



# U.S. Election Analysis 2024: Media, Voters and the Campaign

*Early reflections from leading academics*

Edited by:  
Daniel Jackson, Andrea Carson, Danielle Sarver Coombs, Stephanie  
Edgerly, Einar Thorsen, Filippo Trevisan, Scott Wright



Centre for Comparative Politics and Media Research (Bournemouth University)

<https://www.bournemouth.ac.uk/research/centres-institutes/centre-comparative-politics-media-research>

In collaboration with:

The Medill School of Journalism, Media, Integrated Marketing Communications at Northwestern University

<https://www.medill.northwestern.edu>

American Political Science Association's Information Technology and Politics (Section 18)

<https://apsanet.org/membership/organized-sections/section18/>

Political Studies Association

<https://psa.ac.uk>

Political Studies Association's Media and Politics Group

<https://www.psa.ac.uk/specialist-groups/media-and-politics>

For an electronic version with hyperlinked references please go to:

<https://www.electionanalysis.ws>

November 2024

ISBN: 978-1-910042-39-7. U.S. Election Analysis 2024: Media, Voters and the Campaign

[eBook-PDF]

Design & Layout: Mirva Villa

Thema Subject Categories: JPHF / JPHL / JPHV / JPL / JBCT4 / JBCT1 / JBCT2 / JPA / 1DDU

Published by:

The Centre for Comparative Politics and Media Research

Bournemouth University

Poole, England

BH12 5BB

# Contents

	<b>Introduction</b>	8
	<i>Prof. Daniel Jackson, Prof. Andrea Carson, Dr. Danielle Sarver Coombs, Prof. Stephanie Edgerly, Prof. Einar Thorsen, Dr. Filippo Trevisan, Prof. Scott Wright</i>	
1	• <b>Democracy at stake</b>	
	• <b>Trump's imagined reality is America's new reality</b>	11
	• <i>Prof Sarah Oates</i>	
	• <b>Trump's threat to American democracy</b>	12
	• <i>Prof Pippa Norris</i>	
	• <b>Why does Donald Trump tell so many lies?</b>	14
	• <i>Prof Geoff Beattie</i>	
	• <b>Strategic (in)civility in the campaign and beyond</b>	15
	• <i>Dr Emily Sydnor</i>	
	• <b>Can America's democratic institutions hold?</b>	16
	• <i>Prof Rita Kirk</i>	
	• <b>How broad is presidential immunity in the United States?</b>	17
	• <i>Dr Jennifer L. Selin</i>	
	• <b>Election fraud myths require activation: Evidence from a natural experiment</b>	18
	• <i>Dr David E. Silva</i>	
	• <b>What ever happened to baby Q?</b>	20
• <i>Harrison J. LeJeune</i>		
• <b>We're all playing Elon Musk's game now</b>	21	
• <i>Dr Adrienne L. Massanari</i>		
• <b>Peak woke? The end of identity politics?</b>	22	
• <i>Prof Timothy J. Lynch</i>		
• <b>Teaching the 2024 election</b>	23	
• <i>Dr Whitney Phillips</i>		
2	• <b>Policy and political context</b>	
	• <b>The campaigns' pandemic memory hole</b>	25
	• <i>Prof Michael Serazio</i>	
	• <b>America's kingdom of contempt</b>	26
	• <i>Prof Barry Richards</i>	
	• <b>Americanism, not globalism 2.0: Donald Trump and America's role in the world</b>	27
	• <i>Prof Jason A. Edwards</i>	
	• <b>The politics of uncertainty: Mediated campaign narratives about Russia's war on Ukraine</b>	28
	• <i>Dr Tetyana Lokot</i>	
	• <b>The U.S. elections and the future of European security: Continuity or disruption?</b>	29
	• <i>Dr Garret Martin</i>	
	• <b>Trump's victory brings us closer to the new world disorder</b>	30
	• <i>Prof Roman Gerodimos</i>	
	• <b>Abortion: Less important to voters than anticipated</b>	31
	• <i>Dr Zoë Brigley Thompson</i>	
	• <b>Roe your vote?</b>	32
• <i>Dr Lindsey Meeks</i>		
• <b>Gender panics, far-right radicalization, and the effectiveness of anti-trans political ads</b>	33	
• <i>Dr Thomas J. Billard</i>		
• <b>U.S. politics and planetary crisis in 2024</b>	34	
• <i>Dr Reed Kurtz</i>		
• <b>Trump and Musk for all mankind</b>	35	
• <i>Prof Einar Thorsen</i>		
• <b>Guns and the 2024 election</b>	36	
• <i>Prof Robert J. Spitzer</i>		
• <b>Echoes of Trump: Potential shifts in Congress's communication culture</b>	37	
• <i>Dr Annelise Russell</i>		



