other participants, but in keeping with the structure of the MT, does not begin with the "when..." clause. Instead he uses an imperative and assigns to the "when..." clause a position at the end of the sentence.

| PPV: | Therefore, when toasting 1 slice of bread, you should select one setting lower for the degree of browning |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| 1. | COMM. | sixteen | 1.4 |
| 2. | RD.STXT | Sie sollten daher beim Toasten von nur einer Scheibe |  |
| 3 | COMM. | $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ | 1.8 |
| 4. | RD.MT | you were to therefore select the one setting lower of the browning when the toasting only a slice | 2.6 |
| 5. | RPT.MT | you were to therefore select the one setting lower of the browning when the toasting only a slice | 1.7 |
| 6. | COMM. | $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ |  |
| 7. | RPT.STXT | Sie sollten daher bein Toasten von die náchstniedrige Einstellung |  |
| 8. | COMM. | hm(r) okay | ... |
| 9. | SUGG.TR.1 | therefore when |  |
| 10. | COMA. | no | -.. |
| 11. | SUGG.TR. 2 | when toasting only one slice of bread |  |
| 12. | SUGG.TR. 3 | therefore you should choose | 2.5 |
| 13. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 3 | the | ... |
| 14. | RPT.STXT | die nâchstniedrige? |  |
| 15. | COMPA. | what did they say for that? |  |
| 16. | RPT.MT | one setting lower |  |
| 17. | COMM. | hm(a) that's good | 6.5 |
| 18. | COMM. | okay |  |
| 19. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 2 | when toasting only one slice of bread | 1.1 |
| 20 | SUGG.TR. 4 | therefore when toasting |  |
| 21. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 4 | therefore | 1.6 |
| 22. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 4 | therefore when toasting | 4.2 |
| 23. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 4 | therefore | $\cdots$ |
| 24. | COMM. | comma |  |
| 25. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 4 | when toasting one slice of bread | 9.5 |
| 26. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 4 | you should select | 5.4 |
| 27. | SUGG.TR. 5 | you should sh |  |
| 28. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 4 | you should | 1.5 |
| 29. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 4 | select | 4.0 |
| 30. | RPT.MT | one satting lower | 6.3 |
| 31. | COMM. | $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{a})$ | 1.0 |
| 32 | RPT MT | browaing | -.* |
| 33. | COMM. | $h m(r)$ | ... |
| 34. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 4 | setting lower | 2.0 |
| 35. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 4 | for browning | ... |
| 36. | COMM. | ach that sounds clumsy |  |
| 37. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 4 | therefore when coasting one slice of bread you should select one setting lower | 8.6 |
| 38. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 4 | one setting lower | 2.7 |
| 39. | COMM. | $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ | 7.7 |
| 40. | RPT. SUGG.TR, 4 | therefore when roasting one slice of bread you should select one setting lower | 1.7 |
| 41. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 4 | for the degree of browning? | 4.4 |
| 42. | COMM. | hm(a) | 2.6 |
| 43. | RPT. SUGG . TR. 4 | for the degree of browning | 4.4 |
| 44. | COMM. | one setting lower than what though? | 8.2 |
| 45. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 4 | one setting lower than usual? | 1.4 |
| 46. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 4 | therefore when toasting one slice of bread you sh | 2.7 |
| 47. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 4 | one setting lower than usual? | 3.9 |
| 48. | COMM. | oh I don't know what it means it's not very clear in the text | 2.8 |

PP II
PPV: When toasting only one slice of bread you should therefore use one browning setting lower

| STEP | GATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PaUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | RD.STXT | sollten daher beim Toasten | 2.1 |
| 2 | RD.MT | you were |  |
| 3. | RD.MT | you were there to therefore select the one setting lower of the browning when toasting only a slice | 2.6 |
| 4. | RD. MT | you were therefore select? | 2.5 |
| 5. | COMM. | doesn't make sense |  |
| 6. | RD. STXT | Sie sollten daher beim Toasten von nur einer Scheibe die nachstniedrigere Einstellung der Braunung wahlen | 1.1 |
| 7. | SUGG.TR. 1 | you should | 2.1 |
| 8. | CONT.SUGG. TR. 1 | therefore select | 2.3 |
| 9. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | the one setting |  |
| 10. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | one |  |
| 11. | COMPA. | you don't need the the there | 5.8 |
| 12 | RPT.ITT | one setting lower | 3.5 |
| 13. | RPT.MT | when the to? | --- |
| 14 | SUGG.TR. 1 | when toasting only a slice |  |
| 15. | RPT.MT | when the toasting | 1.3 |
| 16. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | when toasting only a slice | 1.9 |
| 17. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | you should therefore | 1.4 |
| 18. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | a sl |  |
| 19. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | when toasting only one slice | 1.2 |
| 20. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | of bread |  |
| 21. | COMM. | you could say | 13.5 |
| 22. | COMM. | $\mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r})$ | ... |
| 23. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | you should therefore select one setting | 1.1 |
| 24. | RPT.STXI | daher bein Toasten | 1.5 |
| 25. | RPT.STXT | die nachstniedrigere Einstellung der Braunung | 1.6 |
| 26. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | you should | 3.4 |
| 27. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | there | 2.8 |
| 28. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | select | 2.3 |
| 29. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | one | 2.0 |
| 30. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 1 | setting lower of the browning? | 1.8 |
| 30. | SUGG.TR. 2 | one browning setting lower | 4.8 |
| 31. | COMM. | $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{a})$ | 10.3 |

PP III
PPV: When toasting one slice only you should therefore select one setting lower

STEP GATEGORY
UTTERANCE
PAUSE

| $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 2 . \end{aligned}$ | COMA. RD. STXT | sixteen <br> sollten daher beim Toasten von nur einer Scheibe die nachstniedrigere Einstellung der Braunung wahlen |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. | RPT.STXT | Sie sollten |  |
| 4. | RD.MT | you were to |  |
| 5 | COMM. | ha(am) that's wrong of course! |  |
| 6. | RD.MT | therefore |  |
| 7. | RPT.STXT | daher | 1.0 |
| 8. | RD.MT | select the one setting lower of the browning when the toasting only a slice |  |
| 9. | COMM | what's this about |  |
| 10. | RPT.MT | when the toasting? |  |
| 11. | COMM. | it's all wrong! | 1.8 |
| 12. | COMM. | em(r) I would just just say |  |
| 13. | SUGG.TR. 1 | you should therefore | 7.2 |
| 14. | COMM. | no! | 1.3 |
| 15. | COMM. | different | 1.8 |
| 16. | SUGG.TR. 2 | when you toast one slice only | 2.6 |
| 17. | COMM. | comma | 1.1 |
| 18. | CONT. SUGG . TR. 2 | you should therefore | 1.4 |
| 19. | CONT.SUGG. TR. 2 | select | 1.6 |
| 20. | CONT. SUGG. TR 2 | one | 1.5 |
| 21 | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | setting | 1.4 |
| 22. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | lower |  |
| 23. | COMM. | I think that would be obvious which setting we're talking about there only one thing you can sec there | 1.5 |
| 24. | COMM. | and instead of when you coast maybe | ... |
| 25 | SUGG.TR. 3 | when toasting one slice only |  |
| 26 | СОММ. | that sounds nicer | 2.2 |


| PP IV |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PPV: | Select one set single slice | ting lower on the dial/knob when toastin fread |  |
| STE? | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| 1. | cothr | number alxteen |  |
| 2. | RD. STXT | Sie sollten daher bein Toasten von nur einer Scheibe die nachstniedrigere Einstellung der Bratunung wahlen | $\cdots$ |
| 3. | comy | oh that's cleverl I didn't know that right although it's pretty sensible | 1.7 |
| 4. | Comm. | eh(r) this translation is useless | 1.7 |
| 5. | COMM | well half-useless | 1.3 |
| 6. | COMM. | em( r ) | 1.1 |
| 7. | COMM. | I think probably just straight |  |
| 8. | SUGG.TR. 1 | select | 3.3 |
| 9. | COMM. | em( 5 ) | 2.8 |
| 10. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 1 | select | 1.4 |
| 11. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | one | 1.8 |
| 12. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | setting | 1.0 |
| 13. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | lower | 2.0 |
| 14. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | on the | 1.2 |
| 15. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | dial or knob | $\cdots$ |
| 16. | COMM. | that depends on the model | 1.7 |
| 17. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | select one setting lower on the dial or knob when | 1.3 |
| 18. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | toasting | 1.7 |
| 19. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | a single slice of | 1.8 |
| 20. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | bread | 1.7 |

ST: Beim HT 55 mißt der eingebaute Sensor die Oberflächentemperatur des Brotes und steuert danach die Toastzeit

MT: In the case of HT 55, the built-in sensor measures the surface temperature of bread and controls the toasting time after this

The method of resolving the "in the case of"/"bei" construction which has been used in the versions of this TU produced by PPs I and IV has not been employed in any other $T U$. In the MT and the ST, "in the case of + NP"/"bei + NP" constituted prepositional phrases and the subjects of the sentences were "der eingebaute Sensor"/"the built-in sensor". However, PPs I and IV have shifted the emphasis slightly by conferring subject status on "the HT 55 (model)". PPs II and II, on the other hand, chose to retain the "in the case of" structure, one adding a definite article before "HT 55". This TU is the only one in which the MT occurrence of "in the case of" was evidently considered acceptable by one or more PPs.

PP I
PPV: The HT 55 has a built-in sensor which measures the surface temperature of the bread and from this controls the toasting time/the length of the toasting process

PP IV
PPV: The HT 55 model has a built-in sensor which measures the surface temperature of the bread and regulates the toasting time

PP I (page 103) declares in Step 17 that "in the case of is wrong!" and tentatively suggests "with". This is followed by a proposal with "the HT 55" as the subject, "the built-in sensor" as the
object, and a relative clause to express the characteristics of the latter. Step 21 expresses the PP's satisfaction with this proposed post-edited version.

PP IV (pages 104-5) begins by accepting the MT "in the case of", adding a definite article and "model" (Steps 9 and 10). He then voices a suspicion that this may be a Germanic construction and in Step 13 begins a new version with "the HT 55 model" as subject, thus producing a sentence which is syntactically similar to PP I's.

PP I
PPV: The HT 55 has a built-in sensor which measures the surface temperature of the bread and from this controls the toasting time/the length of the toasting process

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM | eighteen | 4.6 |
| 2. | RD. STXT | beim HT five five | 1.1 |
| 3. | RD. STXT | mibt der eingebaute Sensor die Oberflachentemperatur des Brotes und steuert danach die | 2.4 |
| 4. | RD.MT | In the case of HT five five | 1.3 |
| 5. | RD.MT | the built-in sensor | 1.5 |
| 6. | RD.MT | measures | 1.5 |
| 7. | RD.MT | the surface temperature | 2.2 |
| 8. | RD.MT | of the bread | 1.2 |
| 9. | RD.MT | and controls | 1.1 |
| 10. | RD. MT | the toasting time after this | 1.2 |
| 11. | RPT.MT | in the case of HT five five the builtin sensor | 1.2 |
| 12. | RPT.MT | measures | 1.3 |
| 13. | RPT.MT | the surface temperature of the bread and controls the taosting time after this | 1.1 |
| 14. | COMM. | $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ | 1.6 |
| 15. | COMA. | yeh let me see |  |
| 16. | RPT.MT | in the case of | 1.4 |
| 17. | COMM. | In the case of is wrong! |  |
| 18. | SUGG.TR. 1 | with? | 1.0 |
| 19. | SUGG.TR. 2 | the HT five five | 1.7 |
| 20. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | has a built-in sensor which measures | 2.5 |
| 21. | COMM. | right | 2.7 |
| 22. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 2 | the HT five five | 2.4 |
| 23. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 2 | has a built-in | 3.9 |
| 24. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 2 | sensor | 4.2 |
| 25. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 2 | which measures | 4.7 |
| 26. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | the surface temperature | 5.2 |
| 27. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | of the bread |  |
| 28. | COMM. | need a def need a definite article there | 1.5 |
| 29. | RPT.MT | and controls the toasting time after this | 3.1 |
| 30. | RPT.MT | and control |  |
| 30. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | and from this controls? |  |
| 31. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | and from this |  |
| 32. | COMM. | am I using this controls | 1.4 |
| 33. | CONT. COMM. | or in this way | 2.0 |
| 34. | COMM. | hm(r) I don't know | --- |
| 35. | SUGG.TR. 3 | in this way |  |
| 36. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | and from this controls | 8.3 |
| 37. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 2 | and from this | 2.4 |
| 38. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 2 | controls | 2.3 |
| 39. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | the toasting time | 2.4 |
| 40. | RPT. SUGG.IR. 2 | toasting time | 2.9 |
| 41. | SUGG.TR. 4 | the duration of toasting? | 8.6 |
| 42. | RPTU.SUGG. TR. 2 | the HT five five has a built-in sensor which measures the surface temperature of the bread and from this controls the toasting time | 3.7 |
| 43. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | toasting time | 1.6 |
| 44 | SUGG.TR. 5 | the length of the toasting | 1.5 |
| 45. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 5 | process | 3.2 |
| 46 | COMM. | hm(a) | 1.0 |

PP IV
PPV: The HT 55 model has built-in sensor which measures the surface temperature of the bread and regulates the toasting tine


| 56. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 2 | of the bread | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | and | 4.0 |
| 58. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | controls the toasting time | 3.2 |
| 59. | SUGG. TR. 4 | regulates | 1.6 |
| 60. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | which measures the surface temperature of the bread | 1.1 |
| 61. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 4 | and regulates | -.- |
| 62. | COMM. | I prefer regulates which wasn't in the dictionary | 1.2 |
| 63. | COMP. | it just said control |  |
| 64. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 4 | and regulates the | 1.3 |
| 65. | CONT . SUGG.TR. 4 | toasting | 1.2 |
| 66. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 4 | time | 2.7 |
| 67. | COMA. | for a joke I shall look up regulata and see if it's in this dictionary at all as steuern | 4.3 |
| 68. | CONT . COMM. | to check back the other way a wellknowa translator trick | 1.4 |
| 69. | COMM. | regulate here we are on page five four one in the same dictionary | 1.2 |
| 70. | COHAS. | and regulate says | 1.1 |
| 71. | COMA. | regulace says | 1.1 |
| 72. | COMP | In the sense of control | --- |
| 73. | RD.RB | regulieren | 1.2 |
| 74. | RD.RB | flow expenditure traffic ifestyle | 1.4 |
| 75. | RD. RB | these things happen in even the best-regulated families! | 1.6 |
| 76. | RD RB | machine or mechanisi regulieren clock richtig stellen |  |
| 17. | COMM. | so obviously possible but not sort of | 1.0 |
| 78. | COMM. | very obvious | 2.0 |
| 79. | cosm. | still I prefer that | -.. |
| $B 0$. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 4 | and regulates the toasting time | 1.9 |

## (vi) TRANSLATION UNIT 20

ST: Bei gleicher Brotsorte sorgt der Toaster für gleichbleibende Bräunung, gleich ob das Brot frisch, alter oder gefroren ist

MT: In the case of same bread sort, the toaster provides soon whether the bread is fresh for invariable browning, older or frozen ist

Here, three PPs changed "in the case of" to a subordinate clause, introduced by "when" (PPs I and III), and by "if" (PP IV). PP II resolved this problem by using a different preposition ("for"), and therefore without deviating from the nominal construction of the MT .

PP I
PPV: When the same type of bread is being used, regardless of whether it is fresh, not so fresh or frozen, the toaster will try to obtain the same degree of browning

## PP III

PPV: When the same kind of bread is used, the toaster makes sure that the colour is the same whether the bread is fresh, slightly older or deep-frozen

PP IV

PPV: If you use the same sort of bread (daily) it will be toasted the same whether it is fresh, a couple of days old or out of the deep freeze

PP I's first reaction to the less than coherent MT is Step 3:
"yuck!" (page 108). Step 7 registers her understanding of the
ST/MT and Step 8 sees the beginning of a translation suggestion, an
"if..." clause. This is repeated in Step 20 and the second part of the TU , the main clause, causes some difficulty. (The comment in Step 20 is an indication of this: "how am I gonna say this?")

This is followed by a "when..." clause (in Step 33), with exactly the same form as the previous "if..." clause, namely a progressive passive. Step 34 ("okay") is a comment possibly expressing satisfaction with the new suggestion, but this is followed by a wavering between "when" and "if", an issue which is resolved in Step 37 where "when" is adopted.

PP III (page 109) does not hesitate in using a "when..." clause introduced first in Step 8 and then modified in Step 9 to "when the same kind of bread is used". PP IV (page 109) begins his translation suggestion in Step 4 with "if you" and gradually expands this to form a complete active subordinate clause: "if you use the same sort of bread" (Step 9).

## PP I

PPV: When the same type of bread is being used, regardlesa of whether it ia fresh, not so fresh or frozen, the toaster will try to obtain the same degree of browning

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | RD. STXT | bei gleicher Brotsorte sorgt der Toaster far Braunung gleich ob das Brot frisch alter oder gefroren ist |  |
| 2. | RD.MT | in the case of s of the of same bread sort the toaster provides soon whether the bread is fresh for invariable browning older or frozen ist |  |
| 3. | COMM. | yuck! | 1.9 |
| 4. | COMM. | hm( 5 ) | 6.1 |
| 5. | RPT.MT | in the case of same bread sorts | 1.7 |
| 6. | RPT.STXT | sorgt der Toaster für gleichbleibende Braunung | 2.3 |
| 7. | СОMM. | oh I see! | 1.0 |
| 8. | SUGG.TR. 1 | if the same type of bread is being used the toaster | 1.5 |
| 9. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | the toaster | 2.9 |
| 10. | COMM. | puh! |  |
| 11. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | takes the same degree of browning | 1.4 |
| 12. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | regardless of whether | 1.0 |
| 13. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | the bread is fresh | 2.2 |
| 14. | RPT.STXT | alter | 1.3 |
| 15 | COMM. | hm( r ) | -.. |
| 16. | RPT. STXT | alter? | 2.5 |
| 17. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | not so fresh or frozen | 14.6 |
| 18. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | if | 6.5 |
| 19. | RPT.MT | In the case of the same bread |  |
| 20. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | if the same type of bread is being used | 3.2 |
| 21. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 1 | the toaster | 1.4 |
| 22. | COMM. | hm(r) that sentence | --- |
| 23. | RPT.MT | the toaster provides | 9.9 |
| 24 | RPT.MT | the toaster |  |
| 25 | COMM. | (sighs) | 4.7 |
| 26. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 1 | if the same type of bread is being used regardless of whether it is fresh not so fresh or frozen the toaster | 3.4 |
| 27. | SUGG.TR. 2 | the toaster tries to | 4.9 |
| 28 | COMM. | hm( r ) | 6.6 |
| 29 | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | if the same type of bread is being used | 2.5 |
| 30. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | if the same type of bread is being used the toaster | 2.2 |
| 30. | RPT.MT | provides |  |
| 31. | COMM. | (sighs) | 2.5 |
| 32. | COMM. | how am I gonna say this? | 8.2 |
| 33. | SUGG.TR. 3 | when the same type of bread is being used | 3.8 |
| 34 | COMM. | okay | 1.8 |
| 35. | COMA. | when or if? | 6.2 |
| 36. | RPT.SUGG.TR.I | 12 |  |
| 37. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 3 | when the same type of bread is being used | 7.3 |
| 38. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | regardless | 11.3 |
| 39. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | of whether it is | 1.9 |
| 40. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 1 | fresh | 1.8 |
| 41. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | not so fresh | 4.3 |
| 42. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | frozen the toaster | 2.9 |
| 43. | SUGG.TR. 4 | will try | 3.1 |
| 44. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 4 | to obtain | 1.7 |
| 45. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 4 | the same degree of browning | 4.0 |
| 46. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 4 | the same degree | 1.9 |
| 47. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 4 | of browning | 6.0 |
| 48. | COMM. | yeh(r) | 3.3 |
| 49. | COMM. | maybe I should say |  |
| 50. | SUGG.TR.S | fresh not so fresh frozen bread at the same time | 2.4 |
| 51. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 4 | is being used the toaster will try to obtain the same degree of browning | 8.0 |
| 52. | COMM. | $h m(r)$ | 1.6 |
| 53. | COMM . | changing the sense there | 1.8 |
| 54. | COMM. | (sighs) | 5.3 |
| 55. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 3 | when the same type of bread is being used | 1.5 |
| 56. | COMM . | I think that's okay I don't think I've changed it | 2.8 |

PP III
PPV: When the same kind of bread is used, the toaster makes sure that the colour is the same whether the bread is fresh, slightly older or deep-frozen

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | comm. | twenty |  |
| 2. | RD.STXT | bei gleicher Brotsorte |  |
| 3. | RD.MT | in the case of same bread sort |  |
| 4. | COMM. | hahaha (am) | 1.6 |
| 5. | COMM. | em( $r$ ) | 1.5 |
| 6. | RD.STXT | sorgt der Toaster gleichbleibende Braunung frisch alter oder gefroren ist | 1.7 |
| 7. | COMM. | alright | 2.1 |
| 8. | SUGG.TR. 1 | when the same bread sort is |  |
| 9. | SUGG.TR. 2 | when the same kind of bread is used | 3.0 |
| 10. | SUGG.TR. 3 | same type of bread |  |
| 11. | COMM. | or |  |
| 12. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | same kind of bread is used | 2.9 |
| 13. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | the | 3.1 |
| 14. | RPT.MT | toaster provides soon whether the bread is fresh |  |
| 15. | comas. | hmhm(am) | . |
| 16. | RPT.MT | for invariable browning |  |
| 17. | COMM. | they've even split provides for invariable browning up in the middle! | 2.1 |
| 18. | COMA. | well I would say |  |
| 19. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | the toaster | 1.9 |
| 20. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | makes | 1.4 |
| 21. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | sure | 2.1 |
| 22. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | that the | 1.3 |
| 23. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | colour is the same | 3.1 |
| 24. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | whether | 4.8 |
| 25. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | the bread is | 2.4 |
| 26. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | fresh slightly | 2.3 |
| 27. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | older | 1.4 |
| 28. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | or | -. - |
| 29. | COMM. | and of course we wouldn't just say frozen we would say deep-frozen | 6.5 |
| 30. | COMM. | alright | 1.4 |

PP IV
PPV: If you use the same sort of bread (daily) it will be toasted the same whether it is fresh, a couple of days old or out of the deep freeze


## (vii) TRANSLATION UNIT 25

ST: Bei Verwendung des Brötchenaufsatzes schaltet der Toaster unabhängig vom Regler automatisch auf eine Festzeit um

MT: The toaster changes over independently of the controller during use of the grid for rolls automatically to a fixed time

Here, METAL has not produced the usual "in the case of". Instead,
it has translated "bei Verwendung" as "during use". However, all
four PPs decided to introduce a "when..." subordinate clause to render this in their versions.

PP I
PPV: When this grid for bread rolls is being used the toaster decides on a toasting time, independent of the regulator

PP II
PPV: When you are using the grid for the rolls the toaster automatically changes over independent of the controller to a fixed time

PP III
PPV: When using the fitting for toasting rolls the toaster automatically switches to a fixed time/standard time independently of the controller/setting of the controller

PP IV
PPV: When the grid for rolls is in position the toaster automatically switches over from sensor operation to a fixed toasting time

PP I (page 112) introduces a "when..." clause in Step 7, and his initial version is a contracted active form which is subsequently converted to a progressive passive form in Step 12. PP II (page
113) begins her version with a "when..." clause in Step 21 and
continues it in Steps 22 and 23 . This is an active clause with "you" as the subject.

PP III (page 114), in Step 5, comments that the "whole order of words is wrong here", and in Step 6 begins the TU with a "when..." subordinate clause. PP IV (page 115) obviously comes to a decision similar to $P P$ III's concerning reordering of the $T U$. He chooses to begin the TU with "during use of". However, he expresses doubt about this in Step 9: "maybe I wouldn't say that at all but still", and, having failed to justify his decision sufficiently and obviously feeling unduly influenced by the MT, he elects to discard this version in Step 10 where he says "no $I$ wouldn't say that at all that's rubbish". As a result of this he introduces a "when..." subordinate clause in Steps 11 to 13 .

PP I
PPV: When this grid for bread rolls is being used the toaster decides on a toasting time, independent of the regulator

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM. | twenty-five | 2.3 |
| 2 | RD. STXT | bei Verwendung des Brotchenaufsatzes schaltet der Toaster unabhangig von Regler automatisch auf eine Festzeit um |  |
| 3. | RD.MT | the toaster changes over independently |  |
| 4. | COMM. | (clears throat) | -- |
| 5. | RD.MT | of the controller during use of the grid for rolls automatically | 1.3 |
| 6. | RPT. STXT | bei Verwendung | -. - |
| 7. | SUGG.TR. 1 | when using the | 1.7 |
| 8. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | bread roll grid |  |
| 9. | COMM . | ahl | --- |
| 10. | RPT.STXT | schaltet der Toaster unabhangig vom Regler | 3.1 |
| 11. | RPT.STXT | automatisch auf | 3.9 |
| 12 | SUGG.TR. 2 | when the grid for rolls are being used the roaster | 6.5 |
| 13. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | decides independently | 1.3 |
| 14 | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | when | 3.2 |
| 15. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | the grid | 1.7 |
| 16. | SUGG.TR. 3 | when this grid | 1.5 |
| 17. | COMM. | connect back to the last sentence |  |
| 18. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 3 | when this grid for rolls | 4.1 |
| 19 | CONT.SUGG.TR. 3 | is being used | 2.9 |
| 20. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 3 | the toaster | 2.6 |
| 21. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 3 | decides independent? | 2.4 |
| 22. | COMM. | decides independently or independent? | 3.2 |
| 23. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 3 | when this grid for bread rolls is being used the toaster decides | 1.4 |
| 24. | SUGG.TR. 4 | independently |  |
| 25. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 3 | independent | 3.5 |
| 26. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 3 | when this grid for bread rolls is being used the toaster | 2.1 |
| 27. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 4 | decides independently? | 1.5 |
| 28. | SUGG. TR. 5 | decides on the toasting time independent | 1.4 |
| 29. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 5 | independent | 1.5 |
| 30. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 5 | dependent | 1.6 |
| 31. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 5 | pendent | 2.3 |
| 32. | CONI. SUGG. TR. 5 | independent of | 6.8 |
| 33. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 5 | the regulator | 3.5 |
| 34. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 5 | the regulator | 2.2 |
| 35. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 3 | when this grid for bread | --- |
| 36. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 3 | bread rolls is being used the toaster decides on the toasting time | 1.8 |
| 37. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 5 | independent of the regulator | 14.1 |
| 38. | COMM. | ah dear! | 1.5 |
| 39. | RPT.SUGG. TR. 3 | when this grid for bread rolls is being used the toaster decides on the toasting time comma independent of the regulator | 3.2 |
| 40. | COMM. | be more clear if I put in a comma but | 1.0 |
| 41. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 3 | when this g=id for bread rolls | 2.6 |
| 42. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 3 | toaster | 5.8 |
| 43. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 3 | the toaster decides on the toasting time | 8.9 |
| 44. | COMM. | tm( $r$ ) | 3.3 |
| 45. | COMM. | oh It'll do: | $\cdots$ - |

PP II
PPV: When you are using the grid for the rolls the toaster automatically changes over independent of the controller to a fixed time

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | * |  |  |
| 1. | RD.MT | the toaster changes over independently of the controller during use of the |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | grid for rolls | 2.2 |
| 2. | RD.MT | automatically to a fixed time | 3.6 |
| 3. | RD.STXT | bei Verwendung des Brotchenaufsatzes | 7.7 |
| 4 | RPT.MT | the toaster changes over | - - |
| 5. | RD.STXT | der Toaster | 1.1 |
| 6. | RPT.MT | the 'toaster changes over | 2.4 |
| 7. | SUGG.TR. 1 | automatically changes over | 19.4 |
| 8. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | toaster automatically changes over | 4.2 |
| 9. | RD.STXI | unabhangig | 1.5 |
| 10. | RPT.STXT | unab | … |
| 11. | COMM. | oh! | 2.7 |
| 12. | COMM | no | 2.4 |
| 13. | COMM. | that's wrong |  |
| 14. | SUGG.TR, 2 | the toaster changes over independently |  |
| 15. | RPT.MT | during use of the grid for rolls | 4.6 |
| 16. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | automatically changes over to | 1.0 |
| 17. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | a Eixed | 5.1 |
| 18. | RD.STXT | auf eine Festzeit | 4.3 |
| 19. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | toaster automatically changes over to a fixed time | 5.7 |
| 20. | COMM. | no | 1.2 |
| 21 | SUGG . TR, 3 | when | 1.3 |
| 22. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 3 | you are using | 4.9 |
| 23. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 3 | the grid for the rolls | 13.5 |
| 24. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 3 | the toaster automatically changes over to a fixed time | 5.8 |
| 25. | CONT.SUGG. TR. 3 | independent | 4.0 |
| 26. | SUGG. TR. 4 | independently of the controller? | 10.9 |
| 27. | SUGG. TR. 5 | without the | 4.8 |
| 28. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 3 | to a fixed time | 7.1 |
| 29. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 4 | independently of the controller | 3.8 |
| 30. | RPT.SUGG. TR. 4 | independently | 5.1 |
| 31. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 3 | Independent from the | 2.0 |
| 32 | RPT. SUGG.TR. 3 | changes over independent | 4.7 |
| 33. | CON' . SUGG . TR. 3 | from the controller | 5.0 |
| 34. | COMM. | put that up there | --- |
| 35. | RPT.SUGG.IR. 3 | changes over independent from the controller to a fixed time | 9.9 |

PP 111
PPV: When using the fitting for toasting rolls the toaster automatically switches to a fixed time/standard time independently of the controller/setting of the controller

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | pause |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM. | alright |  |
| 2. | RD.STXT | bei Verwendung des Brotchenaufsatzes | 2.4 |
| 3. |  | schaltet der Toaster unabhangig vom Regler automatisch auf eine Festzeit un | ... |
| 4. | RD.MT | the toaster changes over independently of the controller during use of the grid for rolls automatically to a fixed time |  |
| 5. | COMM. | no the whole order of words is wrong here I would say | 1.5 |
| 6. | SUGG.TR.1 | when using | 3.6 |
| 7. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | a fitting for toasting rolls | 8.6 |
| 8. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | rolls | 2.9 |
| 9. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | the toaster | 6.5 |
| 10. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | automatically | 2.7 |
| 11. | RPT.MT | changes over? | -.. |
| 12. | COMM. | no! |  |
| 13. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | automatically | 1.5 |
| 14. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | switches to | 1.7 |
| 15. | CONT.SUGG.TR.I | a fixed | 4.6 |
| 16. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | time | 1.1 |
| 17 | COMM. | perhaps also |  |
| 18. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | to a standard time | 3.4 |
| 19. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | automatically switches to a fixed time | 1.2 |
| 20. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | standard time | 1.0 |
| 21. | RPT.STXT | unabhăngig vom Regler | -.. |
| 22. | COMM. | I chink if it's automatically then it's obvious that it has nothing to do with the controller | 1.7 |
| 23. | CONT. COMM. | but I would em(r) | 1.8 |
| 24 | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | fixed time standard time |  |
| 25. | COMM. | alright we could put | --- |
| 26 | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | independently | 3.0 |
| 27. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | of the controller | 3.7 |
| 28 | COMM. | or perhaps | 1.3 |
| 29 | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | setting of the controller | 1.9 |
| 30. | SUGG.TR. 2 | of the control | -. |
| 31. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | of the controller | -.. |
| 32. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | controller |  |
| 33. | COMM. | $h m(r)$ | 2.3 |

## PP IV

PPV: When the grid for rolls is in position the toaster automatically switches over from sensor operation to a fixed toasting time

"VOR + NP"

## (i) TRANSLATION UNIT 6(i)

ST: Schalten Sie den Toaster vor dem Erstgebrauch durch Herunterdrücken der Lifttaste zunächst einige Male ohne Brot bei geöffnetem Fenster ein

MT: Pre-connect you the toaster before the primary use through pressing down lift key at first some times without bread in the case of opened window ein

Brinkmann discusses the "recent" (writing in 1962) realisation of the historic and systematic significance of nominal formulations in German and the growing tendency:
"...Sätze einfacher Grundstruktur zu bilden, die eine Fülle nominaler Glieder enthalten. Solche Sätze werden in ihrer Kapazität nicht durch verbale Prägungen erhöht, die zu einem Satzgefüge führen (also zu Sätzen mit mehrfacher Personalform), sondern dadurch, daß mögliche Prädikationen durch substantivische oder adjektivische Gruppen repräsentiert werden" [7].

This is certainly the case in TU 6. The "Grundstruktur" of the ST is "Schalten Sie den Toaster ein", a simple sentence with subject, verb and object, but through the addition of nominalised and adjectival prepositional phrases ("vor dem Erstgebrauch", "durch Herunterdrücken der Lifttaste", "ohne Brot" and "bei geöffnetem Fenster"), its informational value is augmented.

The length of this TU in the ST - and hence also in the MT - and the concentration of nominal forms within one TU leads to a rather unacceptable English sentence. This sentence is, perhaps arguably, comprehensible but is stylistically catastrophic, a judgement which is shared by PP IV and echoed in his exclamations of Step 4: " oh God! sounds like Monty Python", and Step 8: "this is hopeless

```
isn't it?"
```

TU 6 contains three prepositional phrases which were converted by some/all of the participants to verbal ones; (i) "before the primary use", (ii) "through pressing down" and (iii) "without bread". The first is of relevance here. 6 (ii) and 6 (iii) will be discussed at a later stage.

