The Association Between Isometric Muscle Strength and Injuries Among Recreational and RISCS STUDY Novice Runners

Dillon, S.¹, MISCP, Whyte, E.¹, MISCP, ARTC, PhD, O'Connor, S.¹, ARTC, PhD, Burke, A.¹, ARTC, ,Moran, K.¹, PhD ¹School of Health and Human Performance, Dublin City University.

Introduction:

Running has many health benefits, but injuries associated with running can result in considerable health and economic burdens. This is particularly important given the reported injury incidence of between 18.2 to 92.4%¹. Previous injury is the primary risk factor related to running injuries². As injured athletes often display deficits in neuromuscular strength ^{3,4}, and these weaknesses may be evident at the time of return to sport^{5,6,7} it is thought that persistent residual weakness following injury may predispose an athlete to subsequent injury. To date, studies have mainly compared the neuromuscular strength of currently injured and uninjured runners. More information is needed to explore potential differences in strength among healthy runners with a history of injury, which may allow clinicians to address weaknesses and ultimately better direct treatment.



Figure 1. The number of participants with (green) and without (blue) a history of running injuries over the past 2 years.

Aim:

To investigate differences in isometric muscle strength among healthy runners with and without previous running related injuries (RRIs) in the past 2 years.

Methods:

Participants:



121 Injury free recreational and novice runners (47 females, 74 males) with a mean age of 40.39 years ± 8.9.

Outcomes:



Table 1: Mean isometric muscle strength of runners with and without previous injury in the past 2 years. * indicates a significant difference in means between groups.

Isometric Muscle Strength	Dominant/ Non- dominant	Injured Group Mean (N/Kg) ± SD	Uninjured Group Mean (N/Kg) ± SD	p Value Mean	Effect Size (d)
Hip Abduction	Dominant	2.23 ± 0.39	2.08 ± 0.40	p= 0.04*	0.40, medium
Hip Abduction	Non- dominant	2.19 ± 0.44	2.01 ± 0.42	p= 0.02*	0.43, medium
Hip Extension	Non- dominant	2.61 ± 0.89	2.30 ± 0.63	p= 0.04*	0.59, large
Hip Extension	Dominant	2.72 ± 1.05	2.42 ± 0.65	p= 0.08	0.33 <i>,</i> medium
Knee Extension	Dominant	4.03 ± 1.08	3.90± 1.29	p > 0.05	0.11, small
Knee Extension	Non- dominant	3.89 ± 1.06	3.69 ± 1.40	p > 0.05	0.13, small
Knee Flexion	Dominant	2.98 ± 0.74	2.91 ± 1.04	p > 0.05	0.12, small
Knee Flexion	Non- dominant	2.94 ± 0.79	2.75 ± 0.91	p > 0.05	0.23, small
Plantar Flexion	Dominant	3.50 ± 1.00	3.06 ± 1.00	p > 0.05	0.31, medium
Plantar Flexion	Non- dominant	3.45 ± 1.33	3.14 ± 1.12	p > 0.05	0.25, small



Previous RRIs reported in the last 2 years were recorded via online survey. RRIs were defined as any pain in the lower limb or back that caused a participant to: Stop, rupping/restricted, rupping

Stop running/restricted running (either distance, speed, duration or frequency)

AND

(a) lasted at least 7 days or 3consecutive training sessionsOR

(b) required consultation with a health care professional

Statistical Analysis:



Independent T-tests were performed to investigate if a difference existed between previous running injuries and isometric muscle

A single assessor assessed the

maximum value of three measures of:

• Hip abduction isometric strength

• Hip extension isometric strength

Knee flexion isometric strength

• Plantar flexion isometric strength

Using a hand held dynamometer.

Knee extension isometric strength

Discussion

- Significant increases hip abduction strength bilaterally, and hip extension strength on the non-dominant side was found among the cohort reporting previous injuries in the past 2 years.
- This finding is counterintuitive to the assumption that deficits in strength may persist following injury and after return to sport as seen in other populations^{5,6,7}.
- As 79% of this sample participated in rehabilitation interventions, it is likely that this increase in strength may be as a result of rehabilitation from injury.
- Prospective studies are needed to explore the relationship between muscle strength and injury among this population further.

References

¹Junior, L. C. H., Costa, L. O. P., & Lopes, A. D. (2013). Previous injuries and some training characteristics predict running-related injuries in recreational runners: a prospective cohort study. *Journal of Physiotherapy*, *59*(4), 263-269. ²Van der Worp, Maarten P. et al. (2015): "Injuries in Runners; A Systematic Review on Risk Factors and Sex Differences." Ed. Amir A. Zadpoor. *PLoS ONE* 10.2 e0114937. *PMC*. Web. 3 Sept. 2018. ³Niemuth, P. E., Johnson, R. J., Myers, M. J., & Thieman, T. J. (2005). Hip muscle weakness and overuse injuries in recreational runners. *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine*, *15*(1), 14-21. ⁴Esculier, J. F., Roy, J. S., & Bouyer, L. J. (2015). Lower limb control and strength in runners with and without patellofemoral pain syndrome. *Gait & posture*, *41*(3), 813-819 ⁵Otzel, D. M., Chow, J. W., & Tillman, M. D. (2015). Long-term deficits in quadriceps strength and activation following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Physical Therapy in Sport*, *16*(1), 22-28. ⁶ Tol, J. L., Hamilton, B., Eirale, C., Muxart, P., Jacobsen, P., & Whiteley, R. (2014). At return to play following hamstring injury the majority of professional football players have residual isokinetic deficits. *Br J Sports Med*, bjsports-2013. ⁷Sanfilippo, J., Silder, A., Sherry, M. A., Tuite, M. J., & Heiderscheit, B. C. (2013). Hamstring strength and morphology progression after return to sport from injury. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*, *45*(3), 448