# 3

•	<b>Voters</b>	
•	<b>Seeing past the herd: Polls and the 2024 election</b>	39
•	<i>Dr Benjamin Toff</i>	
•	<b>On polls and social media</b>	40
•	<i>Dr Dorian Hunter Davis</i>	
•	<b>How did gender matter in 2024?</b>	41
	<i>Prof Regina Lawrence</i>	
	<b>The keys to the White House: Why Allan Lichtman is wrong this time</b>	42
	<i>Tom Fisher</i>	
	<b>Beyond the rural vote: Economic anxiety and the 2024 presidential election</b>	44
	<i>Dr Amanda Weinstein, Dr Adam Dewbury</i>	
	<b>Black and independent voters: Which way forward?</b>	46
	<i>Prof Omar Ali</i>	
	<b>Latino voters in the 2024 election</b>	47
	<i>Dr Arthur D. Soto-Vásquez</i>	
	<b>Kamala's key to the polls: The Asian American connection</b>	48
	<i>Nadya Hayasi</i>	
	<b>The vulnerability of naturalized immigrants and the hero who “will fix” America</b>	49
	<i>Dr Alina E. Dolea</i>	
	<b>Did Gen Z shape the election? No, because Gen Z doesn't exist</b>	50
	<i>Dr Michael Bossetta</i>	
	<b>Cartographic perspectives of the 2024 U.S. election</b>	52
	<i>Prof Benjamin Hennig</i>	

# 4

•	<b>Candidates and the campaign</b>	
•	<b>The tilted playing field, and a bygone conclusion</b>	55
•	<i>Dr David Karpf</i>	
•	<b>Looking forwards and looking back: Competing visions of America in the 2024 presidential campaign</b>	56
•	<i>Prof John Rennie Short</i>	
•	<b>Brat went splat: Or the emotional sticky brand won again</b>	57
	<i>Prof Ken Cosgrove</i>	
	<b>Election 2024: Does money matter anymore?</b>	58
	<i>Prof Cayce Myers</i>	
	<b>Advertising trends in the 2024 presidential race</b>	59
	<i>Prof Travis N. Ridout, Prof Michael M. Franz, Prof Erika Franklin Fowler</i>	
	<b>Who won the ground wars? Trump and Harris field office strategies in 2024</b>	60
	<i>Sean Whyard, Dr Joshua P. Darr</i>	
	<b>Kamala Harris: Idealisation and persecution</b>	62
	<i>Dr Amy Tatum</i>	
	<b>Kamala Harris campaign failed to keep Democratic social coalition together</b>	63
	<i>Prof Anup Kumar</i>	
	<b>Revisiting Indian-American identity in the 2024 U.S. presidential election</b>	64
	<i>Dr Madhavi Reddi</i>	
	<b>Harris missed an opportunity to sway swing voters by not morally reframing her message</b>	65
	<i>Prof John H. Parmelee</i>	
	<b>In pursuit of the true populist at the dawn of America's golden age</b>	66
	<i>Dr Carl Senior</i>	
	<b>Language and the floor in the 2024 Harris vs Trump televised presidential debate</b>	67
	<i>Dr Sylvia Shaw</i>	
	<b>Nullifying the noise of a racialized claim: Nonverbal communication and the 2024 Harris-Trump debate</b>	68
	<i>Prof Erik P. Bucy</i>	

<b>A pseudo-scientific revolution? The puzzling relationship between science deference and denial</b>	<b>69</b>
<i>Dr Matt Motta</i>	
<b>Amidst recent lows for women congressional candidates, women at the state level thrive</b>	<b>70</b>
<i>Dr Jordan Butcher</i>	

# 5



## News and journalism

<b>The powers that aren't: News organizations and the 2024 election</b>	<b>73</b>
<i>Dr Nik Usher</i>	
<b>Newspaper presidential endorsements: Silence during consequential moment in history</b>	<b>74</b>
<i>Dr Kenneth Campbell</i>	
<b>Trump after news: A moral voice in an empty room?</b>	<b>75</b>
<i>Prof Matt Carlson, Prof Sue Robinson, Prof Seth C. Lewis</i>	
<b>Under media oligarchy: Profit and power trumped democracy once again</b>	<b>76</b>
<i>Prof Victor Pickard</i>	
<b>The challenge of pro-democracy journalism</b>	<b>77</b>
<i>Prof Stephen D. Reese</i>	
<b>Grievance and animosity: Fracturing the digital news ecosystem</b>	<b>78</b>
<i>Dr Scott A. Eldridge II</i>	
<b>Considering the risk of attacks on journalists during the U.S. election</b>	<b>79</b>
<i>Dr Valerie Belair-Gagnon</i>	
<b>What can sentiment in cable news coverage tell us about the 2024 campaign?</b>	<b>80</b>
<i>Dr Gavin Ploger, Dr Stuart Soroka</i>	
<b>The case for happy election news: Why it matters and what stands in the way</b>	<b>82</b>
<i>Dr Ruth Palmer, Prof Stephanie Edgerly, Prof Emily K. Vraga</i>	
<b>Broadcast television use and the 2024 U.S. presidential election</b>	<b>84</b>
<i>Jessica Maki, Prof Michael W. Wagner</i>	
<b>Kamala Harris' representation in mainstream and Black media</b>	<b>85</b>
<i>Dr Miya Williams Fayne, Prof Danielle K. Brown</i>	
<b>Team Trump and the altercation at the Arlington military cemetery</b>	<b>86</b>
<i>Dr Natalie Jester</i>	
<b>Pulling their punches: On the limits of sports metaphor in political media</b>	<b>87</b>
<i>Prof Michael L. Butterworth</i>	