## PP I

PPV: Before using the toaster for the first time, switch it on by pressing the button marked lift. Use the toaster a few times without actually putting in bread. This must be done in a room with the window open

PP II
PPV: Before using the toaster for the first time switch it on by pressing down the lift key. Do this at first a few times beside an open window without using bread

PP III
PPV: Before using the toaster for the first time switch on the empty toaster a few times with the toaster window open

PP IV
PPV: Before use the toaster should be put into action several times without any bread in the slots and after having opened a nearby window

PP I (page 119) repeats the ST "vor dem Erstgebrauch" in Step 24 and in Step 26 introduces the gerundial "before first using". This is then modified in Step 28 to "before using the toaster for the first time". This "before the primary use" does not seem to pose a problem and the $P P$ seems to realise very quickly that this could, and perhaps would be expressed verbally in English. Greenwood quotes a similar example:

- "vor dem Vertrieb oder der erstmaligen Verwendung...

der Meßgerăte<br>- before measuring instruments are placed on the market or used for the first time<br>- before/prior to the marketing or first use of the measuring instruments" [8].

The first English version is the one which figured in the official text and the second is the alternative version retaining the nominalised forms of the original German. He comments that the translation using nouns seems "quite acceptable" but involves a minor shift of emphasis - if nouns are used emphasis is on the measuring instruments, and not the notion that this use/marketing is the first one. In view of this, it would seem that the version produced by PP I places more emphasis on "for the first time".

PP II (page 120) introduces a "before..." subordinate clause ("before using it") in Steps 12 and 13. This is consolidated in Step 27 with a more complete clause: "before using the toaster for the first time". PP III (page 121), when reading the MT, comments on and corrects it section by section. In Step 8 he reads the MT "before the primary use", and the comment "no" (Step 9) is immediately followed by a suggested gerundial clause: "before using it for the first time".

PP IV (page 122) processes TU 6 in a different manner to the other PPs. Unlike PPs I, II, and III he does not convert the nominal "before the primary use" to a verbal construction. Instead he modifies the phrase to "before use" (Steps 13 and 14), in the belief that this constitutes "the standard English collocation for this sort of situation" (Step 15).

PP I
PPV: Before using the toaster for the first time, switch it on by pressing the butcon marked lift. Use the toaster a few times without actually putting in bread. This must be done in a room with the window open.

| STEP | Category | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM | number six | *- |
| 2. | RD. STXT | schalten Sfe den Toaster |  |
| 3. | COMM. | $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{I})$ | $\cdots$ |
| 4 | COMM. | hahm (a) | ... |
| 5. | COMM | (clears throat) |  |
| 6. | CONT.RD.STXT | zunachst einige Male ohne Brot bei geōfftem Fenster ein | 1.2 |
| 7. | RD.MT | precorrec precorrect you |  |
| 8. | COMM | wrong |  |
| 9. | CONT.RD.MT | the cosster before the primary use through pressing down | 1.9 |
| 10. | CONT RD.MT | the key | 2.2 |
| 11. | CONT.RD.MT | at first some times without bread in the case of open window | 1.0 |
| 12. | COMM. | hm(am) | 3.9 |
| 13. | RPT.STXT | schalten Sie den Toaster | 1.3 |
| 14. | RPT.MT | pre-connect you |  |
| 15. | RPT.STXT | vor dem Erstgebrauch | 2.6 |
| 16. | RPT.MT | pre-connect you the toaster before the primary use | 6.4 |
| 17. | CONT. RPT. MT | through pressing down the lift key | 2.9 |
| 18. | RPT. MT | pre-connect | 3.3 |
| 19. | SUGG.TR. 1 | switch on |  |
| 20. | COMM. | or | 1.0 |
| 21 | COMM. | $h m(r)$ | 13.9 |
| 22. | SUGG.TR. 2 | put the toaster | 1.7 |
| 23. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | into operation | 2.5 |
| 24. | RPT.STXT | vor dem Erstgebrauch |  |
| 25. | COMM | (sighs) | 3.9 |
| 26. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | before first using | 2.5 |
| 27. | CONT.SUGG. TR. 2 | before first using the toaster | 2.8 |
| 28. | SUGG. TR. 3 | before using the coaster for the first time | 15.4 |
| 29. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 3 | before using toaster for the first time | 11.4 |
| 30. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 3 | switch it on | 2.1 |
| 31 | CONT. SUGG. TR. 3 | by pressing | 5.3 |
| 32 | CONT. SUGG. TR. 3 | pressing the | 1.0 |
| 33. | RPT. STXT | Lifttaste? | 2.3 |
| 34. | RPT. MT | the lift key? | 3.2 |
| 35 | COMM. | hm( r ) | 2.4 |
| 36 | CONT R RPT . MT | at first sone times without bread in the case of open window |  |
| 37. | RPT.STXT | zunachst einige Mal | 4.2 |
| 38 | RFT. MT | at first some times without bread in the case of open windows |  |
| 39 | RFT MT | in the case of | --- |
| 40 | COMM. | that's wrong | 1.0 |
| 41. | CONT.SUGG. TR. 3 | with the window open | 2.0 |
| 42. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 3 | before using toaster for the first time switch it on by pressing the | 2.3 |
| 43. | RPT. STXT | lifttaste? | 15.7 |
| 44 | RPT. MT | pra-connect you the toaster before | 7.6 |
| 45. | RPT. STXI | zunachst einige Mal | 4.6 |
| 46. | COMM. | then | 9.7 |
| 47. | CONT. SUGG . TR. 3 | use the toaster a few times | 10.5 |
| 48. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 3 | without actually | 7.3 |
| 49. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 3 | putting in bread | 1.2 |
| 50. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 3 | this must be done | 7.7 |
| 51. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 3 | with the window open | 1.0 |
| 52. | COMM | (sighs) | 4.4 |
| 53. | RPT. SUGG . TR. 3 | window open | 2.2 |
| 34. | RPT.SUGG. TR. 3 | before using the roaster for the first time suitch it on by pressing the |  |
| 55 | RPT S STXT | Lifttaste | 30.6 |
| 56. | RPT. STXT | Liftraste |  |
| 57. | COMM. | (sighs) | 2.1 |
| 58. | RD. RB | liften to lift | 3.5 |
| 59 | COMM. | lift or (? noist) |  |
| 60. | SUGG. TR. 4 | the lift bution | 2.9 |
| 61. | COMM. | hmhmo (a) | 2.8 |
| 62 | RPT. SUGG TR. 3 | before using toaster for the first time switch it on by pressing the | 10.4 |
| 63. | COMM. | hm( r ) | --- |
| 64 | SUGG. TR. 5 | the button marked | 2.0 |
| 65 | CONT. SUGG. TR. 5 | lift | 9.0 |
| 66 | RPT. SUGG. TR. 3 | use the toaster a few times without actually putring in bread this must be done in a room | 1.0 |
| 67 | CONT. SUGG TR. 3 | with the open | 1.0 |
| 68. | CONT SUGG.TR. 3 | the window opened | 1.3 |
| 69. | COMM. | (sighs) | 5.7 |

PP II
PPV: Before using the toaster for the first time switch it on by pressing down the lift koy. Do this at first a few times beside an open window without using bread.

STEP CATEGORY
UTTERANCE
PAUSE

Hauptlauf

| 1. | RD. STXT | schalten Sie den Toaster vor dem Erstgebrauch durch Herunterdrücken | 1.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | RD. MT | pre-connect you the toaster before the primary use? | 1.7 |
| 3. | CONT.RD.MT | through pressing down lift key |  |
| 4. | COMM. | ugh! | 3.5 |
| 5 | CONT.RD.MT | at first some times without bread in the case of opened window |  |
| 6 | COMM. | (laughs) | 1.6 |
| 7. | COMM. | oh my Godl | 1.5 |
| 8. | COMM. | okay |  |
| 9. | RPT.STXT | schalten Sie den Toaster | 4.3 |
| 10. | RPT.MT | pre-connect you the toaster? |  |
| 11. | RPT.STXT | vor dem Erst | 3.1 |
| 12. | SUGG.TR. 1 | plug in the toaster before | 2.8 |
| 13. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | before using it | 3.2 |
| 14. | RPT.STXT | durch Herunterdrücken der Lifttaste | 1.6 |
| 15. | RPT.MT | through pressing down |  |
| 16. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | by pressing down | 3.6 |
| 17. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | the lift key | 1.1 |
| 18. | COMM. | I don't know whether that's right I suppose |  |
| 19. | RD. STXT | zunảchst einige Male ohne Brot | 3.2 |
| 20. | RPT.MT | first some times without bread | 1.3 |
| 21. | RD. STXT | bei offenem Fenster ein? | 6.3 |
| 22 | RPT. STXT | bei offenem Fenster? | 1.1 |
| 23. | RPT.MT | in the case of opened windows? | 2.8 |
| 24. | COMM. | where's the window come into it? | 1.3 |
| 25. | COMM. | hm (u) |  |
| 26. | COMM. | okay | 7.1 |
| 27 | SUGG.TR. 2 | before using the toaster for the first time | 22.1 |
| 28. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | switch | 13.0 |
| 29. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | switch it | 1.0 |
| 30 | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | on by pressing down | 5.8 |
| 31 | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | the lift | 1.0 |
| 32. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | key | 8.0 |
| 33. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | before using the toaster for the first time switch it on by pressing down the lift key | 3.3 |
| 34. | RPT.MT | at first some times without bread in the case of opened window | 11.9 |
| 35. | RPT.MT | at first some times | --- |
| 36. | COMM. | start a new sentence |  |
| 37. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | do this | 5.8 |
| 38. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | at first | 2.0 |
| 39. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | a few times | 3.1 |
| 40. | CONT. SUGG .TR. 2 | without bread | 5.2 |
| 41 | RPT.STXT | bei off geōffnetem Fenster | 1.9 |
| 42. | RPT.MT | in the case of opened window? | 2.3 |
| 43. | RPT.STXT | bei geöffnetem Fenster | --- |
| 44 | COMM. | or maybe it means | 2.6 |
| 45. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | beside an open window | 1.8 |
| 46. | COMM. | doesn't make much sense either | 1.4 |
| 47. | COMM. | em( $r$ ) | 1.1 |
| 48. | COMM. | yeh | 13.3 |

Nachlauf

| RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | a few times without | 1.4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| SUGG.TR.3 | using bread beside an open window | 5.2 |
| RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | do this a few times | 4.9 |
| COMM. | put that up there <br> do this a few times beside an open | .-- |
|  | window without using bread | 6.3 |

PP III
PPV: Before using the toaster for the first time gwitch on the empty toaster a few times with the window open,

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | Pause |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM. | number six |  |
| 2. | RD. STXT | schalten Sie den Toaster | 2.4 |
| 3. | RD.MT | pre-connect you the toaster? |  |
| 4. | COMM. | oh no! | 1. |
| 5. | RD. STXT | schalten Sie den Toast Toaster zunachst vor dem Erstgebrauch | 3.6 |
| 6. | RPT.MT | pre-connect you the toaster |  |
| 7. | COMM. | well that's obvious that's just stupid |  |
| 8. | CONT.RD.MT | before the primary use |  |
| 9. | COMM. | no |  |
| 10. | SUGG.TR. 1 | before using it for the first time | 1.1 |
| 11. | CONT.RD.MT | through pressing down lift key |  |
| 12. | COMM. | that would have to be |  |
| 13. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | by pressing down lift key | 2.2 |
| 14. | COMM. | eh( $r$ ) | 3.2 |
| 15. | COMm. | oh yeh and then it's | 1.2 |
| 16. | COMM. | zunachst has been just hung on in English |  |
| 17. | CONT.RD.MT | at first | ... |
| 18. | COMM. | and then |  |
| 19. | CONT.RD.STXT | einige Male |  |
| 20 | CONT.RD.MT | some times | 1.2 |
| 21. | CONT.RD.MT | without bread in the case of open wfindow |  |
| 22. | COMM . | that's the silly translation of bei again | 2.3 |
| 23. | COMPs. | eh(r) | --- |
| 24. | COMM. | I would say |  |
| 25. | coma. | eh( $r$ ) | 4.2 |
| 26. | COMM | $h m(r)$ | 3.5 |
| 27. | SUGG.TR. 2 | before using the roaster for the first time | 8.7 |
| 28. | comm. | and comma | 2.6 |
| 29. | COMP. | (sighs) |  |
| 30. | COMM. | eh(r) | 7.5 |
| 31. | COMM. | eh( $r$ ) | 5.1 |
| 32 | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | switch on | 2.8 |
| 33 | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | the | 3.7 |
| 34. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 2 | switch | 1.4 |
| 35 | COMM. | $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ | 7.1 |
| 36. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | switch on the toaster a | 2.0 |
| 37. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | few times | 1.0 |
| 38. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | without bread | 2.2 |
| 39. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 2 | few times | 2.1 |
| 40. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 2 | without | 4.2 |
| 41. | COMM. | and oh! |  |
| 42. | CONT.RD.STXT | bei geōffnetem Fenster | 3.7 |
| 43. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | a few times without bread and with the window open | 1.5 |
| 44 | COMM. | (laughs) |  |
| 45 | COMM. | open your bedroom window first | 1.4 |
| 46. | COMM. | em(r) | -.. |
| 47 | COMM. | (clears throat) | ... |
| 48. | COMM. | that sounds a bit funny but eh(r) I wouldn't know what else they would call Fenster |  |
| 49. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | before using the toaster for the first time switch on the toaster a few times without bread and with the window open | 2.2 |
| 50. | COMM . | well I don't like that very much but never mind |  |
| Nach | lauf |  |  |
| 1. | COMM | I think switch on the empty toaster a few times would sound nicer than without bread |  |
| 2 | SUGG.TR. 3 | switch on the empty toaster a few times with the window | 3.6 |
| 3 | CONT. SUGG. TR. 3 | open | 4.6 |
| 4 | COMM . | what is this window they're talking about? | 2.2 |
| 5. | COMM. | I think if we don't say em( $r$ ) | --- |
| 6. | SUGG.TR. 4 | toaster window | 1.0 |
| 7. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 4 | open | - = |
| 8 | COMM | then people are gonna chink you have to open the kitchen window when you're doing it | 1.2 |

PP IV
PPV: Before use the toaster should be put into action seversl times without any bread in the slots and after having opened a nearby window

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | Pause |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | COMM. | number six | 1.1 |
| 2 | RD. STXT | schalten Sie den Toaster vor dem Erstgebrauch durch Herunterdracken der Lifttaste drei zunachst einige Male ohne Brot bei gesffnetem |  |
|  | - | Fenster ein | ... |
| 3. | COMM | (laughs) | -.. |
| 4. | COMM. | oh Godl sounds like Monty Python right |  |
| 5. | RD.MT | pre-connect | $\cdots$ |
| 6. | COMM. | oh dama! | *- |
| 7. | CONT.RD.MT | primary use through pressing down lift key at first some times without bread in the case of opened vindow |  |
| 8 | comm. | this is hopeless isn't it? so | 2.3 |
| 9 | COMM. | $\mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r})$ | ... |
| 10. | SUGG.TR. 1 | switch | --. |
| 11. | COMM. | no hold on hrohm( $r$ ) hahne( r ) | 10.4 |
| 12. | COMM. | so this is all wrong in fact this se | 1.0 |
| 13. | SUGG. TR. 2 | before | 5.1 |
| 14. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | use | ... |
| 15. | COMM. | that's the standard English collocation for this sort of situation |  |
| 16. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 2 | before use | 4.8 |
| 17. | COMM. | $h m(r)$ | 8.7 |
| 18. | COMM. | (tuts) | ... |
| 19. | COMM. | (sighs) | $\cdots$ |
| 20. | COMM. | this is not going to help me very much and neither will the dictionaries because $I$ can't think at the moment if there's a special name | 1.3 |
| 21. | CONT. COMM. | for this sort of | 1.8 |
| 22. | CONT. COMM. | lever on the toaster that you press down | 1.9 |
| 23. | COMM. | hm(r) I think I shall say |  |
| 24. | RPT . SUGG . TR. 2 | before use | 21.8 |
| 25. | CONT. SUGG . TR. 2 | before use the toaster | 2.3 |
| 26. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | should | 2.7 |
| 27. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | be | 3.1 |
| 28. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | set? | 1.0 |
| 29. | COMM. | no |  |
| 30. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | should be | --- |
| 31. | SUGG.TR. 3 | should be set | --* |
| 32. | SUGG.TR. 4 | should be put into action | --- |
| 33. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 4 | the toaster should be put into action |  |
| 34. | COMM. | I prefer that |  |
| 35. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 4 | the toaster should be put into action | 5.8 |
| 36. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 4 | vithout | 2.8 |
| 37. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 4 | any | 2.1 |
| 38. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 4 | bread in the slots | 1.2 |
| 39 | COMM. | I think I'd put that in | 1.3 |
| 40. | COMM. | make it more sense | 1.8 |
| 41. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 4 | before use the toaster should be put into action | 4.1 |
| 42. | RPT. STXT | einige Male | 4.3 |
| 43. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 4 | should be put into action several times | 2.8 |
| 44. | CONT SUGG. TR. 4 | without any bread in the slocs | 3.0 |
| 45. | CONT SUGG. TR. 4 | and | 1.0 |
| 46. | CONT SUGG TR. 4 | after | 1.2 |
| 47. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 4 | having | 3.5 |
| 48. | CONT SUGG. TR. 4 | opened a | 1.1 |
| 49. | CONT . SUGG. TR. 4 | nearby | 1.8 |
| 50. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 4 | window | 3.6 |

## (iii) <br> TRANSLATION UNIT 28

ST: Ziehen Sie vor jeder Reinigung den Netzstecker
MT: Pull the power plug before (in front of) every cleaning
"Before (every) cleaning" is the prepositional noun phrase in this TU which is made verbal by the PPs. The versions produced by PPs I and II are undeniably verbal but those of PPs III and IV are gerundial and without an object and could therefore be either nominal or verbal. However, on the basis of language usage norms, I postulate that the versions in question are verbal.

PP I
PPV: Always plug out the toaster before cleaning it

PP II
PPV: Plug out the toaster every time before you clean it

PP III
PPV: Always pull out the plug/mains plug before cleaning

PP IV
PPV: Make sure that the toaster has been disconnected from the mains supply before cleaning

PP I (page 125) seems, at first, to suggest "before cleaning" (Step 6), but proceeds to expand this into a subordinate clause: "before commencing to clean it" (Step 9). Finally she shortens this to produce "before cleaning it", where "it" refers to "the toaster" already figuring in the main part of the TU. PP II (page 125), in the Hauptlauf, also suggests "before every cleaning" (Steps 14 and 15). However, upon reflection in the Nachlauf, this is changed to
an active subordinate clause: "before you clean it". PP III (page 126) in Steps 17 and 18 decides to use "before cleaning" in his version. His final comment explains that, in his view, "every cleaning" is not possible and that it is therefore necessary to begin the TU with "always". This observation indicates that his use of "before cleaning" is now verbal and hence the meaning of the adjective "every" is now conveyed by an adverb "always". PP IV (page 126) retains "before cleaning" in his version and here too, I would conjecture that the use of the gerund is verbal rather than nominal.

PP I
PPV: Always plug out the toaster before cleaning it

| STEP | Category | UTTERANGE | Pause |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM. | twenty-eight | 1.5 |
| 2. | RD.STXT | ziehen Sie vor jeder Reinigung den Netzstecker |  |
| 3. | RD.MT | pull the power plug before | 1.9 |
| 4. | RD.MT | before | 1.7 |
| 5. | SUGG.TR. 1 | always plug out the toaster | 1.9 |
| 6. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | before cleaning | 2.5 |
| 7. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 1 | always | 3.8 |
| 8. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | plug out the toaster | 1.9 |
| 9. | SUGG.TR. 2 | before commencing to clean it |  |
| 10. | SUGG.TR. 3 | before cleaning it | -.. |
| 11. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 1 | always plug out the toaster before | 1.3 |
| 12. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 3 | before cleaning it | --. |
| 13. | COMA. | $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ It's olcay | 1.5 |

PP II
PPV: Plug out the toaster every tine before you clean it
STEP CATEGORY UTTERANCE PAUSE

Hauptlauf

| 1. | COMM. | em( r ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | RD. MT | pull the power plug | 1.3 |
| 3 | RD.MT | before in front of every cl |  |
| 4. | COMM. | well it's before | 4.8 |
| 5. | COMM. | would you say |  |
| 6 | SUGG.TR. 1 | pull out the plug? |  |
| 7. | COMM. | or |  |
| 8. | SUGG.TR. 2 | pull out the toaster? | 2.5 |
| 9 | RD. STXT | ziehen Sie vor jeder Reinigung den Netzstecker | 5.5 |
| 10. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | pull out | 3.9 |
| 11. | COMM. | plug in |  |
| 12. | SUGG.TR. 3 | plug out | 3.3 |
| 13. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 3 | plug out the toaster | 3.0 |
| 14. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 3 | before | 1.3 |
| 15. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 3 | every cleaning | 5.4 |

Nachlauf

| RPT.SUGG.TR. 3 | plug out the toaster before |
| :--- | :--- |
| RPT.SUGG.TR. 3 | every cleaning |
| RPT.SUGG.TR. 3 | every cleaning? |
| SUGG.TR. 4 | every time |
| CONT. SUGG.TR. 4 | before you clean it |

1.5
11.7
8.0
2.0
3.6

PP III
PPV: Always pull out the plug/mains plug before cleaning

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | Pause |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMA | twenty-eight |  |
| 2. | RD.ST | ziehen Sle vor jeder Reinigung den Netzstecker | 1.8 |
| 3 | RD.MT | pull the power plug | 1.0 |
| 4 | CONT.RD.MT | before in front of every cleaning |  |
| 5. | COMA. | ahah(u) that's the the you've got the temp there the local sense of the vord vor here as well |  |
| 6. | RPT.MT | pull the power plug | 1.0 |
| 7. | COMM. | well |  |
| 8. | SUGG.TR. 1 | pull out | -- |
| 9. | COMM | ```and I wouldn't call it a power plug I'd call it``` |  |
| 10. | GONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | pull out the |  |
| 11. | COMM. | Just the plug or maybe the mains plug | 1.0 |
| 12. | COMM. | if I was trying to do it really British | 1.5 |
| 13. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | pull out the mains | 1.9 |
| 14. | COMM. | ahah(u) and then I would put |  |
| 15 | SUGG. TR. 2 | always pull out | 2.1 |
| 16. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | the mains plug | 1.8 |
| 17. | CONT. SUGG . TR. 2 | before | 1.5 |
| 18. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | cleaning | -.. |
| 19. | COMM. | because you couldn't really put every cleaning in English so you put always out on the front | 1.5 |

PP IV

| STEP | Category | UTTERANGE | pause |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM. | number twenty-eight | 2.2 |
| 2. | RD. ST | ziehen Sie vor jeder Reinigung den Netzstecker | 1.1 |
| 3. | RD.MT | pull the power plug | 1.0 |
| 4. | RD.MT | before every cleaning | 3.5 |
| 5 | COMM. | eh(r) oh damil | 1.8 |
| 6. | COMM. | oh what do we say for that? oh! (groan) | 3.7 |
| 7. | SUGG.TR. 1 | make sure | 4.8 |
| 8. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | that | 1.4 |
| 9. | CONT. SUGG, TR. 1 | the | 1.7 |
| 10. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | toaster | 2.9 |
| 11. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | has been | 2.1 |
| 12. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | disconnected | 1.8 |
| 13. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | from the | 1.0 |
| 14. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | mains | 2.7 |
| 15 | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | supply | 2.1 |
| 16. | CONT. SUGG. TR.I | before | 2.7 |
| 17. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | cleaning | 2.4 |

"DURCH + NOUN PHRASE"

## (i) TRANSLATION UNIT 6(ii)

ST: Schalten Sie den Toaster vor dem Erstgebrauch durch Herunterdrücken der Lifttaste zunächst einige Male ohne Brot bei geöffnetem Fenster ein

MT: Pre-connect you the toaster before the primary use through pressing down lift key at first some times without bread in the case of opened window ein

PP I
PPV: Before using the toaster for the first time, switch it on by pressing the button marked lift. Use the toaster a few times without actually putting in bread. This must be done in a room with the window open

PP II
PPV: Before using the toaster for the first time switch it on by pressing down the lift key. Do this at first a few times beside an open window without using bread

PP III
PPV: Before using the toaster for the first time switch on the empty toaster a few times with the toaster window open

PP IV
PPV: Before use the toaster should be put into action several times without any bread in the slots and after having opened a nearby window
"Through pressing" has its origins in the substantivisation derived from the verb "herunterdrücken" used with the preposition "durch". The noun "Herunterdrücken" serves to condense information which, if verbally rather than nominally expressed, would probably be too long to feature, with inclusion of all the other information, in this one TU. The English equivalent produced by METAL of this
nominalisation is a gerund. Therefore a noun-to-verb shift only requires a change of preposition. PP I (see page 119) effects this change in Step 31 and seems unaware that the form used in the MT is actually a nominalised one.

In Step 16, PP II (page 120) converts "through pressing down" to "by pressing down" with absolutely no deliberation. PP III's Step 17, "through pressing down lift key", is followed by the comment: "that would have to be by pressing down", with no pause for reflection (page 121). This verbal construction does not figure in PP III's final written version of the text since he opts to use the imperative "switch on the toaster", without reference to a lift key. PP IV (page 122) also avoids the use of the "through pressing down" or a corrected version by suggesting "should be put into action" (Step 32).

## (ii) TRANSLATION UNIT 10

ST: Sie können den Toastvorgang durch Drücken der Stoptaste unterbrechen

MT: You can interrupt the toasting process through pressing stop key

The "through pressing stop key" ("durch Drücken der Stoptaste") in this TU bears similarity to the "through pressing down lift key" of TU 6. Those PPs who included this semantic component of TU 6 in their versions (PPs I and II) substituted "by" for "through", thus automatically making verbal this MT nominal construction. This TU receives the same treatment, and all four participants effect the same change.

## PP I

PPV: You can stop the toasting process at any stage by pressing the stop button

PP II
PPV: You can interrupt the toasting process by pressing the stop key

PP III
PPV: You can interrupt toasting by pressing the stop button

PP IV
PPV: You can interrupt the toasting process by pressing the stop key

PP I (page 131) misreads the MT in Step 3, replacing "through" with "by" and adding a definite article in front of "stop key", and declares this misread MT to be fine. She then reads the MT with the original preposition and comments "wrong preposition" (Step 6).

Therefore it is true to say that, similar to the change made in TU 6, the participant is not aware of changing a nominal construction to a verbal one, but sees this purely in terms of an interchange of prepositions.

The same change is made by PP II (page 131), whose first modification of the MT is the addition of a definite article. In Step 9 she then suggests "by pressing". PP III (page 132) once again substitutes "toasting" for "the toasting process" and also changes "through pressing" to "by pressing", commenting in Step 9 on the MT "through" being a Germanism, a direct translation of the ST "durch".

PP IV (page 132) also misreads the MT (Step 3), inserting a definite article where one should be but was lacking in the MT. He then changes "through" to "by" in Step 5.

PY I

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERNNCE | Pause |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM. | okay mumber ten | 1.5 |
| 2. | RD.STXT | Sie könmen den Toastvorgang durch Drücken der Stoptaste unterbrechen |  |
| 3. | RD.MT | you can interrupt the toasting process by pressing the stop key | 4.9 |
| 4. | COMA. | vell that's fine | 1.4 |
| 5. | RPT.MT | through pressing the stop key |  |
| 6. | SUGG.TR. 1 | by pressing the stop key | 1.0 |
| 7. | COMA. | wrong preposition | 1.3 |
| 8. | RPT.MT | you can interrupt | 2.7 |
| 9 | RPT.MT | you can interrupt? |  |
| 10. | SUGG.TR. 1 | you can stop? | 1.7 |
| 11. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | you can stop the toasting process | 2.7 |
| 12. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | at any stage | 1.7 |
| 13 | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | you can stop the toasting process | 10.2 |
| 14 | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | at any stage | 1.8 |
| 15 | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | by pressing | 1.9 |
| 16. | CONT. SUGG. ${ }^{\text {PR. } 1}$ | the stop key | 1.1 |
| 17. | comy. | key again! I don't think key is the right tord |  |
| 18 | SUGG.TR. 2 | stop button? | 5.3 |

PP II
PPV: You can interrupt the toasting process by pressing the stop key

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERASCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM. | okay | 1.0 |
| 2. | RD.MT | you can interrupt the toasting process |  |
|  |  | through pressing stop key | 2.9 |
| 3. | RPT.MT | you can intermut the toasting |  |
|  |  | through pressing | 2.2 |
| 4. | SUGG.TR. 1 | the stop key |  |
| 5. | COMM. | you'd have to have a the | 7.6 |
| 6. | COMM. | it's okay | 12.1 |
| 7. | RPT.MT | through pressing | ... |
| 8. | COMM. | would that be |  |
| 9. | SUGG.TR. 1 | by pressing | 4.1 |
| 10. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | the | 1.7 |
| 11. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | stop key | ... |
| 12. | COMM. | or | --. |
| 13. | SUGG. TR. 2 | stop button | 6.2 |
| 14. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | stop | 1.2 |
| 15. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | key | 2.3 |
| 16. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 2 | butcon | 1.0 |
| 17. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 2 | stop buctoa | 4.5 |
| 18. | COMM. | $\mathrm{h}=$ (a) |  |
| 19. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | stop key | 1.7 |

PP III

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANGE | PaUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM. | number ten | 1.0 |
| 2. | RD.STXT | Sle kornnen den Toastvorgang durch |  |
|  |  | Drucken der Stoptaste unterbrechen |  |
| 3. | RD.MT | you can interrupt the toasting | 1.7 |
| 4. | CONT.RD.MT | process through pressing stop key | --- |
| 5 | COMM. | well just a few little differences |  |
|  |  | I'd make there | 2.0 |
| 6. | COMM. | eh( $r$ ) | 5.5 |
| 7. | RPT.MT | you can interrupt | -.. |
| 8 | COMM . | here again I'd just say you can interrupt toasting cos the toasting process sounds too blg for me | 1.2 |
| 9. | COMM. | and not through pressing but by pressing that's obviously a just a Germanisil through durch | 1.2 |
| 10. | SUGG.TR. 1 | by pressing the stop key |  |
| 11. | COMM. | I would say | 3.0 |
| 12. | RPT.SUGG. 1 | can interrupt the | ... |
| 13. | COMM. | yeh | *-- |
| 14. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 1 | toasting by pressing the stop key in brackets one | 1.9 |

PP IV

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM. | number ten |  |
| 2. | RD. STXT | Sie können den Toastworgang durch Drücken der Stoptaste unterbrechen | 1.9 |
| 3. | RD.MT | you can interrupt the toasting process through pressing the stop key | 2.0 |
| 4. | COMM. | well that's okay except | 2.5 |
| 5. | COMA . | change through to by | 2.4 |
| 6. | SUGG.TR. 1 | you can interrupt the toasting process by pressing | 1.2 |
| 7. | COMM. | yeh insert | 6.1 |
| 8. | COMM. | insert the | 9.0 |
| 9. | CONT . COMM. | before | 1.8 |
| 10 | CONT. COMM. | stop | 4.2 |

"FÜR + NOUN PHRASE"
(i) TRANSLATION UNIT 29

ST: Für die Reinigung des Gehäuses genügt ein feuchtes Tuch
MT: A moist cloth suffices for the cleaning of the casing
"Cleaning" is once again the noun/gerund in question in this TU and it is used in conjunction with the preposition "for" here. Three PPs elected to convert the nominal form of the MT to a verbal structure. PP IV substitutes "is sufficient" for "suffices" but retains "for the cleaning of the casing" in his version.

PP I
PPV: The outside of the toaster may be cleaned simply by using a damp cloth

PP II
PPV: A damp cloth suffices to clean the casing

PP III
PPV: A moist cloth/damp cloth is sufficient for the cleaning of the casing

PP I (page 135) reads the MT and deliberates a little about an alternative for "casing". This then assumes the topic position in her version (Step 5) which is a modal passive: "may be cleaned", combining the semantic elements of "suffices for" and "the cleaning". PP II (page 135) at first (Step 3) expresses satisfaction with the MT but in Steps 5 and 6 suggests a modal passive with a conversion of "the cleaning" to "to clean", an infinitive. However, she seems to be concerned that she may be
taking undue liberties, and in Step 9 comments that the MT is, in fact, "okay really". Consequently, the ordering of elements in the MT is preserved and the only change made is indeed to use an infinitive instead of the noun "cleaning". PP III (page 135) substitutes "is sufficient" for "suffices" (Step 10), and then continues his version with a verbal gerundial form: "for cleaning the casing" (Steps 11 and 12). In Step 18, he suggests another version, a modal passive, with the finite verb "to keep clean", but in Step 19 opts to adhere to his original suggestion.

