ZnO nanorods for efficient third harmonic UV generation: erratum

Susanta Kumar Das,[1,4] Frank Güell,[2] Ciarán Gray,[3] Prasanta Kumar Das,[4] Ruediger Grunwald,[1] and Enda McGlynn[3,*]

[1]*Max-Born-Institut für Nichtlineare Optik und Kurzzeitspektroskopie, Max-Born-Strasse 2a, D-12489 Berlin, Germany*
[2]*Departament d'Electrònica, Universitat de Barcelona, C/Martí i Franquès 1, E-08028 Barcelona, Catalunya, Spain*
[3]*School of Physical Sciences, National Centre for Plasma Science and Technology, Dublin City University, Glasnevin, Dublin 9, Ireland*
[4]*School of Applied Sciences, KIIT University, Bhubaneswar - 751024, Odisha, India*
[*]enda.mcglynn@dcu.ie

Abstract: We correct Eq. (1) of our previous paper showing the pulse duration (τ) dependence on group delay dispersion (β_2) and initial duration of the unchirped pulse coming from the laser (τ_0). The correct form of the equation was used in all the calculations in the previous paper however, so in all other respects our previous results and conclusions are unchanged.

©2014 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: (160.4236) Nanomaterials; (190.4400) Nonlinear optics, materials; (190.7110) Ultrafast nonlinear optics.

References and links

1. S. K. Das, F. Guell, C. Gray, P. K. Das, R. Grunwald, and E. McGlynn, "ZnO nanorods for efficient third harmonic UV generation," Opt. Mater. Express **4**(4), 701–709 (2014).
2. D. Marcuse, "Pulse distortion in single-mode fibers," Appl. Opt. **19**(10), 1653–1660 (1980).
3. I. Walmsley, L. Waxer, and C. Dorrer, "The role of dispersion in ultrafast optics," Rev. Sci. Instrum. **72**(1), 1–29 (2001).

In Eq. (1) of article [1], we gave an equation showing the dependence of pulse duration (τ) on group delay dispersion (β_2) and initial duration of the unchirped pulse coming from the laser (τ_0). There are typographical errors in this equation in article [1] and the corrected equation is given below in Eq. (1), as may be verified in a number of other sources (such as [2] and [3]):

$$\tau = \tau_0 \sqrt{1 + \left(4 \cdot \ln(2) \cdot \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\tau_0^2} \right) \right)^2} \tag{1}$$

The correct form of the equation was used in all the calculations in the previous paper [1] however, so in all other respects our previous results and conclusions are unchanged.