# 6



## Digital campaign

<b>Reversion to the meme: A return to grassroots content</b>	<b>89</b>
<i>Dr Jessica Baldwin-Philippi</i>	
<b>From platform politics to partisan platforms</b>	<b>90</b>
<i>Prof Philip M. Napoli, Talia Goodman</i>	
<b>The fragmented social media landscape in the 2024 U.S. election</b>	<b>91</b>
<i>Dr Michael A. Beam, Dr Myiah J. Hutchens, Dr Jay D. Hmielowski</i>	
<b>Outside organization advertising on Meta platforms: Coordination and duplicity</b>	<b>92</b>
<i>Prof Jennifer Stromer-Galley</i>	
<b>Prejudice and priming in the online political sphere</b>	<b>94</b>
<i>Prof Richard Perloff</i>	
<b>Perceptions of social media in the 2024 presidential election</b>	<b>95</b>
<i>Dr Daniel Lane, Dr Prateekshit "Kanu" Pandey</i>	
<b>Modeling public Facebook comments on the attempted assassination of President Trump</b>	<b>96</b>
<i>Dr Justin Phillips, Prof Andrea Carson</i>	
<b>The memes of production: Grassroots-made digital content and the presidential campaign</b>	<b>98</b>
<i>Dr Rosalynd Southern, Dr Caroline Leicht</i>	
<b>The gendered dynamics of presidential campaign tweets in 2024</b>	<b>99</b>
<i>Prof Heather K. Evans, Dr Jennifer Hayes Clark</i>	
<b>Threads and TikTok adoption among 2024 congressional candidates in battleground states</b>	<b>101</b>
<i>Prof Terri L. Towner, Prof Caroline Muñoz</i>	
<b>Who would extraterrestrials side with if they were watching us on social media?</b>	<b>102</b>
<i>Taewoo Kang, Prof Kjerstin Thorson</i>	
<b>AI and voter suppression in the 2024 election</b>	<b>103</b>
<i>Prof Diana Owen</i>	

**News from AI: ChatGPT and political information** 104

*Dr Caroline Leicht, Dr Peter Finn, Dr Lauren C. Bell, Dr Amy Tatum*

**Analyzing the perceived humanness of AI-generated social media content around the presidential debate** 105

*Dr Tiago Ventura, Rebecca Ansell, Dr Sejin Paik, Autumn Toney, Prof Leticia Bode, Prof Lisa Singh*

# 7

## • **Popular culture**

**Momentum is a meme** 108

*Prof Ryan M. Milner*

**Partisan memes and how they were perceived in the 2024 U.S. presidential election** 109

*Dr Prateekshit “Kanu” Pandey, Dr Daniel Lane*

**The intersection of misogyny, race, and political memes... America has a long way to go, baby!** 110

*Dr Gabriel B. Tait*

**Needs Musk: Trump turns to the manosphere** 111

*Dr Michael Higgins, Prof Angela Smith*

**“Wooing the manosphere: He’s just a bro.” Donald Trump’s digital transactions with “dude” influencers** 112

*Prof Mark Wheeler*

**Star supporters** 113

*Prof John Street*

**Pet sounds: Celebrity, meme culture and political messaging in the music of election 2024** 114

*Dr Adam Behr*

**The stars came out for the 2024 election. Did it make a difference?** 115

*Mark Turner*

**Podcasting as presidential campaign outreach** 116

*Ava Kalinauskas, Dr Rodney Taveira*

**Value of TV debates reduced during Trump era** 117

*Prof Richard Thomas, Dr Matthew Wall*

**America’s “fun aunt”: How gendered stereotypes can shape perceptions of women candidates** 118

*Dr Caroline Leicht*



# 2

.....