PP I
PPV: The outside of the toaster may be cleaned siaply by using a damp cloth
STEP CATEGORY UTTERANCE

| 1. | RD. STXT | für die Reinfgung des Gehauses genügt ein feuchtes Tuch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | RD.MT | a moist cloth suffices for the |  |
|  |  | cleaning of the casing | 2.4 |
| 3. | RPT.MT | the casing | 1.9 |
| 4. | SUGG.TR. 1 | the outside | 4.2 |
| 5. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | the outside of the toaster may be | 2.7 |
| 6. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | cleaned simply | 1.8 |
| 7. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | with a moist cloth | 3.9 |
| 8. | RPT.SUGG. TR. 1 | the outside | 2.9 |
| 9. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | of the toaster | 4.8 |
| 10. | RPT. SUGG, TR. 1 | may be cleaned | 2.7 |
| 11. | RPT. SUGG.TR.1 | siaply by using | 6.7 |
| 12. | COMM. | a molst or a damp cloth |  |
| 13. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 1 | - moist cloth? |  |
| 14. | SUGG. TR. 2 | damp cloth | 1.4 |
| 15. | COMM. | better | 4.7 |

PP II
PPV: A damp clath suffices to clean the casing

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | RD.MT | a moist cloth suffices for the cleaning of the casing | 1.6 |
| 2. | RD. STXT | für die Reinigung genügt ein feuchtes Tuch | 17.8 |
| 3 | COMM. | hm(a) it's okay | 8.7 |
| 4. | COMM. | a moist or a damp cloth? | 20.0 |
| 5. | SUGG.TR. 1 | a damp cloth can be used | 1.4 |
| 6 | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | to clean the casing? | 2.2 |
| 7. | COMM. | or | - |
| 8. | RPT.STXT | für die Reinigung des Gehảuses genugt ein feuchtes Tuch | 1.8 |
| 9 | COMM. | 1t's okay really | ... |
| 10. | RPT.MT | a moist cloth suffices for the cleaning of the casing | 18.2 |
| 11 | RPT.MT | suffices | 1.5 |
| 12. | SUGG.TR. 2 | to clean the casing | 6.6 |

PP III

PPV: A moist cloth/damp cloth is sufficient for the cleaning of the casing

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM. | twenty-nine | *** |
| 2. | RD.STXT | fur die Reinigung des Gehauses genügt ein feuchtes Tuch | ** |
| 3. | COMM. | ahah(u) | --- |
| 4. | RD.MT | a moist cloth | -*- |
| 5. | COMM. | ```we would probably call it a damp cloth wouldn't we it's another version of feucht``` |  |
| 6. | SUGG. TR. 1 | a damp | 1.4 |
| 7 | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | cloth | 4.5 |
| 8. | RD.MT | suffices | - ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| 9 | COMM. | okay | 2.9 |
| 10 | CONI. SUGG. TR. 1 | is sufficient | 4.6 |
| 11. | CONT.SUGG. TR. 1 | for cleaning | 3.9 |
| 12. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | the casing? | -.- |
| 13 | COMM. | (coughs) | --- |
| 14 | COMM. | yeh okay |  |
| 15 | RPT.SUGG.IR. 1 | is sufficient for cleaning the casing | 4.9 |
| 16. | COMM. | eh(r) | 4. 6 |
| 17. | COMM. | could also say | - - |
| 18 | SUGG. TR. 2 | the casing can be kept clean very |  |
| 19. | COMM. | simply using a moist cloth oh well anyway I'll just leave this | 2.0 |

"OHNE + NOUN PHRASE"
(i) TRANSLATION UNIT 6(iii)

ST: Schalten Sie den Toaster vor dem Erstgebrauch durch Herunterdrücken der Lifttaste zunächst einige Male ohne Brot bei geöffnetem Fenster ein

MT: Pre-connect you the toaster before the primary use through pressing down lift key at first some times without bread in the case of opened window ein

PP I
PPV: Before using the toaster for the first time, switch it on by pressing the button marked lift. Use the toaster a few times without actually putting in bread. This must be done in a room with the window open

PP II
PPV: Before using the toaster for the first time switch it on by pressing down the lift key. Do this at first a few times beside an open window without using bread

PP III
PPV: Before using the toaster for the first time switch on the empty toaster a few times with the toaster window open

PP IV
PPV: Before use the toaster should be put into action several times without any bread in the slots and after having opened a nearby window

In PP I's TAP (page 119), "without bread" (ohne Brot) becomes "without actually putting in bread" (Steps 48 and 49). Here, a noun is not converted to a verb, rather the "preposition + noun" is expanded to become a "preposition + verb + noun". The MT version of this is neither incomprehensible nor ungrammatical but PP I obviously considers it necessary to supplement the MT with
additional information for emphasis or to enhance textual coherence. This practice of "étoffement" is not unusual when rendering prepositions of one language in another. In PP II's case (page 120), the expansion of the "without bread" to "without using bread" occurs in the Nachlauf and the PP is presumably motivated by a desire to increase the readability of the text which she has produced. PP III (page 121) voices no objection to "Without bread" but eventually discards it in the Nachlauf in favour of the adjective "empty". PP IV (page 122) expands "without bread" which then becomes "without any bread in the slots" (Steps 36-38) - not a verbal form.

NOUN WITHOUT PROPOSITION

TRANSLATION UNIT 26

ST: Das Einschalten erfolgt ebenfalls mit der Lifttaste<br>MT: Switching on also occurs with the lift key

The ST of this TU contains the only example of the conversion to a verbal form of a noun standing alone, ie. one not preceded by prepositions and adjectives or articles. The noun itself is similar to others already discussed. "Herunterdrücken" (TU 6), "Drücken" (TU 10), "Toasten" (TUs 15 and 16) and indeed "Einschalten" ("beim Einschalten": TU 9) are all nouns derived from verbs and having the same form as the verbal infinitive.

Fleisher states that this method of derivation - "Konversion", ie. "Ableitung durch Überführung in eine andere Wortklasse ohne formale Veränderungen" - plays a relatively insignificant role in word formation in German and that its occurrence is considerably more frequent in English [9]. However, he confirms that "der substantivierte Infinitiv" is the easiest way of substantivising verbs, although the range of meaning of the substantivised infinitive may not always correspond directly to the semantic range of the verb. Such derivations are often used, particularly in technical language, to denote "das Geschehen in seiner Dauer" or "der Prozeß in seinem Verlauf", unlike some other nominalised forms, and this is certainly true of the forms which appear in the ST of this text.

We have already observed that these are translated by METAL as gerundial nouns ("pressing down", "pressing", "toasting" and
"switching on"), capable (in METAL's view only!) of being preceded by definite articles, as in TUs 15 and 16 . In all instances where this unit of meaning has been transferred to the PPs' versions a noun-to-verb change has taken place, and this TU is no exception.

PP I
PPV: Again to initiate this toasting process, the lift button must be pressed

PP II
PPV: The lift key can also be used to switch on the toaster

PP III
PPV: The lift button is also used to switch on the toaster

PP IV
PPV: To start toasting the lift key should be depressed as in normal operation

PP I (page 141), in Step 12, attempts to retain the verb "to switch on" in her version which, at this point, is an imperative. This is superseded by an infinitival construction which refers more specifically to the initiation of the toasting process. PP II (page 141) makes two suggestions before reaching a final version. The first is an active modal with the subject "you" (Step 4), the second an active modal, subject "the lift key" (Step 6). The final version is a modal passive used in conjunction with an infinitive: "to switch on (the toaster)".

PP III's final version of this $T U$ is very similar to that of PP II. It is a passive followed by an infinitive: "to switch" (Steps 18-

21 - page 142). PP IV (page 142) also opts for an infinitive to replace "switching on". Initially his infinitival suggestion "to start toasting" was placed at the end of the TU but in Step 17 it assumes the starting position. This version therefore bears similarity to that of PP $I$, where the ordering of elements is concerned.

PP I
PPV: Again to initiate this toasting process, the lift button must be pressed

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COM 4 | twenty-six | 1.3 |
| 2. | RD. STXT | das Einschaltan | 1.6 |
| 3. | RD. STXT | erfolgt ebenfalls mis mit der Uifttaste | --* |
| 4. | RD. MT | switching on also accurs with the life key | 1.9 |
| 5. | RPT.STXT | erfolgt benfalls mit der lifttaste | -.. |
| 6. | RPI.MT | switching on also occurs with the lift key | 2.1 |
| 7. | RPI.STXT | das Einachalten | 1.5 |
| 8. | RPT.MT | switching on also occurs with the lift key | 1.6 |
| 9. | RPT.STXT | das Einschaltan | 3.6 |
| 10. | RPT.STXI | ebenfalls | 4.7 |
| 11. | COM1 | okay switching on for this is alright | 4.9 |
| 12. | SUGG.TR. 1 | again switch on this process | 1.6 |
| 13. | SUGG. TR. 2 | again | 4.2 |
| 14. | CONT.SUGG.TR. 2 | to initiate this | 2.6 |
| 15. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | toasting process | 2.3 |
| 16. | RPT. SUGG, TR. 2 | toasting process | 2.2 |
| 17. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | the lift key | 1.5 |
| 18. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 2 | lift key | -. - |
| 19. | SUGG.TR. 3 | 11ft button | 1.3 |
| 20. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | must be pressed | 7.6 |

PP II
PPV: The lift key can also be used to syitch on the toaster
STEP CATEGORY UTTERANCE PAUSE

1. RD.MT switching on also occurs with the
RPT.MT switching on 5 .
2. Compr. eń ( T )
3. SUGG.TR.1 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 5. YOu can also switch on } & \text { you }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 5. RPT.SUGG.TR. } 1 \text { you } \\ \text { 6. SUGG.TR. } 2 & \text { the lift key can also switch on the }\end{array}$ rosster can also be used
9.2
1.6
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 7. SUGG.TR. } 3 & \text { can also be use } \\ \text { 8. CONT.SUGG.TR. } 3 \text { co switch on }\end{array}$
4. CONT.SUGG.TR. 3 the toaster

| PPV: | The lift butto | is also used to switch on the toaste |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANCE | pause |
| 1. | RD.STXT | ```das Einschalten erfolgt ebenfalls mit der Lifrtaste``` |  |
| 2. | RD.MT | switching on also occurs with the lift key |  |
| 3. | COMM. | no I'd make a passive out of this em( r ) | 6.6 |
| 4. | COMM. | eh(r) no | 1.4 |
| 5. | SUGG.TR. 1 | the lift | 2.1 |
| 6. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | key | 1.0 |
| 7. | SUGG.TR. 2 | switch | ... |
| 8. | SUGG.TR. 3 | button | --- |
| 9. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | the lift | 1.1 |
| 10. | COMM. | no lift button sounds like a Fahrstuhl | 1.8 |
| 11. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | the lift |  |
| 12. | COMM. | ah I'll have to put key just now I think I'll have to look up Taste | 9.0 |
| 13. | RD.RB | Taste push-button key key press button signalling key |  |
| 14. | COMM. | key I always think of a little piano or a something on a typewriter | 1.3 |
| 15. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | the lift | 1.2 |
| 16. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | key | .-- |
| 17. | COMM. | I'm going to call it a lift button I don't care if it sounds like a Fahrstuhl | 1.3 |
| 18. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | che lift | 2.4 |
| 19. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 3 | button is | 1.9 |
| 20. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 3 | also | 1.5 |
| 21. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 3 | used to switch on the toaster | 7.0 |
| 22. | RPT. SUGG.TR. 3 | it's also used to switch on the toaster | 1.4 |

PP IV
PPV: To start toasting the lift key should be depressed as in normal operation

| STEP | CATEGORY | UTTERANGE | PAUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | COMM. | number twenty-six | 2.2 |
| 2 | RD.STXT | das Einschalten erfolgt ebenfalls mit der Lifttaste |  |
| 3. | COMM. | oh yeh | 1.6 |
| 4 | COMM. | em( $r$ ) | 5.5 |
| 5. | SUGG. TR. 1 | the | 3.5 |
| 6. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | 1ift | 1.7 |
| 7. | CONT. SUGG.TR. 1 | key | 1.1 |
| 8. | COMM. | or whatever it was I said before | 2.5 |
| 9. | COMM. | see if I can find that quickly what I said before | 7.0 |
| 10. | COMM. | so I did use lift key before hm( r ) | 1.1 |
| 11. | RPT.SUGG.TR. 1 | the lift key | 2.9 |
| 12. | GONT. SUGG. TR. 1 | should be | 1.9 |
| 13. | CONT . SUGG .TR. 1 | depressed | 4.6 |
| 14. | RPT. SUGG. TR. 1 | the lift key should be depressed | 8.3 |
| 15. | SUGG. TR. 2 | to start? | -. |
| 16. | COMM. | yes | ... |
| 17. | CONT . SUGG. TR. 2 | to start toasting | 4.7 |
| 18. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | the lift key should be depressed | 1.6 |
| 19. | CONT. SUGG. TR. 2 | as in | 1.6 |
| 20 | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | normal | 1.7 |
| 21 | CONT. SUGG.TR. 2 | operation | 2.5 |

CONCLUSION

The motives for active-to-passive syntactic changes discussed in Chapter 2 frequently seemed obvious from the TAPs, or readily discernible from these verbalisations when viewed in conjunction with problem indicators, alternative suggestions and temporal values. Noun-to-verb changes are, in this respect, rather more enigmatic.

As we have seen, varying proposals for the resolution of a problematic noun or "preposition + noun" structure in the MT were seldom forthcoming. The progression from the often ungrammatical MT to a satisfactory subordinate clause, gerundial phrase or infinitival construction was frequently effected without perceptible intermediate stages in the TAPs. Furthermore, motivation for a particular noun-to-verb change, or realisation that such was the nature of the change made, rarely found expression in the verbal data. (In TU 25, PPs III and IV expressed a wish to reorder the constituents of the MT, but this reordering alone neither necessitated or explained the noun-to-verb change which took place.) Many of these changes therefore occurred automatically.

Ericsson and Simon make the valid point that most theories of the structure of the human information processing system distinguish between fast, automatic processes and the slower processes that are executed under cognitive control [10]. Several types of processes generally occur automatically, in this sense:
"There are many instances during thinking-aloud studies where a subject acquires hypotheses 'instantaneously' and directly,
without evidence of prior related or intermediate stages" [11]. They also assume that with an increase in experience with a task, the same process may move from being cognitively controlled to having automatic status. Indeed there is consensus among scientists who have used introspective methods to evaluate cognitive processes that some mental processes become more automated with frequent execution. The conversion of a syntactic form in one language to a syntactically different yet semantically corresponding and grammatically correct form in another constitutes one such process. This is based on the assumption that a correspondence, either formal or individual, is established between the two syntactically divergent forms. The existence of such a correlation and a translator's or post-editor's knowledge of this implies that the process will gain in automation as it does in frequency.

Ericsson and Simon discuss the phenomenon of automation in a general sense, ie. without reference to post-editing or translation, explaining that when a process is a frequentlyoccurring one:
"intermediate steps are carried out without being interpreted, ie. without their inputs and outputs using STM (short term memory) [12].

These steps are thus not stored in the short term memory and hence are unavailable for the TAPs. As a result the process is accelerated.

Hönig, on the other hand, holds a conflicting view. He states that the detailed analysis of introspection protocols has shown that, in most cases, the PP does not appear to follow a cognitive path, from
which could logically be deduced how and why $s$ he arrived at a particular formulation. This, he claims, is because this cognitive information does not exist, since the proportion of judgements and associations made intuitively is considerably larger than allowed for in the cognitive models [13].

However, Hönig's notion of "Intuition" does not correspond to Ericsson and Simon's "automation". Hönig claims that "kognitives Denken" uses only the information which is available at that moment and which is logically related to the problem to be solved. He intimates that any mental processing which does not conform to this is "Intuition" [14], although not certain whether "Intuition" is innate or learned. Without actually defining the concept, he says that "intuitive Urteile und spontane Assoziationen" are involved in almost all translation processes. "Intuition" is
"immer ein Ausdruck der Individualität des Übersetzers;
Logik und Kognition sind dagegen überindividuell" [15].
Ericsson and Simon, on the other hand, view automatic processes as being cognitive processes, but fast, highly-practised ones.

Wilss also discusses "Intuition", with regard to the translation process. Whenever possible, a translator adheres to "von ihm mehr oder minder planmäßig erworbenen Prozeßmustern", and implements "eine methodisch oder sprachlich institutionalisierte Form der Sprachverwendung" [16]. Intuition only comes into play in situations which do not conform to normal translating practice.

It is thus clear that changes effected through automatic processes cannot be described as intuitive, although they appear in the TAPs
as leaps without reason or justification. Furthermore, the role of intuition in the post-editing process is difficult to discern, since definition of this concept is highly controversial. However, the processes under scrutiny here are clearly not intuitive. In fact, many (eg. the move from "bei + NP" to "when + VP") are undoubtedly automatic, in Ericsson and Simon's terms. They frequently constitute the implementation by the post-editor of linguistic correspondences which s/he has previously formed from her/his study or practice of translation. Formed in a similar manner are personal preferences for particular linguistic forms. Such preferences have been observed in both the analyses of this chapter and of the preceding one (eg. preferences for "after + VP" and for passive formulations in PP IV's case). Changes prompted by stylistic preferences may be executed with a degree of automaticity but do not have to be. They are, in fact, encompassed in Wilss's "planmäßig erworbene Prozeßmuster" and, like changes which occur automatically, cannot be attributed to "Intuition".

Ericsson and Simon distinguish between automatic processes that subjects already possessed prior to an experiment, as part of their cognitive skills, and processes whose intermediate stages became more automatic, and hence less reportable, during the course of the experiment [17]. In TU 6, PP I failed to produce a replacement structure of "in the case of + NP" in the Hauptlauf but, upon returning to this in the Nachlauf, had no difficulty providing an appropriate construction, having already substituted a "when..." clause for "in the case of" in TUs 9,15 and 20 . This would appear to indicate possible increased automation. Interestingly, this
"when..." clause is also used by PP I in TU 25 where the English MT version is "during + NP" but the corresponding ST is "bei + NP", as it had been in the TUs mentioned above. This could be an indication of the influence of the $S T$ on the $P P$.

Other noun-to-verb changes also occurred with a degree of automation. The change from "when the toasting only a slice" of TUs 15 and 16 to "when toasting only one slice of bread" involved little more than the omission of the blatantly incorrect definite article and it therefore occurred without the existence of intermediate stages in the TAPs. In TUs 6 and 10 , the noun-to-verb changes involved interchanging one preposition, "by", for another, "through", and this too can be said to have occurred with relative automation.

In TU 10 , both PPs I and IV misread the MT, making the necessary correction. PP II, in TUs 15 and 16 also unconsciously corrected the MT, in both cases "misreading" it, ie. omitting the definite article. These instances are significant in that they highlight the extent of the automaticity with which the post-editing of these syntactic structures/TUs was carried out. Changes were effected so quickly that the end-product of the processing "replaces" the formulation of the starting point. Not only do the intermediate processing steps not feature in the TAPs, but in fact the actual object of the processing (ie. the defective MT construction) is also absent from the verbalisations.

Ericsson and Simon say there is suggestive evidence that practice leads to a successive fading from consciousness of information
about the process [18]. With regard to these particular noun to verb changes, the TAPs reflect little awareness of the process taking place, but the existing awareness does not appear to diminish with the frequency of the processes. The noun-to-verb change in TU 6, involving the substitution of "through" with "by", was executed by PPs I and II without comment. PP III states "through pressing down lift key that would have to be by pressing down lift key" and PP IV restructures the TU and does not include this element of the MT in his version. The same change took place in TU 10. Here PP I comments that the preposition used in the MT is wrong. PP II says "through pressing would that be by pressing". PP III comments that "through pressing" is "obviously just a Germanism through durch". PP IV says of the MT "well that's okay except change through to by". This seems to indicate increased awareness of the change made in the second of these TUs. This may perhaps be attributed to the fact that it was almost the only change necessary (apart from the addition of a definite article) in TU 10, whereas TU 6 required major reconstruction, and the noun to verb change constituted a relatively minor modification to the $M T$.

I conclude that the noun-to-verb changes which occurred in postediting involved, for the most part, processes which were generally automatic. Two types of automatic processes may be distinguished - firstly, those inherent in changes which occurred as a result of native-speaker competence; and secondly, those which underlay changes prompted by contrastive knowledge of German and English. The first category included such changes as the discarding of a definite article or the interchanging of prepositions. These are
changes which could have been made - and with a high degree of automation - by those with no knowledge whatsoever of the SL, German. The second category encompasses the establishing of correspondences between structures in both languages, eg. "vor + NP" $\rightarrow$ "before + VP" or "bei + NP" $\rightarrow$ "when + VP". These constitute solutions which could possibly be produced by posteditors without SL competence. However, the experience of the PPs in translation and indeed in contrastive stylistics enabled them to execute these changes with a relatively high degree of automation. Johnson and Whitelock [19] suggested that contrastive knowledge should feature among the post-editors qualifications (see 1.2), and these findings support that view. This will be of significance in Chapter 5 where the skills and capabilities required by posteditors are further discussed.
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## 4. INTER-TU CHANGES

## 4. INTER-TU CHANGES

These are syntactic changes, the relevance of which transcends the boundaries of the $\mathrm{TU}(\mathrm{s})$ in which the change is made. They include the introduction of linguistic elements with referential function to link two TUs, or the reordering of elements within a TU in order to influence the thematic structure of the TU or text. Cohesion and coherence are the primary standards of textuality which are most likely to provide motivation for and benefit from syntactic changes of this nature. At the same time, the notion of intertextuality also underlies certain inter-TU changes.

## COHESION

Cohesion, according to Halliday and Hasan, "expresses the continuity that exists between one part of the text and another" [1]. Dressler and de Beaugrande describe cohesion as:
"the ways in which the components of the surface text, ie. the actual words we hear or see, are mutually connected within a sequence" [2].

METAL adopts the cohesive devices of the ST literally. However, Blum-Kulka puts forward and defends the proposition that different languages use cohesive devices in different ways [3]. The cohesive relationships between parts of texts are necessarily linked to a language's grammatical system. Therefore, if languages have different grammatical systems, there will be differences in the types of links used to mark cohesion in a SL text and in its TL translation. This point is also corroborated by Kachroo, who concludes that the use of cohesive devices plays a crucial role in determining the accuracy of a translation [4]. If the above
postulation is true, then it is feasible to expect PPs to introduce additional linguistic cohesive elements into their versions of the text. Similarly, it may be necessary to discard these translated constructions where they may be superfluous or indeed ungrammatical in the MT.

The thematic structuring of TUs also plays an important role in textual cohesion. The syntactic ordering of linguistic elements in a TU may have considerable bearing upon the semantic "continuity" of the text. "What belongs together mentally is placed close together syntactically" is Behaghel's First Law [5] and largely reflects the actions of the PPs when TU constituents are reordered. The positioning of topic and comment (or theme and rheme) in English and German is not analogous, rather old or known information in English tends to occupy a position at the beginning of a sentence in English and at the end in German. This can lead to a reversal of constituent ordering when moving from German to English or, in this case, from the MT to a post-edited version.

Hauenschild highlighted the problems inherent in the translation of a text by machine [6]. MT systems are constrained to a sentencebound approach, or in METAL's case, an approach centred on the translation unit. The "mix" output (see Appendix B) given to participants is the form of output generally used in post-editing [7], but is unlikely to facilitate treatment of the text as an integral unit. Furthermore, previous studies of the translation process (eg. by Krings [8] and Königs [9]) have shown that translators tend to process texts and choose their units of translation at ranks significantly lower than those at which
meaning is generated. Indeed, Folkart strongly advocated the introduction of methods to heighten translators' awareness of textual cohesion [10]. Given the nature of the material to be post-edited, and the above findings about the translation process, one may expect that the post-editing process be, almost inevitably, TU-bound. This is a point which will be further discussed in Chapter 5. In spite of the likelihood that processing occurs at TU level, all participants execute changes which effect the cohesion of the text and these are outlined and discussed below.

CHANGES EFFECTING COHESION


## TRANSLATION UNIT 6

ST: Schalten Sie den Toaster vor dem Erstgebrauch durch Herunderdrücken der Lifttaste zunächst einige Male ohne Brot bei geöffnetem Fenster ein

MT: Pre-connect you the toaster before the primary use at first some times without bread in the case of open window ein

This TU has already been discussed in conjunction with noun-to-verb shifts when moving from the MT to the participants' versions. The abundance of nominal forms in the MT necessitated the introduction of subordinate clauses and reformulation of the $T U$. One participant ( PP I) constructed her version with three sentences, $P \mathrm{P}$ II's version comprised two sentences and PPs III and IV chose to retain the mono-sentential structure of the $M T$.

PP I
PPV: Before using the toaster for the first time switch it on by pressing the button marked lift. Use the toaster a few times without actually putting in bread. This must be done in a room with the window open.

The TAP for this TU gives no indication of the motivation for the move from one to three sentences. Reordering takes place with the subordinate clause originating from "before the primary use" assuming a position at the head of the sentence. Van Dijk points out that in most cases the structure of sequences of sentences is not isomorphous with the structure of the fact sequences they denote [11]. The relation between action sequences and action discourse may be one-to-one but quite often entails subordination, which in turn involves changes in topic and focus. Where the relation is temporal, it is often possible to subordinate either
sequence with regard to the other. When the relation between facts is one of condition-consequence,:
"initial states or initial events are mentioned before intermediary/final states or events of a course of events" [12].

This is then normal ordering and is particularly typical for explanatory contexts. Thus left-branching (ie. the positioning of a subordinate clause to the left of the superordinate one) is the most logical as well as the most grammatical option here, and this accounts for the concordance of the PPs' versions regarding the positioning of the subordinate clause.

In PP I's version, the subject of the subordinate clause is "the toaster" and the proform "it" is then used in the superordinate clause. "At first - some times - without bread" are the units of information from the MT which are contained in the second sentence, with "the toaster" once again the object. The third sentence contains "in the case of opened window" and requires an anaphoric reference to establish a link with the previous sentence. This is achieved by means of the demonstrative pronoun "this", which replaces the entire second sentence.

PP II
PPV: Before using the toaster for the first time switch it on by pressing down the lift key. Do this at first a few times beside an open window without using bread.

Like PP I, it was necessary for PP II to employ a proform "it" in the superordinate clause replacing the "the toaster" of the subordinate clause. PP II, in Step 36 of 48 , expresses her intention of making two sentences from the MT's one, and in the


#### Abstract

second of these introduces a referential demonstrative pronoun "this", substituting for the superordinate clause of the first sentence.


In the versions of both PP I and II, anaphoric references were called for because the information which had been compacted rather clumsily into one sentence in the MT was now distributed over three and two sentences respectively.

## PP III

PPV: Before using the toaster for the first time switch on the empty toaster a few times with the window open.

PP III omits "through pressing down lift key" and renders "without bread" as an adjective "empty", thus succeeding in producing a mono-sentential TU which is stylistically acceptable. Repetition of "the toaster", instead of the introduction of a pronoun, is necessitated by the decision to use an adjective. The omission of "through pressing down lift key" is not explained by the TAPs but occurs presumably as a result of PP III's endeavours to produce a clear and comprehensible version.

PP IV
PPV: Before use the toaster should be put into action several times without any bread in the slots and after having opened a nearby window.

The retention of the nominalised form "before use" in PP IV's version obviates the need to use proforms or referential elements. He too does not include the "through pressing down lift key" unit in his version, and also offers no explanation for this.

PPs I, II and IV did not change the ordering of the "without bread" and "in the case of opened window" units, relative to each other. However it was necessary to separate them, either through placement in different sentences or by means of a conjunction. PP II reversed the order of the units in the Nachlauf in an attempt to avoid a juxtaposition which would imply that one was a condition of the other, whereas in fact both are descriptive of the toasting process. All four, contrary to the MT, began with the subordinate clause or, in PP IV's case, with the prepositional nominal form.

## TRANSLATION UNIT 15

ST: Wie bie allen Doppelschlitz-Toastern kann es beim Toasten von nur einer Scheibe Unterschiede in der Bräunung beider Seiten geben

MT: As there can be differences in the browning of both pages (side) in the case of all two-slice toasters when the toasting only a slice

Reordering occurs here, in a similar manner to $T U$, as a result of the use of a subordinate clause. The versions of PPs III and IV and the MT are correlative with regard to the positioning of semantic constituents. PPs I and II placed the subordinate clause at the beginning of the sentence.

## PP I

PPV: When toasting only 1 slice of bread in a two-slice toaster, there can be differences in the degree of browning of the two sides

PP II

PPV: If you use a two-slice toaster to toast one slice of bread the two sides could be toasted to different degrees

## PP III

PPV: As with all double toasters there can be differences between both sides when toasting one slice of bread only

PP IV

PPV: Differences in the degree of toasting can be found in all double-size toasters when only one slice of bread is inserted

PP I comments that "that's a better way to start it off", ie. with the subordinate clause. PP II's subordinate clause is not a "when..." clause, rather it is an active "if..." clause. PP III begins his version with an adverbial phrase and relegates the subordinate clause to the end of the sentence, which is also the location chosen by PP IV for the subordinate clause in his version.

It should be noted that, unlike TU 6, both left and right positioning of the subordinate clause is possible in this TU. There is an overall tendency in English to position clauses that are constituents of phrases at the end of the phrases [13], and this may account for the structure of the versions produced by PPs III and IV.

The positioning of the subordinate clauses by PPs I and II may be influenced by their consideration of thematic structure. TU 13 ("Toasting a slice of bread") constituted the heading for the section emcompassing TUs 14 to 26 . The subordinate clauses ("when toasting only one slice of bread", "if you use a two-slice toaster to toast only one slice of bread" etc.) therefore constitute "given" information or presupposed facts and, in accordance with thematic sentence structuring in English, would merit positions at the beginning of the sentences. This ordering therefore has specific semantic and pragmatic functions:


#### Abstract

"Presupposed propositions will normally be expressed by initial subordinate clauses" [14].

The final position of a sentence or a sequence of facts is assigned more informational value. Thus, by placing the subordinate clause initially, the focus is on the consequence of this presupposed fact. In this way, the remainder of this sentence, the superordinate clause, represents the rhematic element. The conflicting yet equally acceptable structures of PPs I and II on the one hand, and PPs III and IV on the other, may reflect the two vectorial forces of syntactic structure in force here. There exists the tendency to place subordinate clauses at the end of sentences and opposing this are the constraints imposed by an awareness of what would logically constitite topic, or given information, and focus, or new information, in this TU.


TRANSLATION UNIT 16

ST: Sie sollten daher beim Toasten von nur einer Scheibe die nächstniedrigere Einstellung der Bräunung wählen

MT: You were to therefore select the one setting lower of the browning when the toasting only a slice

PP I
PPV: Therefore, when toasting 1 slice of bread, you should select one setting lower for the degree of browning

PP II
PPV: When toasting only one slice of bread you should therefore use one browning setting lower

PP III
PPV: When toasting one slice only you should therefore select one setting lower

PPV: Select one setting lower on the dial/knob when toasting a single slice of bread

Lotfipour-Saedi, in a discussion of discourse analysis and the problem of translation equivalence, calls attention to the wellestablished conception in text linguistics and cognitive psychology that keeping as identical as possible "the thematic elements" of the consecutive sentences in a text contributes to a more cohesive and thus more easily processable text [15].

The MT of "beim Toasten von nur einer Scheibe" is positioned by METAL at the end of the sentence and is transferred to the beginning of the $T U$ by three participants. The fourth, PP IV, retains the constituent ordering of the MT.

PP I's version of the preceding TU began with a subordinate clause which was almost identical to that of this TU, and PP II, perhaps to a lesser extent, has also retained the same topic here as in $T U$ 15. PP III has also begun his $T U$ with this subordinate clause and it seems that, from a textual point of view, the most logical position for this "when..." clause is indeed in the topic slot. PP IV's disparate version occurs as a result of his use of the imperative, by virtue of which the verb is almost necessarily assigned a position at the top of the sentence.

## TRANSLATION UNIT 25

ST: Bei Verwendung des Brötchenaufsatzes schaltet der Toaster unabhängig vom Regler automatisch auf eine Festzeit um

# MT: The toaster changes over independently of the controller during use of the grid for rolls automatically to a fixed time 

## PP I

PPV: When this grid for bread rolls is being used the toaster decides on a toasting time, independent of the regulator

PP II

PPV: When you are using the grid for the rolls the toaster automatically changes over independent of the controller to a fixed time

PP III

PPV: When using the fitting for toasting rolls the toaster automatically switches to a fixed time/standard time independently of the controller/setting of the controller

## PP IV

PPV: When the grid for rolls is in position the toaster automatically switches over from sensor operation to a fixed toasting time

In this TU, ordering of the participants' versions corresponds to that of the ST, although the semantic unit with which participants begin their version is verbal, and that of the ST is nominal. However, ordering within the intermediate MT is in variance to both the ST and the PPVs.

PP I (Step 16) uses the demonstrative pronoun "this", commenting that she is using it to "connect back to the last sentence". The previous TU was "der Brötchenaufsatz muß mit den Federbügeln einrasten" and this TU therefore contains the second reference to the "grid for rolls". This is sufficient reason to justify positioning of the subordinate clause to the left of the
superordinate clause.

PP II first attempts to make the TU acceptable without making changes in the word/constituent order. She subsequently assigns the subordinate clause to the beginning of the sentence.

PP III observes that "the whole order of words is wrong here" and acting upon this, he too places the subordinate clause at the beginning.

PP IV expresses his intention of beginning with the English equivalent of "bei Verwendung", which is first nominal and then a "when..." clause. Thus, as was the case in TU 6, there is consilient constituent ordering by the PPs.

As can be discerned from the TAPs in these instances - in particular from those of PPs III and IV - the decision made here may be ascribed to some notion of thematic structuring on the part of the PPs. This manifests itself as an innate ability to correctly place constituents of one TU in relation to the constituents of the preceding sentence, eg. "sensing" that reordering is necessary, rather than as the possession of scientific or linguistic knowledge of topic and focus positioning.

## TRANSLATION UNIT 18

ST: Beim HT 55 mißt der eingebaute Sensor die Oberflächentemperatur des Brotes und steuert danach die Toastzeit

MT: In the case of HT 55, the built-in sensor measures the surface temperature of bread and controls the toasting time after this

## PP I

PPV: The HT 55 has a built-in sensor which measures the surface temperature of the bread and from this controls the toasting time/the length of the toasting process

PP II

PPV: In the case of HT 55 the built-in sensor measures the surface temperature of the bread and then controls the toasting time

PP III
PPV: In the case of the HT 55, the built-in sensor measures the surface temperature of the bread and controls the toasting time accordingly

PP IV
PPV: The HT 55 model has a built-in sensor which measures the surface temperature of the bread and regulates the toasting time

TU 18 contains a feature of German language which is often problematic when one translates German into English. The German pronominal adverb usually has a referential function and the translations produced by METAL for these particles seldom incorporate this in a manner which is grammatically, semantically and stylistically adequate.

In this TU "danach" has been rendered literally and the temporal sense of "nach" has been given precedence by METAL. Here this is incorrect and the PPs must replace it with a more acceptable equivalent. PP I suggests "from this" (Step 30) and "in this way" (Step 33), eventually deciding to retain "from this".

PP II replaces "after this" with "then", obviously interpreting, incorrectly, the linking reference strived for here by "danach" as
being of a temporal nature.

PP III has no comprehension or editing difficulties in relation to the "danach" in this TU. He comments that "after this" is "danach" in a temporal sense, but that this obviously is not meant here: "steuert danach das heißt je nach der Oberflächentemperatur des Brotes". He proceeds to suggest a fitting equivalent, "accordingly".

PP IV does not include the "danach"/"after this" element in his version. There is nothing in the TAP to suggest that this occurred because PP IV may have considered it redundant in the TU, rather $I$ would postulate that he forgot to include this unit of meaning in his version.

TRANSLATION UNIT 21
ST: Es muß dazu jedoch in beiden Schlitzen Brot gleicher Sorte und Beschaffenheit verwendet werden

MT: Nevertheless it has to be used to this in both slots of sort same bread and nature

PP I
PPV: However, the bread must be of the same type and inserted in the same manner

The bread, however, must be of the same type and inserted into the toasting slots in the same manner

PP II

PPV: However to do this the same sort and texture of bread must be used in both slots

PP III

PPV: However, for this it is necessary to use bread of the same kind and consistency in both slots

PP IV
PPV: However the bread used does have to be the same in both slits The ST of this TU also contains a pronominal adverb which has been incorrectly translated by METAL ("dazu" $\rightarrow$ "to this") and must be omitted or replaced by PPs. PP I discards it and does not replace it. Her TAP gives no indication of the motivation for this particular decision. PPs II, III and IV, on the other hand, substitute more apt linguistic elements to convey anaphoric reference, namely "to do this" (PP I), "for this (PP III), and the emphatic periphrastic "does" (PP IV).

## TRANSLATION UNIT 23

ST: Sollten Sie nur eine Scheibe toasten, legen Sie diese bitte in den mit dem Sensorpunkt gekennzeichnetem Schlitz

MT: If you were to only toast a slice you please set these into the slot characterised with the sensor point

PP I
PPV: If you wish to toast only one slice of bread, then place it in the slot which has the sensor point

PP II
PPV: If you only want to toast one slice then please put this into the slot where the sensor point is situated

PP III
PPV: If you want to toast one slice only please put it into the slot marked with the sensor point

PP IV
PPV: When toasting a single slice of bread please put the slice in the slot marked with the red dot

Here the proform "diese", referring to "eine Scheibe", has been incorrectly translated by METAL which is incapable of making a distinction between the plural demonstrative pronoun and the singular feminine. The PPs replace the incorrect form by "it, "this" and "the slice", commenting that a singular form is required.

TRANSLATION UNIT 26

> ST: Das Einschalten erfolgt ebenfalls mit der Lifttaste MT: Switching on also occurs with the lift key

PP I
PPV: Again to initiate this toasting process, the lift button must be pressed

PP II
PPV: The lift key can also be used to switch on the toaster

PP III
PPV: The lift button is also used to switch on the toaster

PP IV
PPV: To start toasting the lift key should be depressed as in normal operation

This TU has previously been discussed in reference to noun-to-verb and active-to-passive changes. PP III decides "I'd make a passive out of this" and it is therefore to be expected that he begin his version with the English equivalent of "Lifttaste". The other participants seem to first select the semantic constituent to
occupy the topic slot, which in turn creates a predilection for use of the passive.

As already outlined in Chapter 2, one of the functions of the passive voice in English is "to promote textual cohesion" [16]. This is achieved by the passive since its use often permits "thematisation" [17]. Generally the object of an active sentence will become the subject, or "pseudosubject", of the corresponding passive sentence and will thus occupy the topic slot. This would be in contrast to its previous object placement within the active sentence, the topic of which is more likely to be the "real" subject. Here PP II starts with "the lift button", as does PP IV initially ("the lift key"), eventually placing the infinitival phrase in front. PP I begins with a similar infinitival construction but designates topic position to "the lift button" within the main clause.

TRANSLATION UNIT 7

ST: Sie können alle Brotsorten toasten
MT: You can toast all bread sorts

PP I
PPV: A11 types of bread may be toasted

PP II
PPV: You can toast all types of bread

PP III
PPV: You can toast all kinds of bread

PP IV
PPV: All sorts of bread can be toasted in this model

PP IV, exhibiting the previously mentioned inherent awareness of native-language thematic structuring, says "probably it would be the other way round in English", and both he and PP I begin their versions with "all types/kinds of bread". However, from a purely pragmatic point of view, the versions of PPs II and III are just as acceptable, and reordering does not appear to establish closer links with the previous TU.

## COHERENCE

Coherence, "the realisation(s) of the text's meaning potential" [18], is not as easy to quantify or pinpoint within a text as cohesion. Edmondson equated coherence with the text's
"interpretability" [19], and interpolations and modifications made by the PPs to enhance the text's comprehensibility are therefore considered here.

Dressler and de Beaugrande describe coherence as:
"concerning the ways in which the components of the textual world, ie, the configuration of concepts and relations which underlie the surface text, are mutually accessible and relevant" [20].

Here my main concern is not merely how coherent the MT text appears to its readers, the participants, rather I intend to explore how they modify the MT in order to enhance its coherence. This may occur where the text's coherence has been diminished as a result of METAL's processing of it. Alternatively, it may be prompted by a desire on the participants' behalf to increase the English text's
coherence in relation to that of the original German.

Since cohesion is:
"an overt relationship holding between parts of the text, expressed by language specific markers",
changes made to enhance this are likely to be reasonably uniform and we have observed that they are of ten executed unanimously. Coherence, on the other hand, is:
"a covert potential meaning relationship among parts of a text, made overt by the reader or listener through processes of interpretation" [21].

Since it is dependent upon "the outcome of cognitive processes among text users" [22], it entails a marked element of subjectivity and this is reflected in the individualistic changes made by participants.

## INTERTEXTUALITY

The third standard of textuality which merits discussion here is intertextuality. This:
"concerns the factors which make the utilisation of one text dependent upon knowledge of one or more previously encountered texts" [23].

According to Bühler, intertextuality is responsible for the evolution of text types as classes of texts with typical patterns or characteristics [24]. Here I am concerned with the consideration (or lack of same) given by the participants to the particular text type which they were post-editing. To what extent were the changes made influenced by previous knowledge of texts of this nature? It is most appropriate to discuss each of the participants with reference to those textual modifications which may be accredited to the standards of coherence and intertextuality.

COHERENCE-RELATED AND INTERTEXTUALITY-LINKED CHANGES


PP IV's CHANGES

In TU 5, PP IV decides to omit the brand name Braun,
"because I always think that is extraneous anyway re keeping repeating the maker's name".

His remark (particularly "I always think") reveals his awareness of the practice of repeating the manufacturer's name in literature produced by manufacturers pertaining to their products. However, he realises that such reiteration can boast of no informational

```
value and sees no reason to include redundant elements in his
version
```

In TU 6, contrary to the other three participants, PP IV does not verbalise the nominal MT structure. Instead he opts for "before use": "that's the standard English collocation for this sort of situation". This indicates that his editing is being carried out keeping in mind previous encounters with texts of a similar nature and that this decision is therefore based on prior knowledge of such texts. As mentioned in the cohesion discussion, PP IV omits the "through pressing down lift key" element here, presumably to enhance textual coherence.

Although lexical decision-making is not of primary importance here, it too reflects consideration of text types. In TU 8, PP IV searches for an English equivalent of "gewünscht", eventually asserting "probably they'd just say correct", "they" referring no doubt to writers of similar instructions in English.

> "A text does not make sense by itself but rather by the interaction of text-presented knowledge with people's stored knowledge of the world" [26].

For this reason, PP IV suggests alternatives for "Lifttaste" in TU 8:
"because toasters have got different sorts of 4.4 ways of setting 3.9 the toasting...on my toaster it's a knob but God knows what other people have got".

Similarly in TU 9:
"so that choice of word 1.9 would 1.1 eh(r) have to be according to what the thing looks like in reality".

He thus displays his awareness of the relationship between the
linguistic elements of the text and their underlying real-world concepts. Also in TU 8, PP IV inserts a medium value ("three medium") in "one light six dark". "I'm inserting this because I'm not an extremist" is followed by the comment:
"so I've added something to the translation in this case because this is not customer-friendly 1.2 or user-friendly 1.8 it's always good to have a medium value in as well 2.7 and that's very often the case for translations that you have to add something".

These modifications are therefore obviously aimed at enhancing the English text's coherence.

In TU 11 he remarks that "this is difficult to judge out of context" since he assumes that this $T U$ was originally accompanied by a diagram. Once again he is quite concerned with maintaining the link between the linguistic forms and the concepts of reality to which they refer.

This becomes obvious once again in TU 19 where, in the search for an adequate translation of "Regler", PP IV reiterates that "a lot depends on what the damned thing looks like".

In TU 20 PP IV feels compelled to add information to his version: "I might even insert the word daily here it sort of makes it sensible", but obviously has reservations about the absolute necessity of it and compromises by placing it in "soft brackets". By his own admission this interpolation renders the version, in his view, more sensible.

It is interesting to observe that in TU 23 the "mit dem Sensorpunkt gekennzeichneten Schlitz" becomes "the slit marked with the red dot", although the original text makes no reference to the colour
or the appearance of the "sensor point". In PP IV's illative version, he seems to be unaware that he has added information and therefore does not justify it through expression of coherencerelated motives

Similarly, in TU 24 he adds (in soft brackets) the number of spring clips:
"and then I think I'd put the number 5.1 or maybe it's not necessary",
eventually deciding
"that would be the normal thing to put in the number". PP IV is obviously referring to his conception of language usage norms in texts of this type.

He continues to be conscious of intertextual concerns and TU 28 sees the exclamation: "oh what do we say for that? (groans)". Here PP IV is aware of how frequently this TU occurs in instructions texts and searches for the standard English expression or sentence. The latter bears little linguistic resemblance to the MT but may be regarded as functionally identical.

TU 31 apparently also represents to PP IV a commonly-used instruction and, having formulated his version, he affirms "yes that's the way we'd do it". TU 32, too, constitutes a form which can be regarded as integral in texts of this type. PP IV proposes a rendering and then, illustrating his recognition of the standard nature of it, says:

## "I'm sure that there's some phrase like that for Änderungen

 vorbehalten".PP IV's constant awareness of the real-world entities referred to through the language of the text is portrayed by the extratextual remark with regard to TU 15: "I can't remember what it says on the box of the toaster that I once bought in England" when searching for an English equivalent of "Doppelschlitz-Toaster"; his exclamation of "oh that's clever I didn't know that right although it's pretty sensible" in response to the information given in TU 16; and his realisation of what "control sensor" actually entails, as revealed in TU 18: "ah we know what it is now!".

In TU 21, the bread to be used in both slots must be of the same "Sorte und Beschaffenheit" or "of sort same bread and nature" - a rather unintelligible MT version. PP IV renders this as "does have to be the same", then exclaims "oho sorry no I missed something there" but upon reflection decides
"I think I shall leave it like that because 1.2 you can't get any simpler 9.6 maybe it's 1.4 disputable".

Other embellishments or omissions were effected by PP IV without reference to motives behind, or justification for, the modifications. It is conjecturable that these are executed to further the coherence of the text. In TUs 4 and 14 "Note" becomes "Please note". TU 7's "all sorts of bread may be toasted" is supplemented by "in this model". TU 24 sees the expansion of "switching on" by all PPs into a verbal clause incorporating the object of this switching on, namely "the toaster", "toasting process", or, in PP IV's case, "toasting" (ie. "to start toasting").

PP III's CHANGES

PP III's TAP contains fewer examples of awareness of maintaining or
improving coherence within the text. His first reference to this is in relation to TU 8 where, almost humorously, he says:
"then I think to make it absolutely sure in English I would probably add on the end eh(r) 2.9 depending on how you like your toast (laughs) no we'11 not bother doing that though".

It is obvious here that he considers the possibility of interpolations to improve the "sense" of the English version but on this occasion is perhaps not convinced of the necessity.

In TU 11, he displays clear realisation of the real-world:text relationship:
"that's all well and good saying device but it's a toaster so let's call it a toaster".

Like PP IV, PP III remarks on the nature of TU 31, "Änderungen vorbehalten":
"I'm not sure what exactly the eh(r) sentence is they use... it would have to be a long thing... usually it would be at short notice or something but I think we'll leave that off here",
all three comments conveying the cognised customariness of this formulation.

TUs 4 and 14 are also supplemented by PP III with an emphasiscarrying adjective ("Important note"). TU 6 is rendered without the "through pressing down lift key" element. It can only by assumed that this was considered redundant. TU 26 becomes more explicit through the noun to verb shift.

CHANGES MADE BY PPs I AND II

If the maintenance of coherence constituted a primary concern of PPs I and II, it is cleverly disguised, since they make little
allusion, conscious or otherwise, to this standard of textuality. It seems more accurate to infer that coherence is seldom considered. Furthermore, the text is regarded as consisting of a series of words or sentences and as bearing little relation to the set of texts to which it belongs. Perhaps more importantly, the TAPs seem to indicate that PPs I and II show ample consideration for linguistic forms, but do not often establish sufficiently strong links between these and the concepts, state descriptions and action sequences to which these language structures refer.

PP II modifies TU 8, omitting the control settings 1 and 6 and simply leaving "light to dark". The only evident establishing of links between the text and reality occurs in TU 15, where PP II says "never knew that" in response to the revelation that "there may be differences in the browning of both pages (side) in the case of all two-slice toasters when the toasting only a slice".

PP I inserts "at any stage" into TU 10: "you can interrupt the toasting process by pressing the stop button". In TU 21, "es muß...Brot gleicher Sorte und Beschaffenheit verwendet werden" ("it has to be used...of sort same bread and nature") is misunderstood and is first rendered as "must...be inserted in the same manner into the slots". "Into the slots" is subsequently modified to "into the toasting slots", accompanied by the explanation: "make it clearer". In TU 16, PP I almost effects a coherence-related change. The MT is "you were to therefore select the one setting lower of the browning when the toasting only a slice". PP I asks the justifiable question: "one setting lower than what though?" This is followed by the tentative suggestion: "one setting lower
than usual?" However, she admits not knowing what is meant here: "it's not very clear in the text", and therefore makes no interpolations to the TU.

The other changes made by these PPs and attributable to a more interpretable final text are those made in TU 26 (already discussed in relation to PPs III and IV), and the necessary changes made to TU 32 ("reserve changes"). The latter involved, in both cases, consulting a dictionary and accepting the "subject to alterations" contained therein, although PP I expressed a lack of understanding for this:
"hm(r) what's it mean though? 10.8 subject to alterations oh it's not very clear in the text anyway"!

Surely logical inferencing, had it taken place, or contemplation of the usual concluding expressions of instructions texts would have facilitated, at least, comprehension of the ST/MT/dictionary entry and, at best, the production of a more satisfactory version of the TU in question.

## CONCLUSIONS

The three categories of textual standards discussed in this chapter - cohesion, coherence and intertextuality - orbit a common and controversial nucleus; that of the relationship between language and knowledge of the world. The application of each of the standards is closely linked to the post-editors' perception of this relationship and to the extent to which they allow themselves to be influenced by it. This nucleus is therefore a quoin of vantage for the concluding remarks on inter-TU changes.

Representation of meaning has long been congested in clouds of controversy. Proponents of the referential theory of meaning insist that the meaning of a word is what the word refers to, or the relation of a word to what it refers to, whereas the image theory argues that the meaning of a word is the image it evokes. According to Clark and Clark, the most significant shortcomings of these theories is that they fail to separate knowledge of language from knowledge of the world, and the authors make a distinction between two aspects of meaning. On the one hand the "sense" or "intension" of a word is the concept associated with that word. On the other hand, the "reference" of a word (its "extension") is the set of things the word applies to in any real or imaginery world the objects, states, events or processes in that world [25].

Clark and Clark are subsequently concerned with componential, quantificational and functional approaches to meaning, outlining the drawbacks of each in representing meaning. Luria, accepting that words have "referential" and "associative" (or "connotative" and "denotative") meanings, pursues a more general psychological line of logic which expounds the notion that, without language, our world would consist of those objects, concepts, etc., which we could perceive or experience directly. Language thus creates an added dimension to the human world, enabling us to surmount the limitations posed by a world consisting of our individual perceptions alone. Through language, we possess the ability to treat of objects we have but conceptualised, and indeed to carry out cognitive actions in the absence of real objects [26].

Such is the intrinsic nature of the complex relationship between the world and language, seen from the micro-perspective of words:
"The word is the foundation of the system of codes which ensures the transition from the sensory to the rational world" [27].

The utterance or sentence constitutes the introduction of words into a system of relationships. However, the relationship between an utterance and the connotative and denotative meanings of the individual words is not easily explained. Similarly, a text is not merely the sum of its individual sentences or words. This, designated by Stolze as Übersummativitat, is a proven assumption upon which the discipline of text linguistics is founded. A text forms a "strukturierter Zusammenhang" [28], and has a:
"Gesamtbedeutung, die die Einzelbedeutungen der Sätze spezifisch relativiert" [29].

The quintessential intertwining of syntactic and semantic elements is the cotextual aspect of a text. However, equally important are the extra-textual factors of context. These include the communication situation and the "soziokulturelle Umgebung" which is determined by:
"natürliche, historische, kulturelle, religiöse, soziale, wirtschaftliche, politische, technische, individuelle und okkasionelle Umstände" [30].

This progression through the relationship between language and the world, which began with words, does not culminate in the text. There is, in fact, a yet more generic level, affording a wideangled view of the previous panorama. This is the level of the text type and is concisely defined by Peters as follows:
"Textsorten sind konventionell geltende Muster für komplexe sprachliche Handlungen und lassen sich als jeweils typische

Verbindungen von kontextuellen (situativen), funktionalen und strukturellen (grammatischen und thematischen) Merkmalen beschreiben" [31].

Thus, texts may be grouped into categories according to their function. Moreover, the existence of structural, text-typical norms facilitates, and provides orientation for, the generation and reception of texts.

The function of this short exposition has been to make clear the importance of establishing and maintaining the link between language and the real-world concepts denoted by it when processing (eg. producing, comprehending, translating, editing, etc.) language in general, and texts in particular.

Cohesion is the standard least jeopardised by lack of consideration of this relationship. This is illustrated by comparing the occurrence of the changes both affecting and effecting cohesion, with those which were related to coherence or intertextuality.

The changes made by PP I influencing cohesion far outnumber those of PPs II, III and IV. Yet, changes of the second type were rare in PP I's version. She may indeed have given due consideration to the textual nature of the series of sentences before her, and subsequently effected her changes in the syntactic compages of this. However, although these changes are necessarily structural in nature, their consequences are also deeply rooted in form and do not extend into the realm of content. Perhaps it may be said that, in Saussurean terms, PP I gives precedence to the "signifiant", not to the "signifié".

PP IV represents the inverse of this, determinedly deliberating on


#### Abstract

text type and information impartation, to the extent that he remarks on the execution of changes designed to produce a more coherent text


PPs II and III are the medium values, although PP II's coordinates are situated more closely to those of PP $I$ on the form:content graph, which, in a broader sense, is a representation of language:world knowledge.

Of interest is the observation that PPs II and III both reread their entire versions in the Nachlauf phase. PP I, on the other hand, completed several unfinished TUs in the Nachlauf. These were in the first half of the MT/PPV. Having done this, she quickly scanned the latter half of her text, beginning from the last $T U$ requiring emendation. PP IV, upon completion of the final TU, concluded the post-editing process. PP IV's behaviour in this regard may give credence to the already evidenced predomination, in his post-editing, of content over form, where the finished structures of the text were perhaps less important than the concepts to which they refer.

I do not wish to deem one final version of the text more correct than another, nor is it my intention to judge the changes made by one or other PP as being either necessary or superfluous. Rather I wished to isolate the motivation for inter-TU changes and trace its reflection in the TAPs. This, in turn, was to highlight the varying degrees of concern for standards of textuality among PPs, and to outline the significance of such standards in view of the relationship between "words and the world" [32].
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5. CONGLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In this concluding chapter I intend, firstly, to present some statistical information regarding the TAPs and the PPVs. Secondly, I would like to discuss aspects of the post-editing process which were not brought to light in Chapters 2, 3 and 4. Finally, based on the range of observations which have been made concerning the post-editing process, $I$ will focus on the skills and competence required or desirable on the part of post-editors and make some recommendations for post-editing in the future.

Overleaf are some statistics for both the TAPs and the PPVs. These PPV statistics are followed by the ensuing PP profiles, also presented in tabular form to facilitate comparison of participants.


THINKING - ALOUD PROTOCOLS

|  | PP I | PP II | PP III | PP IV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Length of recorded |  |  |  |  |
| post-editing task |  |  |  |  |
| in sec. | 4367.7 | 4291.5 | 2424.8 | 3269.1 |
| in min. | 72.8 | 71.5 | 40.4 | 54.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total no. of words | 3996 | 3174 | 3682 | 3420 |
| in TAPs |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Words produced |  |  |  |  |
| per sec. | 0.91 | 0.88 | 1.52 | 1.05 |
| per min. | 54.89 | 52.73 | 91.11 | 62.77 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total pause length |  |  |  |  |
| in sec. | 3140.0 | 3324.7 | 1143.5 | 1783.9 |
| in min. | 52.3 | 55.4 | 19.1 | 29.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total no. of pauses | 791 | 666 | 447 | 612 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total pause length |  |  |  |  |
| as \% of recorded | 71.9\% | $77.5 \%$ | 47. 2\% | 54.6\% |
| time |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of pauses to |  |  |  |  |
| words produced | 1:5.1 | 1:4.8 | 1:8.2 | 1:5.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |



These profiles mirror the profiles of the PPs presented in 1.4 , and also correlate with some of the processing capabilities and difficulties already observed in the previous chapters.

The statistics for PP IV are not at either extreme, although generally situated closer to those for PP III. In isolation, this fact may not reveal much about his post-editing. However, in view of the preceding chapters it strengthens the observation that the post-editing of this text poses few difficulties for him. An additional indication of this is his use of reference books. He looks up "steuern" in order to find an appropriate English-language equivalent but dismisses the dictionary suggestions as "useless". Having decided that "regulate" is the term he requires, he crosschecks "for a joke" to see if "steuern" is given as an equivalent for "regulate" - "a well-known translator trick". His final RB consultation occurs because he is "sure there's a good English word for that but it won't occur to me". He therefore makes very sensible use of $R B s$, and at no time suffers comprehension difficulties.

PP III has had most professional experience of translation and this probably accounts for the speed with which he completed his postediting. His high level of SL competence meant that he experienced few comprehension problems. Indications in support of this are the low number of pauses and the short duration of these. That his PPV is shortest is not significant since the versions produced by PPs II and IV are only marginally longer. His use of reference books was limited to three instances and he was the only PP to make exclusive use of a technical dictionary. This again occurs as a
result of both his $S L$ competence and his background in technical translation, by virtue of which he is probably quite accustomed to referring to specialised dictionaries. At no point did comprehension difficulties prompt reference-book consultation and on all three occasions he rejected the equivalent offered by the reference book, thus not at all displaying the dependence on dictionaries, expecially bilingual ones, witnessed by Krings with regard to advanced language learners [1].

PP II represents almost the total inverse of PP III. The statistics indicate that she is hesitant and, relative to PPs IV and II, has difficulty post-editing the text. Her knowledge of the SL German is not as extensive as that of the two PPs above, and this must account for her low rate of verbalisation and for the high percentage of the total recorded time which constitutes pauses. Her use of reference books was sometimes prompted by her inability to understand the $S T$, and she made use of the equivalents provided by dictionaries on six occasions. She thus demonstrates a greater dependency on reference books than either PP IV or PP III, and does not make use of technical dictionaries.

PP I's profile resembles PP II's. Her written version is considerably longer than the others because she supplied alternatives for three TUs. This, in itself, may indicate a degree of uncertainty and the presence of problems. She took longest to complete the post-editing task, but in fact only marginally longer than PP II. At least half of her reference-book consultations involved lexical items, the meanings of which she was unsure of.

The processing by PPs I and III disprove the findings of Gilhooly and Gregory who concluded that simple length of protocol in words was an accurate indication of protocol quality [2]. The TAPs produced by PPs III and IV provide considerably more information regarding motivation for various decisions reached than does PP I's TAP. The former thus ranks higher on the protocol quality scale. It became clear in Chapter 2 that much of PP I's processing involved repetition of $S T, M T$ and PPV units. Thus, in this study, protocol quality is more directly linked to rate of verbalisation than to protocol length.

THE "NEVER-MIND-IT'LL DO" SYNDROME

A significant aspect of post-editing revealed in the TAPs is what I have termed the "never-mind-it'll-do" syndrome. This refers to instances, chiefly but not exclusively in the lexical sphere, where PPs accept unsatisfactory renderings. These have sometimes been produced by the PPs themselves, but often they are the MT versions to which they are compelled to seek recourse. I have isolated below the instances in each participant's TAPs where this phenomenom is manifest.

## (i) PP IV's TAP

In TU 2, PP IV admits being "a bit lost on that one", referring to "control sensor":
"I would require a lot of research finding out what exactly the sensors are for".

In spite of this lack of complete understanding of the terms in this context, he decides:
"I'11 leave that it just looks good but it's pretty meaningless for normal English readers".

This thought is reiterated in TU 17, where "control sensor" occurs a second time: "okay well nothing we can do about that". With regard to the MT's "crumb compartment" in TU 5, he says: "I suppose that's alright", and is willing to accept the MT.

In TU 9, his acceptance of the MT term "lift key" takes place with reservations:
"so for want of a better idea and knowing jolly well that it won't be in the dictionary $I$ shall use this expression 1.7 the lift key".

Finally, in TU 22, having criticised the German ST for this particular translation unit, he proposes a version and ends his processing of the TU with "that's what's meant I hope". (ii) PP III's TAP

PP III's reluctantly retained TUs are based partly on what he perceives as being lexical inadequacies, but some are also syntaxrelated. They begin in TU 6 where METAL's (correct) rendering of "Fenster" as "window" is a cause for concern:
"that sounds a bit funny but eh(r) I wouldn't know what else they would call Fenster".

The problematic nature of the rendering of "Fenster" gives rise to the closing remark for this TU: "well I don't like that very much but never mind". In fact, he subsequently (ie. in the Nachlauf) modifies slightly his final Hauptlauf version of the TU.

In TU 8, it is not METAL's terms but his own which are, in his view, not entirely satisfactory:
"well desired sounds a bit highfalutin but never mind"
and:
"well I don't like my mode but I couldn't think of anything else".

In TU 11, PP III harbours some doubts about the meaning of the ST and, as a result of this, he decides to accept the MT, since he is unable to suggest a reliable replacement:
"not quite sure what they even mean in the German das Gerät wird ausgeschaltet 1.3 oh well switched off".

He remarks in TU 18:
"built-in sensor we could have said integrated but never mind".

This does not really constitute a criticism of the MT, rather a proposed translation alternative, of equal standing with the MT term. The reaction to the MT's "surface temperature" in TU 18 is:
"that's alright 2.4 although it sounds a bit like we're talking about suns and planets".

He nonetheless uses this term in his version. Also in this $T U$ he resignedly states:
"oh I'm gonna write colour that's all it's not quite right".

Similarly in TU 26, having previously attempted to find an appropriate term for "Lifttaste", PP III exclaims:
"I'm going to call it a lift button $I$ don't care if it sounds like a Fahrstuh1".

In TU 29, as in TU 18, he proposes a viable alternative but nevertheless retains the MT structure:
"could also say the casing can be kept clean very simply using a moist cloth 2.0 oh well anyway I'll just leave this".

The final instance of PP III's acceptance of a lexical item which, in his view, seems inadequate, occurs in TU 33, where he is not
enthusiastic about the rendering of "Richtlinien" as "guidelines". He gives expression to his dissatisfaction in two comments:
"well I don't know what else you would say apart from guideline 1.0 Richtlinie 2.6 of course nobody knows what the guidelines seventy-six eight eight nine are when you hear Richtlinien 1.1 oh I see it says $E W G$ on the back well I don't know what to do there"
"alright I'll just write guidelines 1.0 seventy-six eight eight nine 4.0 but guideline $I$ don't like".
(iii) PP II's TAP

PP II, on more than one occasion, decides that a term used by METAL, although unfamiliar to her, is a technical one and is thus correct. In addition to these instances, the TAP reflects other moments of uncertainty. In TU 6, for example, with regard to the MT's "lift key", she deliberates: "I don't know whether that's right I suppose", and in TU 15 she doubts the superiority of her own version over that of METAL: "don't know whether my translation's any better". In TU 19 PP II remarks of the MT:
"it makes sense but I don't know whether it's 1.2 I'd actually write it",
later exclaiming: "oh I can't think of anything!". Upon producing a complete version she says "just go on", thus indicating that she is not entirely satisfied with her version. In TU 20 there is a similar problem:
"I'm still not happy about that ensures constant browning".

In TU 24, she presumes that METAL's translation of "Federbügeln" is correct: "I suppose Federbügeln is right". Finally, TU 33 is problematic, prompting comments like:
"I haven't a clue really",
"what does E W G then mean at the end?"
and a concluding despairing "I don't know". As a result of PP II's difficulties in comprehending the $S T$, she does not modify the MT here.
(iv) PP I's TAP

PP I's TAP contains several instances where, after some reflection, she settles for a version with which she is obviously less than happy. The fact that this begins approximately halfway through the text is possibly of significance. It may indicate restlessness, tiredness, or a desire to be finished as quickly as possible factors which were probably not present to such an extent in the initial stages of the post-editing process. TU 15 is concluded with: "okay that'll do"; TU 16 with:
"oh I don't know what it means it's not very clear in the text";
and TU 19 with: "ah it's okay it's clear enough". In TU 24, while committing her version to paper, PP I remarks: "I don't know what this means", possibly referring to the term "grid for rolls" in particular. The processing of TU 25 ends with: "oh it'11 do!" There are obvious comprehension difficulties in TU 32. "What's it mean though?" is a question referring to the dictionary entry for "Änderungen vorbehalten", and the difficulties are dismissed with: "oh it's not very clear in the text anyway". In TU 33, a troublesome lexical item must be tackled, and the result is: "I'm just gonna leave it screening". In the Nachlauf phase, PP I is once again confronted with vocabulary which was problematic in the Hauptlauf. She is not inspired, however, and in TU 5 says:
"maybe it is locked I can't think of anything else". Also in TU 8 the MT term is retained:
"stepless controller 5.6 oh I don't know 1.9 stepless".

These examples also provide insight into the extent to which PPs are influenced by the MT. At times they obviously use it as a safety net to compensate for their lack of knowledge of correct terms and the inability of dictionaries to supply these. It is true to say that PP II, in particular, is prepared to believe in METAL's competence in supplying correct "technical" equivalents. These terms included "control sensor", "stepless controller" and "spring clamps".

In PP I's case, of the nine incidences of adherence to dubious versions, five concerned MT lexical items or syntactic structures, and four were in relation to her own version. The six occurrences of this nature in PP II's TAP were equally divided between these two categories. Of eleven separate lexical items or structures queried, six of those accepted by PP III, in spite of dissatisfaction, were MT versions, and five concerned his own translation. PP IV's TAP displayed the smallest number of such occasions - three regarding inadequate MTs and one instance where he expressed doubts about the version he himself had just produced.

These "reduction strategies" [3], when they occur in translation entail making compromises and settling for an incomplete or inadequate translation [4]. According to Krings they can be discerned through "Übersetzungsproduktbewertungen" which are, for
the most part, negative [5]. However, this type of translation quality assessment, although an important feature of translation out of the native language, was rarely observable when Krings's PPs translated into their native language. This was so because their TL (ie. native-language) competence enabled them to continue searching for translation equivalents until they found one which was, in their opinion, appropriate [6].

On the one hand, the reduction strategies employed by the postediting PPs in this study bear similarity to those unveiled by Krings. The evaluation by PPs of their post-edited version was generally negative. On the other hand, it must be noted that these post-editors were dealing with a TL text in their own native language but nonetheless often employed reduction strategies. Since the post-editors already had before them an English-language version of the text - albeit qualitatively less than ideal - they were more inclined to accept an inadequate version than Krings's translators, who felt compelled to produce some rendering of their own, whose only other option would have been to leave blank spaces in their text. Secondly, although instructed to produce a text suitable for publication, they may have been less concerned about the ultimate quality of their versions in the knowledge that this constituted an experiment, and that the texts they produced would not actually be published. Their lack of concern in cases where lexical difficulties were in question may stem from the awareness that my main preoccupation in this project was syntax and that lexical considerations were not paramount. In instances where the entire TU, and not merely its lexis, was considered unsatisfactory
by the PPs, there is no such justification, and abandonment of the TU must be attributed to poor comprehension of the German ST and the ensuing inability to correct the MT or to generate a superior PPV. Alternatively, ie. if no comprehension difficulties existed, this dissatisfaction and defeatism must be ascribed to production problems or impatience. The latter, in particular, cannot readily be justified, since no time constraints were imposed.

In a limited number of cases where negative evaluations signal the use of a reduction strategy, PPs explain why the particular version or equivalent for which they are opting entails reduction or "message adjustment"[7]. Such clarifications are available in the TAPs in a small number of instances. An example of this is PP IV's explanation that "control sensor" is "pretty meaningless for normal English readers". PP III elucidates that "desired" sounds "highfalutin", that "surface temperature" "sounds a bit like we're talking about suns and planets" and that "lift button" is redolent of "Fahrstuhl". "Guideline" is unacceptable because "nobody knows what the guidelines seventy-six eight eight nine are". On the basis of these evaluations, PP III's reductions appear to have a more strategic foundation than those of PPs I and II. Krings points out that this particular strategy is usually a "playing-itsafe" one [8]. Obviously if the participants have difficulty comprehending the MT and, more importantly the ST, they are more likely to retain the MT equivalent or version, rather than risk generating a version of their own. This is particularly true in the cases of PPs I and II, as has already been illustrated by the examples above. It is also evident that "message abandonment" -
"das Offenlassen einer Lücke im Zieltext" [9], occurring only once in Krings's experiments, does not happen in the participants' postediting, since they have recourse to the MT version.

Krings also draws attention to participants' willingness to translate without having sufficient understanding of the $S T$, and cites examples of PPs misunderstanding the ST yet producing a correct translation, and vice versa [10]. It can be observed that, at times, PPs also post-edit without understanding either the MT or the ST, but can nevertheless succeed in producing a version which is correct. PP II's editing of "lets only operate" in TU 5 provides an example of this.