## Policy and political context

# The politics of uncertainty: Mediated campaign narratives about Russia's war on Ukraine



**Dr Tetyana Lokot**

*Associate Professor at the School of Communications, Dublin City University. She researches threats to digital rights, networked authoritarianism, networked citizenship, and the role of digital media in protests and warfare. She is the author of Beyond the Protest Square: Digital Media and Augmented Dissent (2021, Rowman & Littlefield), an in-depth study of digital media use in Ukraine's Revolution of Dignity.*

Twitter: @tanyalokot

Email: tanya.lokot@dcu.ie

Since the start of the 2024 U.S. election campaign, Ukrainians at home and abroad have been anxiously watching for any mention of the candidates' stances on supporting Ukraine in the face of Russian aggression. The anxiety of the election cycle was also felt strongly in Ukrainian official circles as the war has become a partisan issue in U.S. domestic politics.

The two candidates' pre-election positions on continuing military support for Ukraine stood in rather stark contrast, but were firmly within the overarching narratives pushed by both camps. The Democratic nominee, Vice President Kamala Harris, promised to stand by Kyiv "every step of the way" until "Ukraine prevails in this war." In line with her prior critiques of Trump's political inefficacy and his inclination to court favor with authoritarians, she speculated that if her opponent was in White House, Russian President Putin would already be "sitting in the Ukrainian capital."

Republican nominee (and now President-Elect) Donald Trump recently blamed President Zelenskyy for the war starting, but has also played up his diplomatic savvy, saying he could end the war by making "a deal" between Putin and Zelenskyy "in one day." He also boasted in a September TV debate with Harris that he would "get it done before even becoming president," though did not detail how he would do that.

Trump and his vice presidential pick J.D. Vance have also manipulated U.S. voters' economic fears: throughout the campaign, they falsely insisted that European powers had "underfunded this war" while "American taxpayers have been very generous" with their financial support for Ukraine. This has been refuted by factcheckers, as much smaller European economies had collectively spent considerably more than the U.S. Speaking at a Pennsylvania rally, Trump insisted he wouldn't spend taxpayers' money on wars "in countries you have never heard of."

Earlier in September, Vance floated a potential plan to end the war by negotiating a "heavily fortified demilitarized zone" in Ukrainian territory currently occupied by Russian forces, in essence freezing the conflict. In a podcast interview, Vance also suggested the peace plan would see Ukraine maintain its independence in exchange for a guarantee that it would not join NATO.

Speaking with Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelenskyy during his visit to Washington, DC in late September 2024, Harris slammed such proposals to "give up large parts of [Ukraine's] sovereign territory" as "dangerous and unacceptable," and stressed that these were not "proposals for peace" but rather "for surrender."

For Ukraine, the only certain outcome of Trump's now evident election victory is the promise of further uncertainty. The change in leadership for the largest backer of Ukrainian

resistance is guaranteed, but it remains unclear what new challenges Kyiv might face as the Trump cabinet and foreign policy priorities take shape. It is no surprise, then, that Ukrainian official rhetoric in response to Trump's leading the polls has been cautious and pragmatic, yet calculated.

The President-Elect has repeatedly claimed to have a good relationship with Putin and has criticized Zelenskyy as "the greatest salesman in history." But Trump did end up meeting with Zelenskyy during his September visit to DC and has said that he'd told Putin the U.S. would bomb Moscow if he dared to attack Ukraine. Much of Ukraine's reaction seems to be taking account of the former businessman's tendencies for self-aggrandizement.

In his November 6<sup>th</sup> victory speech, Trump vowed to "stop the wars," but made no explicit mention of Ukraine. Despite this, Zelenskyy was one of the first world leaders to congratulate Trump in a post on X, writing that he valued the U.S. politician's "commitment to the 'peace through strength' approach in global affairs" and forecasting "an era of a strong United States of America under President Trump's decisive leadership." He later held a phone call with Trump and explicitly outlined Ukraine's expectations, saying he relied "on continued strong bipartisan support for Ukraine" in the U.S.

Though the prospect of an unpredictable Trump administration and his penchant for deal-making worries many in Ukraine, the mood in Kyiv is one of grim resolve rather than panic. Ukrainians are well used to pushing back against the reticence of their Western allies, as their continued campaign to pressure the Biden administration into letting Ukraine hit military targets deep inside Russia shows. They also knew contingency planning would be needed whatever the outcome of the U.S. election, due to tensions among EU states with regard to continued support, with Hungary's Viktor Orbán continually impeding approval of key funding mechanisms.

The other reason Zelenskyy and Ukrainian officials have refused to consider any sort of ceasefire freezing Russia's battlefield gains or any compromise that would violate Ukraine's territorial integrity is because they know too well how Putin operates – and want Trump to know this too. As one Ukrainian lawmaker told the *Kyiv Independent*, Trump might be quickly disappointed once he realizes Putin "is not interested in negotiations and agreements" and still believes he can win. Trump, the lawmaker said, "doesn't want to be a failure; he wants to be a success, and if he wants success, he has to get Ukraine to agree, and Ukraine will never agree to territory concessions."