## LINGUISTIC INTERFERENCE

It was indicated in 1.4 that PPs III and IV had both spent many years in Germany. This has two perceptible consequences in the post-editing process. Firstly, there appears to be less hesitation and uncertainty on their parts - as exemplified in the statistics above by shorter post-editing times, shorter pauses, higher verbalisation rates, a smaller proportion of the total recorded time taken up by pauses, and, as previously mentioned, less use of reference books. These factors undoubtedly indicate the presence of fewer comprehension and production problems (and hence fewer editing problems) than in the cases of PPs I and II. This, in turn, is a direct consequence of greater SL competence.

The second consequence of this is the possibility of linguistic interference. There is evidence of this on a limited number of
occasions. Neither PP III nor PP IV have difficulty verbalising in their native language. However, both exhibit rare instances of spontaneous verbalisation in German. PP III, when processing TU 22, exclaims: "Toaste in der Mehrzah1 hab ich noch nie gehört!". TU 9 elicits an "aja" from PP IV. He also utters "ach ja!" in TU 25 , and "nicht has to das wollte ich nicht" in relation to TU 24. Both PPs III and IV experience minor difficulties in the lexical sphere which are obviously due to prolonged exposure to a Germanspeaking environment. In relation to "two-slice toaster" ("Doppelschlitz-Toaster"), PP III toys with "a toaster for toasting two slices of bread", and eventually decides on "double toaster". PP IV calls it a "double-size toaster", which is, in fact, rather ambiguous, but he admits that this term is chosen:
"because I can't remember what it says on the box of the toaster that I once bought in England",
and he adds a footnote to his PPV:
"wanted to check in GB, whether family/twin toaster etc.".

In TU 20, PP III insists that "frozen" is incorrect:
"and of course we wouldn't just say frozen we'd say deep frozen",
obviously suffering interference from the German "tiefgefroren". PP IV also discards "frozen", presumably also doubting its validity, and replaces it with "out of the deep-freeze".

The only clear instance of interference on the syntactic plane occurs in relation to TU 30, where PP III used a German form of negation (which is that proposed by METAL), while all other participants changed this to the correct English-language form. In

METAL's translation of this TU, the noun object is negated, corresponding directly to the noun negation in the ST. The insertion of the negative particle undoubtedly constitutes the correct negation of the German sentence. However, as made clear by Quirk et al., the correct method of negating an English imperative is to add an initial imperative marker: "do not" or "don't" [11]. PPs I, II and IV did not hesitate to replace the incongruous "use no" by "do not use", and PP IV remarked: "the machine got the imperative wrong". PP III, on the other hand, was primarily preoccupied by his search for a lexical equivalent for "scharf", and did not voice any reaction, positive or otherwise, to the negation of the verb in the MT, obviously not recognising its irregularity. He subsequently produced two versions of the TU, both of which retained METAL's incorrect imperative form. In this TU, all four PPs changed the MT "and" to "or", recognising that the scope of negation extended beyond the conjunction to include the second adjective and, of course, the plural noun, and that "or":
"tends to replace 'and' in contexts which we have called 'non-assertive'" [12].

Thus, the syntactic linguistic interference here is limited and, as already mentioned, this is the only clear example of such interference.

RECOMMENDATIONS - NECESSARY POST-EDITING SKILLS

This study has brought to light some necessary requirements for successful post-editing.

## (1) SOURCE LANGUAGE COMPETENCE

Contrary to the views expressed by Nirenburg [13] and Johnson and Whitelock [14] (see 1.2), excellent SL competence is of paramount importance in post-editing. The need to refer to the SL text is clear from the TAPs, and the above statistics and the analysis of how syntactic changes are carried out by PPs are evidence of this. PPs III and IV obviously have a better command of German than PPs I and II and consequently accomplish the post-editing task with fewer and shorter pauses than PPs I and II. They did not experience difficulty understanding the SL text and required reference books only as a means of verifying their own suggested equivalents or in order to find a collocationally appropriate lexical item.

## (2) CONTRASTIVE KNOWLEDGE

As an extension of (1), this study has shown that contrastive knowledge of SL and TL is advantageous in post-editing. This sort of knowledge facilitates the execution of changes like the conversion of a German nominal form into a corresponding English verbal one, or of the German recessive passive, discussed in Chapter 2, into an English modal passive. Although all four participants possessed such knowledge, PP III applies it most effectively to the post-editing process. It is obvious from his TAP that he makes most reference to syntax and the problems involved in rendering a SL structure in the TL. The contrastive knowledge possessed by PPs I and II is necessarily limited by their general SL deficiencies.

Linked to contrastive knowledge is familiarity with and application of the notion of text type. Chapter 4 revealed that PP IV was most aware of this, with PP III also demonstrating some consideration for the textual aspect. This may be linked to professional translating experience. Both PPs III and IV, having translated professionally, are probably more conscious of the destination and intended function of the version they are producing. They know, for example, what the typical phrase towards the end of operating instructions is and therefore produce an acceptable rendering of "Änderungen vorbehalten" (TU 32), whereas PPs I and II do not deliberate on the text type they are post-editing in order to find the formulation usually employed therein. Alternatively, PPs I and II may not have had sufficient experience of texts of this nature to be able to produce an adequate rendering. Not only do they lack professional translating experience, but they are also considerably younger than either PP III or PP IV, and have, for example, probably bought few electrical appliances, and consequently read few instruction booklets. This is also related to knowledge of the subject area, discussed in the next point.

It is interesting to observe that $P P$ IV is most mindful of textual considerations and is also the only PP not immersed in translation studies at the time of the experiment. This does not necessarily cast doubt on translators' ability to post-edit. However, in this case, $P P$ IV's long experience in language, together with his German-language proficiency is of more avail than the almost inevitably sentence-bound translation activity within the classroom
with which PPs I, II and III were most familiar, and which often places too little emphasis on text type and function, and the textlinguistic aspect of language processing.
(4) SUBJECT AREA KNOWLEDGE

The primary indication of lack of knowledge of the subject area is the presence of lexical difficulties. Although all four PPs were familiar with the appearance of and method of operating a toaster, they experienced some difficulties with terms such as "Lifttaste", "stufenloser Regler", "Bräunungsgrad", "funkentstört", etc. As already highlighted, my main concern was syntax. However, some of the processing of syntactic units was undoubtedly influenced by difficulties encountered in the processing of lexical items. PPs I and II were simply not familiar with the correct technical terms used, and therefore relied on the MT or on reference books for these terms. PP III, having the most technical background was most able to cope with these lexical items and was also in the best position to make intelligent and discerning use of technical dictionaries. An example of this is the fact that he was capable of deciding that "continuous" was most appropriate for "stufenlos", although both the MT and the RB offered "stepless" as an equivalent. PP IV attributes his inability to produce the correct terms to the fact that he had not lived in an English-speaking environment for many years.

The above four requirements are common to both post-editing and translating. However, post-editing is essentially a different
activity and as such requires the following additional skills which are either unnecessary, or not as pertinent in translating.
(5) FAMILIARITY WITH THE MT SYSTEM

Piggott highlighted the usefulness of being familiar with the workings of the MT system, the output of which one is post-editing [15] (see 1.2). It can be seen from the way in which some MT problems were dealt with that knowledge of the typical errors of the system would increase the automaticity with which changes are carried out. The element of surprise and, more importantly, puzzlement on the part of PPs which is at times obvious from the TAPs would thus be eliminated, and post-editing would become perhaps more routine, but presumably also less taxing.

## (6) FAMILIARITY WITH ONE'S OWN LINGUISTIC SYSTEM!

Having knowledge of the MT system's idiosyncrasies should be supplemented with knowledge of one's own linguistic proclivities. These were seen, for example, in Chapter 2 with regard to active and passive forms. Such personal preferences are by no means detrimental, if the post-editor is aware of them and does not allow the version s/he produces to be inaccurate as a result of her/his stylistic tendencies. PP IIs tendency to use the active voice does not cause difficulties in this case, but could conceivably result in the contravening of stylistic norms in another text type.
(7) DISTANCE FROM MT - TL COMPETENCE

A danger inherent in post-editing MT is excessive dependence on the MT version. This had been illustrated in the discussion of the "never-mind-it'll-do" syndrome, where PPs ceased to look for a better equivalent than that offered by the MT, despite knowing that this was not ideal. There is also a tendency for PPs to process the text within the boundaries provided by METAL, ie. within TUs. While the format of MT output predetermines the mode of processing, as already pointed out in Chapter 4, post-editors should ideally have the ability to distance themselves from the MT structures. PP IV does this most successfully, moving away, for example, from "determine your selected browning degree..." in TU 19 to produce "get your toast perfect...". However, in general, PPs are rather influenced by MT lexis and syntax. It is difficult for postediting to be otherwise, but it is clear that post-editors must keep meaning rather than form foremost in their minds, and must endeavour to convey this meaning as efficiently as possible, making use of the MT when this is possible but not being reluctant to discard it if necessary. Needless to say, excellence in the target language is a prerequisite of both translating and post-editing, but is possibly even more significant in the latter, since exposure to MT output invariably influences one's perception of the correct/defective nature of TL formulations.
(8) TOLERANCE

According to Wagner [16], tolerance was the characteristic often lacking in post-editing translators (see 1.2). Fortunately(!) this
particular study did not elicit cries of frustration or irritation from PPs. Since they had no prior experience of post-editing, they were rather curious and interested in the output. Moreover, they were not exposed to sufficiently long texts to become irritated by the consistency with which the system produces errors. However, in the longer term, this could indeed be problematic, but the frustration factor could be lessened somewhat by knowledge of the way in which the system operates, and the reasons for the errors.

## FINAL REMARKS

In conclusion, and to return to the question posed by Wagner concerning the ideal post-editor, I believe that possession of the first four requirements above enables one to post-edit. However, access to the other capabilities outlined above enhance and improve both the post-editing process and the quality of the product generated by this process. Therefore a translator who could also meet the second set of requirements could indeed make a good posteditor. Blatt et al. [17] suggested a teaching plan entailing both pre- and post-editing activities. Such a plan, incorporated into translator training would serve to prepare future translators for post-editing activities. The likelihood that would-be translators could be required to post-edit is constantly becoming greater with the more widespread availability of operational MT systems, and preparation for this eventuality is therefore important.

The products generated by PPs are, in many cases, syntactically similar, as exemplified by the use and positioning of subordinate clauses seen in many of the TUs discussed in Chapter 3. However,
one of the outcomes of this study has been the realisation that PPs may arrive at similar products in very different ways. They approach the task differently, PP IV, for example, usually working from the MT unless this is not possible, PPs I, II, and III frequently reading the ST before the MT, and basing their postediting to a considerable extent on the ST, even when the MT could have been understood and edited without recourse to the ST. The steps which PPs take in order to carry out their post-editing of a TU are also very varied, both in number and in nature. Some PPs encounter problems where others do not, some can draw correspondences and execute certain changes automatically, where others cannot. In spite of this, the product of entirely different sets of process may be strikingly similar (eg. TUs 5, 20, and many more).

The incorporation of post-editing into translator training should therefore take into consideration the simple, yet often neglected, fact that there are many different and interesting ways of arriving at one particular solution. An awareness of the individuality of processes often underlying a uniformity of products is important if post-editing is to continue to be a significant aspect of MT research, and if efforts to improve the quality of MT output, by non-computational means, are to be successful. Allen Tucker very succinctly captures the essence of machine translation:
"Machine translation is, truly, one of the most profound and intrinsically interdisciplinary research problems in the history of scientific inquiry. Its effective solution will not be realised until scholars from several fields (linguistics, software engineering, artificial intelligence, and psychology) can effectively merge their creativity to achieve this common goal" [18].

If one agrees with this view, and I do, then the continued investigation of post-editing, from a combined linguistic and cognitive standpoint, together with the practical application of ensuing results, must constitute a move in the right direction.
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## 7. APPENDICES



# Braun control-sensor toaster 

 HT 35 yros sios
## Gebrauchsanweisung

Betrleb (alle Modelle)
Hinweis: Der Braun Toaster läbt sich nur bei eingerasteter Krümelschublade (3) betreiben.

Schalten Sie den Toaster vor dem Erstgebrauch durch Herunterdrücken der Lifttaste (3) zunächst einige Male ohne Brot bel geöffnetem Fenster sin.

Sie können alle Brotsorten toasten. Mit Hilfe des stufenlosen Reglers (2) können Sie den gewünschten Bräunungsgrad ( 1 : hell bis 6 : dunken) einstellen. Die Liftlaste wird beim Einschalten elektro-magnetisch lestgehalten und nach Beendigung des Toastvorganges automatisch ange hoben. Sie können den Toastyorgang durch Drücken der Stop-Taste (1) untertrechen; das Gerät wird ausgeschaltet Die Lifttaste nicht hochschisben.

Toasten elner Brotschelbe Hinweis: Wie bei allen DoppelschlitzToastern kann es beim Toasten von nur einer Scheibe Unterschiede In der Bräunung beider Seiten geben. Sle sollten daher beim Toasten yon nur einer Scheibe die nächstniedrigere Einstellung der Braunung wählen.

Braun control-sensor HT 55
Beim HT 55 mibt der elngebaute Sersor die Oberfächentemperatur des Brotes und steuert danach die Toastzelt. Ermitteln Sie Ihren gewünschten Bräunungsgrad mit Hilfe des Reglers. Bei gleicher Brotsorte sorgt der Toaster für gleichbleibende Bräunung, gleich ob das Brot frisch, alter oder gefroren ist Es muß dazu jedoch in beiden Schlitzen Brot gleicher Sorte und Beschafferheit verwendet werden.

Falls Ihre Toaste zu hell ausfallen, wiederholen Sie den Toastvorgang mit entsprechend hōher gestelitem Regler (2).

Sollten Sie nur eine Scheibe toasten, legen Sie diese bitte in den mit dem Sensorpunkt (1) gekennzeichneten Schlitz

Der Brötchenautsatz muß mit den Federbügeln einrasten (b/c).

Bei Verwendung des Brötchenaufsatzes schaltet der Toaster unabhängig vom Regler automatisch auf eine Festzeit um. Das Einschalten erfolgt ebenfalls mit der Lifttaste.

## Reinigung (all Modalle)

Ziehen Sie vor jeder Reinigung den Netzstecker. Für die Reinigung des Gehäuses genügt ein feuchtes Tuch. Verwenden Sie keine scharfen und schevernden Reinigungsmittel. Krümel schublade gelegentich herausziehen (a) und leeren.

Änderungen vorbehalten.
Funkentstört nach den Richtlinien 76/889 mit Ergänzungsrichtlinie 82/499 EWG.
[1 [Braun control-sensor toaster HT 55 Type 41041]
[1 Bram control-sensor toaster HT 35 Type 4104]
[:2 Gebr wuchsanmeisung?
[2 Instructions(Operating instructions)]
[] Betrieb (alle Modelle)]
[3 Business(Operation) (all models)]
[4 Hinneis:]
[4 Note:]
(5 Der [Braun! Toaster lält sich nur bei eingerasteter Krünelschublade (5) betreiben. 1
[5 The Braun toaster lets only operate in the case of locked crunb compartment (5). 1
[6 Scralten Sie den Toaster vor den Erstgebrauch durch Hepunterdrïchen dep Lifttaste (3) iunächst einige male ohne Brot bei geäffnetee fenster ein. 1 [o | Pre-connect you the toaster before the primary use through pressing dom lift hey (3) at first some times without bread in the ase of opened window I ein. I]
[7 Sie können alle Brotsorten toasten. 1
[7 You can toast all bread sorts.!
[a Mit Hilfe des stufenlosen Regleps (2) können Sie den gewïnschten Bräunungsgrad (1: hell bis 6: dumkel) einstellm. !
[8 I Yau can requested browing degree with the ald of stepless controller (2) 1 (1: | brightly to 6 : dark 11 | einstelien. I]
[9 Die Lifttaste wird bein Einschalten elektromagnetisch festgehalten und nach Beendigung des Toastvorganges autonatisch angehoben. $\frac{1}{2}$ [9 The lift key is observed electro-magnetically(electromgnetic) in the case of switching on and is lifted automatically at the completion of the toasting process.?
[10 Sie körneen den Toastrorgang durch Drüchen der Stop-Taste (1) unterbrechen; 1
[10 You can inter rupt the toasting process through pressing stop key (1); 2
[11 tes Gerät miod ausgeschaltet.I
[11 the device is smitched off.!
[12 Die Lifttaste nicht hochschieben.]
[12 Do not push up the lift key.]
[13 Toasten einer Brotscheibe]
[1] Toasting a slice of breadd
[14 Hinmeis:]
[14 hetr:]
[15 Wie bei allen Doppelschlitz-Taastern hann es beir Toasten von nup einer Scheibe thterschiede in der Briunnung beider Seiten geben. 1
〔15 As there an be differences in the browing of both pages(side) in the case of all tho-slice toasters when the toasting only a slice.!

〔16 Sie solltem daher bein Tasten von mur einer Scheibe die nächstniedrigers Einstelling der Briurung wihlen. 1
［16 You were to therefore select the one setting lomer of the browing when the toasting only a slice． 1

〔17＠Braund control－sensor KT S5】
［17｜Braun I control－sensor I HT 55 I］
［18 Beis $\boldsymbol{H T} 55$ nibt der eingebaute Sensor dif Oberflächentenperatur des Brotes und stewert danach die Poastzeit．］
［18 In the case of HT 55，the built－in sensor measures the surface temperature of bread and controls the toasting tim after this． 1
［19 Erasteln Sie Thren geminschten Bräunungsgrad ait hilfe des Reglers．］ ［19 Deteraine your requested broming degres with the aid of the controller．］

〔20 Bei gleicher Brotsorte sorgt der Toaster für gleichbleibende Bräunung， gleich ob das Brot Frisch，zilter oder gefroren ist．］
〔20 I In the case of same bread sort，the toaster provides soon whether the bread is fresh for invariable broming 1 ， 1 alder or frozen ！ist．I］
［21 Es and dazu jedoch in beiden Schlitzen Brot gleicher Sorte und Beschaffenheit vermendet merden．］
［21 Nevertheless，it has to be used to this in both slots of sort same bread and nature．$\underline{1}$
［22 Falls Ihre loaste 20 hell ausfallen，wiederholen Sie den loastvorgang ait entsprechend häher gestelltee Regler（2）．］．
（22 If your toasts tupn out too brightly，you repeat the toasting process with controller（2）set up core highly correspondingly． 1
$\leqq 23$ Sollten Sie nur eine Scheibe toasten，legen Sie diese bitte in den ait dee Sensorpunht（4）gehennzeictneten Schlitz． 1
〔23 If you were to only toast a slice，you please set these into the slot character ised with sensor point（4）． 1
［24 Def Brötchenaufsatz mis nit den Federbigeln einrasten（b／c）．2
$[24$ The grid for rolls has to lock with the spring elaeps（b／c）． 1
［23 Bei Verwendung des Brötchenaufsatzes schal tet der Poaster unabhängig voo negler wutonatisch auf eine Festzeit we． 1
［23 The tosster changes over independently of the controller during use of the grid for rolls automatically to a fixed time． 1
［2b Das Einschalten erfolgt ebenfalls ait der Lifttaste．］
［26 Smitching on also ocours with the lift key． 1
（27 Reinigung（alle Modelle）？
$[27$ Cleaning（all codels）］
［20 Ziehen Sis vor jeder Reinigung den Retzstecher ol
［28 Pull the power plug before（in front of）every cleaning．］
［29 Für die Reinigung des Gehïuses geningt ein feuchtes Tuch．］
［29 A woist cloth suffices for the cleming of the asing．］
［ 30 Yermenden Sie keine scharfen und schevernden Rainigungsaittel．］
［ 30 Use no heen\｛sharp\} and scouring cleaning agents. 1
〔31 Krijelschublade gelegentlich herwiszichor（a）und lewren．l
［3i Pulleluithrian）out crusb colpartant occasionally（a）and empty． 1
[32 inderungen varbekulten. 1 ]
[ 32 Reserve changes.]
〔30 Funkentstoft nach den Richtlinien 76/899 ait Epgïnzungspichtlinie 82/499 End
[ 30 I Interference screening's according tofafter) the guidelines I 76/889 I with supplement guideline I $82 / 499$ E16 II


Braun control-sensor toaster HT 55 noention

Gebrauchsanweisung

## Betrleb (alle Modelle)

Hinwess: Der Braun Toaster läbl sich nur bei eingerasteter Krümelschublade (5) betreiben.

Schalten Sie den Toaster vor dem Erstgebrauch durch Herunterdrücken der Lifflaste (3) zunächst einige Male ohne Brot bel geöffnetem Fenster ein.

Sie können alle Brotsorten toasten. Mit Hilfe des stufenlosen Reglers (2) können Sie den gewünschten Bräunungsgrad (1: hell bis 6 : dunke) einstellen. Die Lifttaste wird beim Einschalten elektro-magnetisch festgehalten und nach Beendigung des Toastrorganges automatisch ange hoben. Sie können den Toastvorgang durch Drücken der Stop-Taste (1) untertrechen, das Gerät wird ausgeschaltet Die Lifttaste nicht hochschieben.

Toasten olner Brotechelbe Hinweis: Wie bei allen DoppelschlitzToestern kann es beim Toasten von nur einer Scheibe Unterschiede in der Bräunung beider Seiten geben
Sie sollten daher beim Toasten von nur elner Scheibe die nächstniedrigere Einsteliung der Bräunung wählon.

Braun control-sensor HT 55 Beim HT 55 miBt der eingebaute Sensor die Oberflächentemperatur des Brotes und steuert danach die Toastzeit Ermitteln Sie Ihren gewünschten Brảunungsgrad mit Hilfe des Reglers. Bei gleicher Brotsorte sorgt der Toaster für gleichbleibende Bräunung, gleich ob das Brot frisch, älter oder gefroren ist. Es muB dazu jedoch in beiden Schlitzen Brot gleicher Sorte und Boschaffenheit verwendet werden.

Falls ihre Toaste zu hell ausfallen, wiedertholen Sie den Toastvorgang mit entsprechend höher gestelltem Regler (2.

Sollten Sie nur eine Scheibe toasten, legen Sie diese bitte in den mit dem Sensorpunkt (4) gekennzeichneten Schlitz.

Der Brötchenaufsatz mu8 mit den Federbügeln einrasten (b/c).

Bei Verwendung des Brötchenaufsatzes schaltet der Toaster unabhängig vom Regler automatisch auf eine Festzelt um. Das Einschalten erlolgt ebenfalls mit der Lifttaste.

## Reinlgung (alle Modelte)

Ziehen Sie vor jeder Reinigung den Netzstecker. Für die Reinigung des Gehảuses genügt ein feuchtes Tuch. Verwenden Sie keine scharfen und scheuernden Reinigungsmittel. Krüme schublade gelegentlich herausziehen (a) und leeren.

Änderungen vorbehalten.
Funkentstört nach den Richtlinien 761889 mit Ergānzungsrichtlinie 82/499 EWG
[6 Schaiten Sie den Toaster vor den Erstgebrauch durch Herunterdrïchen der Lifttaste (3) zunächst einige Male ohne Brot bei geäffneten Fenstep cin. 1
[o $\mid$ Pre-connect you the tuaster before the primary use through pressing dom lift hey (3) at first soee times mithout bread in the ase of opened mindow I ein. 1]
[7 Sie aönnen alle Brotsorten toasten.]
$[7$ You an toast all bread sorts.].
[8 Mit Hilfe des stufenlosen Reglefs (2) lïnnen Sie den gewinschton Bräurnongsgrad (1: hell bis b: dumhel) einstellen.!
[8 I You can requested broming degree with the aid of stepless controller (2) |
(1: | brightly to 6: dark 1) 1 einstellen. II
[9 Die Lifttaste wird bein Einschalten elehtromagnetisch festgehaiten und nach Beendigung des loastrooganges autoustisch angehoben.].
[9 The lift hey is observed electro-magneticallyielectromgnetic) in the case of switching on and is lifted automatially at the completion of the toasting process.]
[10 Sie Aönnen den Toastvorgang durch Drücken der Stop-Taste (1) unterbrechen; 1
[10 You can inter rupt the toasting process through pressing stop key (1); 2
[11 tas Gerät mird ausgeschaltet.!
[11 the device is switched off.]
[12 Die Lifttaste nicht hochschieben.]
[12 Do not push up the lift key.]
[13 Poasten einer Brotscheibel
[13 Tossting a slice of bread!
[14 Hineis!]
[14 Hote:d
(15 Hie bej allen Doppelischlitz-Toastern lames bein Toasten von nur einer Scheibe Unterschiade in der Briunung beider Seiten geben. 1
[13 As there can be differences in the broming of both pages(side) in the case of 11 tho-slice tousters when the toasting only a slic. 1 ]
[16 Sie sollten taher beia Toasten von nur siner Scheibe die nächstniadrigert Einstelling der Briunng wilhlen. I

## §J2 Änderungen varbehulten. 1 <br> [32 Reserve changes.!

[30 Funkentstört nach den Richtlinien 76/899 nit Erginnzungsrichtlinie $82 / 499$ Ent
(33 ) interference screening's according tofafter) the gwidelines $176 / 889$ | with supplement guideline I B2/499 EX I2

## APPENDIX C

## THINKING-ALOUD PROTOCOLS • PP I

- Braun control-sensor toaster H T five five type 2.5 sensor toaster 1.1 okay first sentence 1.2 okay 1.4 Braun control sensor 2.5 toaster $2.4 \mathrm{H} T$ five five 2.3 four one 0 four that's fine 3.6 Gebrauchsanweisung instructions operating instructions 3.8 perhaps instructions for use 9.5 three Betrieb alle Modelle (?) Modellsbetrieb 2.3 Betrieb 5.3 Betrieb alle Modelle? 14.6 business operation all the models? 3.9 perhaps operation 2.2 of all models 7.1 number four Hinweis note 1.8 that's okay 3.8 the Braun Toaster läßt sich ein 1.1 eingerast Krümelschublade betreiben 1.5 (?) lets only operate in the case of locked crumb compartment lets only operate that's wrong 20.3 puh der Braun laßt sich the Braun toaster lets only operate in the case of locked crumb compartment God 1.0 the Braun toaster läßt sich 2.7 only operates nur bei eingerasteter Krümelschublade 4.6 Braun toaster 6.9 only operates 3.0 in the case of locked crumb compartment hmhm(r) 10.0 eingerasteter (RB 1: einrasten p. 199) 47.3 eingerasteter einrasten 1.5 to engage 1.7 lets only operate in the case of locked crumb 4.4 the Braun toaster operates only 2.5 in the case 2.3 eingerasteter $7.2 \mathrm{hmhm}(r) 5.2$ the Braun toaster 7.8 locked 8.4 Krümelschublade $13.8 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ come back to it number six schalten Sie den Toaster hm(r) hmhm(a) (clears throat) zunächst einige Male ohne Brot bei geöfftem Fenster ein 1.2 precorrec precorrect you wrong the toaster before the primary use through pressing down 1.9 the key 2.2 at first sometimes without bread in the case of open window $1.0 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{am}) 3.9$ schalten sie den Toaster 1.3 preconnect you vor dem Erstgebrauch 2.6 preconnect you the toaster before the primary use 6.4 through pressing down the lift key 2.9 preconnect 3.3 switch on or $1.0 \mathrm{hm}(r) 13.9$ put the toaster 1.7 into operation 2.5 vor dem Erstgebrauch (sighs) 3.9 before first using 2.5 before first using the toaster 2.8 before using the toaster for the first time 15.4 before using toaster for the first time 11.4 switch it on 2.1 by pressing 5.3 pressing the 1.0 Lifttaste? 2.3 the lift key? $3.2 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 2.4$ at first sometimes without bread in the case of open window zunächst einige Mal 4.2 at first some times without bread in the case of open windows in the case of that's wrong 1.0 with the window open 2.0 before using toaster for the first time switch it on by pressing the 2.3 Lifttaste? 15.7 preconnect you the toaster before 7.6 zunächst einige Mal 4.6 then 9.7 use the toaster a few times 10.5 without actually 7.3 putting in bread 1.2 this must be done 7.7 with the window open 1.0 (sighs) 4.4 window open 2.2 before using the toaster for the first time switch it on by pressing the Lifttaste (RB 1: Lifttaste p. 437) 30.6 Lifttaste (sighs) 2.1 liften to lift (tuts) 3.5 lift or (?hoist) the lift button $2.9 \mathrm{hmhm}(a) 2.8$ before using toaster for the first time switch it on by pressing the $10.4 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ the button marked 2.0 lift 9.0 use the toaster a few times without actually putting in bread this must be done in a room 1.0 with the open 1.0 the window opened 1.3 (sighs) 5.7 okay number seven 2.3 Sie können alle $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ you can toast all bread sorts 1.1 Sie können alle 5.1 all types of bread may be toasted 10.5 number eight 1.1 mit Hilfe des stufen Reglers können sie den gewünschten Bräunungsgrad 1.9 (clears throat) you can requested wrong 1.2 browning degrees with the aid of the stepless controller stufenlos 1.4 oh dear 1.3 (?) 4.5 you
can choose the degree of browning with the aid of the stepless controller $13.9 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ (?) 1.3 you can choose you can request except the word order isn't right there but 1.5 you can choose 6.1 the browning degree (clears throat) the degree of browning $1.8 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{a})$ that's okay you can choose the degree 4.9 of browning 3.1 the aid of the stepless controller 1.6 (sighs) what's that mean? 5.7 with the aid is okay with the aid of 2.3 stufenlosen Reglers 1.5 Relger is controllor regulator stufenlosen? 8.8 (?) 1.3 light 2.7 brightly no 1.2 to six dark one (clears throat) 1.3 einstellen? 1.8 einstellen? 5.3 oh I see! 11.6 one hell bis sechs dunkel 12.3 one to six 2.6 you can choose the degree of browning with the aid of 4.5 the 6.3 something regulator 3.5 controller is okay as well 4.4 I E 9.5 one hell bis sechs 9.9 I E lightly browned to six $16.7 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ does it make sense you can choose the degree of browning with the aid lightly browned one to six 4.4 and darkly browned 6.4 six upwards 3.3 you can choose the degree of browning with the aid of the something regulator 1.0 the stepless? $h m(r) 5.0$ come back to it 2.1 nine 1.4 the Lifttaste wird beim Einschalten elektromagnetisch festgehalten und automatisch angehoben 1.2 the lift key is observed 2.8 electromag magnetically in the case of switching on and is lifted automatically at the completion of the the toasting process hm(a) I'd say that sounds okay but the lift key is observed 1.6 beim Einschalten festgehalten no! the lift key is observed 1.7 is controlled? 2.0 festgehalten? hmhm(a) maintained 1.1 in the case of switching on when switched on and is lifted automatically 1.2 at the completion of the toasting process 4.9 the lift key 7.6 the lift key 1.8 do you have a key on a toaster? 2.1 hmhm(a) 2.2 the lift button is observed 4.3 the lift button 6.5 is 1.5 controlled? 3.3 electromagnetically controlled $2.6 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ is that right? 6.7 is controlled $6.5 \mathrm{hm}(a)$ I think it's okay is electromagnetically controlled 1.7 it's not very clear though 2.6 electromagnetically controlled 11.9 the lift button is electromagnetically controlled 3.7 beim Einschalten? 12.0 is lifted automatically the lift button is electromagnetically controlled 9.5 beim Einschalten does that mean of the whole toaster or of the button? 1.7 itself? 1.5 (tuts) $3.0 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ of the toaster I'd say the lift button is electromagnetically control 1.3 (sighs) 4.3 festgehalten 2.7 maintained $7.8 \mathrm{hmhm}(r)$ the lift button is electromagnetically controlled maybe that's okay 5.3 beim Einschalten 11.0 beim Einschalten just means for the button therefore is electromagnetically controlled could be okay could cover the whole thing 1.0 und nach Beendigung des Toast $h m(r) 1.7$ and is lifted automatically at the completion of the toasting process 27.1 I E 3.7 it is held in place 5.3 after being 1.3 switched down? pressed down? 5.0 pressed down $6.3 \mathrm{ss} \mathrm{hm(r)}$ and is lifted automatically 1.0 and automatically 11.3 (sighs) and automatically pops up $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 8.7 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ 4.7 I think pops up 4.8 at the completion after toasting 10.5 (sighs) 7.8 at the completion of the toasting process I think is okay 1.8 the completion 1.6 of the toasting process $2.7 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 1.4$ that sounds awful the lift button is electromagnetically controlled I E it is held in place after being pressed down and automatically pop pops up at the completion of the toasting process $h m(r) 5.6$ when pressed down the lift button is held in place by an electromagnetic process 1.2 and automatically pops up after completion of the toasting process 1.0 two processes no $2.6 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 3.4$ when pressed down 5.7 the lift button 2.2 is held in place 7.0 in place electromagnetically
hm(a) sounds okay $I$ think 1.3 electromagnetically 4.2 and automatically pops up automatically? 1.8 automatically should come before the verb pops up 2.3 automatically 4.6 at the completion on completion 1.7 on completion 4.4 of the toasting process 1.5 that sounds better still don't know what the lift button is though 1.2 okay number ten 1.5 sie können den Toastvorgang durch Drücken der Stoptaste unterbrechen you can interrupt the toasting process by pressing the stop key 4.9 well that's fine 1.4 through pressing the stop key by pressing the stop key 1.0 wrong preposition 1.3 you can interrupt 2.7 you can interrupt? you can stop? 1.7 you can stop the toasting process 2.7 at any stage 1.7 you can stop the toasting process 10.2 at any stage 1.8 by pressing 1.9 the stop key 1.1 key again! I don't think key is the right word stop button? 5.3 eleven 2.7 das Gerät wird aus the device will is switched off the device will be switched off 1.2 is the device will be switched off 2.7 the device is then switched off? 5.8 the device is then switched off 1.6 yeh 3.3 bit clearer $I$ think twelve 1.3 die Lifttaste nicht hochschieben $1.1 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ do not push up the lift key 5.0 die Lift nicht hochschieben 1.0 yeh I think that's okay 3.5 push up 1.2 push up a bu push up the lift key 1.3 the lift button $1.7 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ I think it's okay though 1.3 thirteen 2.6 toasten $I$ see they're instructions 1.1 toasting a slice of bread 5.1 to toast a slice of bread? toasting a slice of bread 1.4 how to toast a slice of bread hm(r) 3.3 toasting a slice of bread is fine I think 2.6 toasting a slice of bread 2.1 (sighs) okay number fourteen 4.5 Hinweis note 1.3 is that okay? note notes instr note 1.7 fifteen 2.7 wie bei allen Doppelschlitztoastern kann es beim Toasten nu von nur einer Scheibe Unterschiede in der Bräunung beider Seiten geben 1.0 as there can be no differences in the browning of both of both pages ugh! in the case of all two-slice toasters when the toast the toasting only a slice goodness 1.6 (sigh) as there can be differences as there can be differences in the browning of both sides in the case of all twoslice toasters 1.3 when the toasting only a slice oh goodness! 1.9 wie bei allen Doppelschlitztoastern kann es beim Toasten 2.2 von nur einer Scheibe Unterschiede in der Bräunung 1.7 oh I see! 8.2 so if you're in the case of 4.8 when using a toaster 2.0 a two-slice toaster Doppelschlitztoaster hm(a) two-slice toaster 3.1 when toasting only one slice of bread in a two-slice toaster 1.7 there can be differences 2.2 okay that's a better way to start it off when toasting 2.5 only one slice 2.3 of bread 3.1 in a two-slice toaster 6.2 there can 6.0 be differences 4.3 in the degree of browning 8.9 in the degree of browning 3.0 of each side 6.0 when toasting only one slice of bread in a two-slice toaster there can be differences in the degree of browning 2.2 of the two sides 8.3 okay that'll do sixteen 1.4 Sie sollten daher beim Toasten von nur eine Scheibe hm(r) 1.8 you were to therefore select the one setting lower of the browning when the toasting only a slice 2.6 you were to therefore select the one setting lower of the browning when the toasting only a slice 1.7 $h m(r)$ Sie sollten daher beim Toasten von die naachstniedrige Einstellung $h m(r)$ okay therefore when no when toasting only one slice of bread therefore you should choose 2.5 the die nächstniedrige? what did they say for that? one setting lower hm(a) that's good 6.5 okay when toasting only one slice of bread 1.1 therefore when toasting therefore 1.6 therefore when toasting 4.2 therefore comma when toasting one slice of bread 9.5 you should select 5.4 you should sh
you should 1.5 select 4.0 one setting lower $6.3 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{a}) 1.0$ browning $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ setting lower 2.0 for browning ach that sounds clumsy therefore when toasting one slice of bread you should select one setting lower 8.6 one setting lower $2.7 \mathrm{hm}(r) 7.7$ therefore when toasting one slice of bread you should select one setting lower 1.7 for the degree of browning? (tuts) hm(a) 2.6 for the degree of browning 4.4 one setting lower than what though? 8.2 one setting lower than usual? 1.4 therefore when toasting one slice of bread you sh 2.7 one setting lower than usual? 3.9 oh I don't know what it means it's not very clear in the text 2.8 okay 3.2 seventeen 1.4 Braun control-sensor 1.3 $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{a})$ same thing again 2.3 Braun 6.3 control-sensor 1.5 H T 1.7 five five 1.9 eighteen 4.6 beim $H$ five five 1.1 mißt der eingebaute Sensor die Oberflächentemperatur 2.4 des Brotes und steuert danach die Toastzeit 1.9 in the case of $H$ T five five 1.3 the built-in sensor 1.5 measures 1.5 the surface temperature 2.2 of the bread 1.2 and controls 1.1 the toasting time after this 1.2 in the case of $H T$ five five the built-in sensor 1.2 measures 1.3 the surface temperature of the bread and controls the toasting time after this $1.1 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 1.6$ yeh let me see in the case of 1.4 in the case of is wrong! with? 1.0 the H T five five 1.7 has a built in sensor which measures 2.5 right 2.7 the $H$ five five 2.4 has a built-in 3.9 sensor 4.2 which measures 4.7 the surface temperature 5.2 of the bread need a def need a definite article there 1.5 and controls the toasting time after this 3.1 and control and from this controls? and from this am I using this controls 1.4 or in this way $2.0 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{I}$ don't know in this way and from this controls 8.3 and from this 2.4 controls 2.3 the toasting time 2.4 toasting time 2.9 the duration of toasting? 8.6 the H T five five has a built-in sensor which measures the surface temperature of the bread and from this controls the toasting time 3.7 toasting time 1.6 the length of the toasting 1.5 process $3.2 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{a}) \quad 1.0$ okay nineteen 1.7 ermitteln Sie Ihren gewünschten Bräunungsgrad mit Hilfe des Reglers determine your requested browning degree with the aid of the controller 1.2 determine 1.2 your requested (tuts) no! 1.7 with the aid of the controller 1.2 choose 1.0 determine 3.2 determine the degree of browning 1.4 with the aid of the controller 1.0 determine the degree of browning with the aid of the controller 1.1 what did 1 call it last time? controller or something? 1.5 regulator $5.6 \mathrm{hm}(r) 2.3$ rate 6.8 regulator sounds better than controller 2.9 nineteen determine your requested browning the degree 1.5 determine the browning degree with the aid of the regulator 2.3 you can determine the browning degree by using the regulator $9.3 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{a})$ to put it in the passive is probably better 1.9 the degree of browning 4.9 can be regulated 4.4 no! can be determined 3.2 can be determined 7.9 by using 4.0 by using the 1.7 regulator 4.9 the degree of browning can be determined 1.9 be chosen? 5.0 determined 2.6 chosen perhaps the degree of browning can be determined chosen by using the regulator $2.0 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 11.0$ ah it's okay it's clear enough 1.0 bei gleicher Brotsorte sorgt der Toaster für Bräunung gleich ob das Brot frisch alter oder gefroren ist in the case of $s$ of the of same bread sort the toaster provides soon whether the bread is fresh for invariable browning older or frozen ist yuck $1.9 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 6.1$ in the case of same bread sorts 1.7 sorgt der Toaster für gleichbleibende Bräunung 2.3 oh I see! 1.0 if the same type of bread is being used the toaster 1.5 the toaster 2.9 puh takes the same degree of browning 1.4 regardless of whether 1.0
the bread is fresh 2.2 alter $1.3 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ alter? 2.5 not so fresh or frozen 14.6 if 6.5 in the case of the same bread if the same type of bread is being used 3.2 the toaster $1.4 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ (?) that sentence the toasters provides 9.9 the toaster (sighs) 4.7 if the same type of bread is being used regardless of whether it is fresh not so fresh or frozen the toaster 3.4 the toaster tries to $4.9 \mathrm{hm}(r) 6.6$ if the same type of bread is being used 2.5 if the same type of bread is being used the toaster 2.2 provides (sighs) 2.5 how am I gonna say this? 8.2 when the same type of bread is being used 3.8 okay 1.8 when or if? 6.2 if when the same type of bread is being used 5.9 (sighs) 5.6 when 5.5 the same type of bread 1.9 is being used 7.3 regardless 11.3 of whether it is 1.9 fresh 1.8 not so fresh 4.3 frozen the toaster 2.9 will try 3.1 to obtain 1.7 the same degree of browning 4.0 the same degree 1.9 of browning 6.0 yeh(r) 3.3 maybe I should say fresh not so fresh frozen bread at the same time 2.4 is being used the toaster will try to obtain the same degree of browning $8.0 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 1.6$ changing the sense there 1.8 (sighs) 5.3 when the same type of bread is being used 1.5 I think that's okay I don't think I've changed it 2.8 twenty-one 1.0 es muß dazu jedoch in beiden Schlitzen Brot gleicher Sorte und Beschaffen verwendet werden nevertheless it has to be used 1.6 to this in both slots of sort same bread and nature 2.4 es muß dazu jedoch in beiden gleicher Sort oh God! 3.3 es muß dazu jedoch 4.9 beiden Schlitzen Brot gleicher Sorte und Beschaffenheit verwendet werden 9.9 es muß dazu jedoch in beiden Schlitzen Brot 6.2 Schlitzen? 4.3 didn't I use this before? 4.8 page one page two 7.0 Schlitzen 9.0 don't know nevertheless it has to be used to this in both slots of same sort same bread and nature es muß dazu jedoch in beiden Schlitzen Brot 3.7 Beschaffenheit verwendet werden 8.6 beiden Schlitzen 3.5 ach so! es muß dazu jedoch in beiden Schlitzen pause Brot gleicher Sorte und Beschaffenheit verwendet 5.7 I see! 2.1 however 19.1 Beschaffenheit 2.5 however 11.4 the bread 5.7 however 5.2 however the bread 2.6 must be 4.9 the same type 2.6 and inserted 2.3 in the same manner 3.8 oh dear $I$ don't know if that's what it means 1.0 the bread must however be of the same type and inserted in the same manner $1.7 \mathrm{hm}(a)$ write however in there 1.2 the bread however 2.1 the bread however 1.6 must be 1.9 of the same 4.2 type 1.9 and be inserted 3.2 in the same manner 2.3 into the slots 7.7 the slots? (tuts) hm(r) the slots? 2.3 into the toasting slots 3.7 make it clearer 1.6 in the same manner 6.8 okay 3.9 this scribble 1.1 twenty-two 5.0 falls Thre Toaste $z u$ hell ausfallen wiederholen Sie den Toastvorgang mit entsprechend höher gestelltem if your toast turns out too brightly hm(am) you repeat the toasting process with the controller set up more highly correspondingly if your toast turns out 2.8 if your toast is not sufficiently brown hm(r) 4.1 then repeat the toasting process with the controller 2.4 mit entsprechend 1.2 with the controller set at a correspon $1.7 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ set at a 1.7 (tuts) okay if your toast 2.4 if the toast if toast if toast 1.6 if your toast if toast 1.4 if your toast 6.6 your toast isn't sufficiently browned 5.5 is not sufficiently browned 3.7 repeat 4.9 repeat the toasting process 6.1 with the controller 2.9 with the controller set at a correspondingly higher level 3.7 with 9.7
18.6 twenty-three 2.1 sollten Sie nur eine Scheibe toasten legen Sie diese bitte in den mit dem Sensorpunkt 1.3 gekennzeichneten Schlitz 1.2 if you were 1.4 to only to only toast a slice if you want 1.4 to toast just one slice you you please set these into the slot characterised with the sensor point 1.5 legen Sie diese bitte in den mit dem Sensorpunkt 2.1 ge Schlitz 1.6 ach so! $5.4 \mathrm{hmhm}(\mathrm{a}) 1.1$ please set these no that's rid totally wrong 1.0 if you require to only 5.3 if you wish 3.4 should you wish to 3.6 if you wish to toast 3.5 only one slice of bread 5.3 legen Sie diese bitte in den mit dem 1.8 then 2.7 bitte please leave that out then 2.1 place this 1.4 then place it in the slot 11.5 kennzeichneten? 5.0 plot which has the slot which has 1.7 which has? does that cover 1.0 gekennzeichneten? hmhm(a) 1.7 then place it in the slot which has the the sensor 2.3 Sensorpunkt? the sensor point 3.1 the sensor point (tuts) hmhm(a) if you wish to toast only one slice of bread 1.6 comma then place it in the slot which has the sensor point 2.7 yeh 1.9 twenty-four 2.0 der Brotch der Brötchenaufsatz muß mit den Federbügeln einrasten 1.3 the grid for rolls has to lock up 1.3 has to lock with the spring clamps 2.7 oh dear! 7.4 der Brötchenaufsatz 1.0 aufsetzen 1.7 muß mit den Federbügeln einrasten 3.6 einrasten is to engage isn't that what $I$ said? 7.2 der Brötchenaufsatz muß mit den Federbügeln einrasten 9.6 the grid for rolls has to lock 1.1 with the spring clamps 3.8 Aufsatz 2.2 spring clamps? 11.6 Brötchenaufsatz (RB 1: Aufsatz p. 64) 27.3 let's see composition 1.5 top or upper part attachment $11.4 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ der Brötchenaufsatz 3.4 (?composition) 1.9 einrasten 2.1 Federbügeln the spring clamps (RB 1: Feder p. 239) 22.8 feather quill 1.9 quill spring 3.9 Bügeln (RB 1: Bügel p. 142) 17.3 Bügel 3.1 coat-hanger stirrup 1.5 bow collector 3.2 clamp $2.1 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ isn't much help to me 3.8 the grid for rolls 2.8 (sighs) 2.8 einrasten 2.6 (sighs) oh I don't know what they 2.8 the grid for rolls 5.3 the grid 2.6 the section of the toaster 1.8 the grid 2.5 grid for rolls 1.3 has to lock has to be connected with the spring clamps 2.3 (sighs) 2.0 grid rolls I don't know what this means rolls are R O L L S yes bread rolls bread rolls 4.2 has to be connected 6.9 with the spring 1.8 clamps 3.1 twenty-five 2.3 bei Verwendung des Brötchenaufsatz schaltet der Toaster unabhängig vom Regler automatisch auf eine Festzeit um the toaster changes over independently (clears throat) of the controller during use of the grid for rolls automatically 1.3 bei Verwendung when using the 1.7 bread roll grid ah! schaltet der Toaster unabhängig vom Regler 3.1 automatisch auf 3.9 when the grid for rolls are being used the toaster 6.5 decides independently 1.3 when 3.2 the grid 1.7 when this grid 1.5 connect back to the last sentence when this grid for rolls 4.1 is being used 2.9 the toaster 2.6 decides 7.8 on the toasting time 3.0 independent 2.4 decides independent? 2.4 decides independently or independent? 3.2 when this grid for bread rolls is being used the toaster decides 1.4 independently independent 3.5 when this grid for bread rolls is being used the toaster 2.1 decides independently? 1.5 decides on the toasting time independent 1.4 independent 1.5 dependent 1.6 pendent 2.3 independent of 6.8 the regulator 3.5 the regulator 2.2 when this grid for bread bread rolls is being used the toaster decides on the toasting time 1.8 independent of the regulator 14.1 ah dear! 1.5 when this grid for bread rolls is being used the toaster decides on the toasting time comma independent of the regulator 3.2 be more
clear if I put in a comma but 1.0 when this grid for bread rolls 2.6 toaster 5.8 the toaster decides on the toasting time $8.9 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 3.3 \mathrm{oh}$ it'll do! twenty-six 1.3 das Einschalten 1.6 erfolgt ebenfalls mis der mit der Lifttaste switching on also occurs with the lift key 1.9 erfolgt ebenfalls mit der Lifttaste switching on also occurs with the lift key 2.1 das Einschalten 1.5 switching on also occurs with the lift key 1.6 das Einschalten 3.6 ebenfalls 4.7 okay switching on for this is alright 4.9 again switch on this process 1.6 again 4.2 to initiate this 2.6 toasting process 2.3 toasting process 2.2 the lift key 1.5 lift $k \mathrm{hm}(r)$ lift button 1.3 must be pressed 7.6 twenty-seven 1.4 Reinigung 1.5 cleaning 6.5 cleaning methods 5.0 cleaning methods and I'll put all models 2.4 in brackets cleaning $1.5 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ hang on better make it to clean your toaster 8.8 brackets all models 1.6 twenty-eight 1.5 ziehen Sie vor jeder Reinigung den Netzstecker pull the power plug before 1.9 before 1.7 always plug out the toaster 1.9 before cleaning 2.5 always 3.8 plug out the toaster 5.3 before commencing to clean it before cleaning it always plug out the toaster before 1.3 before cleaning it hm(r) it's okay 1.5 twentynine 1.4 für die Reinigung des Gehäuses genügt ein feuchtes Tuch a moist cloth suffices for the cleaning of the casing 2.4 the casing (tuts) 1.9 the outside 4.2 the outside of the toaster may be 2.7 cleaned simply 1.8 with a moist cloth 3.9 the outside 2.9 of the toaster 4.8 may be cleaned 2.7 simply by using 6.7 a moist or a damp cloth a moist cloth? damp cloth 1.4 better 4.7 okay what number was that? 1.0 twenty-nine thirty 6.5 verwenden Sie keine scharfen und scheurnden Reinigungsmittel 1.9 use no sharp or scouring cleaning agents 2.3 do not use scouring sharp (tuts) acidic scouring 1.8 scharf 3.1 sour sharp acidic 1.2 acidic perhaps 1.8 do not use 4.6 acidic 2.1 or scouring 10.8 cleaning agents thirty one 2.1 Krümelschublade gelegentlich herausziehen und leeren pull withdraw out the crumb compartment occasionally 1.2 and empty 2.3 pull out 1.3 withdraw? 1.0 pull out 1.3 or withdraw 2.9 withdraw? the crumb compartment 3.4 occasionally the crumb compartment should be occasionally withdrawn and emptied 7.6 the crumb 2.6 compartment 7.7 should be occasionally 3.3 pulled out 1.6 withdrawn? 2.6 taken out taken out! 2.6 be taken out 1.0 emptied 6.8 thirty-two 1.7 Änderungen vorbehalten 1.5 Änderungen vorbehalten? 2.0 reserve changes 2.4 come back to it in a minute thirty-three 1.7 funkentstört nach den Richtlinien 2.2 interference screening 1.1 according to after the guidelines $1.5 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ dear! 1.6 Änderungen vorbehalten reserve changes 11.7 Änderungen vorbehalten reserve 6.3 changes? 3.0 (sighs) funksi funkentstört spark interference 1.5 (? not that I know what they mean) 7.8 interference screening's 1.3 the guidelines 3.9 with supplement guidelines 2.2 funkentstört 5.2 Änderungen vorbehalten 10.8 reserve changes (tuts) ( RB 1 : vorhalten p. 732) 32.5 vorhalten vorbehalten $2.8 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ to hold something 1.2 to hold up 1.1 to last 4.3 vorbehalten? (RB 1: vorbehalten p. 730) 7.3 vorbehalten 3.5 etwas vorbehalten to reserve something 1.4 for oneself to reserve 5.1 reserved Änderungen sind ach so! vorbehalten subject to alterations 1.6 Änderungen vorbehalten 2.6 Änderungen vorbehalten 1.0 subject to alterations (sighs) $2.6 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ what's it mean though? 10.8 subject to alterations oh it's not very clear in the text anyway! 2.4 subject to alterations 2.9 alterations 8.6 (sighs) okay thirty-three funkentstört nach den Richtliniens $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 1.3$ (?) 1.3 interference 1.2 screening's according to the guidelines 1.3 with supplement
guidings 2.2 funkentstört (RB 1: Funkentstörung p. 267) 24.6 Funkentstörung 2.2 suppression of interference 4.1 funkentstört 20.9 (tuts) suppression of interference 2.0 (sighs) 4.7 so interference is suppressed according to the guidelines seventy-six with supplement guidelines 2.3 interference suppression 1.5 interference screening? 1.8 Funkentstörung (yawns) $2.3 \mathrm{hm}(r) 11.4$ funkentstört suppression 4.6 so sparking 1.0 interfered with 1.4 suppressed 2.3 (sighs) 3.4 interference suppression 2.0 interference screening 1.2 don't know where they got screening 11.9 interference 3.3 screening it's possibly a technical term 6.2 wonder would the machine have got it right interference screening's? 4.4 according to the guidelines 3.7 interference screening according to the guidelines 2.0 (sigh) I'm just gonna leave it screening 2.3 interference screening 2.6 according to 3.6 the guidelines 6.2 eight two 6.3 according to the guidelines oops! 1.2 seven six eight eight nine 4.1 with supplement 4.8 supplement guideline 7.0 supplement guideline eight two 1.1 four nine nine 1.2 E W G 1.0 okay 1.1 now what did I leave out? 8.1 page one page two page three 6.8 five the Braun toaster 1.9 der Braun Toaster laßt sich nur bei eingerast betrieben the Braun toaster lets only operate in the case of locked crumb compartment 1.7 the Braun toaster only operates 7.3 bei eingeraster Krümelschublade 1.7 the Braun toaster only operates 6.2 Braun toaster only operates 8.5 when 2.0 the crumb compartment 9.4 is locked 1.9 the Braun toaster only operates when the crumb compartment is locked $5.9 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 6.3$ operates when the crumb compartment is locked $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{a}) 1.4$ is fixed no 4.3 fixed wouldn't be good there is 5.5 Braun toaster only operates when the crumb compartment is 9.9 the Braun toaster operates when the crumb com 6.2 maybe it is locked I can't think of anything else 2.4 where else? 2.4 number eight 1.2 mit Hilfe des stufe with the aid of the stepless 12.1 stufenlosen stepless $2.5 \mathrm{hm}(r) 10.6$ oh dear stufenlosen (RB 4: stufenlos p. 828) 41.0 stufenlos stepless 8.7 stepless 8.6 you can choose the degree of browning with the aid of the 2.2 (sighs) 4.9 stepless controller 5.6 oh $I$ don't know 1.9 stepless 5.9 what else did I leave out? 2.8 the device has been switched off toasting a slice of bread 1.7 when toasting only one slice of bread 3.3 the Braun controls the degree of browning can be determined by the regulator however the bread must be 1.0 that's okay 1.3 if your toast isn't sufficiently browned if you wish to toast only one slice of bread 8.1 okay I think that's everything .


## THINKING-ALOUD PROTOCOLS • PP II

- 5.1 Braun 1.7 control-sensor toaster 1.2 H T fifty-five 1.7 type four one 0 four 4.1 control-sensor toaster 2.2 the exact same in both languages? 2.8 control-sensor 1.2 the technical name I suppose 1.8 em(r) I'll leave it 7.7 control-sensor 4.7 toaster 8.0 Gebrauchsanweisung instructions 1.6 operating instructions two 2.7 em(r) use operating instructions or instructions for use 6.6 no operating instructions I'll put down 11.3 Betrieb 1.2 alle Modelle 3.4 business operation? 1.5 it's definitely not business 1.1 operation though? em(r) 2.4 functioning how it works $6.5 \mathrm{em}(r) 7.3$ Betrieb operation operating instructions 4.5 or functioning 7.2 operation 7.9 or you could say how it works 7.3 for all models 5.6 Hinweis 1.3 note 6.5 to note 2.5 der Braun to Braun Toaster laßt sich 1.5 the Braun toaster lets only operate in the case of locked 1.2 crumb compartment? $2.7 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ doesn't make sense direct translation from the German? 2.3 you don't need the lets the Braun toaster 9.7 the Braun toaster operates 1.6 bei eingerasteter Krümelschublade? 6.0 the Braun toaster only works when 2.0 in the case of locked crumb compartment when the crumb compartment is locked? eingerasteter 13.2 when the 2.5 oops! 14.6 is locked 5.2 oops! 11.2 crumb compartment is locked 1.5 just look up einrasten (RB 1: einrasten p. 199) $18.6 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 1.8$ to engage $5.1 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 3.5$ bei eingerasteter Krümelschublade engaged locked 2.3 schalten Sie den Toaster vor dem Erstgebrauch durch Herunterdrücken 1.6 pre-connect you the toaster before the primary use? 1.7 through pressing down lift key ugh! 3.5 at first some times without bread in the case of opened window (laughs) 1.6 oh my God! 1.5 okay schalten Sie den Toaster 4.3 pre-connect you the toaster? vor dem Erst 3.1 plug in the toaster before 2.8 before using it 3.2 durch Herunterdrücken der Lifttaste 1.6 through pressing down by pressing down 3.6 the lift key 1.1 I don't know whether that's right I suppose 1.4 zunächst einige Male ohne Brot 3.2 first some times without bread 1.3 bei offenem Fenster ein? 6.3 bei offenem Fenster? 1.1 in the case of opened windows? 2.8 where's the window come into it? $1.3 \mathrm{hm}(u)$ okay 7.1 before using the toaster for the first time 22.1 switch 13.0 switch it 1.0 on by pressing down 5.8 the lift 1.0 key 8.0 before using the toaster for the first time switch it on by pressing down the lift key 3.3 at first some times without bread in the case of opened window 11.9 at first sometimes start a new sentence do this 5.8 at first 2.0 a few times 3.1 without bread 5.2 bei off geöffnetem Fenster 1.9 in the case of opened window? 2.3 bei geöffnetem Fenster or maybe it means 2.6 beside an open window 1.8 doesn't make much sense either $1.4 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 1.1$ yeh 13.3 you can toast all bread sorts 3.5 all bread sorts? (?) all types of bread $11.8 \mathrm{em}(r)$ mit Hilfe des stufenlosen you can 1.1 you can requested browning degree 1.4 with the aid of stepless controller? hm(r) 5.4 brightly to dark (laughs) 2.5 einstellen they didn't translate that $h m(r) 5.0$ einstellen 3.8 you can choose? 6.2 requested browning degree with the aid of stepless controller 7.6 browning degree em(r) 2.6 Bräunungsgrad? 9.6 you can 1.8 choose 8.6 the 7.7 browning degree is that what you call it? 10.9 Bräunungsgrad 2.8 the degree of toasting? 3.0 toasting degree 7.9 em(r) with the aid of stepless controller by using 11.7 by using 6.1 stufenlosen Reglers? 6.4 stepless controller 3.2 Reglers 4.7 you can choose the browning degree by using 2.0 it's a technical term I
suppose it might 4.4 this 1.7 stepless controller seems weird 9.6 controller hell 1.1 bis dunkel brightly to dark $1.5 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 4.0 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r})$ 1.4 God all the simple words go out of your head! light $8.9 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r})$ 1.8 light to dark or 2.0 to well-done? $9.2 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) \quad 3.3$ light 1.3 lightly light to 9.2 hell bis dunkel 6.9 light to dark 1.5 well-done $6.0 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) \quad 2.7$ einstellen (RB 1: einstellen pp. 203-204) 17.8 to adjust 1.7 to adjust $5.2 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{a})$ to adjust 5.6 you can the requested 9.0 by adjusting 3.1 the stepless controller 2.8 maybe I'll put that one up there after the actual degree of toasting 3.5 okay the lift key is observed electromagnetically 3.5 in the case of switching on is lifted automatically at the completion lift key is observed electro 1.6 observed? 2.5 in the case of switching on and is lifted automatically at the completion of toasting 2.5 wird beim Ein 1.1 festgehalten 1.3 that means like it's actually kept down depressed 7.9 so the lift 1.3 key 1.6 is 2.1 would you say 9.5 when the toaster is switched is festhalten 4.5 is kept down 12.4 is observed 3.4 festhalten $h m(r)$ can't think of anything (RB 1: festhalten p. 243) 9.2 festhalten keep a firm hold on keep hold to hold on to to stress to hold to detain to record to capture $4.0 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 9.6$ is kept 2.2 depressed $2.8 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 1.8$ elektromagnetisch 1.4 electromagnetically 8.4 by 2.6 by an electromagnet? $7.6 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 1.0$ in the case of switching on when the toaster is switched on 10.7 and is lifted automatically at the completion of the toasting 3.3 and rises automatically? 10.2 at the completion of the toasting process 2.2 and rises 1.3 when the toasting process is 5.1 is completed 10.4 okay 1.0 you can interrupt the toasting process through pressing stop key 2.9 you can interrupt the toasting through pressing 2.2 the stop key you'd have to have a the 7.6 it's okay 12.1 through pressing would that be by pressing 4.1 the 1.7 stop key or stop button 6.2 stop 1.2 key 2.3 button 1.0 stop button $4.5 \mathrm{hm}(a)$ stop key 1.7 das Gerat wird ausgeschaltet the device is switched off grand 9.3 do not push $1.0 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r})$ do not push up the lift key 2.2 yeh 15.0 Toasten einer Brotscheibe toasting a slice of bread 9.1 yeh 1.5 toasting a slice of bread to toast a slice of bread? 3.1 toasting a slice of bread 1.6 it's okay 10.8 Hinweis 1.3 note or instructions? 2.4 note 1.2 (?) Hinweis 1.0 not too sure have to look it up (RB 1: Hinweis p. 353) 12.1 piece of advice notice 10.2 $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{a})$ attention 3.3 Hinweis 2.3 note is best 1.8 note $3.1 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r})$ as there can be differences in the browning of both pages it's definitely sides there can be no difference in the browning 1.4 Seiten 3.9 as there can be no difference in the browning of both 1.0 sides in the case of all two-slice toasters 1.0 when the toasting only a slice? 1.3 oh God! doesn't make sense 4.6 the German wie bei allen Doppelschlitz-Toastern kann es beim einer Scheibe Unterschiede in der Bräunung beider Seiten geben 1.3 wie bei allen DoppelschlitzTo Doppelschlitz-Toastern 2.6 as in the case of all two 2.6 twoslice toasters? 6.1 when toas when the toasting when toasting only a slice don't need the the 1.6 toasting einer Scheibe a slice 5.2 be a difference in the browning of both sides 3.4 Doppelschlitz-Toaster two-slice toasters? 2.0 never knew that 6.6 okay there can be a difference 1.7 browning 1.0 both sides there can be a difference in browning of both sides 3.7 bread 3.2 when 6.0 a two-slice toaster is used to toast one slice or 1.4 could use it if you use a two-slice toaster 1.2 toast only one slice 8.0 kann es beim Toasten von nur einer Scheibe Unterschiede in der Bräunung beider Seiten geben okay if you use 1.2 a two-slice toaster? 10.6 to toast 1.6 one slice of
bread $12.7 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ there can be a difference 7.9 in the 1.2 browning 3.5 kann es beim Toasten von nur einer Scheibe Unterschiede use a two-slice toaster to toast one slice of bread there can be differences in the browning of 3.3 both sides 6.8 don't know whether my translation's any better if you use a two-slice toaster to toast one slice of bread 1.0 browning of both sides 2.3 there can be differences in the browning 1.4 of both sides 8.8 or two sides could be toasted to different degrees 1.0 if you use a two-slice toaster to toast one slice of bread 14.3 change the two 1.6 sides 1.7 could be toasted 2.0 to different 2.6 degrees 2.3 okay 1.0 sollten daher beim Toasten 2.1 you were you were there to therefore select the one setting lower of the browning when toasting only a slice 2.6 you were therefore select? 2.5 doesn't make sense sie sollten daher beim Toasten von nur einer Scheibe die nächstniedrigere Einstellung der Bräunung wahlen 1.1 you should 2.1 therefore select 2.3 the one setting one you don't need the the there 5.8 one setting lower 3.5 when the to? when toasting only a slice when the toasting 1.3 when toasting only a slice 1.9 you should therefore 1.4 a sl when toasting only one slice 1.2 of bread you could say $13.5 \mathrm{em}(r)$ you should therefore select one setting 1.1 daher beim Toasten 1.5 die nächstniedrigere Einstellung der Bräunung 1.6 you should 3.4 there 2.8 select 2.3 one 2.0 setting lower of the browning? 1.8 one browning setting lower $4.8 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{a}) 10.3$ (sighs) Braun control-sensor $2.9 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{a})$ that's grand 18.9 in the case of H T fifty-five the builtin sensor measures the surface temperature of bread and controls the toasting time after this 6.6 yeh beim 2.1 sounds okay beim $H$ fift mißt der eingebaut die Oberflächentemperatur des Brotes steuert danach die Toastzeit $1.6 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{a}) 1.2$ could leave that 7.3 in the case of 1.3 H T fifty-five 5.1 sensor 10.5 temperature of bread of the bread 10.8 und danach die Toast steuert danach die Toastzeit 2.8 in the case of the built-in sensor 1.0 measures the surface temperature of the bread 3.6 and then controls the toast 3.3 yeh and then controls 2.1 the toasting time 3.3 Toastzeit 1.2 time it takes to tos the toasting time 9.4 determine your requested browning degree with the aid of the controller 8.5 still don't know what browning degree? 4.2 Bräunungsgrad 2.2 determine your requested browning degree with the aid of the controller 4.1 or choose your 4.1 could just say choose your browning degree 1.3 desired determine your requested 4.5 with the aid of the controller 2.7 or adjust your 8.3 Bräunung 1.7 with the aid of the controller or with the controller 10.9 your requested browning degree $2.1 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 5.4$ determine you requested browning 1.2 it makes sense but I don't know whether it's 1.2 I'd actually write it 14.8 determine your requested 1.6 browning degree with the aid of the controller 5.1 or 1.0 set your requested 3.6 ermitteln determine see if there's another word in here for it (RB 1: ermitteln p. 224) 11.3 ascertain trace establish investigate 2.1 $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 13.9$ determine your requested 2.9 your 8.5 or 2.3 fix 9.7 fix the 1.3 browning degree you desire 2.6 determine your requested browning 4.6 oops 4.3 (yawns) 2.2 excuse me 2.3 determine your requested browning degree with the aid of the controller 5.0 oh dear I can't think of anything 8.3 ermitteln Sie Ihren gewünschten Bräunungsgrad 7.8 determine 4.2 your 1.7 chosen 3.4 browning degree? 3.8 I might look that up 1.0 sounds funny (RB 1: Bräunung p. 137) 15.3 hmhm(r) 1.7 browning 3.0 (sighs) browning degree or toasting $7.4 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 5.7$ the aid of the controller 7.7 adjust the controller to
your chosen browning degree yeh 9.1 to your 9.4 chosen 6.2 adjust the controller browning degree 2.6 chosen level of toasting 7.8 just go on in the case of same bread sort 3.1 in the case of same types of bread same sort of bread the toaster provides 1.1 soon whether 1.3 the bread is fresh for invariable browning 1.8 older or frozen 1.0 ist? 1.9 what's it trying to say? 1.4 bei gleicher Brotsorte sorgt der Toaster 4.5 in the case of same type of bread sorgt der Toaster für gleichbleibende 3.0 Bräunung 5.6 gleichbleibende Bräunung? 2.3 invariable browning? 10.3 is fresh 2.5 old or frozen you don't need older 3.0 so in case of same 1.9 same types of bread 2.8 or for the same types of bread the toaster 7.5 toaster determines immediately? 1.4 whether the bread is fresh 3.3 sorgt für gleich 2.2 determines whether the bread is fresh 2.8 old or frozen $2.4 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ for 5.5 same 2.3 types of bread 3.8 the toaster 3.9 sorgt für gleichbleibende Bräunung $3.9 \mathrm{hm}(r) 2.1$ the toaster determines whether the bread is fresh old or frozen 18.2 für gleichbleibende Bräunung 2.1 for invariable browning? 2.1 does it mean like it sort of changes the level for the different type 5.6 gleichbleibend 2.8 constant isn't it? 2.2 again (RB l: gleichbleibend p. 304) 17.9 to stay or remain the same 1.6 to remain constant 3.2 constant steady 4.8 where did they get 3.0 (?same types of bread) 1.8 for constant browning for constant toasting 3.1 for the same types of bread the toaster determines whether the bread 1.0 the toaster determines whether 1.0 the bread is fresh old 1.5 or frozen 9.6 gleichbleibende Bräunung constant $2.4 \mathrm{em}(r) \quad 11.6$ sorgt für gleichbleibend 1.9 determines whether the bread is fresh old or frozen 10.1 and 2.6 for constant toasting or brown browning 5.8 for the same types of bread the toaster determines whether the bread is 2.6 and 1.9 ensures constant browning? or 9.7 does it mean like that they actually the toaster actually 2.7 changes the temperature 2.8 then of the bread I'll just go and see what it says in the next sentence nevertheless it has to be used to this in both slots of sort same bread and nature! 1.8 es muß dazu jedoch in beiden Schlitzen Brot gleicher Sorte 2.7 nevertheless 4.5 the same 1.3 sort of bread 2.5 and 4.0 must be used however to do this 1.6 the same sort of bread 9.7 same sort and texture of bread? 3.4 must be used in both slots 9.0 nevertheless or however 5.6 however 2.8 however to do this 4.9 (?) 2.3 em(r) 1.5 same 1.7 sort 3.2 and texture 3.0 bread 1.7 must 1.1 be used 3.1 in 1.1 both 2.1 slots 5.2 I'm still not happy about that ensures constant browning 1.2 if a slice of bread is fresh older or frozen ensures constant browning to do this same sort of bread same sort and texture of bread must be used in both slots 1.0 if your toasts 1.4 turn out too brightly 2.2 you repeat the toasting process with controller set up more highly correspondingly 1.8 you wouldn't say 1.1 your toast is too bright! 3.0 too lightly toasted? 6.7 if your if your toasts? 2.1 if your toast you wouldn't have that in the plural 2.3 if your toast is 1.1 too 9.0 is too lightly done? 3.1 wiederholen $S i e$ den Toastvorgang 2.8 (?) repeat 1.1 you don't need you repeat repeat the toasting process 7.5 with the controller with controller with the controller 3.0 set up more highly correspondingly 1.8 you could just say set 4.0 entsprechend höher gestelltem 9.2 with the controller 3.2 repeat the toasting process with the controller at a 1.9 higher 3.8 entsprechend höher gestelltem 2.4 at a higher setting? 14.8 sollten Sie nur eine Scheibe toasten if you were to only toast a slice 1.1 please set these into the slot characterised with sensor
point $5.4 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 3.7$ if you only wa 1.7 if you only want to tise to toast 1.3 a slice 1.0 one slice 2.0 legen Sie diese bitte in den mit dem Sensor 2.7 please put you please set these into the slot please put these into the 3.1 mit dem Sensorpunkt gekennzeichnet 2.1 into the slot 2.7 characterised with the sensor? 1.0 into the slot where the sensor point is situated? 2.3 yeh 1.7 if you only 1.1 want 2.4 to toast 2.4 one slice of bread 7.7 then 2.2 legen Sie diese bitte then please? 1.4 put 5.7 you please put set these why do you put it just 1.0 please put this the singular 1.0 into the slot 4.2 where the sensor point is situated? $10.7 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 6.5$ the grid for rolls has to lock with the spring clamps 2.6 (yawns) 3.5 der Brötchenaufsatz muß mit den Federbügeln einrasten 6.9 the grid for rolls $4.1 \mathrm{muß} \mathrm{mit}$ den Federbügeln? 3.3 spring clamps 2.6 Brötchenaufsatz 9.8 Brötchenaufsatz grid for rolls 14.5 or must has to lock must be locked with the spring clamps 12.9
(cassette change 1-2)
7.3 I suppose Federbügeln is right 1.0 spring clamps 1.2 a technical word 6.2 Aufsatz (RB 1: Aufsatz p. 64) 32.1 Aufsatz was bit on top 1.9 this must be an extra part the grid must be locked 1.2 with the spring clamps $2.2 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ the toaster changes over independently of the controller during use of the grid for rolls 2.2 automatically to a fixed time 3.6 bei Verwendung des Brötchenaufsatzes 7.7 the toaster changes over der Toaster 1.1 the toaster changes over 2.4 automatically changes over 19.4 toaster automatically changes over 4.2 unabhängig 1.5 unab oh! 2.7 no 2.4 that's wrong the toaster changes over independently of the controller 3.9 during use of the grid for rolls 4.6 automatically changes over to 1.0 a fixed 5.1 auf eine Festzeit 4.3 toaster automatically changes over to a fixed time 5.7 no 1.2 when 1.3 you are using 4.9 the grid for the rolls 13.5 the toaster automatically changes over to a fixed time 5.8 independent 4.0 independently of the controller? 10.9 (?) without the 4.8 to a fixed time 7.1 independently of the controller 3.8 independently 5.1 independent from the 2.0 changes over independent 4.7 from the controller 5.0 put that up there changes over independent from the controller to a fixed time 9.9 switching on also occurs with the lift key 5.3 switching on em(r) you can also switch on? 2.3 you the lift key can also switch on the toaster? 9.2 can also be used 1.6 to switch on 2.1 the toaster 9.4 Reinigung alle Modelle 3.9 cleaning all models? 2.0 (?) 2.8 yeh that's okay $9.9 \mathrm{em}(r)$ pull the power plug 1.3 before in front of every cl well it's before 4.8 would you say pull out the plug? or pull out the toaster? 2.5 ziehen Sie vor jeder den Netzstecker 5.5 pull out 3.9 plug in plug out 3.3 plug out the toaster 3.0 before 1.3 every cleaning 5.4 a moist cloth suffices for the cleaning of the casing 1.6 für die Reinigung genügt ein feuchtes Tuch $17.8 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{a})$ it's okay 8.7 a moist or a damp cloth? 20.0 a damp cloth can be used 1.4 to clean the casing? 2.2 or für die Reinigung des Gehäuses genügt ein feuchtes Tuch 1.8 it's okay really a moist cloth suffices for the cleaning of the casing 18.2 suffices 1.5 to clean the casing 6.6 verwenden Sie keine 2.0 use no keen $4.2 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r})$ 2.7 scharf 12.2 use no do not use 3.0 do not use 1.2 any 1.9 what's the word? 3.0 scharfe 5.4 sharp 3.7 und scheuernden Rei 4.9 scouring
cleaning agents? 13.1 use any 1.1 sharp 2.4 or scouring 1.7 keine scharfen und scheuernden could you just say scouring cleaning agents? 11.8 scharfe Reinigungsmittel 5.5 would scharf just be sharp? 1.9 see if there's any inspiration (RB 1: scharf p. 566) 1:25.3 don't use any 5.4 strong 1.8 strong cleaning agents 2.8 pull withdraw out crumb compartment occasionally 7.9 pull out 1.7 occasionally pull out 7.4 the crumb compartment compartment 18.4 and empty 1.0 and empty it you'd have to say 11.3 Änderungen vorbehalten 4.2 reserve changes? 11.9 interference screenings according to after 5.5 reserve changes doesn't make sense 13.1 vorbehalten? (RB 1: vorbehalten p. 730) 24.8 aha(u) subject to alterations? 1.3 Änderungen 1.7 vorbehalten 21.6 funken 3.0 funkentstört nach den Richtlinien 1.8 interference screening's? 4.0 according to 1.6 the guidelines 3.6 seventy-six 1.3 eight eight nine 11.5 with supplement guideline 3.7 interference screening's according to 2.2 according to 7.1 funken 2.6 funkentstört? 1.2 have to look that up (RB 1: Funkentstörung p. 267) 31.5 funkentstört 2.3 to suppress interference 14.1 funken 8.7 subject to alterations 12.1 funkentstört nach den Richtlinien 1.9 interference screening's 2.1 according to the guidelines 11.5 suppress interference 8.6 funkentstört nach den Richtlinien 15.8 okay (?) 16.3 just read back through what I wrote here then I'll go back to the last sentence 8.5 operating instructions operation 3.1 operation 1.8 the Braun toaster only works (reads text indistinctly) 6.8 a few times without 1.4 using bread beside an open window 5.2 do this a few times 4.9 put that up there do this a few times beside an open window without using bread 6.3 you can type after writing down something stupid 4.0 you can toast 2.7 all types of bread you can choose the desired degree of toasting by adjusting the controller 3.0 to dark 1.1 the lift key is kept suppressed by an electromagnet 1.0 when the toaster is switched on and rises when the toasting process is complete press by pressing the stop key the device is switched off do not push up the lift key toasting a slice of bread note (reads text indistinctly) $8.4 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 12.6$ Braun control sensor in the case of H $T$ the built-in sensor measures the temperature of the bread and then controls the toasting time 11.7 for the same types of bread the toaster determines whether the bread is fresh old or frozen and ensures constant browning 1.0 to do this the same sort texture of bread must be used in both slots if your toast is too lightly done repeat the toasting process with the controller at a higher setting 1.0 if you only want to toast one slice then please put this into the slot where the sensor point is situated the grid for must be locked with the spring clamps when you are using the grid for the rolls the toaster automatically changes over 1.5 independently from the controller to a fixed time the lift key can also be used to switch on the toaster 1.0 cleaning all models plug out the toaster before 1.5 every cleaning 11.7 every cleaning? 8.0 every time 2.0 before you clean it 3.6 a damp cloth suffices to clean the casing do not use any strong or scouring cleaning agents occasionally 1.3 pull out the crumb compartment 1.5 and empty it 1.7 subject to alterations 1.5 I'm back again em(r) 1.5 interference screening's according to after the guidelines 19.1 Funkentstörung suppression of interference 2.3 funkentstört 8.2 does it mean like that you can't 1.6 copy it? 41.2 funkentstört 1.0 suppressing of interference 29.1 interference suppressed or 12.7 interference 9.1 according to the guidelines 1.1 I haven't a clue really! 6.0 according to the guidelines 2.2 eight
eight nine 18.6 suppre 1.6 or copyright does it mean? 9.3 supplement 4.3 supplement guidelines 4.3 two 3.2 guideline 3.2 nine nine what does EW G then mean at the end? 21.2 according to the guidelines 4.3 I don't know 2.1 (laughs) 31.6 maybe it means $h m(r) 12.1$ that you're not supposed to interfere with the instructions or something the copyright $17.5 \mathrm{hm}(r) 10.5$ okay (laughs) .
- 3.5 now let's see sentence one Braun control-sensor toaster with an ah a hyphen in between $1.4 \mathrm{eh}(\boldsymbol{r})$ the two English words they've used control and sensor $1.3 \mathrm{eh}(\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{I}$ don't think $I$ would have an a hyphen in between control and sensor in English 3.5 eh(r) 2.5 Braun control 1.3 sensor 2.1 toaster 1.4 H T fifty-five oh sentence one 3.0 type four one 0 four 1.3 number two Gebrauchsanweisung 1.5 eh(r) there's obviously two possiblities that they've put in instructions and operating instructions I'd definitely call it $1.4 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ operating instructions 3.1 cos the other one is a bit too eh(r) 1.0 general 2.6 number three Betrieb well alle Modelle all models that's alright but business is obviously a wrong translation it's in the wrong eh(r) context eh(r) Betrieb yeh operation 2.9 eh(r) maybe operating 2.2 I don't know 1.9 put that in brackets 3.3 eh(r) 6.2 number four Hinweis note yeh either note or I might even 1.5 write important note $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{a}) 3.6 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ five 1.2 der Braun Toaster laßt sich nur eingerasteter Krümelschublade? 2.1 Braun to lets only operate aha(n) that's eh(r) 2.0 German grammar they've got in here 1.3 Braun 3.1 toaster 1.4 can only be operated of course it would have to be 2.9 Braun toaster 1.5 can only be operated 3.3 and in the case of aha( $n$ )! that was the problem with the bei which you can't usually do very well in English literally anyway the Braun operating eh(r) toaster can only operated 1.0 I would just say when the crumb compartment if that's what you want to call it 1.0 crumb drawer would sound too stupid when the crumb compartment is well locked for eingerastet 1.5 yeh that would be okay 3.3 if $I$ were the Braun company I'd probably write $B \mathrm{R} O \mathrm{~W} N$ in an English-speaking country cos otherwise it sounds like brawn 2.4 (hums) number six schalten Sie den Toaster (indistinctly reads text) 2.4 pre-connect you the toaster? oh no! 1.3 schalten Sie den Toast Toaster zunächst vor dem Erstgebrauch 3.6 pre-connect you the toaster well that's obvious that's just stupid before the primary use no before using it for the first time 1.1 through pressing down lift key that would have to be by pressing down lift key 2.2 eh(r) 3.2 oh yeh and then it's 1.2 zunächst has been just hung on in English at first and then einige Male some times 1.2 without bread in the case of open window that's the silly translation of bei again $2.3 \mathrm{eh}(\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{I}$ would say eh(r) 4.2 $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 3.5$ before using the toaster for the first time 8.7 and comma 2.6 (sighs) eh(r) 7.5 eh(r) 5.1 switch on 2.8 the 3.7 switch 1.4 $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 7.1$ switch on the toaster a 2.0 few times 1.0 without bread 2.2 few times 2.1 without 4.2 and oh! bei geöffnetem Fenster 3.7 a few times without bread and with 1.5 the window open (laughs) open your bedroom window first $1.4 \mathrm{em}(r)$ (clears throat) that sounds a bit funny but $e h(r)$ I wouldn't know what else they would call Fenster before using the toaster for the first time switch on the toaster a few times without bread and with the window open 2.2 well $I$ don't like that very much but never mind number seven Sie können alle Brotsorten toasten you can toast all bread sorts haha(am)! 1.2 you can toast 1.2 eh(r) 2.7 I think we'd just say all types of bread or all kinds of bread 6.8 eight mit Hilfe des stufenlosen Reglers 1.2 können Sie den gewünschten Bräunungsgrad einstellen aha(n) they've tried to invert this in English but it hasn't quite worked though 1.6 requested? browning degree 1.2 with the aid of stepless controller 3.2 einstellen? in German? have they not translated this into En is
it not translated this into English at all? 1.9 alright S P 0 you 1.1 can 1.9 I would say select in English you can select the 1.6 well desired sounds a bit highfalutin but never mind you can select the desired degree of browning? ememem(r) browning degree? no the desired $1.8 \mathrm{eh}(\mathrm{r}) 12.5$ (sighs) desired well a good way out of that is just to write the desired mode 1.8 eh(r) you can select the desired and mit Hilfe von with the aid of no I would say using 1.3 the 1.5 stufenlos 1.6 controller? no stufenlos would be 1.6 is it continuous or continual? $3.1 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r})$ have a look for stufenlos in here (RB 4: stufenlos p. 828) 4.7 stepless it says here as well but that $I$ would rather say con is it continual or continuous? page eight two eight $1.3 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) \quad 3.2$ continuous? 9.8 I m going to call it a continuous controller using 2.1 you can select the desired mode using continuous 6.6 eh(r) 14.9 alright in brackets one 1.1 light $2.5 \mathrm{em}(r) 3.1$ one light to six 1.3 dark well I don't like my mode but I couldn't think of anything else browning toasting 3.8 then $I$ think to make it absolutely sure in English I would probably add on the end eh(r) 2.9 depending on how you like your toast (laughs) no we'll not bother doing that though 1.1 number nine die Lifttaste wird beim Einschalten elektromagnetisch festgehalten und nach Beendigung des Toastvorganges automatisch angehoben $2.5 \mathrm{eh}(\mathrm{r})$ festhalten to observe 1.0 das wollen wir mal festhalten em(r) yeh they made a mistake there 1.5 well 3.0 the lift 1.7 key sounds strange in English the lift key! 1.7 I would probably call it a lift button really $4.0 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 2.7$ is $2.4 \mathrm{eh}(\mathrm{r}) 2.6$ well I would just say is held 2.8 electromagnetically 1.1 in brackets they've got electromagnetic yeh that's the eh(r) 1.1 the adjective though 1.9 electromagnetically $6.2 \mathrm{eh}(\mathrm{r})$ when the toaster is switched on would be better 6.7 on and 3.5 is 1.3 lifted automatically yeh I'll do the same as they've done here 1.2 automatically 2.1 at the completion of the toasting process well that sounds too highfalutin is lifted automatically $1.0 \mathrm{em}(r)$ when the toast is ready (laughs) no eh(r) and is lifted automatically 1.2 after toasting! it's as simple as that 10.9 alright 2.1 number ten 1.0 Sie können den Toastvorgang durch Drücken der Stop-Taste unterbrechen you can interrupt the toasting 1.7 process through pressing stop key well just a few little differences I'd make there 2.0 eh(r) 5.5 you can interrupt here again I'd just say you can interrupt toasting cause the toasting process sounds too big to me 1.2 and not through pressing but by pressing that's obviously a just a Germanism through durch 1.2 by pressing the stop key I would say 3.0 can interrupt th yeh toasting by pressing the stop key in brackets one 1.9 das Gerat wird ausgeschaltet 1.5 the device is switched off? well that's all well and good saying device but it's a toaster so let's call it a toaster 2.0 toaster 3.3 is switched off 1.3 not quite sure what they even mean in the German das Gerat wird ausgeschaltet 1.3 oh well switched off 1.3 number twelve die Listtaf Lifttaste nicht hochschieben 1.2 do not 1.3 push up the lift key that's alright 6.7 Toasten einer Brotscheibe toasting a slice of bread that's very straightforward 5.9 Hinweis note again but perhaps even important note as before 3.7 wie bei allen Doppelschlitz-Toastern (reads text indistinctly) Scheibe Unterschiede in der Bräunung beider Seiten geben 2.1 as there can be differences in the browning of both pages side! (laughs) and side they've even got in the singular $h m(r)$ in the case of all two-slice toasters when the toasting only a slice 1.8 oh that's a total pandimonium eh(r) 5.8 yeh that's totally ridiculous in English 1.2 so em(r) 1.6

I'd rather do it like as with all 2.8 double toasters 6.3 or 1.0 perhaps call it 2.7 toasters for $2.3 \mathrm{hm}(r) 4.8$ toasters for toasting 1.1 two slices of bread at the same time that's all too long I'll just leave the double toasters as with all double $1.3 \mathrm{hm}(r) 2.4$ toasters 3.4 there can 2.3 be 7.2 differences 3.5 between 2.9 both sides (sighs) 4.5 when 3.1 toasting one slice of bread only 4.3 as with all double toasters there can be differences between both sides when toasting a slice of bread 1.6 only 2.7 yeh they've done von nur einer Scheibe when toasting o only a slice of course that's wrong when the toasting only a slice 1.3 God knows where they got that from 1.4 sixteen sollten daher beim Toasten von nur einer Scheibe die nächstniedrigere Einstellung der Bräunung wählen Sie sollten you were to $\mathrm{ha}(\mathrm{am})$ that's wrong of course! therefore daher 1.0 select the one setting lower of the browning when the toasting only a slice what's this about when the toasting? it's all wrong! $1.8 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{I}$ would just just say you should therefore 7.2 no! 1.3 different 1.8 when you toast one slice only 2.6 comma 1.1 you should therefore 1.4 select 1.6 one 1.5 setting 1.4 lower I think that would be obvious which setting we're talking about there only one thing you can set there 1.5 and instead of when you toast maybe when toasting one slice only that sounds nicer 2.2 seventeen Braun control 1.3 sensor again $I$ would leave off the the hyphen in English here 1.3 H T fifty-five 1.3 eighteen beim H T T fünfundfünfzig mißt der eingebaute Sensor die Oberflächentemperatur des Brotes und steuert danach die Toast 1.7 zeit in the case of $2.2 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ okay in the case of would be alright in this case ha(am) in the case of H T would call it the H T fiftyfive because even in German it's beim H T fünfundfünfzig 1.7 alright the 1.7 built-in sensor we could have said integrated but never mind the built-in 1.7 sensor measures 2.6 surface temperature? that's alright 2.4 although it sounds a bit like we're talking about suns and planets 1.2 measures the surface temperature of they've written here of bread and it's des Brotes $1.1 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 1.6$ that sounds more like 1.6 das Brot as what do you call it? eh(r) 2.1 generische Bezeichnung in that case it would be without an article in English but of course we're 1.2 we're talking about certain slices of bread so it'll have to be of the bread 3.8 und steuert danach die Toastzeit and controls is alright the toasting time after this of course em(r) this is eh(r) danach in a 1.2 tem in a temporal sense but this obviously isn't meant here steuert danach das heißt je nach der Oberflächentemperatur des Brotes 1.6 so and controls 2.5 the 1.8 toasting time 3.4 accordingly! 1.1 would be quite simple there 5.0 eh(r) nineteen ermitteln Sie Ihren gewünschten Bräunungsgrad 1.1 sounds like a solarium ermitteln Sie Ihren gewünschten Bräunungsgrad mit Hilfe des Reglers determine your requested browning degree (laughs) the 1.8 with the aid of the controller ermitteln Sie 3.7 ermitteln Sie? no I think the the original text is a bit funny here you don't have to find out the the amount it's got to be get browned $\mathrm{hm}(r) 1.6$ it just means legen Sie den gewünschten Bräunungsgrad mit Hilfe des Reglers fest $I$ would say! 1.2 so in that case 2.4 (laughs) I'll just say set 2.0 the re 2.5 requested 1.4 I think we could even call it colour couldn't we! ke set the re but not requested required 1.2 or desired desired is better set the desired 4.3 browning degree no! set the desired 5.1 oh I'm gonna write colour that's all it's not quite right 1.4 and $I$ would say using the controller is better than with the aid of 3.6 controller control 1.0 yeh also Regler what we've
always got is controller here 1.0 that's controller as more used in cybernetics and things 1.7 eh(r) Reglerkreis and that type 3.5 we'd probably call it a control and not a controller like you talk about the controls on a radio 1.4 well I'll come back to that later 2.1 twenty bei gleicher Brotsorte in the case of same bread sort haha(am) $1.6 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) \quad 1.5$ sorgt der Toaster gleichbleibende Bräunung (indistinctly reads text) frisch alter oder gefroren ist 1.7 alright 2.1 when the same bread sort is when the same kind of bread is used 3.0 same type of bread or same kind of bread is used 2.9 the 3.1 toaster provides soon whether the bread is fresh hmhm(am) for invariable browning they've even split provides for invariable browning up in the middle! 2.1 well I would say the toaster 1.9 makes 1.4 sure 2.1 that the 1.3 colour is the same 3.1 whether 4.8 the bread is 2.4 fresh slightly 2.3 older 1.4 or and of course we wouldn't just say frozen we would say deep-frozen 6.5 alright 1.4 twenty-one es muß dazu jedoch in beiden Schlitzen Brot gleicher Sorte und Beschaffenheit verwendet we em(r) 1.1 nevertheless? jedoch that doesn't really fit it would have to be however 1.0 it has to be used to this 1.4 in both slots of sort same bread and nature 3.1 well that's total twaddle $1.3 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 1.8 \mathrm{I}$ would write however for this it is 2.5 necessary 4.2 to use 2.1 bread of the 1.2 same 1.2 kind 1.4 of the same kind and consistency would be better for Beschaffenheit 1.0 use bread of the same kind and consistency in both now $I$ wouldn't call these slots wouldn't call them slits either I would just call them sides both sides of the toaster I think that's obvious what we mean 1.6 falls Ihre Toaste $z u$ hell ausfallen? ha(s)? Toaste in der Mehrzah1 hab ich noch nie gehört wiederholen Sie den Toastvorgang mit entsprechend höher geste1ltem Regler 2.0 if your toasts turn out naw! if your toast turns out too brightly of course I don't know what they're using a an adverb for here the machine obviously thought that was 1.0 somehow 1.4 qualifying the verb turn which of course it's not cos it's one of these typical things where the adjective stays the same $2.2 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 1.3$ if your 1.1 toast turns out too light well if your toast is too light I think would be alright if your toast is too light 3.3 eh(r) 2.6 you can 2.1 repeat toasting 2.3 or no you can repeat the process that would be okay $2.2 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 1.4$ using a higher setting of the controller 2.2 I still think that control might be better than controller? 2.4 a higher setting of the control? of the controller? 2.6 of the control controller $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 1.7$ sollten Sie nur eine Scheibe toasten legen Sie diese bitte in den mit Sensorpunkt gezeichne gekennzeichnetem Schlitz sollten Sie if you were to they've done the same as they did before sollten Sie nur all this sollten Sie means is just wenn Sie nur eine Scheibe toas toasten so if you 2.5 are only toasting one slice? 1.7 no if you want 1.5 to toast 2.7 one 1.3 slice only 1.1 legen Sie diese bitte hmhm(a) you please set these into the slot characterised no that's all wrong just 2.2 please 2.1 haha(am) and diese these of course the machines thought that was a plural which it's not 1.5 please 1.5 put simple enough please put 1.1 it meaning the one slice haha(a) please 1.2 put it 1.3 into the oh dear now they do want a word for Schlitz alright when then I'd use the word slot into the slot 1.2 and gekennzeichnet of course marked in this 1.0 thing marked 1.6 with the 2.9 sensor point? sensor hmhm(am) point? sensor dot? well put point 3.0 (sighs) twenty-four der Brötchenaufsatz muß mit den Federbügeln einrasten the grid for rolls 3.6 the 1.5 I would call that just the fitting for
toasting rolls 6.9 muß mit den Federbügeln einrasten 4.1 must 5.7 lock 1.4 I think by means of 7.2 spring clamps or perhaps 6.2 maybe 1.8 the spring clamps 2.6 of the 1.6 fitting 2.2 for toasting 3.2 rolls must 2.8 lock 4.2 I think must snap 1.1 something like snap shut might be alright as well put that in brackets 3.9 alright bei Verwendung des Brötchenaufsatzes 2.4 schaltet der Toaster unabhängig vom Regler automatisch auf eine Festzeit um the toaster changes over independently of the controller during use of the grid for rolls automatically to a fixed time no the whole order of words is wrong here I would say 1.5 when using 3.6 a fitting for toasting rolls 8.6 rolls 2.9 the toaster 6.5 automatically 2.7 changes over? no! automatically 1.5 switches to 1.7 a fixed 4.6 time 1.1 perhaps also to a standard time 3.4 automatically switches to a fixed time 1.2 standard time 1.0 unabhängig vom Regler I think if it's automatically then it's obvious that it has nothing to do with the controller 1.7 but I would em(r) 1.8 fixed time standard time alright we could put independently 3.0 of the controller 3.7 or perhaps 1.3 setting of the controller 1.9 of the control of the controller controller $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 2.3$ das Einschalten erfolgt ebenfalls mit der Lifttas switching on also occurs with the lift key no I'd make a passive out of this em(r) 6.6 eh(r) no 1.4 the lift 2.1 key 1.0 switch button the lift 1.1 no lift button sounds like a Fahrstuh1 1.8 the lift 1.1 ah I'll have to put key just now I think I'll have to look up Taste (RB 4: Taste p. 838) 9.0 Taste push-button key key press-button signalling key key I always think of a little piano key or a something on a typewriter 1.3 the lift 1.2 key I'm going to call it a lift button I don't care if it sounds like a Fahrstuh1 1.3 the lift 2.4 button is 1.9 also 1.5 used (clears throat) to switch on the toaster 7.0 it's also used to switch on the toaster 1.4 twenty-seven Reinigung 1.7 alle Modelle cleaning all models fair enough 5.9 twenty-eight ziehen Sie vor jeder Reinigung den Netzstecker 1.8 pull the power plug 1.0 before in front of every cleaning aha(u) that's the the you've got the temp there the local sense of the word vor here as well pull the power plug 1.0 well pull out and I wouldn't call it a power plug I'd call it pull out the just the plug or maybe the mains plug 1.0 if I was trying to do it really British 1.5 pull out the mains $1.9 \mathrm{aha}(\mathrm{u})$ and then I would put always pull out 2.1 the mains plug 1.8 before 1.5 cleaning because you couldn't really put every cleaning in English so you put always out on the front 1.5 twenty-nine für die Reinigung des Gehäuses genügt ein feuchtes Tuch aha(u) a moist cloth we would probably call it a damp cloth wouldn't we it's another version of feucht a damp 1.4 cloth 4.5 suffices okay 2.9 is sufficient 4.6 for cleaning 3.9 the casing? (coughs) yeh okay is sufficient for cleaning the casing 4.9 eh(r) 4.6 could also say the casing can be kept clean very simply using a moist cloth 2.0 oh well anyway I'll just leave this verwenden Sie keine scharfen und scheuernden Reinigungsmittel 4.1 use no keen no scharf keen? don't like that that sounds more like scharfsinnig 1.8 sharp? no scharf really means sort of acidy or (mutters) not not pungent em(r) 1.5 scharfe Reinigungsmittel eh(r) strong it just means 3.3 probably won't be anything under scharf in here (RB 4: scharf p. 700) 2.2 sharp defined pointed caustic yeh okay caustic caustic soda I think it just means strong 1.5 use no 3.0 strong no Reinigungsmittel use no strong cleaners 1.9 or cleaning liquids use no strong cleaners I think that's obvious use no strong 1.3 cleaners 1.6 or 1.8 scouring 1.8 well although you can get liquid Fisk now I
think I can still call this scouring 2.2 powders? it's usually some kind of a powder yeh strong cleaners or scouring 1.0 powders 1.0 scouring 2.8 scouring agent no agent isn't right scouring 2.8 scouring 1.4 scouring products maybe scouring products might get me round the problem 2.4 and $I$ don't want to say powders 4.8 Krümelschublade gelegentlich herausziehen und leeren withdraw (laughs) crumb compartment no pull out would be okay 1.4 pull out the crumb 4.4 comp 2.9 pull out the crumb compartment occasionally and empty it's funny the way empty just sits at the end there 1.3 pull out and empty I would say 1.4 the crumb compartment occasionally 1.9 cas-ion-ally 4.1 thirty-two 1.0 Änderungen vorbehalten reserve changes? huhhuh(am) sounds like an imperative $1.1 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r})$ well I'm not sure what exactly the eh(r) sentence is they use Änderungen vorbehalten 1.4 eh(r) it's usually the 2.4 (sighs) the manufacturer it would have to be a long thing 1.1 the manufacturer reserves the right 2.3 to change $I$ would probably call it specifications 1.4 to change 1.9 specifications 2.7 usually it would be at short notice or something but I think we'll leave that off here 1.3 funkentstört nach den Richtlinien aha(u) interference screenings according to the guidelines with supplement guideline well don't know else you would say apart from guideline 1.0 Richtlinie 2.6 course nobody knows what the guidelines seventy-six eight eight nine are when you hear Richtlinien 1.1 oh $I$ see it says $E W G$ on the back well I don't know what to do there but 2.0 screened against 1.0 I think it would be screened against radio interference 6.9 according to well there again they've put the temporal sense of nach in here as well it would have to be according to 1.6 alright I'll just write guidelines 1.0 seventy-six eight eight nine 4.0 but guideline $I$ don't like 3.4 guideline eighty-two four nine nine E W G 1.0 ah no of course it wouldn't have to be E W G it would have to be 2.6 I take it that means Europäische Wirtschaftsgemeinschaft it would have to be E E C although nowadays we would normally just say E C I think wouldn't we? 4.5 okay maybe if we say according to E E C guidelines with supplement guideline or including supplement guideline 1.1 if we say E E C guidelines 1.0 then 1.4 it might be obvious 1.6 right 10.2 (whistles) okay we had crumb compartment that was okay 1.9 (reads text indistinctly) 3.9 I think switch on the empty toaster a few times would sound nicer than without bread switch on the empty toaster a few times with the window 3.6 open 4.6 what is this window they're talking about? 2.2 I think if we don't say $t$ em(r) toaster window 1.0 open then people are gonna think you have to open the kitchen window when you're doing it 1.2 toast all kinds of bread you select desired mode using select the desired 1.2 colour? well I think colour is quite obvious with toasting using the continuous controller 1.0 the lift key lift button we'll call it a lift button is held electromagnetically 4.9 I think it would be better to say held by an electromagnet 1.6 then $I$ can write it all in one word 1.3 the toaster is switched on and is lifted automatically after 1.1 toasting you can interrupt toasting by pressing the stop key I would call it a stop button as well toaster is switched off do not push up the lift key toasting a slice of bread important note 1.4 there can be differences between both sides when toasting one slice of bread only when toasting one slice only you should therefore select one setting lower 1.0 Braun control sensor in the case $H$ (reads text indistinctly) 16.5 alright for both sides $I$ will call it slots if
your toast is too light you can repeat the process using a higher setting 3.2 higher setting of the controller 1.7 using a higher setting it's obvious that it's a setting of the controller so I'll leave that off if you want to toast one slice only please put it into the slot marked with a sensor point the fitting for toasting rolls must lock by means of the spring clamps 1.7 when using the fitting for toasting 2.2 what have $I$ written here? oh! supposed to be rolls 1.7 the toaster automatically switches to a fixed time or a standard time independently of the controller of the setting of the controller 1.4 the lift button is also used to switch on the toaster 9.1 scourers scourers? can you say scourers? 4.2 maybe we could say use no but I'm not sure caustic or scouring cleaners 7.6 (sighs) 2.6 the right to change specifications I think it's something before something like without prior notice 5.6 screened for radio interference according to E E C guidelines blah blah alright that was it I think
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- and that's always suspicious because the English always then seems to be very international but very senseless so that probably a lot of people would never know what a control-sensor toaster is 1.4 but on the other hand I've got no nothing which would occur to me $1.8 \mathrm{eh}(\mathrm{r})$ to replace it with because $I$ don't know what this sort of toaster in fact is like 1.2 so I'm a bit lost on that one that I would require a lot of research finding out what exactly the sensors are for 1.1 so I'll leave that it just looks good but it's pretty meaningless for normal English readers 1.4 go on to the second one 2.6 that's okay the third one $2.9 \mathrm{eh}(r)$ this seems very confusing $1.7 \mathrm{em}(r) 1.2$ and $I$ shall write here method of operation 4.6 for Betrieb 1.2 Hinweis note that seems okay so number four 1.2 okay or maybe actually come to think of it I would say please note that would be better so please note 1.9 number five 1.3 bei eingerasteter Krümelschublade betreiben the Braun toaster oh Godl lets only operate in the case of locked crumb compartment so this has obviously got to be changed $2.5 \mathrm{em}(r)$ 1.4 the toaster 1.1 I've left out Braun because I always think that is extraneous anyway re keeping repeating the maker's name the toaster can only be 3.2 operated instead of lets 2.4 em(r) 5.1 after 2.0 the 1.7 crumb $2.3 \mathrm{em}(r)$ well 1.1 compartment 2.3 I suppose that's all right it's a bit unusual but on the other hand it depends what it's like and I haven't got a picture of the damned thing so I don't know really whether it is a compartment or a drawer the Braun toaster can only be operated after the crumb compartment $1.4 \mathrm{em}(r) 1.0$ after the crumb compartment 1.6 has 1.0 been 1.3 closed I prefer to locked locked is a bit sort of exaggerated 1.2 number six 1.1 schalten Sie den Toaster vor dem Erstgebrauch durch Herunterdrücken der Lifttaste drei zunächst einige Male ohne Brot bei geöffnetem Fenster ein (laughs) oh God! sounds like Monty Python right pre-connect oh damn! primary use through pressing down lift key at first some times without bread in the case of opened window this is hopeless isn't it? so $2.3 \mathrm{em}(r)$ switch no hold on $h m h m(r) h m h m(r) h m h m(r) 10.4$ so this is all wrong in fact this se 1.0 before 5.1 use that's the standard English collocation for this sort of situation before use $4.8 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ 8.7 (tuts) (sighs) this is not going to help me very much and neither will the dictionaries because $I$ can't think at the moment if there's a special name 1.3 for this sort of 1.8 lever on the toaster that you press down $1.9 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{I}$ think I shall say before use 21.8 before use the toaster 2.3 should 2.7 be 3.1 set? 1.0 no should be should be set should be put into action the toaster should be put into action $I$ prefer that the toaster should be put into action 5.8 without 2.8 any 2.1 bread in the slots 1.2 I think I'd put that in 1.3 make it more sense 1.8 before use the toaster should be put into action 4.1 einige Male 4.3 should be put into action several times 2.8 without any bread in the slots 3.0 and 1.0 after 1.2 having 3.5 opened a 1.1 nearby 1.8 window $3.6 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ right number seven Sie können alle Brotsort Brotsorten toasten you can toast all bread sorts so 1.7 em(r) probably it would be the other way round in English 1.3 all sorts 1.2 of bread 2.4 can 1.3 be $1.8 \mathrm{em}(r)$ toasted 2.3 in this model 2.3 number eight mit Hilfe des stufenlosen Reglers können Sie den gewünschten Bräunungsgrad hell bis dunkel einstellen 1.8 oh dear oh dear oh dear oh dear! yes 2.4 so $3.7 \mathrm{em}(r) 27.6$ the re $2.4 \mathrm{em}(r)$ $\mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 1.0$ suitable? appropriate? 2.2 probably they'd just say correct
1.0 the correct degree 1.8 of toasting 1.4 can be 1.9 achieved 1.8 by 2.2 setting 2.7 the $2.9 \mathrm{em}(r) 4.3$ by setting the 1.6 dial? 1.5 by dialling? no 1.2 by setting the $4.6 \mathrm{eh}(\mathrm{r}) 1.6$ by setting the 2.3 knob I think we'd call it 1.5 not a button knob dial 1.4 by setting turn the knob it's a knob I think 1.9 course it all depends on my toaster it's a knob but God knows what other people have got $1.3 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r})$ by setting the knob and I shall write here alternatives perhaps because toasters have got different sorts of 4.4 ways of setting 3.9 the toasting 1.0 the correct degree of toasting can be achieved by setting the knob or dial 1.3 or $1.5 \mathrm{em}(r)$ according to model 2.8 by setting the knob or the dial to the 3.3 level 1.5 required $2.7 \mathrm{eh}(\mathrm{r})$ E G $3.0 \mathrm{eh}(\mathrm{r})$ one is $2.2 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 11.1$ what I shall call 1 ight for the moment and 1.4 E G one light 1.8 three medium I'm inserting this because I'm not an extremist 1.1 and six 1.2 black! (laughs) light medium eh(r) dark $I$ will call it instead black toast 1.4 right number nine 1.0 so I've added something to the translation in this case because this is not customer-friendly 1.2 or user-friendly 1.8 it's always good to have a medium value in as well 2.7 and that's very often the case for translations that you have to add something 1.4 number nine die Lifttaste wird beim Einschalten elektromagnetisch festgehalten und nach Beendigung des Toastvorganges automatisch angehoben 2.6 ah ja 4.6 aha(a) aha(a) ahm(a) 28.8 so it does look as though I'm going to have to 1.0 find some peculiar word for Lifttaste 12.0 (sighs) $8.2 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 4.7$ (sighs) 9.9 okay let's assume that it is in fact some sort of lift key 4.8 similiar to a typewriter 1.7 key 3.7 so for want of a better idea and knowing jolly well that it won't be in the dictionary I shall use this expression 1.7 the lift key 3.5 em(r) 2.5 is 3.6 electro-mag-net-ic-ally 2.0 controlled $3.5 \mathrm{em}(r) 2.2$ after 2.0 being 3.9 pushed down 2.5 and $I$ write an alternative depressed because if it is a sort of lever then pushed down would be better 1.0 and if it's more a a button rather than a lever or a key instead of a lever then depressed would be better but that's something I can't know so that choice of word 1.9 would 1.1 eh(r) have to be according to what the thing looks like in reality 1.6 the key the lift key is electromet is electromagnetically controlled after being pushed down or depressed 1.0 and $2.5 \mathrm{eh}(\mathrm{r})$ returns to its normal position 2.5 now returns to its normal 1.7 position 1.1 I prefer that to all this rubbish here about 1.9 lifted automatically although I suppose I'll have to put that in the lift key is ultram is electromagnetically controlled after being pushed down after being depressed and returns to its normal and automatically returns to its normal position 1.1 yeh and automatically aut-o-mat-ic-ally returns to its normal position after toasting 4.7 that should be enough 4.3 number ten Sie können den Toastvorgang durch Drücken der Stop-Taste unterbrechen 1.9 you can interrupt the toasting process through pressing the stop key 2.0 well that's okay except 2.5 change 1.0 through 3.7 for no sorry change through to 1.5 by pressing 3.8 change through to by 2.4 you can interrupt the toasting process by pressing 1.2 yeh insert 6.1 insert the 9.0 before 1.8 stop 4.2 number eleven das Gerat wird ausgeschaltet the device is switched off 4.5 this is difficult to judge out of context 1.7 because $I$ assume 1.9 that this 1.2 is the accompanying text to a little picture 5.9 so maybe it's debatable debatable 1.8 whether 1.7 it 3.1 normally would be something like 1.0 off-on switch 2.4 or 2.0 off-on switch 1.9 or 1.5 off-on switch 1.3 if it's a picture of it off off-on switch in 1.1
off position 1.6 it's probably one of these silly switches where you never know if they're off or on because they've got a a sort of Roman numeral one or a nought and nobody ever knows which is which 1.0 so that is typical 3.1 right number twelve 2.8 die Lifttaste nicht hochschieben do not push up the 1 ift key $1.9 \mathrm{em}(r)$ I think I prefer do not force 1.0 the lift 1.7 key in an 2.5 upwards direction 3.4 do not force the lift key in an upwards direction yeh number thirteen 1.5 toasting a slice of bread that's okay 3.2 number fourteen we've got please note again 3.9 please note number fifteen wie bei allen Doppelschlitz-Toastern 1.7 kann es beim Toasten von nur einer Scheibe 1.1 Unterschiede in der Bräunung beider Seiten geben 4.4 ah yeh this is hopeless so number fifteen 2.6 em(r) 3.0 differences 3.7 in the degree 2.7 of toasting 2.4 can be 2.0 found 2.8 in all 3.2 double $4.3 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 17.3 \mathrm{I}$ think $I$ shall write cos $I$ can't remember what it says on the box of the toaster that $I$ once bought in England differences in the degree of toasting can be found in all double-size toasters $I$ shall call it double-size and not double-slit or slot because that sounds very peculiar differences in the degree of toasting can be found in all double-size toasters 1.4 when 1.6 only 1.0 one 1.3 slice of bread is inserted 2.3 just check the German again 1.0 wie bei allen Doppelschlitz-Toastern kann es beim Toasten von nur einer Scheibe Unterschiede in der Bräunung beider Seiten geben yeh that's enough 1.0 good number sixteen Sie sollten daher beim Toasten von nur einer Scheibe die nächstniedrigere Einstellung der Bräunung wahlen oh that's clever I didn't know that right although it's pretty sensible $1.7 \mathrm{eh}(\mathrm{r})$ this translation is useless 1.7 well half-useless $1.3 \mathrm{em}(r) 1.1 \mathrm{I}$ think probably just straight select $3.3 \mathrm{em}(r) 1.8$ select 1.4 one 1.8 setting 1.0 lower 2.0 on the 1.2 dial or knob that depends on the model 1.7 select one setting lower on the dial or knob when 1.3 toasting 1.7 a single slice of 1.8 bread 1.7 number seventeen 1.8 Braun control-sensor okay well nothing we can do about that 1.4 (clears throat) excuse me cos we don't know what it is right 1.7 in the case of $H$ fifty-five 1.0 beim $H \quad T$ fünfundfünfzig mißt der eingebaute Sensor die Oberflächentemperatur des Brotes und steuert danach die Toastzeit 1.4 ah we know what it is now! in the case of $\mathrm{H} T$ fifty-five the built-in sensor measures the surface temperature of the bread and controls the toasting time after this 1.4 that's not bad is it for a machine! my God! in the case of H T fifty-five $5.3 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 3.2$ so I think I shall write here in the case 1.9 of the H T fifty-five model 3.5 although I suspect 9.0 no I think this is German I think I shall say 1.1 the $H T$ fifty-five model 1.0 has 2.6 a built-in 5.4 sensor 1.6 has a built-in sensor 2.5 which measures 5.3 the 2.3 sur-face temperature 6.1 of 1.7 the $2.9 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 1.5 \mathrm{I}$ think it's sp bread'll be alright the H T fiftyfive model has a built-in sensor which measures the surface temperature of the bread 1.3 and 3.4 (tuts) 3.3 ah! (groans) 1.4 steuern this damn word steuern! em(r) 8.6 cos it's not really limits limits the toasting time and 3.6 controls the toasting time? I'm going to look up steuern in the dictionary because my memory is not doing me 1.0 so let's see which is the best one we've got here 2.3 for steuern $I$ could probably in fact $1.6 \mathrm{hm}(r)$ I think $I$ shall use the German-English dictionary 1.6 the Collins (RB 1: steuern pp. 628629) 1.7 just to see if I can find the word I'm looking for 1.6 to translate steuern which is on page 1.5 six two eight 1.3 six two eight steuern 1.9 and we discover that steuern begins on page six two
eight (laughs) but in fact the actual verb is on page six two nine 1.8 so steer navigate pilot fly run control manage 1.4 take or follow steer control in the sense of reg regulieren which controls the toasting time? oh well 1.1 let's just see what else there is 1.0 head drive 2.1 to be at the helm oh Lord above! 1.3 that's all so that's useless $2.1 \mathrm{oh}(\mathrm{sigh})$ the H T fifty-five model has a built-in sensor which measures the surface temperature 1.0 of the bread 1.0 and 4.0 controls the toasting time 3.2 regulates! 1.6 which measures the surface temperature of the bread 1.1 and regulates I prefer regulates which wasn't in the dictionary 1.2 it just said control and regulates the 1.3 toasting 1.2 time 2.7 for a joke I shall look up regulate and see if it's in this dictionary at all as steuern (RB 1: regulate $p$. 541) 4.3 to check back the other way a well-known translator trick 1.4 regulate here we are on page five four one in the same dictionary 1.2 and regulate says 1.1 regulate says 1.1 in the sense of control regulieren 1.2 flow expenditure traffic lifestyle 1.4 these things happen in even the best-regulated families! 1.6 machine or mechanism regulieren clock richtig stellen so obviously possible but not sort of 1.0 very obvious 2.0 still I prefer that and regulates the toasting time 1.9 nineteen 3.6 ermitteln Sie Ihren gewünschten Bräunungsgrad mit Hilfe des Reglers 1.3 determine (reads text indistinctly) with 1.1 the aid of the controller $8.5 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{a}) 2.0 \mathrm{I}$ think I shall here $1.5 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 6.9$ I think I shall write this translate this communicatively $1.3 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r})$ something like 1.2 get 1.2 (tuts) bad writing get your toast 1.2 perfect 3.3 get your toast perfect 1.2 by using 1.0 the $2.1 \mathrm{em}(r)$ regulating I 'm using the same word again get you toast perfect by using the $1.8 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 8.0$ (tuts) by using the 2.1 the graded 1.2 knob or the graded dial? 3.6 the regulating knob the regulating dial? 3.0 get your toast perfect 1.3 by using 2.9 the regulating 1.1 knob 1.0 or dial 2.2 and 5.8 another possibility would be graded I'll have to think about that 2.8 a lot depends on what the damned thing looks like 1.3 so 2.5 number twenty 6.1 bei gleicher Brotsorte sorgt der Toaster für gleichbleibende Bräunung gleich ob das Brot frisch alter oder gefroren ist 11.5 oh I see! 1.8 if you 1.9 use if you 2.3 if you use the same 2.5 if you 2.5 if you use the same 3.1 sort of bread 3.1 I might even insert the word daily here it sort of makes it sensible but I'll put it in sort of 1.0 soft brackets if you use the same sort of bread daily $3.1 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 9.4$ if you use the same sort of bread daily it will be 3.5 toasted the same 1.5 whether $5.2 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 1.3$ whether it is fresh $6.9 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 1.8$ a couple of days old 1.3 whether it is fresh a couple of days old 1.1 or $2.7 \mathrm{em}(r)$ out of the deep-freeze 7.9 number twenty-one run out of paper a national emergency here we are 3.3 number twenty-one 6.9 es muß dazu jedoch in beiden Schlitzen Brot gleicher Sorte und Beschaffenheit verwendet werden $2.7 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r})$ yeh 1.0 however 3.2 because this nevertheless is not the right word 1.0 however the bread 1.6 used does have to 1.2 be the same 1.4 in both 1.9 slits 1.9 twenty-two 3.3 oho sorry no I missed something there the bread does have to be the same in both s well 1.2 the bread does have to be the same 1.6 gleicher Sorte und Beschaffenheit 1.2 however the bread does have to be the same in both slits 22.8 I think I shall leave it like that because 1.2 you can't get any simpler 9.6 maybe it's 1.4 disputable 3.7 number twenty-two falls Thre Toaste zu hell ausfallen wiederholen Sie den Toastvorgang mit entsprechend höher gestelltem Regler! 2.7 does this mean that they will be burnt to a cinder? 3.2 that is very badly done in German
very badly done! 1.7 so 1.1 now number twenty-two 1.1 if your toast 2.7 is 1.3 too $1.9 \mathrm{eh}(r)$ is not done enough (laughs) 1.7 is too is not done enough 2.8 yes if your toast is not 1.1 done enough 1.0 cos you talk about toast being 1.1 done if your toast is not done enough 5.0 comma 2.1 you should 1.8 no if your toast is not done enough 1.2 please reset 1.5 the $2.4 \mathrm{em}(r) 3.4$ or readjust maybe 3.3 the graded 1.0 dial 1.3 or knob 1.8 that's what's meant $I$ hope 1.3 number twenty-three 2.5 sollten Sie nur eine Scheibe toasten legen Sie diese bitte in den mit dem Sensorpunkt gekennzeichneten Schlitz $8.4 \mathrm{hmhm}(a)$ 1.0 so 1.1 the German or the translation is not so good 1.4 when 1.3 toasting 3.1 one 1.0 no one when toasting a single 2.6 when toasting a single slice 1.1 of bread 2.7 please 3.5 insert the slice 2.2 insert sounds funny! please put 1.1 the slice 1.3 in the 5.2 slit 2.2 marked oops! 1.2 with the 2.4 red 2.5 dot $I$ presume it's a dot 2.9 yeh 2.6 or with the red sensor dot $I$ suppose 1.2 with the red dot I think I'll leave it with a red dot cos it doesn't matter if it's a Sensorpunkt or not 1.0 that just sounds good 1.7 in den mit dem Sensorpunkt gekennzeichneten Schlitz yeh when toasting a single slice of bread pleast put the slice in the slit marked with the red dot yes I think I prefer that 2.0 twenty-four 1.5 der Brötchenaufsatz muß mit den Federbügeln einrasten 1.0 the grid for rolls has to lock with the spring clamps well that's nearly right! that's not bad! 1.8 so 1.1 the 2.3 grid for 1.2 rolls $5.2 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 6.1$ I think I'd say the grid for rolls 1.3 oops! the grid 1.4 for rolls 2.8 has to 1.7 has to lock no no sorry no nicht has to das wollte ich nicht! the grid for rolls locks in place 3.1 locks in-to place the grid for rolls locks into place 1.3 with $2.8 \mathrm{em}(r)$ and then $I$ think I'd put the number 5.1 or maybe it's not necessary the grid for rolls locks into place with 1.3 I'll put that in soft brackets again with two 1.6 spring 1.0 clamps 1.0 or clips! (tuts) two spring clips they're more clips than clamps the grid for rolls locks into place with spring clips or with two spring clips that would be the normal thing to put in the number 2.4 twenty-five bei Verwendung des Brötchenaufsatzes schaltet der Toaster unabhängig vom Regler automatisch auf eine Festzeit um 1.1 aho! (s) 3.0 em(r) 4.7 the toaster changes over independent 3.7 no $I$ think I'd begin with during use of 1.0 during the use of 2.1 maybe $I$ wouldn't say that at all but still 3.7 no $I$ wouldn't say that at all that's rubbish when the 2.8 grid 1.9 for rolls $3.3 \mathrm{em}(r)$ (tuts) 1.4 when the grid for rolls 3.4 oh when the grid for rolls 2.6 so number twentyfive 1.7 ach ja! when the grid for rolls 1.3 eh(r) I did have some word I was thinking about mounted or clipped on 1.0 when the grid for rolls $1.9 \mathrm{em}(r) 2.3 \mathrm{eh}(r) 5.3$ when the grid for rolls I did have a good word for that at the back of my head somewhere when the grid for rolls $3.8 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) 20.1$ is in position? 3.8 when the grid for rolls is in position I think that's probably 1.4 better 1.1 when the grid for rolls is in position 1.6 the comma the toaster 1.9 automatically 6.4 em(r) 8.6 automatically switches over 2.7 from 4.2 sensor 1.4 operation 2.3 to 1.3 a fixed 2.4 toasting 3.0 time 1.3 (sighs) right number twenty-six 2.2 das Einschalten erfolgt ebenfalls mit der Lifttaste oh yeh $1.6 \mathrm{em}(r) 5.5$ the 3.5 lift 1.7 key 1.1 or whatever it was I said before 2.5 see if I can find that quickly what I said before 7.0 so I did use lift key before $h m(r) 1.1$ the lift key 2.9 should be 1.9 depressed 4.6 the lift key should be depressed 8.3 to start? yes to start toasting 4.7 the lift key should be depressed 1.6 as in 1.6 normal 1.7 operation 2.5 number twenty-seven 1.6 Reinigung
alle Modelle 1.2 cleaning all models 1.5 I think I'd prefer to write cleaning instructions 5.0 all models 2.9 number twenty-eight 2.2 ziehen Sie vor jeder Reinigung den Netzstecker 1.1 pull the power plug 1.0 before every cleaning $3.5 \mathrm{eh}(\mathrm{r})$ oh damn! 1.8 oh what do we say for that? oh(groan)! 3.7 make sure 4.8 that 1.4 the 1.7 toaster 2.9 has been 2.1 disconnected 1.8 from the 1.0 mains 2.7 supply 2.1 before 2.7 cleaning 2.4 number twenty-nine 2.3 a moist cloth suffices for the cleaning of the casing 7.3 that's not bad for a machine so 1.1 change 3.3 suffices 2.4 to 6.0 is sufficient 7.2 number thirty 4.1 verwenden Sie keine scharfen und scheuernden Reinigungsmittel 1.9 em( $r$ ) use no s-couring 5.3 oh heavens above! yeh 1.0 keine scharfen Reinigungsmittel whatever they are $4.5 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 2.8 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{I}$ think I shall look this up in the dictionary just in case I find a word like intensive the only thing is which damned dictionary to use 6.2 for cleaning stuff that's going to be very difficult 9.8 I think no hold on em(r) 6.3 just occurred to me the word abrasive for scheuernden that might be good I shall make a note of that 3.1 just so as $I$ don't forget it 1.5 keine scharfen yeh I'm gonna look up scharf in the Collins dictionary (RB 1: scharf p. 566) 1.0 just to see if there's anything that helps me 1.6 cos I'm sure that there's a good English word for that but it won't occur to me 3.4 so scharf is on page five six six 2.3 and it's divided up
(cassette change 1-2)
3.6 yes it says here in fact caustic 1.8 for Waschmittel and Lösung 6.4 so I think I might even take that I don't think a lot of people would understand it although of course 1.7 there is or was such a thing as caustic soda $17.3 \mathrm{hm}(\mathrm{am})$ of course I shall not use all the ones under section eight which are things like randy horny sexy (laughs) blue 1.3 yes they're not quite the sort of cleaning stuff that we had in our kitchen (laughs) $1.4 \mathrm{hmhmhm}(\mathrm{am}) 1.4$ yes right 6.6 yes I think I shall take caustic 3.5 so 1.0 thirty 2.2 em(r) do not use 1.0 the machine got the imperative wrong 1.7 do not use 1.4 any $1.9 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 1.1$ caustic 1.0 or abrasive $1.2 \mathrm{em}(r)$ cleaning agents 7.5 right number thirty-one 7.4 Krümelschublade gelegentlich herausziehen A und leeren $4.7 \mathrm{em}(r) 8.7$ I think I'll say regular intervals for this gelegentlich 1.7 so obviously it is some sort of drawer that you can pull out 2.3 pull no hold on remove! remove 1.8 remove the 1.0 crumb 3.8 compartment 3.6 at 13.1 remove the crumb compartment 1.6 at regular intervals 4.7 to 1.9 empty and 1.3 clean 2.0 yes that's the way we'd do it 2.4 thirty-one so number thirty-two 2.6 Änderungen vorbehalten? (laughs) 1.2 reserve changes! oh dear! Änderungen vorbehalten $1.2 \mathrm{em}(r)$ the manufacturer reserves the right to (laughs) em(r) 19.7 the 1.5 manufacturer's 3.7 specifications 1.3 spec-if-i-ca-tions 1.9 may 1.6 be altered 3.2 without 2.0 notice 1.3 I'm sure that there's some phrase like that for Änderungen vorbehalten 1.0 the manufacturer's specifications may be altered without notice 5.6 number thirty-three 1.7 funkentstört nach den Richtlinien ding-dong mit Erganzungsrichtlinie ho-hum 2.1 interference 2.1 oh crikey yes! $1.5 \mathrm{eh}(\mathrm{r}) \quad 23.2 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) \quad 10.5 \mathrm{eh}(\mathrm{r}) \quad 9.7 \mathrm{I}$ think I shall write interference 19.4 suppressor 5.0 interference suppressor 1.0 fitted 1.3 is what sort of vaguely occurs to me $3.7 \mathrm{em}(\mathrm{r}) 2.7$ fitted 1.1 in
accordance with 6.2 em(r) 2.6 in accordance with $E C$ guideline if that's what is called 1.3 in accordance with E $C$ guideline seventysix eight eight nine $3.7 \mathrm{em}(r) 1.0$ in accordance with $E C$ guidelines 9.6 with E Guidelines seventy-six eight eighty-nine 1.0 and 1.6 supplementary 1.7 guideline $4.1 \mathrm{eh}(r)$ efghty two four one nine nine 3.5 right $=$

APPENDIX D
PARTICIPANTS' VERSIONS • PP I

1. Braun control-sensor toaster HT 55 Type 4104.
2. Instructions for use.
3. Operation for all models.
4. Note
5. The Braun toaster only operates when the crumb compartment is locked.
6. -Put the toaster inte eperatien-

Before -first- using the toaster for the first time, switch it on by pressing the button marked lift.
(3) - then- Use the toaster a few times without actually putting in bread. This must be done in a room with the window open.
7. All types of bread may be toasted.
8. You can choose the degree of browning with the aid of the stepless regulator ie. lightly browned 1-6 and darkly browned 6 upwards
9. The lift button is electro-magnetically controlled ie. it is held in place after being pressed down + automatically pops up -after- at the completion of the toasting process.

- When pressed down, the lift button is held in place -by-an-electro-magnetically + pops up automatically on completion of the toasting process.

10. You can stop the toasting process at any stage by pressing the stop button.
11. The device is then switched off.
12. Do not push up the lift button.
13. Toasting a slice of bread.
14. Note
15. When toasting only 1 slice of bread in a two-slice toaster, there can be differences in the degree of browning of the two sides.
16. -Whea- Therefore, when toasting 1 slice of bread, you should select one setting lower for the degree of browning.
17. Braun control-sensor HT 55
18. The HT 55 has a built-in sensor which measures the surface temperature of the bread and from this controls the toasting time/the length of the toasting process.
19. The degree of browning can be -regulated- determined/chosen by using the regulator.
20. -If- When the same type of bread is being used, regardless of whether it is fresh, not so fresh or frozen, the toaster will try to obtain the same degree of browning.
21. However, the bread must be of the same type + inserted in the same manner.

- The bread, however, must be of the same type + be inserted into the -slets- toasting slots in the same manner.

22. If your toast -Eurns-eut- is not sufficiently browned, repeat the toasting process with the -e日日Erollef- regulator set at a suitably higher level.
23. If you wish to toast only one slice of bread, then place it in the slot which has the sensor point.
bread
24. The grid for $\uparrow$ rolls has to be connected with the spring clamps.
25. When thés grid for bread rolls is being used, the toaster decides on a toasting time, independent of the -Eke- regulator.
26. Again to initiate this toasting process, the lift button must be pressed.
27. Cleaning methods. (all models).

- To clean your toaster (all models).

28. Always $p l u g$ out the toaster before cleaning it.
29. The outside of the toaster may be cleaned simply by using a -meiset damp cloth.
30. Do not use acidic or scouring cleaning agents
31. The crumb compartment should be occasionally taken out + emptied.
32. Subject to alterations.
33. Interference screening according the guidelines $-82 \nmid 76 / 889$ and supplement guideline $82 / 499$ EWG.
```
PARTICIPANTS' VERSIONS . PP II
Braun control-sensor toaster HT 55 Type 4104
Operating instructions:-
Operation [-kew-it-werks- (all models)
Note:
The Braun toaster only works when the－erub－crumb compartment is locked
Before using the toster for the first time switch it on by pressing using
down the lift key．Do this at first a few times \(\uparrow\) without \(\uparrow\) bread （beside an open window）．
toast
You can－Eype－all types of bread．
desired adjusting
You can choose the \(\uparrow\) degree of toasting \(\uparrow\) by using the stepless －weł王－dөне－
controller．（light to dark）
The lift key is kept depressed by an electromagnet when the toaster is switched on and rises automatically when the toasting process is a
comple \(\uparrow\) ted．
－buをEө日－
You can interrupt the toasting process by pressing the stop key．
The device is switched off．
Do not push up the lift key．
Toasting a slice of bread：
Note：
```

If you use a two-slice toaster to toast one slice of bread -Ekeresides could be toasted to different degrees.
-ean-be-dífferenee-in-the-brewaing-ef-beth-siades-
When toasting only one slice of bread you should therefore $\uparrow$ one browning setting lower.

Braun control-sensor HT 55.

In the case of HT 55 the built-in sensor measures the surface temperature of the bread and then controls the toasting time.
$-F i x-y \theta-t h e-$
ーteastiang一
-Betermine-yeux-ehesen-brewning-degree-
level of toasting.
Adjust the controller to your chosen -bzewniag degree-

For the same types of bread the toaster determines whether the bread is fresh, old or frozen and ensures constant browning.
-Neverthełess- However to do this the same sort and texture of bread must be used in both slots.

If your toast is too lightly done, repeat the toasting process with the controller -set- at a higher setting.

If you only want to toast one slice then please put this into the slot where the sensor point is situated.

The grid for rolls must be locked with the spring clamps.

When you are using the grid for the rolls
$\uparrow$ the toaster automatically changes over
(independentły from the controller).

```
    be used to
The lift key can also \uparrow switch on the toaster.
Cleaning (all models):
Plug everytime you clean it
-Pułx- out the toaster 个 before -every ełeanimg.-
    damp to clean
A -metst- cloth sufficices -fer-the-eleaning-e£- the casing.
    strong or
Do not use any }\uparrow\mathrm{ scouring cleaning agents.
-Pu{l- Occasionally pull out the crumb compartment and empty it.
Subject to alterations.
Interference suppressed according to the guidelines 76/889 -and-
supplement guidelines- 82/499 EWG.
```

PARTICIPANTS' VERSIONS • PP III

1. Braun control sensor toaster HT 55 Type 4104
2. Operating instructions
3. Operation [operating?]
(all models)
4. Important note
5. The Braun toaster can only be operated when the crumb compartment is locked.
empty
6. Before using the toaster for the first time, switch on the $\uparrow$ toaster toaster a few times -withett-bread-and- with the $\uparrow$ window open.
7. You can toast all kinds of bread.
colour
8. You can select the desired-mede- using the continuous controller (1:light to 6:dark).
by an electromagnet
 toaster is switched on and is lifted automatically after toasting.
9. You can interrupt toasting by pressing the stop -key- (1).
10. The toaster is switched off.
11. Do not push up the lift key
12. Toasting a slice of bread
13. Important note:
14. As with all double toasters $\uparrow$ - $f$ E日asters-£er- there can be differences between both sides when toasting one slice of bread only.
toasting
When -yeu-teast- one slice only,
15. $\uparrow$ you should therefore select one setting lower.
16. Braun control sensor HT 55
17. In the case of the HT 55, the built-in sensor measures the surface temperature of the bread and controls the toasting time accordingly.
18. Set the -requested- desired colour using the controller.
19. When the same kind of bread is used, the toaster makes sure that the colour is the same whether the bread is fresh, slightly older or deep-frozen.
20. However, for this it is necessary to use bread of the same slots kind and consistency in both -sides;
21. If your toast is too light, you can repeat -teasting- the process using a higher setting, -ef-the-e日Rtyellex-
22. If you -are-erly- want to toast one slice only, please put it into the slot marked with the sensor point.
23. The fitting for toasting rolls must lock by means of the spring clamps / The spring clamps of the fitting for toasting rolls must lock [snap shut.]
rolls
24. When using the fitting for toasting -rules-, the toaster
automatically switches to a fixed time/standard time independently of the controller/setting of the controller.
25. -The-teaster- The lift -key- button is also used to switch on the toaster.
26. Cleaning (all models).

Always
28. $\uparrow$ pull out the plug/mains plug before cleaning.
29. A moist cloth/damp cloth is sufficient for cleaning the casing.
[Use no caustic or scouring cleaners]
30. Use no strong cleaners or scouring powders/scouring products
and empty
31. Pull out $\uparrow$ the crumb compartment (a) occasionally.
32. The manufacturer reserves the right to change specifications.
(-befer- without prior notice).
33. Screened against radio interference according to $\uparrow$ guidelines (including)
76/889 with supplement guideline 82/499 -EWG- -EEG/EGT

## PARTICIPANTS' VERSIONS . PP IV

1. all correct providing maker's name makes sense for English people
2. $\quad 0 . K$.
3. Method of Operation
4. $-\theta$-K-. Please note:
5. The toaster can only be operated after the crumb compartment has been closed.
several times
6. Before use, the toaster should be put into action $\uparrow$ without any bread in the slots and after having opened a nearby window.
7. All sorts of bread can be toasted in this model.
8. The -suitable--apprepriate- correct degree of toasting can be achieved by setting the knob/dial [according to model] to the level required e.g. 1 light 3 medium 6 dark
9. The lift key is electromagnetically controlled after being pushed down/depressed and automatically returns to its normal position after toasting.
10. CHANGE "through" to "by" - INSERT "the" before stop.
11. Debatable: OFF-ON switch. OR OF-ON switch in OFF position
12. Do not force the lift key in an upwards direction.

13．O．K．

14．Please note．

15．Differences in the degree of toasting can be found in all double－size toasters when only one slice of bread is inserted

16．Select one setting lower on the dial／knob when toasting a single slice of bread．

17．O．K．

The
18．－王n－the－ease－ef－HT 55 model has a built－in sensor which measures the surface temperature of the bread and regulates the toasting time．

19．Get your toast perfect by using the \｛regulating／graded\} \｛knob／dial\}

20．If you use the same sort of bread（daily），it will toasted the same whether it is fresh，a couple of days old or out of the deep freeze．

21．However，the bread used does have to be the same in both slits．

22．If your toast is－te日－not done enough，－yeu－sheuld－please readjust／reset the graded dial／knob．
put
23．When toasting－ө日e－a single slice of bread，please－insert－the slice in the slit marked with the red dot．

24．The grid for rolls－kas－te－locks in $\uparrow$ place with（two）spring
-ełamps- clips.
25. -Duriag-the-use-e£-

When the grid for rolls is in position, the toaster automatically switches over from sensor operation to a fixed toasting time.

To start toasting
26. $\uparrow$ the lift key should be depressed as in normal operation.
27. Cleaning instructions. All models.
28. Make sure that the toaster has been disconnected from the mains supply before cleaning.
29. CHANGE "suffices" to "is sufficient".
30. Do not use any caustic or abrasive cleaning agents.
31. - Pu 11-9u-

Remove the crumb compartment -and- at regular intervals to empty and clean.
32. The manufacturer's specifications may be altered without notice.
33. Interference suppressor fitted in accordance with EC guidelines $76 / 889$ and supplementary guideline $82 / 499$.

Footnote: wanted to check in $G B$, whether family/twin toaster etc.


[^0]:    "Like any modern MT system, METAL is to be used in a technical translation environment where human revision of

